Manitoba Industrial Power Users Group Workshop

Industrial Rates Consultation

Manitoba Hydro Representation

Rates & Regulation

Rates & Policies

Industrial & Commercial Solutions

- Key Accounts
- Major Accounts
- Customer Engineering Services

Power Sales & Operations

- Export Power Marketing, Contracts, and Trading
- Resource Planning & Market Analysis
 - Generation System Studies

MIPUG Representation

```
General Service Large (>100 kV)
   □ 13 Accounts, 4,725 GWh, 675 MVA, $167 Million
General Service Large (30 – 100 kV)
   □ 9 Accounts, 605 GWh, 100 MVA, $23.5 Million
     MIPUG representative/consultant
     Active participant in consultation process
```

Energy Intensive Industrial Rate

Review of PUB Application Customer Consultation

Energy Intensive Industrial Rate

- Manitoba Hydro EIIR Application 08 GRA
- Public Utilities Board Order 112/09 Jul 09
- Manitoba Hydro EIIR Application Feb 10
- MIPUG Consultation Process Apr 10
- Board Review of EIIR Application Sep 10
- EIIR Application Withdrawal Oct 10

Heritage Industrial Rates

General Service Large (>100 kV)

- Energy Charge \$0.0262 per kWh
- Demand Charge \$5.40 per kVA

General Service Large (30 – 100 kV)

- Energy Charge \$0.0269 per kWh
- Demand Charge \$6.06 per kVA

Not Sensitive to Time of Use Periods

Flat energy charge, peak demand charge

Demand Centric Cost Characteristic

Unit Energy Costs vs Load Factor



Energy Intensive Industrial Rate

Rational for Implementation of EIIR

- Mitigate potential impact of low domestic rates
- Minimize general rate impact of industrial growth

Impact of Industrial Load Growth

- Reduces available energy for export market
- Lower domestic rate decreases general revenues

Hinders Ability to Secure Firm Export Contracts

- Lack of a market representative price signal
- Uncertainty regarding potential load growth
- □ Strong influence during on-peak periods

Rate Impact of Industrial Growth

50 MW of Additional Industrial Load

- New domestic revenue
- Foregone export revenue
- General revenue reduction

- \$13 \$15 Million/Yr
- \$ 21 \$ 25 Million/Yr
- \$ 8 \$ 10 Million/Yr

General Rate Impact

- □ 0.7 to 0.9 percent general rate increase for 50 MW addition
- Without considering additional costs for advancement

PUB Board Order 112/09

- Denial of 2008 EIIR Application (GRA)
- PUB Directives in Board Order 112/09
 - □ Include non-governmental customers (> 30 kV)
 - □ Apply to peak period load growth only
 - Minimize historic baseline adjustments
 - curtailable, self-generation, mandated energy efficiency
 - □ Marginal rate of 5.53 cents per kWh minus 0.9 cents
 - New customers allowed 50% at heritage rates
- Willingness to examine alternate proposals
- Expanded focus to promote conservation

February 2010 EIIR Application

- Included All Non-Governmental Accounts
 45 accounts in GSL Greater than 30 kV rate classes
- Applied to Load Growth in On-Peak Period Only
 Monday to Friday, 6:00 AM 10:00 PM, excluding holidays
- Historic Baseline Determination
 - Peak consumption over 12 consecutive months
 - 36 month period ending April 1, 2009
- Annual Growth Adjustment to Baseline
 - □ 2.5 percent for first five years of rate application
 - □ Compounded adjustment of 13.1 percent (five years)

February 2010 EIIR Application

- Above Baseline EIIR Rate of \$0.0485 per kWh
 - □ Firm export contracts from previous two years
- Affiliated Accounts Aggregated
 - Accounts combined for determination of baseline
- New to Manitoba Accounts
 - □ 50 percent of consumption at heritage rates
 - Remaining consumption at EIIR rates
 - □ Adjustment made after three years

MIPUG Consultation Process

Meetings with Individual Customers – Feb 10

- Discussion regarding customer impacts
- Highlighted need for additional consultation
- Notification to PUB about revised application
- Initial Meeting with MIPUG Apr 10
 - Discussion regarding EIIR application
 - Review of alternate EIIR proposal
 - Establish framework for further discussion
- Consultations Commence Jun 10
 - Seven meetings over five month period

Topics of Consultation

- Nature of Response to PUB Directives
- Determination of Historic Baselines
- Rational for Minimum Baseline Thresholds
- Requirement for Annual Growth Rates
- Impact of Demand Charges on Load Shifting
- Fairness and Equity in Application of EIIR
- Suitability of Marginal Rate/Export Market Price
- Impact of Export Contract Expiration/Renewal
- Revisions to Load Growth Projections

MIPUG Feedback

Perception of Regulatory Risk

- Nature of response to Board Order 112/09
- Need to address specific PUB directives

Negative Impact on Economic Growth

- □ No incentive for economic development
- Approach contrary to other provinces

Determination of Appropriate Baseline Levels

Historical consumption versus contract demand

Inequity of Rate Application (new vs existing)

Impact on incremental load growth

MIPUG Feedback

- Discrimination against Industrial Load Growth
 Larger incremental load growth possibilities
- Exemption for Governmental Customers
 - Load growth has same impact regardless of source

Consideration of Alternatives

Revisions to Determination of Baseline

Use of service contract levels to establish baseline

Minimum On-Peak Baseline Threshold Levels

- Examined the impact of 60 GWh, 30 GWh and 20 GWh
- Provided protection for smaller customers

Addition of Incremental Growth Allowance

- □ 50 percent allowance for annual growth
- Began Examination of Time-of-Use Rates
 - Broad applicability with time-of-use price signal
 - Provision for load shifting to off-peak periods

Impact of EIIR Application

Analysis of Impact	PUB Directive	MH EIIR	MH EIIR	
on MIPUG Members	Board Order	Application	Proposal	
(growth projections)	112/09	(Feb 2010)	(April 2010)	
Revenue Neutrality	Bill Increase	Bill Increase	Bill Increase	
(Domestic Rates)	0% to 8.9%	0% to 7.5%	0% to 3.1%	
Additional Revenue	Additional \$31.0 M	Additional \$13.5 M	Additional \$7.5 M	
(Impacted Accounts)	(over five years)	(over five years)	(over five years)	
Export Revenue	Full Recovery	\$13.5 M Shortfall	\$23.5 M Shortfall	
(approx rate impact)	(rate neutral)	(approx 1.2%)	(approx 2.1%)	
Regulatory Risk	Low/Medium Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk	
Customer Response	Negative	Negative	Cautious	

EIIR Consultation Conclusions

Competing Directives Compromise EIIR Rate

- Desire for broad applicability, conservation stimulus
- Ability to accommodate economic development
- Protection for export revenues, reduced rate impacts
- "Formula-Based" EIIR Impacts all Growth
 - Differentiate "energy intensive" from other growth
 - Positive growth (eg. jobs) negatively impacted

Alternatives Reduce Export Revenue Protection

- Higher baselines reduce Manitoba Hydro revenue
- Growth allowance contrary to PUB directives

EIIR Application Status

Review by MH Board of Directors

- Presentation of customer feedback from consultation
- Concerns about customer impacts in tough economy
- Review impact of revised load growth projections
- Decision to Withdraw EIIR Application
 - Further review of alternative options (time-of-use)
 - Examine implications of service extension policy
- Direction for Further Action
 - Detailed examination of time-of-use alternative
 - Review impact of service extension policy

Time-of-Use Rates

Potential Alternative to EIIR

Illustrative Time-of-Use Rate

- Broad-Based Applicability Across Rate Class
- Time-of-Use Price Signal Linked to Export Price
- Eliminates Difficulty of Baseline Determination
- Equity for all Accounts within Rate Class
- More Energy Centric Approach to Rates
- On-Peak Incentive for Conservation Activities
- Provides Degree of Export Revenue Protection
- Compliments Potential Demand Response Rate
- Supports Economics of Green Energy Initiatives

Revenue-Neutral Rate Design

- What Does Revenue-Neutrality Mean..?
- On-Peak Rates Related to Export Prices
- On-Peak Rates Have a Seasonal Aspect
- Off-Peak Rate Related to Export Prices
- Demand Rate Adjusted to Maintain Neutrality
- Intended to Achieve Neutrality Across Class
- Evaluating Range of Winners and Losers

Time-of-Use Definition

Daily On-Peak Period

□ Monday to Friday, 6:00 AM - 10:00 PM

Excluding statutory holidays

Daily Off-Peak Period

□ Monday to Friday, 10:00 PM – 6:00 AM

24 Hours, weekends, holidays

Seasonal Aspect

- □ Winter Period (Dec to Mar) 4 months
- □ Summer Period (Apr to Nov) 8 months

Illustrative Time-of-Use Rate

General Service Large (> 100 kV)

- Winter On-Peak Energy
- Summer On-Peak Energy
- Off-Peak Energy
- On-Peak Demand

\$0.048 per kWh

- \$0.038 per kWh
- \$0.022 per kWh
- \$2.70 per kVA
- General Service Large (30 100 kV)
 - Winter On-Peak Energy
 - □ Summer On-Peak Energy
 - □ Off-Peak Energy
 - On-Peak Demand

- \$0.051 per kWh
- \$0.041 per kWh
- \$0.024 per kWh
- \$3.03 per kVA

Impact of Usage Load Factor



Energy Centric Approach



Alternate Rate Configurations

Illustrative Time-of-Use Rate

□ Win \$0.048, Sum \$0.038, Off \$0.022, Demand \$2.70

Option 1 - Lower Demand Rate

□ Win \$0.053, Sum \$0.043, Off \$0.022, Demand \$1.35

Option 2 - Lower Off-Peak Energy Rate
 Win \$0.053, Sum \$0.043, Off \$0.018, Demand \$2.70

Option 3 - Lower Demand & Off-Peak Energy Rates Win \$0.058, Sum \$0.048, Off \$0.018, Demand \$1.35

- Option 4 Higher Demand, Lower Off-Peak Rates
 Win \$0.048, Sum \$0.038, Off \$0.018, Demand \$4.05
- Option 5 Levelized On-Peak Rates
 - □ Win \$0.041, Sum \$0.041, Off \$0.022, Demand \$2.70

Option 1: Lower Demand Rate



Option 2: Lower Off-Peak Energy Rate



Option 3: Lower Demand/Lower Off-Peak Energy



Option 4: Higher Demand/Lower Off-Peak Energy



Option 5: Levelized On-Peak Energy Rate



Impact of Time-of-Use Rate

GSL Greater than 100 kV (2008-09)

Annual Load Factor	On-Peak Ratio	Winter Ratio	Illustrative Rate	Option 1 Rate	Option 2 Rate	Option 3 Rate	Option 4 Rate	Option 5 Rate
0.376	43.94%	26.15%	-7.92%	-11.80%	-8.45%	-12.34%	-4.67%	-7.95%
0.689	47.11%	33.69%	-1.98%	-3.25%	-1.89%	-3.16%	-0.75%	-2.03%
0.927	60.86%	49.75%	1.42%	2.10%	2.11%	1.80%	2.89%	1.66%
Less than -1.0%		7	8	7	8	5	6	
Plus/Minus 1.0%		5	4	5	3	8	6	
Greater than 1.0%		2	2	2	3	1	2	

Impact of Time-of-Use Rate

GSL 30 - 100 kV (2008-09)

Annual Load Factor	On-Peak Ratio	Winter Ratio	Illustrative Rate	Option 1 Rate	Option 2 Rate	Option 3 Rate	Option 4 Rate	Option 5 Rate
0.303	43.49%	27.51%	-8.93%	-15.19%	-9.13%	-15.39%	-3.55%	-10.33%
0.584	48.12%	36.39%	-1.34%	-3.79%	-1.09%	-3.54%	1.26%	-1.67%
0.877	76.46%	58.11%	5.10%	4.66%	6.86%	6.42%	9.17%	4.66%
Less than -1.0%		14	22	15	20	5	15	
Plus/Minus 1.0%		8	0	6	1	9	7	
Greater than 1.0%		6	6	7	7	14	6	

Factors Influencing TOU Impact

Annual Load Factor

- Relationship between consumption and peak demand
- On-Peak Energy Consumption Ratio
 - Portion of energy consumed in the on-peak period
- Winter-Summer Consumption Ratio
 - Seasonal consumption of energy in on-peak period

Greater 100 kV - Load Factor



Greater 100 kV - On-Peak Usage



Greater 100 kV – On-Peak Usage



Greater 100 kV – Seasonal Usage



30 to 100 kV - Load Factor



• Illustrative — Linear (Illustrative)

30 to 100 kV – On-Peak Usage



On-Peak Usage

30 to 100 kV - On-Peak Usage



30 to 100 kV – Seasonal Usage





Future Direction for Industrial Rates Consultation and Discussion

Moving Forward....

- Presentation to the MH Board in January
 - Sense of customer acceptance and preference
- Potential for 2011/12 GRA application
 - Revised application for April 1, 2012 implementation
- Approaches to Phase-In of Time-of-Use
 - Phantom time-of-use billing (duplicate bill)
 - Phase-in exposure (plus/minus capped)
- Additional Consultation with Stakeholders
 - Remaining General Service Large >30 kV customers
 - □ Other stakeholders, public interest, etc.

Questions and Discussion..?

Additional Information

- Impact on historic consumption patterns
 - monthly and annual impact analysis
- □ Impact of future load growth projections
 - monthly and annual impact analysis
- Impact of changes in consumption behavior
 - load shifting, peak shaving, self-generation

Manitoba Hydro Contacts

- □ Key Account Officers
- Major Account Energy Services Advisors