



MANITOBA PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD

Re:

MANITOBA HYDRO
NEEDS FOR AND ALTERNATIVES TO
REVIEW OF MANITOBA HYDRO'S
PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Regis Gosselin	- Chairperson
Marilyn Kapitany	- Board Member
Larry Soldier	- Board Member
Richard Bel	- Board Member
Hugh Grant	- Board Member

HELD AT:

Public Utilities Board
400, 330 Portage Avenue
Winnipeg, Manitoba
April 24, 2014
Pages 7918 to 8199

1 APPEARANCES

2 Bob Peters (np)) Board Counsel

3 Sven Hombach

4

5 Patti Ramage) Manitoba Hydro

6 Marla Boyd)

7 Douglas Bedford (np))

8 Helga Van Iderstine (np))

9 Jennifer Moroz (np))

10 Odette Fernandes (np))

11

12 Byron Williams) CAC

13 Meghan Menzies (np))

14

15 William Gange) GAC

16 Peter Miller)

17

18 Antoine Hacault) MIPUG

19

20 George Orle) MKO

21 Michael Anderson (np))

22

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES (Con't)

2

3 Jessica Saunders (np))MMF

4 Corey Shefman)

5

6 Christian Monnin (np))IEC

7 Michael Weinstein)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		Page No.
3	List Exhibits	7923
4	List of Undertakings	7924
5		
6	Presentation by Mr. Ken Klassen	7925
7		
8	CAC/GAC DSM PANEL:	
9	PHILIPPE DUNSKY, Affirmed (Qual.)	
10	Qualification of Witness	7951
11	Examination-in-chief by Mr. Byron Williams	7962
12		
13	Presentation by Ms. Solange Garson	8043
14	Presentation by Ms. Carol Kobliski	8050
15	Presentation by Ms. Janie Duncan	8054
16		
17	CAC/GAC DSM PANEL CONTINUED:	
18	PHILIPPE DUNSKY, Previously Affirmed (Qual.)	
19	Continued Examination-in-chief	
20	by Mr. Byron Williams	8068
21	Cross-examination by Mr. Antoine Hacault	8106
22	Cross-examination by Mr. George Orle	8119
23	Cross-examination by Mr. Corey Shefman	8130
24	Cross-examination by Ms. Marla Boyd	8133
25	Cross-examination by Mr. Sven Hombach	8151

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS (Con't)	
2		Page No.
3	Re-cross-examination by Ms. Marla Boyd	8190
4	Re-direct Examination by Mr. Byron Williams	8194
5		
6	Certificate of Transcript	8199
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	LIST OF EXHIBITS		
2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	CAC-62	Presentation by Philippe Dunsky	7951
4	CAC-63	Aboriginal Litigation Practice	
5		Guidelines from October of 2012	8106
6	PUB-67	Chart that focusses on the projected	
7		electric savings between Manitoba	
8		Hydro's 2013 and 2014 Power Smart	
9		Plans	8150
10	PUB-68	Chart that focusses on the	
11		differences in planned investment	
12		between Manitoba Hydro's 2-13 and	
13		2014 Power Smart Plans	8150
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	LIST OF UNDERTAKINGS		
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	120	Mr. Dunsky to provide employment	
4		impact study of energy efficiency,	
5		if it becomes public prior to end	
6		of the hearing	7975
7	121	Mr. Dunsky to provide supporting	
8		tables for the information found in	
9		Figure 15 of his pre-filed written	
10		evidence relating to grid parity,	
11		and it will address the conclusions	
12		drawn for Ontario, Minnesota, North	
13		Dakota, Saskatchewan, and Manitoba	8108
14	122	Mr. Dunsky to provide the recent	
15		report of the National energy	
16		Efficiency Screening Project	8194
17	123	Mr. Dunsky to provide an electronic	
18		copy of his written report provided	
19		to the Public Utilities Board on	
20		low-income programming, along with	
21		his supporting PowerPoint	8198

22

23

24

25

1 --- Upon commencing at 8:49 p.m.

2

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning. I
4 think that we are in position to resume the proceedings
5 of this NFAT, so Mr. Hombach, please.

6 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
7 Chairman. Good morning, members of the panel. Today
8 is a busy schedule. The majority of the day is
9 reserved for the evidence of Mr. Philippe Dunsky, on
10 behalf of the Consumers' Association of Canada. But
11 we're starting the day with a presentation by Mr. Ken
12 Klassen, who is sitting across from you. Mr. Klassen
13 has been briefed. Because he's a presenter, he will
14 not have to be sworn as a witness, so I suggest we turn
15 it over to him to commence his presentation.

16 Good morning, Mr. Klassen.

17

18 PRESENTATION BY MR. KEN KLASSEN:

19 MR. KEN KLASSEN: Good morning. And
20 thank you for the opportunity to present. What I'm
21 going to do in my presentation is I'll briefly
22 introduce who I am and where I derive my perspective
23 from on the Preferred Development Plan.

24 I'm going to talk about some
25 socioeconomic considerations, particularly employment.

1 I'm going to talk primarily about demand-side
2 management issues, a little bit about renewables and
3 future load growth, and then presenting it with my
4 overall conclusion.

5 I have more than three (3) decades of
6 experience in promoting energy environmental
7 performance of new and existing homes, buildings, and
8 communities. I spent eighteen (18) years with the
9 Province of Manitoba and their Energy Department. That
10 was followed by three (3) years working for the
11 CanmetENERGY Technology Centre out of Ottawa and the
12 last ten (10) years as a private consultant.

13 You can imagine with a career spanning
14 three (3) decades I've done pretty much everything when
15 it comes to energy, from policy, codes, product
16 standards, research, program design, delivery,
17 education, and training.

18 I think one (1) of the things that's
19 perhaps different from other presenters is I've got a
20 lot of international experience. I've spent a lot of
21 time over the last decade doing work, you know, not
22 just only North America, but a lot of work in Europe, a
23 lot of work in Asia, talking to governments, you know,
24 and policy, energy, energy efficiency, and so on.

25 So that helped shape and inform my

1 perspective. I -- I took a more global perspective
2 than some other people may. Let's start with social
3 economic considerations. One (1) of the things when I
4 was in government, I was always asked when we would
5 have an initiative: How many jobs are you going to
6 create? When I looked at the Preferred Development
7 Plan, I was confused and stunned.

8 I started doing some analysis of the
9 cost per person year of employment that would be
10 created on the Prefer -- Preferred Development Plan.
11 People keep telling me, Ken, this thing's going to
12 create so many jobs. Well, how expensive are those
13 jobs that are created?

14 The other thing that I was kind of
15 floored by was how few permanent jobs are going to be
16 created by the Preferred Development Plan. And the
17 third thing, and this one kind of annoyed me because my
18 heart is really in demand-side management, is that the
19 demand-side management potential employment impacts,
20 they weren't even assessed.

21 So the first thing I did is -- Manitoba
22 Hydro, I know has come up with a revised estimate for
23 the final cost for Wuskwatim, \$1.71 billion. Deloitte
24 provided Manitoba Hydro with an assessment of the
25 direction construction employment impact of thirty-five

1 hundred and thirty-five (3,535) person years of
2 employment. It's simple math; divide one by the other.
3 A half a million dollars -- half a million dollars per
4 direct person year of employment, not a job, just a
5 person year of employment.

6 Well, I said, Well, wow, you know, maybe
7 I made a mistake. So I looked at the cost for Keeyask
8 and Conawapa, \$17.2 billion. Manitoba Hydro, in this
9 hearing, they've testified that it's going to create
10 nineteen thousand, two hundred (19,200) person years of
11 direct and indirect. And this gets confusing, because
12 sometimes Hydro talks about direct, sometimes they talk
13 about direct and indirect.

14 So this one, they said direct and
15 indirect, nineteen thousand two hundred (19,200).
16 Almost nine hundred thousand dollars (\$900,000) per --
17 per person year of employment. I -- I'm confused. You
18 know, maybe I've made a mistake, I've slipped a decimal
19 point, I've misinterpreted the tables.

20 So the next thing I did is I said, How
21 does this energy megaproject compare to other energy
22 megaprojects? So I -- I benchmarked against natural
23 gas. There's been a lot of discuss -- discussion here
24 about natural gas generation.

25 Grant Thorton, for the BC government,

1 has recently completed an unemployment impact
2 assessment of LNG export plants, five (5) plants,
3 almost \$100 billion. I did the math there. Well, very
4 expensive job creation. You know, you can see it's
5 around three hundred thousand dollars (\$300,000) per
6 person year of employment. Quite a bit less than
7 Hydro, but still very expensive.

8 Now, this is the one that -- that I
9 almost fell off my chair. Hydro dams do not create a
10 lot of permanent jobs. Manitoba Hydro, again, through
11 this hearing
12 process has said the Keeyask and Conawapa will create
13 three hundred (300) permanent jobs for a \$17.2 billion
14 investment.

15 Benchmark that against BC. Their
16 project -- their five (5) LNG plants will create
17 seventy-five thousand (75,000) permanent jobs. They're
18 spending a lot more money, so let's prorate it and say
19 if they spend \$17.2 billion on a LNG plant, it would
20 create thirteen thousand (13,000) permanent jobs. For
21 every job that a Hydro dam creates, a LNG export
22 terminal creates forty (40) jobs.

23 And -- and this one thing, like -- like
24 I said, it kind of annoyed me and angered me a little
25 bit. Manitoba Hydro, again, through this hearing, they

1 testified that they said Hydro offers the highest level
2 of construction and operation employment -- three
3 hundred (300) permeant jobs. And at the bottom, DSM
4 employment impacts not estimated. Not estimated? That
5 struck me as really odd because I know there's tonnes
6 of studies on the employment impacts of DSM and energy
7 efficiency.

8 I spent a few minutes on the internet.
9 Again like, you know, I do a lot of work in Europe. I
10 know they study it a lot, so I dug up on the studies
11 from Europe. Thirty-five (35) studies by twenty (20)
12 different organizations. Wide variation in the
13 estimated employment impacts, but they found that it
14 created about nineteen (19) jobs for retrofitting
15 buildings for energy efficiency or about eighty
16 thousand dollars (\$80,000) Canadian per job -- a
17 fraction of what the Preferred Development Plan is
18 going to do.

19 The focus needs to be not only the
20 quantity of jobs, but on the quality jobs. And when
21 you look at the employment benefits, let's say for
22 First Nations peoples or -- or Northern people or
23 whatever, there's numerous advantages of the employment
24 that's created through demand-side management or energy
25 efficiency programs compared to dams. There's not the

1 boom/bust cycle. A much higher fraction of employment
2 remains within the province. Only 40, 45 percent of
3 Keeyask and -- and Conawapa remain within the province.

4 It stimulates local businesses. A way
5 better geographic distribution of jobs. And then
6 perhaps most importantly is the skills that you acquire
7 through retrofitting buildings are much more relevant
8 to the needs of First Nations communities, Northern
9 communities, than building a dam.

10 There's a lot of other advantages beyond
11 employment for demand-side management. I don't have
12 time this morning to talk about them, but I want to
13 encourage you to look at reports such as this one by
14 International Energy, and see that documents those
15 other benefits.

16 So let's talk about DSM. I think it's
17 important to distinguish what Manitobans really want
18 here, is they don't want energy. They want the
19 services that energy provides: cold beer for my fridge.
20 I want a warm home in -- in the wintertime, not energy.

21 The other thing that gets lost -- you
22 know, I see it every day in the paper, right. All we
23 ever hear about is, you know, oil sands and fracking
24 and stuff like that. The truth is in Canada our
25 largest single-most important source of energy

1 services, it is not natural gas, it is not oil, it is
2 not Hydro, it's not wind, and not it's not solar; it's
3 energy efficiency. And this is from -- from the
4 Conference Board of Canada from last fall from their
5 forecast from the energy future in Canada.

6 Looking at past and future, you can see
7 that half of all the growth in the economy -- you know,
8 increased GDP -- half of all the demand for additional
9 energy services has been met by efficiency, and all
10 those other supply-side options made up the other half.
11 But you would never know that if you read the Globe and
12 Mail or -- or watch the news. The efficiency, it's --
13 it's like Rodney Dangerfield; it gets no respect.

14 The other thing is that, you know, we've
15 known the energy efficiency are -- is our lowest cost
16 source of electricity services. And that was
17 reaffirmed last month. The American Council for an
18 Energy-Efficient Economy released a new study. They
19 looked at twenty (20) states from 2009 to 2012, two
20 point eight (2.8) cents per kilowatt hour. One-half
21 (1/2) to one-third (1/3) of all of the supply-side
22 options that you could do.

23 I know one (1) of the criticisms in
24 Manitoba is that our potential for saving energy and
25 doing demand-side management is less because of our low

1 electricity prices. There is truth to that. But the
2 other half of the coin is that a large fraction of the
3 electricity used in Manitoba is related to our cold
4 climate.

5 If we look at the population when we had
6 heating/cooling-degree days, we live in one of the most
7 extreme climates in the world. So that offsets a lot
8 of the fact that we have low energy prices.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

11

12 MR. KEN KLASSEN: Okay. Now, in -- in
13 terms of the plans that Manitoba Hydro has put forward,
14 their -- their Power Smart Plan, in terms of the
15 EnerNOC Demand-side Management Potential Study that
16 informed their Power Smart Plan, and the Elenchus
17 review that was commissioned by the Public Utility
18 Board, I was kind of curious to find out what
19 consultation with local energy experts or local
20 stakeholders occurred.

21 So I called what I consider to be the
22 five (5) leading experts, local experts, in energy
23 efficiency. I said, Did anybody talk to you in the
24 development of the Power Smart Plan, the EnerNOC Plan,
25 or Elenchus? The most common answers I got were, No,

1 and the other common answer I got is, Who's EnerNOC,
2 who's Elenchus?

3 My concern is that Manitoba Hydro's come
4 up with a new Power Smart Plan, a 2014/2017 Power Smart
5 Plan. And again, I don't know what, if any,
6 consultation occurred. Nobody's consulted with me. No
7 one's consulted with the people that I've asked, who I
8 think are leading experts in the local market here.

9 I was also quite surprised to see that
10 they've doubled their demand-side management efforts.
11 And, you know, we've been told for years by Manitoba
12 Hydro, We're doing everything that is -- is feasible
13 and cost effective. And from one plan to the other
14 plan within a one (1) year period, they suddenly
15 doubled their targets. And I read the plan and I don't
16 understand what's changed so radically that would allow
17 you to double the targets.

18 And there's -- there's other details.
19 For example, the budget in their plans to support
20 implementation of codes and standards, or to support
21 innovation and -- and encourage the introduction of new
22 technologies, they're absent. There -- there's no
23 section in that report that talks about what are they
24 going -- what's the plan for codes and standards? What
25 is the budget for that? What's the budget for R&D or

1 innovation?

2 The other thing is, where's their longer
3 term fifteen (15) year production -- or projections?
4 Is this just a -- like a short little three (3) year
5 blip? When we go back, if we look at their previous
6 supplemental report and fifteen (15) year plan, a lot
7 of Manitoba Hydro's demand-side management efforts in
8 the future collapsed; you know, 89 percent, 100 percent
9 reductions in some of the programs.

10 So I guess that raises the question:
11 Are we running out of energy efficiency opportunities?
12 The resounding answer is, No. Again, there is some
13 truth. We are. There's only so many basements to
14 insulate and, you know, light bulbs to replace and so
15 on. But that's being offset by an unprecedented number
16 of new and innovative technologies.

17 I, in three (3) decades, have never seen
18 more opportunities for saving energy than I see today.
19 I'll just quickly run through examples. One (1) of the
20 best examples is solid-state lighting, LED, or OLED,
21 organic light-emitting diodes. The US Department of
22 Energy has come up with an estimate. They figure that
23 they can reduce lighting energy usage in the United
24 States by half by 2030. Lighting is one (1) of the
25 largest single loads for Manitoba Hydro.

1 Vacuum insulation and aerogels, these
2 are innovative insulation materials that have up to ten
3 (10) times the insulating capacity. These are not
4 research lab products. When I go to Asia, I see these
5 products. They're commercialized. They're being
6 installed in buildings, and equipment, and rice
7 cookers, and bathtubs even.

8 Cold climate air-source heat pumps.
9 We're seeing steady progress and lowering the cost,
10 improving the performance. Hybrid heat pump water
11 heaters, Manitoba Hydro has talked a lot about the
12 problems they're having with growth and water heating
13 loads, electric water heating loads. These can reduce
14 electric water heating loads by up to 62 percent, but
15 they cause your heating bill to go up. But if you have
16 a high efficiency gas furnace, it can still be a
17 positive impact on the homeowner and solve some of
18 Manitoba Hydro's problems with load growth and water
19 heaters.

20 Another good example is drain water heat
21 recovery; an ingenious, simple device, you know, fairly
22 quick payback. It should be in every home in Manitoba.
23 More needs to be done to encourage that. We have web-
24 enabled smart thermostats, energy monitors, dashboards,
25 so you get feedback on how well your house is

1 performing. You wouldn't buy a car without a
2 dashboard, would you? But you have a house without a
3 dashboard. And there's people who are making those
4 corrections so that you get instantaneous feedback, not
5 at the end of the month when you get a utility bill.

6 High-performance windows. Again, these
7 are now becoming commercial products where we can cut
8 down cooling loads. We can switch glazing on or off.

9 And what people are doing is they are
10 taking those and many other technologies that are
11 combined with high levels of energy efficiency, and
12 there's just a tidal wave of interest in net zero
13 housing.

14 So when I go -- I just came back from
15 Korea just over a month ago. I met with Korean
16 government officials. They want all new buildings in
17 Korea to be net zero by 2025. And the European Union,
18 they want all new buildings and houses to be near net
19 zero by 2018. There is a profound change going on
20 globally in reducing the energy usage of our building
21 sector.

22 So that leads me to my final section,
23 the age of renewables, and future load growth. If we
24 look at history, history informs us. It tells us that
25 we've seen a constant churning of our energy sources.

1 We first started in the age of wood; it was replaced by
2 coal, age of oil. Now people say we're in the golden
3 age of natural gas with fracking.

4 But if you look at these projections,
5 and this comes from the International Energy Agency,
6 the US Energy Information Agency, and Citi Research.
7 They're saying we're entering the -- a different age;
8 we're entering the age of renewables.

9 The two (2) main renewables that are
10 relevant to -- to this panel is wind and PV. I'm not a
11 wind expert. Other people have covered wind, so I'm
12 not going to talk about wind. But I will talk about
13 PV.

14 PV, photovoltaic, generated electricity
15 is exploding. We've seen globally massive increases.
16 Again, you know, when I go to China, I see plants
17 turning out PV panels that are the size of Polo Park.
18 And PV, if you monitor what's going on in the utility
19 industry, there's a lot of very nervous utility
20 executives all around the world. They realize that
21 this is a disruptive technology.

22 If -- this is kind of a complicated
23 graph. I would encourage you to view it later. But
24 really what it's saying is by 2020, PV will be cheaper
25 than grid electricity without subsidy in a growing

1 number of markets, including many markets in Canada.

2 And what is PV doing? Distributed PV, where you have
3 it on individual roofs, what is that doing to projected
4 load growth?

5 Well, if we look at what's going on the
6 United States, this is the projection by GMT (sic)
7 Research by 2016. In Hawaii, load growth isn't slowing
8 down. Electrical demand is shrinking. California, all
9 the load growth will be destroyed in PV, 90 percent in
10 New Jersey, you know, 80 percent in Massachusetts and
11 so on. So it's already happening in other markets that
12 have much more expensive electricity than we do.

13 So the question is: What is PV going to
14 do here, to Manitoba Hydro's future load growth, and to
15 the load growth and their export markets? I think the
16 -- the answer is it's not a question of if, but when.
17 It's going to happen later here because we do have
18 relatively low cost, clean electricity from
19 hydroelectric. But there's a strong prob --
20 probability that PV is going to become cost-effective
21 alternative grid electricity without any subsidies well
22 within our lifetime.

23 And what we need to be doing, what we're
24 not doing, if I go to Manitoba Hydro's website and if
25 I'm building a new home and say I want to future-proof

1 my home, PV are not cost effective today, but they will
2 be well before I pay off my mortgage, what should I do
3 to my home to make it ready for PV? There's no
4 guidance. We're not doing that. We're not planning
5 Waverley West, communities like that, so that the
6 houses are oriented to maximize solar access. There's
7 a lot more that we can be doing.

8 The other issue I want to talk about is
9 the reliability of load forecast. Previous load
10 forecast have grossly overestimated long-term
11 electricity growth in Manitoba. Manitoba Hydro, I
12 noticed, they testified about their five (5) and their
13 ten (10) year track record on predicting load growth.

14 I think you need to look back more like
15 thirty (30) years because hydro dams are not paid back
16 in five (5) or ten (10) years. And if you actually
17 look a generation ago what Manitoba Hydro was saying,
18 they said that we would have built out all of the
19 hydroelectric capacity in Manitoba and that we'd be
20 building nuclear power plants. When I worked for the
21 Energy Department, I stumbled across a report that was
22 yay thick that showed where we would be putting nuclear
23 power commission by Manitoba Hydro, where we would be
24 putting nuclear power plants around now, you know.

25 And if you look at this US electricity

1 demand growth curve, you can see that -- you can see
2 the trajectory that we're on, okay. I don't have one
3 for Canada. You know, Canada's a similar trajectory.
4 Now, that raises the question: Well, what if we did
5 more aggressive demand-side management, and rather than
6 a three (3) year plan, if we have a fifteen (15) year
7 plan and an aggressive target, is that a big risk?

8 I think it's not. Again, if we look at
9 -- a study came out just earlier this month again by
10 the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy.
11 They looked at the United States. Half of all the
12 states in the United States have an energy efficiency
13 resource standard. In 2012, fifteen (15) of those
14 states either met or exceeded those targets. Only --
15 and six (6) came within 90 percent. Only one (1) state
16 didn't meet their target -- or met -- met less than 80
17 percent of their target.

18 So -- so kind of wrapping it up, I --
19 you know, I think we need to be more like the Pacific
20 Northwest United States. If you look at the Pacific
21 Northwest, they regard energy efficiency as their
22 single most important resource. This is a region with
23 an abundance of low cost hydroelectric power like
24 Manitoba. So their top thing isn't supply side. It's
25 demand-side. It's demand-side management.

1 And look at, since 1980 -- 1980 --
2 thirty-four (34) years, a third of the century, they've
3 met half of all their load growth through efficiency.

4 So in conclusion, Manitoba Hydro's
5 Preferred Development Plan, in my opinion I think it's
6 a product of a flawed process. I think there -- there
7 wasn't enough collaboration in development of the plan.
8 The -- to span, you know, years and \$1.3 billion and to
9 not even calculate the employment impacts of demand-
10 side management, I -- I don't understand that. I just
11 don't. You know. We have a lot of expertise in this
12 province. Those people were not consulted in the
13 development of the plan, to my knowledge.

14 So I think it represents an enormous
15 risks for -- for far too little gain. There's other
16 people who -- to testify here about the -- the profound
17 changes and uncertainty that are going forward in the
18 utility industry. I won't repeat that.

19 But there is an alternative. And the
20 alternative is to set aggressive long-term DSM
21 strategies. From what I've read from other testimony,
22 it looks like wind is -- looks remarkably attractive in
23 Manitoba, and it's a coin toss between it and levelized
24 cost of energy in -- in new dams. And, no, PV isn't
25 ready yet here but it will be eventually, and we need

1 to start future-proofing our homes, buildings, and
2 communities for that eventuality.

3 And we need to recognize that the
4 Preferred Development Plan is unbelievably expensive.
5 It's -- it -- yes, it does create jobs. Those jobs are
6 unbelievably expensive. It doesn't create a lot of
7 permanent employment. If we really want to strengthen
8 our communities, create jobs, you know, throughout all
9 of Manitoba, including for First Nations people in the
10 North, I think there's a better path. Thank you.

11 MS. MARILYN KAPITANY: Thanks, Mr.
12 Klassen. When you talk about net zero energy houses,
13 do you mean just houses that are energy self-
14 sufficient?

15 MR. KEN KLASSEN: Yes. A net zero
16 energy house would be defined as a house which
17 generates as much energy as it uses over the course of
18 a year. So at night or on a cloudy day, the house may
19 require power from the grid, but at other times it's
20 exporting a surplus of power. In Manitoba, we may find
21 that net zero isn't practical because of our extreme
22 climate, so maybe near net zero or net zero ready.

23 And, for example, I'm involved with the
24 discussions about the design for the new skill trades
25 and technology centre at Red River College. And, you

1 know, hopefully that's what we're going to strive for,
2 is that we're not going to make the building net zero,
3 but we'll make it net zero ready.

4 MS. MARILYN KAPITANY: Okay, thank you.
5 And my other question, you had talked about DSM
6 potential employment and you mentioned jobs created for
7 retrofitting houses.

8 MR. KEN KLASSEN: Yes.

9 MS. MARILYN KAPITANY: What other kind
10 of jobs could be created by DSM?

11 MR. KEN KLASSEN: Well, I mean, it
12 creates jobs through -- through the whole chain. I
13 mean, there's -- there's engineering jobs. When you
14 retrofit more complicated buildings, like if did a
15 retrofit of a building like this, there's a lot of
16 engineering services. There's people who actually
17 install the equipment. There's a lot of -- you may
18 recommission this building to improve its performance.
19 It's one of the most cost-effective ways to improve the
20 performance of a commercial building.

21 So it's not just people putting in
22 insulation. There's -- there's also sort of technical
23 jobs, service jobs, and supporting those service
24 industries; doing energy audits, things like that.

25 MS. MARILYN KAPITANY: Thank you.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Could you comment on
2 the difficulties associated with trying to introduce
3 energy savings in a cold environment? I'm thinking
4 particularly with Northern Manitoba.

5 MR. KEN KLASSEN: Right.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Could you -- could
7 you speak about that and the stickiness associated with
8 implementing new measures in -- in that environment?

9 MR. KEN KLASSEN: Well, you know, again
10 this goes to -- to my concern that there used to be in
11 Manitoba Hydro's plans more support for innovation. I
12 was asked to go to Alaska. The homebuilders in Alaska
13 found that a lot of the techniques that we use, you
14 know, in -- in the Southern United States were not
15 relevant to the -- to the Northern climate of Alaska.

16 They got together. They pitched in
17 money. They got support from the State of Alaska. And
18 they created a cold climate housing research centre.
19 We need something like that here in Manitoba too,
20 because what works in Winnipeg may not work in
21 Thompson, or The Pas, or -- or Churchill.

22 So, you know, we need more -- not just
23 more energy efficient houses; we need more durable
24 houses, you know. And that's a big problem that we
25 have up North. It's not just energy efficiency.

1 Energy cannot be seen in isolation. And, you know, if
2 we're building houses in Northern communities and the
3 houses are only lasting ten (10) or fifteen (15) years
4 and they fall apart and they're mouldy, who cares if
5 they're energy efficient?

6 We -- we have to have a broader housing
7 strategy, and that begins with innovation and support
8 for research.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I think
10 that's all the questions the panel has for today.
11 Unfortunately, we're time restricted, so we are a
12 little bit behind schedule already. I know we started
13 late, but I thank you for coming in and putting some
14 time and effort into the excellent presentation you
15 gave us.

16 And, you know, I invite you to stay for
17 today, because Mr. Dunskey, who is going to be a witness
18 today, his presentation and -- and evidence aligns
19 closely to some of the things that you described today.
20 So you're quite welcome to attend today. Thank you.

21 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Mr. Chairman, should
22 we stand down for a minute to allow the parties to get
23 into position?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Agreed.

25

1 --- Upon recessing at 9:14 a.m.

2 --- Upon resuming at 9:21 a.m.

3

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning. I
5 believe that everybody's in position, so we will start
6 this morning's proceedings. I'll turn the microphone
7 over to Mr. Hombach, please.

8 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Thank you, Mr.
9 Chairman. As I advised earlier, today is reserved for
10 the testimony of Mr. Philippe Dunsky. And I misspoke
11 at 8:45 when I indicated that he speaks on behalf of
12 the Consumers' Association. He's actually a joint
13 witness for the Consumers' Association and the Green
14 Action Centre.

15 Before we get started, I do have an
16 administrative matter to speak to. Today there are two
17 (2) presentations scheduled during the lunch break.
18 Those are presentations by Janie Duncan and Solange
19 Garson. Due to panel member availability, we'll have
20 to slightly modify the schedule today. We'll break at
21 11:45. We'll regroup at one o'clock for the
22 presentations. We'll continue the cross-examination of
23 Mr. Dunsky at 1:30. And the panel has prepared to see
24 -- to sit beyond on 4:30 if necessary.

25 I'm also advised by My Friend opposite,

1 Ms. Boyd, that Manitoba Hydro has an administrative
2 matter to address before we get started.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning, Ms.
4 Boyd.

5 MS. MARLA BOYD: Thank you. Good
6 morning. I wanted to respond to the list of priorities
7 that you indicated yesterday. You asked us to come
8 back with an indication of our timing, so I'm in a
9 position to speak to that this morning.

10 With respect to the -- the item that you
11 designated as the highest priority, Plan 2 with DSM 2,
12 we will make that our priority. Assuming that
13 everything goes well, we expect we'll be able to file
14 it next week. So we're targeting May 2nd for that
15 filing.

16 The pipeline analysis that had been
17 commenced is partly done and it will be filed as a
18 package. So that would include Plan 5 with Level 2 DSM
19 and the pipeline load, Plan 1 with Level 2 DSM and the
20 pipeline load, and Plan 14 with DSM 2 and the pipeline
21 load. That will be filed along with the financial
22 analysis of Plan 6 DSM 2, which we expect will be filed
23 the following week, the week of May the 5th to the 9th.

24 With respect to Plan 12, we do not have
25 the SPLASH data necessary for that plan. I'm not in a

1 position to tell you today when that could be done. It
2 will be updated later, but we expect it will be
3 significantly later than the -- the other materials.

4 With respect to Plan 4, the economic
5 analysis will be filed this week, so by April 28th.
6 The supporting materials, the economic summary tables
7 and the supply and demand tables and the like, will be
8 filed thereafter. So that will follow in the following
9 week.

10 There's a bit of confusion in the
11 transcript, and I don't know if you happened to note
12 it, but on page 3 of the transcript both item numbers 5
13 and 6 are indicated to be:

14 "Perform the financial analysis of
15 fully updated Plan 6 DSM 2."

16 And I expect you didn't mean the same
17 thing twice, but we do require some direction from you
18 in terms of what one or the other was to be.

19 So between lines 5 and lines 8 we've
20 been asked to do the same thing twice. And I expect
21 one (1) of them needs a correction that we'll -- we'll
22 wait for your direction on.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Could we agree that
24 we'll clarify that after break --

25 MS. MARLA BOYD: Certainly.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- this morning?

2 MS. MARLA BOYD: There was a bit of
3 confusion upstairs as well as with respect to whether
4 the Board was looking for or was aware that they had
5 received the Plan 6 economics. They were filed with
6 Manitoba Hydro evidence as Exhibit 104-6. Manitoba
7 Hydro spoke to that on March 25th. So if that's
8 something that you're looking for, it is in the record.
9 Thank you.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, let us attempt
11 to clarify that piece, as well.

12 MS. MARLA BOYD: Thanks.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: So with that, I don't
14 believe there's any other business matters to attend
15 to, so I will turn the microphone over to you, Mr.
16 Gange. Good morning, Mr. Gange.

17 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Thank you, Mr.
18 Chair and members of the Board. Today, on behalf of
19 Consumers' Association of Canada and Green Action
20 Centre, we're presenting the evidence of Philippe
21 Dunsky. I will be doing the voir dire with respect to
22 Mr. Dunsky's qualifications. And Mr. Williams will be
23 taking Mr. Dunsky through his report and -- and the
24 presentation.

25 There has been provided to the Board Mr.

1 Dunsky's presentation for today, which I believe has
2 been marked as CAC-62.

3 MR. KURT SIMONSEN: Correct, CAC-62.

4

5 --- EXHIBIT NO. CAC-62: Presentation by Philippe
6 Dunsky

7

8 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Thank you, Mr.
9 Simonsen. So with that, if I can go through the
10 qualifications of Mr. Dunsky after Mr. Simonsen swears
11 Mr. Dunsky, or affirms, your choice.

12

13 CAC/GAC DSM PANEL:

14 PHILIPPE DUNSKY, Affirmed (Qual.)

15

16 QUALIFICATION OF WITNESS:

17 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Mr. Dunsky, good
18 morning. You are responsible for the report, 'The Role
19 and Value of DSM in Manitoba Hydro's Resource Planning
20 Process,' which has been marked as Exhibit CAC-19.

21 Is that correct, sir?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, it is.

23 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And as well, sir,
24 you have been responsible for the responses to
25 Information Requests that have been filed as Manitoba

1 Hydro/CAC/GAC-1 to 7, MIPUG/CAC/GAC-1 to 9,
2 MMF/CAC/GAC-1 to 6, and PUB/CAC/GAC-1 to 16.

3 Is that correct, sir?

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

5 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And assisting you
6 with the -- the preparation of your report and with
7 respect to working on the responses to the Information
8 Requests was a number of people from your firm.

9 Is that correct?

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

11 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: To the best of your
12 knowledge, the material that has been filed is
13 accurate, sir?

14 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I certainly hope
15 so.

16 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: In dealing with the
17 qualifications, you have appeared before the Public
18 Utilities Board of Manitoba twice before and you've
19 been qualified as an expert with respect to demand-side
20 management issues.

21 Is that correct?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

23 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: We have filed your
24 curriculum vitae as CAC Exhibit 33, and your bio
25 appears as part of CAC Exhibit 35. You may not know

1 those numbers, sir, but those -- that material has been
2 provided to us and then on to the Board.

3 Is that correct?

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I'm assuming that
5 it is.

6 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: The term that is
7 referred to, 'DSM', refers to what, sir?

8 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Demand-side
9 management.

10 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And generally
11 speaking, can you just define 'demand-side management'
12 for us?

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Demand-side
14 management is -- is essentially a broad array of -- of
15 strategies that can be used to reduce the -- to reduce
16 the need for grid-supplied power on the demand side.
17 So that would include energy efficiency. It would
18 include customer-sided renewables. It would include
19 demand response and array of others; everything,
20 essentially, that happens on the demand side of the
21 equation, on the customer side of the equation.

22 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Thank you. And you
23 are, sir, the President of Dunskey Energy Consulting and
24 have been for -- since the foundation of that company.

25 Is that correct?

1 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: That is.

2 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And -- and how long
3 have you been in the field, providing advice with -- to
4 clients regarding energy efficiency and renewable
5 energy?

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Twenty-three (23)
7 years.

8 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Thank you. During
9 that time, sir, your work has focussed on -- and if you
10 can just give the Board a brief summary, because I -- I
11 don't want to be here until noon hour going through
12 your expertise, but comprehensive plans?

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

14 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Comment on -- could
15 -- if you could -- if you could comment on what you've
16 done in that field, sir.

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure, so we've --
18 we -- we have assisted a number of -- a number of
19 clients in developing comprehensive demand-side
20 management plans. We're doing, still right now, in New
21 Brunswick with -- for NB Power. We've done so
22 previously for Efficiency Maine Trust. We've done it
23 for the State of New Jersey. I'm doing that right now
24 in a -- in a related way for the State of Vermont, as
25 well.

1 So we've certainly worked with a number
2 of clients, developing comprehensive demand -- demand-
3 side management plans.

4 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And -- and as well,
5 you've done comprehensive plans with respect to
6 Manitoba Hydro?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well, I've had
8 the opportunity to -- to review and assess Manitoba
9 Hydro's Power Smart Plan, yes.

10 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Thank you. You've
11 also had experience in program design.

12 Is that correct?

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. I've mys --
14 myself and -- and my firm have designed, I'd say,
15 dozens of energy efficiency programs, covering pretty
16 much every -- every sector and every end use, be -- be
17 they residential retrofit programs, new homes,
18 products, appliances, commercial buildings, including
19 new construction retrofit, industrial programs, et
20 cetera.

21 So we've -- we've, you know, covered the
22 gamut. We've done that primarily for utilities and
23 government agencies across Canada. I think we've done
24 that in nearly every province in Canada, and probably
25 about a half of dozen states, as well.

1 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: You've also done
2 best-practice reviews.

3 Is that correct, sir?

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes; yes, many.

5 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And -- and what
6 would that entail?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: That would entail
8 looking at -- looking at practices, depending on the
9 specific area that we're -- that we're interested in,
10 that are taking place across either Canada, the United
11 States, Europe, and elsewhere.

12 And so we -- we look very closely at --
13 at the practices that are -- that are being undertaken.
14 We look very closely at what constitute best practices.
15 We, you know, have lengthy interviews with the program
16 managers throughout North America and -- and Europe,
17 and try to hone down on what works and what doesn't
18 work. Notwithstanding local context which, of course,
19 always -- always matter. So we've done that in dozens
20 of times, always on demand-side management.

21 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And I understand,
22 sir, that you've also conducted numerous analyses of
23 cost effectiveness and market potential?

24 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. So a couple
25 of different things there. So we -- we've certainly

1 done -- conducted a number of potential studies. We're
2 -- we recently completed one. We're just in the
3 process of -- of completing another. I shouldn't say,
4 "completing"; we've just -- just begun another in
5 Massachusetts.

6 And in terms of cost-effectiveness
7 analysis, we've -- I mean, we've run cost-effectiveness
8 analysis hundreds of times on -- on thousands of, you
9 know, measures and programs throughout the continent.
10 We've also done a lot of work advising clients on
11 appropriate cost-effectiveness screening, cost-
12 effectiveness frameworks. I'm -- I'm an advisor to the
13 -- the National Energy Efficiency Screening Project,
14 which is a US-based project aimed at reviewing --
15 reviewing cost-effectiveness frameworks across the US
16 and improving them.

17 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: I understand, sir,
18 that you're also the evaluator for -- my recollection
19 is twenty-three (23) California pro -- utilities with
20 respect to DSM programs.

21 Is that correct?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. We do a
23 fair bit of work in -- in program evaluation as third-
24 party program evaluators. One of those projects now is
25 -- is -- I -- I am the lead evaluator for those

1 programs in California. That's impact evaluation.

2 So we distinguish between different
3 types of evaluations. So I'm leading the -- the impact
4 evaluation, which is for the California Public
5 Utilities Commission, and I was just recently retained
6 by the -- by the utilities in California to work on
7 their process evaluations, as well.

8 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: I understand that
9 you've also had significant involvement with, I -- I
10 think the company is called Energy Vermont?

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Efficient --
12 Efficiency Vermont.

13 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Efficiency Vermont,
14 thank you.

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. Yeah.
16 We've -- I've worked with Efficiency Vermont over many
17 years, dating back, oh, at least -- at least a dozen or
18 so years.

19

20 (BRIEF PAUSE)

21

22 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And -- and
23 currently, sir, you're involved with a number of
24 projects, including integrated DSM planning for a
25 number of different utilities and regulators.

1 Is that correct?

2 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. We're --
3 when you talk about integrated DSM planning, probably
4 what you're referring to is the integration of kind of
5 the traditional energy efficiency side of DSM, with new
6 demand response, and so capacity-focussed efforts, as
7 well. And, yes, we're -- we're in the process of
8 developing what I think will be Canada's first
9 integrated demand-side management plan.

10 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And is it fair to
11 say, sir, that you've been qualified as an expert on
12 energy efficiency and demand-side management on -- on
13 numerous occasions before regulatory boards?

14 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. Yes, well
15 over a dozen.

16 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Well over a dozen.
17 Thank you. As well, sir, you -- your academic
18 background, I understand that you have -- is a master's
19 degree from -- from London?

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It's a
21 postgraduate degree from, yes, the University of
22 London.

23 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Thank you. You've
24 published articles as well, sir?

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

1 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: And including the
2 2012 report with the very catchy title, 'Establishing
3 Savings Algorithms and Evaluation Procedures for
4 Emerging Technologies and Integrated Program
5 Approaches'.

6 Is that correct, sir?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I'm not sure why
8 you had to hone in on that one in particular, but, yes,
9 that's one of my many catchy titles, yes.

10 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Mr. Chair, I'm
11 going to ask that Mr. Dunsky be qualified as an expert
12 in -- in a number of areas related to demand-side
13 management, including the development of demand-side
14 management plans, DSM program design, DSM best practice
15 review, DSM cost effectiveness and market potential
16 assessment, and next-generation strategies and
17 opportunities analysis, and program evaluations.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: I noticed you haven't
19 mentioned energy efficiency. Do you...

20 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: We -- we could. I
21 thought that that had been brought out, but -- but if I
22 haven't, in dealing study -- with studies for energies
23 -- energy efficiencies, sir, you've carried out those
24 studies for various clients, a well?

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. The vast

1 majority of the work that we have done has been on --
2 on the energy efficiency component of the broader
3 demand-side management category.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you for
5 that clarification. So we'll canvass the Intervenors
6 to determine their views regarding this witness.

7 Ms. -- Me. Hacault, s'il vous plait?

8 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Bonjour, M.
9 President. On behalf of MIPUG, we have no objections to
10 the qualifications as set out in the presentation to
11 qualify this witness.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Merci, Me. Hacault.

13 Mr. Orle, please, on behalf of MKO...?

14 MR. GEORGE ORLE: No objection to the
15 qualification of the witness as an expert, Mr. Chair.
16 Thank you.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Orle.

18 And on behalf of the Manitoba Metis
19 Federation...?

20 MR. COREY SHEFMAN: The MMF has no
21 objection to the qualification of the witness.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that.

23 Mr. Weinstein, please...?

24 MR. MICHAEL WEINSTEIN: Mr. Chair, the
25 EICs have no objection to the qualifications of this

1 witness. Thank you.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
3 Weinstein. On behalf of Manitoba Hydro, Ms. Boyd,
4 please...?

5 MS. MARLA BOYD: We have no objection.
6 Thank you.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that.
8 Me. Hombach, any comments?

9 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: No concerns.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

12

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: The panel agrees to
14 accept Mr. Dunsky as an expert witnesses -- expert
15 witness on behalf of DSM, and I won't list all the
16 subqualifications that have been mentioned by you, Mr.
17 Gange.

18 So on behalf of the panel, I'd like to
19 welcome you, Me. -- Mr. Dunsky. Welcome to Winnipeg.
20 I have to confess, a little bit of jealousy, because
21 your Canadiens are still in the playoffs and the Jets
22 are playing golf. So -- but if it's any consolation, I
23 am following the series. So over to you, Mr. Williams.

24

25 EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MR. BYRON WILLIAMS:

1 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Now that Mr.
2 Dunsky and Mr. Gange have done all the hard work, Mr.
3 Dunsky, I'm going to ask you to take us through your
4 PowerPoint presentation. I'm warning you I might
5 interrupt from time to time and we'll certainly invite
6 the panel, if they have questions as you go along, to
7 ask them as well.

8 Is -- is that satisfactory?

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, absolutely.
10 Thank you. And -- and thank you very much for having
11 me here again. Merci beaucoup (FRENCH SPOKEN). And I
12 pro -- I promise that will be the last of the French.
13 As I recall last year, I got a little bit of a hard
14 time over at stenography.

15 So just -- just before I start, just a
16 couple of really quick words. First of all, I want to
17 thank you very much for having me here. You'll --
18 you'll notice -- I just noticed a very poor start to my
19 presentation. On the very first slide I got about four
20 (4) days ahead of myself on the date there, so I
21 apologize that -- for that. We are, I believe, April
22 24th still. Clearly I'm looking forward to spring, and
23 I want to thank you for having me at the very end of
24 April.

25 I recall last year I was here and I

1 think we were in the midst of -- of animated
2 discussions between myself and Manitoba Hydro about the
3 -- the impact of the cold weather in Manitoba on DSM
4 potential. And I -- I somehow suspect that Manitoba
5 Hydro had arranged last year for me to come and testify
6 in February to really drive home that point. And it
7 was driv -- driven home very well. So I'm very glad
8 that this year is -- is late April rather than
9 February.

10 And if you'll -- if you'll allow me one
11 (1) -- one (1) minor -- one (1) minor thing here, that
12 at the risk of -- of shooting my credibility before I
13 even begin, but it's part of a bet back home if I can
14 just put on the record coming to Mr. Gosselin's point:
15 Go, Habs, go. Thank you.

16 Now onto the less important things. I
17 will very quickly just talk about who we are and -- and
18 I -- I won't -- I'll try not to duplicate what was just
19 done in terms of qualifications, but just -- I'm a
20 consultant, so I can't help myself to talk about my
21 firm very quickly.

22 So as I just mentioned, I mean, we do --
23 pretty much everything that we do is -- is related to
24 energy -- energy efficiency and demand-side management,
25 as well as renewable energy and emerging technologies,

1 primarily on the demand-side of the equation.

2 I won't repeat everything that was just
3 said, but we're -- we're very proud to have a number of
4 clients throughout North America. And one (1) of the -
5 - one (1) of the important reasons why we're so
6 focussed on having a broad array of clients throughout
7 North America is -- and I say this as someone who spent
8 a lot of time, many years working solely within one (1)
9 region in the Province of Quebec. You -- you get out
10 and you learn a lot, both -- both the -- the -- you
11 know, both from the successes and the mistakes that
12 others make.

13 So I -- I always hope that the work that
14 we do throughout the continent -- or through that work,
15 we can pick up appropriate pieces, appropriate lessons,
16 if you will, from different regions and bring them --
17 bring them back to our clients. And hopefully that's
18 in part what I'll do today.

19 We -- the work that we do, I think I
20 mentioned, essentially covers all sectors of -- of
21 consumption and all end uses as well, be it in
22 residential or business and government sectors. And we
23 also do a lot of what I'll call cross-sectoral work,
24 meaning work on demand-side related issues that are not
25 specific to a program or specific to -- to an end use,

1 but that -- that cut across the whole portfolio.

2 And again, I won't -- I won't read
3 through the -- the slide, but just to say that's pretty
4 much what we cover. And I've got a slide here about
5 our service areas. I have a hand waving there.

6 MR. KURT SIMONSEN: Sorry, Mr. Dunsky,
7 but you have a large slide deck and I'm wondering if
8 you could reference page numbers as you -- as you walk
9 through it for the purpose of the transcript?

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I'll try to do
11 that. Thank you. And I'm on slide 4 right now. And
12 again, I won't -- I won't read through the whole slide,
13 but just to give you a sense of -- of what my firm
14 does. And my firm is based in -- in Montreal, which
15 you may have guessed by the 'Go, Habs, go'.

16 And I will skip over my qualifications
17 because I just answered those -- those questions. So,
18 let me just get straight to the -- to the heart of the
19 matter then.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Dunsky, before
21 you -- before you start with this, I just noticed there
22 was a very brief mention of implementation, some of the
23 work you do in implementation. I mean, I'm
24 particularly interested in knowing what your experience
25 has been in actually implementing measures in the -- in

1 -- in a work environment.

2 Could you - could you address that,
3 please?

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure, so, we --
5 we actually -- we -- we do everything short of
6 implementing. We make a point of not doing on-the-
7 ground implementation. We do provide what we call
8 implementation support. And so I -- and I think you're
9 referring to -- to slide 4 there, and you'll see the
10 little asterisk that says, "Support role."

11 So the implementation support services
12 that we provide, we will support clients who are
13 responsible for implementing DSM programs, clients like
14 Manitoba Hydro, elsewhere. And so that may involve
15 sometimes being the arbiter of issues where that
16 client, for example, has to deal with both auditors and
17 contractors. And there may be technical issues that
18 need to be addressed. We will intervene there.

19 We will develop implementation tools;
20 for example tracking tools that -- that in-field -- in-
21 field staff can use to track both audit results and
22 implementation of measures. We will -- goodness --
23 pretty much, you know, provide support across the board
24 for whatever needs to be done, in terms of implementing
25 those programs. The only thing that we don't do is put

1 boots on the ground and actually go and implement.

2 So, I'm on slide 6. The -- the
3 presentation that I have -- and I have to say I was
4 listening to Mr. -- Mr. Klassen's presentation before,
5 and I was a little bit in awe. He said much of what I
6 was hoping to say, possibly more forcefully and
7 definitely with much nicer slides than -- than mine.
8 So -- so I apologize if I'm -- if I'm going to be
9 repeating myself -- or repeating what Mr. Klassen said.

10 I want to break this presentation into -
11 - into four (4) sections: Begin with an introduction
12 to DSM and quickly into Manitoba Hydro's DSM scenarios.
13 And this is, for me, extremely important as the file
14 has evolved so quickly. So one thing I don't want to
15 do -- and I'm making a point of not doing -- is simply
16 repeating to you the written testimony that I filed in,
17 I believe it was, January or -- or February. I don't
18 want to do that.

19 So much has changed since then. I think
20 there are things that are probably no longer extremely
21 relevant or at least no longer critical to the
22 discussion. I'm not going to address those. I want to
23 take it from where we are and go forward. But to do
24 that, I want to very quickly -- maybe quickly is -- is
25 an overstatement. I want to go through where we are,

1 in terms of what those plans look like, how they
2 compare with what we spoke about previously, and -- and
3 kind of nail down the picture of what exactly it is
4 that we're talking about today. Because I have to
5 admit, for myself, perhaps just being far away from it,
6 there have been so many changes that it -- it was
7 important for me to nail down exactly where we are
8 today.

9 So I'll go through Hydro's DSM
10 scenarios, compare them with the scenarios that -- that
11 I'd previously put forward in -- in my written
12 evidence. And if -- if you will, the presentation will
13 be bookended by that initial presentation of what those
14 scenarios look like today. And then at the back end,
15 the fourth section of the presentation, I'll come back
16 to -- to those and talk about the implications of what
17 I've just said for where -- how we should view this
18 going forward.

19 The -- the second section I will take to
20 address what I think is the remaining -- the remaining
21 problem in Hydro's DSM planning here. And that is an
22 assumption that DSM is more or less static. In other
23 words, we have the opportunities that we have in front
24 of us. We address them now. And after we address
25 them, there's really not much left to do after. And

1 I'll get into that. That's -- so I'll try to address
2 that in the second section; again, possibly less --
3 less forcefully, less -- less clearly than Mr. Klassen
4 just has, and probably with more time too. But -- but
5 I'll do my best -- best to give some examples of why
6 that's not the case.

7 In the third section, I'll address what
8 I'll call the planner's dilemma, which is the dilemma
9 of not knowing exactly what is going to come down the
10 pike in ten (10) years from now and, at the same time,
11 knowing that something is going to come down the pike.
12 And so how do you deal with that in a planning
13 environment? How do you account for the likelihood of
14 continued energy efficiency opportunities and continued
15 energy efficiency improvements over time when you don't
16 know exactly what they will be?

17 And then, as I said, I will then come
18 back to the implications of all of that for -- for
19 proper assumptions for the NFAT review.

20 So I'll begin here with Hydro's DSM
21 scenarios. And, actually, just -- just before I get to
22 them, a couple of very quick slides just introducing
23 DSM. I have to admit, I've got sixty-two (62) slides
24 here today. I -- I stole three (3) from my
25 presentation last year, starting with the first two (2)

1 here. So I apologize if you've seen them and remember
2 them.

3 So just very quickly, demand-side
4 management, there are essentially two (2) options to --
5 to ensure that -- that we keep the lights on. And
6 that's either increase supply or -- or decrease demand
7 through improved efficiency as our economies grow.

8 'Our economies grow' means there's, you
9 know, more -- more people buying more things, building
10 more houses, needing more lighting, more businesses,
11 you know, in the territory growing. And so all of that
12 creates need for energy services. And we can meet that
13 growth by some combination of getting better or get --
14 getting more efficient at how we consume energy or
15 supplying more energy.

16 Efficiency or demand-side management is
17 the second piece of that puzzle.

18

19 CONTINUED BY MR. BYRON WILLIAMS:

20 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Mr. Dunsky, I'm
21 just going to interrupt you to make Mr. Simonsen happy
22 and say we're on slide 8.

23 Is that right?

24 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. Thank you.
25 So in the United States -- and I only use that because

1 we don't have this -- we don't have the -- the thirty-
2 seven (37) year view for Canada. When we go back to
3 1970 to today, energy efficiency has supplied about 75
4 percent of all of the growth in need for energy
5 services. So about 25 percent of that growth has been
6 supplied by new -- new power generation projects and
7 about three-quarters (3/4s) by improved efficiency.

8 Obviously, that's very significant. It
9 means that were -- were it not for that improved
10 efficiency, the US would have had to have built four
11 (4) times more power plants than it did over the same
12 period. We have some numbers for Canada going back to
13 1990. If we look at the -- at the residential sector
14 from 1990 through to 1996, energy efficiency's applied
15 the vast majority of that growth, as well. About 85
16 percent of the growth in -- in demand for energy
17 services was met through improved energy efficiency,
18 and the remaining 15 percent was met through new power
19 supplies.

20 So that's my -- my little way of saying
21 that -- and again reinforcing a point that was made
22 earlier this morning, one of the problems with energy
23 efficiency is it's -- it's not very visible, right. I
24 mean, we see it all around us but we don't really focus
25 on it, and certainly not in one (1) big lump, like a

1 large hydro project or gas project or nuclear plant.

2 But it actually is by far the biggest
3 energy resource that we have and supplies the vast
4 majority of the growth in services that we -- that we
5 need energy for. So I just wanted to make that point,
6 that oftentimes it's a bit overlooked and -- and, much
7 to our detriment, is -- is the single energy resource
8 that we have.

9 It's also typically by a longshot the
10 cheapest energy source that we have. I'm on slide 9
11 now. Efficiency tends to cost in the range of two (2)
12 to four (4) cents a kilowatt hour. Compare that with -
13 - and the graph that I have up here on slide 9, by the
14 way, is real data from several thousand projects over
15 the past several years in North America. Compare that
16 with the cost of hydro power, which can, of course,
17 range a fair bit depending on the site, something in
18 the range of, you know, seven-and-a-half (7 1/2) to --
19 to fourteen (14) cents a kilowatt hour, wind, natural
20 gas, and coal -- and by the way, the coal plant version
21 that you have up there is coal with carbon capture
22 storage, which is the only type of coal plant that
23 could be built in Canada today.

24 So it is systematically the cheapest
25 resource. It also tends to be a much lower risk

1 resource. And I'll come back to that later in the
2 presentation. Energy efficiency also creates a fair
3 bit more employment than do traditional generation
4 plants. The range that you have here is very large,
5 two (2) to ten (10) times more. That's because --
6 because the comparator here, the generation plant,
7 varies so significantly. Obviously, if we're talking
8 about a natural gas plant in a -- in a province that
9 doesn't produce natural gas, you're talking about --
10 you know, again, you're talking about very low numbers
11 for a gas plant as compared to efficiency. So that
12 might look more like the ten (10) times version.

13 If you're talking about hydro power in
14 the Province of Quebec, for example, you're looking at
15 more like two (2) to three (3) times more jobs created
16 by energy efficiency than by -- than by hydro power in
17 Quebec. Again, with the industrial structure that we
18 have -- that we have in Quebec.

19 And, by the way, just as an aside, we
20 recently completed work on an employment impact study
21 of energy efficiency for ten (10) Canadian provinces,
22 obviously including Manitoba. And so that work has
23 been done. Unfortunately, it's not yet been released
24 publicly. It's -- it's in the hands of the -- the
25 Canadian -- Natural Resources Canada. My hope is it

1 will be released shortly. And -- and certainly it will
2 -- it will show findings that -- that very much support
3 the assertion here.

4 MR. WILLIAM GANGE: Mr. Dunskey, I'm
5 going to interrupt you. Mr. Chair, one (1) -- one (1)
6 of the things that we have considered that if that
7 report becomes public prior to the -- the end of this
8 hearing, we would undertake to provide to the Board
9 that report so that that information can be available
10 to the Board.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much
12 for that.

13

14 --- UNDERTAKING NO. 120: Mr. Dunskey to provide
15 employment impact study of
16 energy efficiency, if it
17 becomes public prior to end
18 of the hearing

19

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: So --

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: I just -- I just want
22 to go back to the jobs issue. I'm seeing a little bit
23 of a difference between some of the -- some of the
24 information we got from Mr. Klassen and this one.
25 What's the distinction here? I mean, I got the sense

1 from Mr. Klassen that there would be a lot more jobs
2 flowing from DSM than there would be from, say, a hydro
3 project.

4 And -- and this seems to suggest -- you
5 said two (2) or three (3) times. It -- am I -- am I
6 wrong on my interpretation of Mr. Klassen and your --

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: So I -- I should
8 -- I should clarify. So when I say two (2) to three
9 (3) times, that is very specific to a hydro power
10 project in the Province of Quebec. In the Province of
11 Quebec, as you know has since the mid-'60s, focussed
12 enormous attention at building up an industrial
13 structure around the construction of hydro power
14 projects. We have, you know, large manufacturers in
15 the province that supply the services directly to those
16 projects. So we tend to get pretty much the -- the
17 highest in -- you know, province -- provincial content,
18 if you will, of -- of jobs from hydro projects that you
19 can get anywhere.

20 I can't say what that would be in
21 Manitoba specific to -- to Keeyask. I certainly
22 haven't looked at the numbers. And -- and the numbers
23 that Mr. Klassen talked about this morning I hadn't
24 seen until this morning. So I'm not sure what they
25 would look like. My guess would be that, you know, it

1 certainly wouldn't be the Quebec numbers; so, you know,
2 maybe it's in the range of five (5) times. It's hard
3 to say exactly.

4 So typically energy efficiency or
5 demand-side management is the first priority in
6 reducing CO2 emissions, primarily because it's the
7 cheapest way to do it. And then it brings a number of
8 other economic benefits. It increases household
9 disposable income, of course, by reducing energy bills.
10 And it frees up business capital for more productive
11 use, helping our businesses be -- be more competitive
12 in the marketplace.

13 So those tend to be the -- the primary
14 reasons why we do it. There's a fifth reason as well
15 why utilities tend to -- tend to do it, and that is it
16 also increases customer satisfaction as utilities help
17 their customers actually reduce their bills.

18 So that -- that concludes the two (2)
19 slides that I've stolen for now from last year. And
20 I'll get into more original content. No, that doesn't.
21 This is the third slide. I'm sorry.

22 So just one (1) quick mention. Manitoba
23 Hydro -- Manitoba Hydro has a very strong history with
24 -- with energy efficiency, dating back a fairly long
25 time. It had, in previous years, received very strong

1 ratings, several awards. And I've done work for -- for
2 Hydro several years ago. And -- and we've just been
3 actually retained to do work for them shortly.

4 And certainly what I saw from that is
5 they bring a number of strengths to delivering DSM in
6 the province and a number of strengths that, frankly,
7 many of my clients do not have and -- and would die to
8 -- to have. And that includes full territorial
9 coverage. So they -- they cover the entire province.

10 You know, I work with a lot of clients.
11 I'll give you an example. In Massachusetts, we work
12 with Northeast Utilities. Northeast -- Northeast
13 Utilities is one of the largest utilities there. They
14 have extremely aggressive energy savings goals; and
15 'goals' is a bit of an understatement. They actually -
16 - they really have to hit those -- have to hit those
17 numbers. But their service territory is a little bit
18 of a Swiss cheese.

19 They serve some electricity over here,
20 some gas over here, some electricity over here, some
21 gas over here. There are territories where they serve
22 both. There are territories where they serve none, all
23 within the -- the State of Massachusetts. That
24 certainly adds a challenge to delivering DSM programs
25 to your customers. That's a challenge that Hydro does

1 not have to face, thankfully.

2 The integration -- full integration of
3 electricity and natural gas in the province is another
4 great -- great strength that Hydro has. And, of
5 course, its -- its history of DSM and -- and the
6 relationships that it has with its market channels
7 really should not be -- should not be understated.

8 The fact that it can integrate its
9 services with its billing, I -- I feel like I'm going
10 into the weeds, but -- but believe me, that is hugely
11 important and hugely valuable. And again, many of my
12 clients don't -- don't have control of the utility
13 bills because they are third-party DSM administrators,
14 and they wish that they could. It's a fantastic tool
15 to have, the ability to integrate the data that they
16 get from -- from their meters and from their billing
17 into their programming and a host of others. So
18 they're starting from a place of strength.

19

20 CONTINUED BY MR. BYRON WILLIAMS:

21 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And we're moving
22 to slide 11, aren't we?

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: And we are moving
24 to slide 11. And I am continuously forgetting to
25 mention that. Thank you. So let me now get to the

1 case at hand, the NFAT.

2 Obviously, Hydro has -- has made great
3 strides in the past several months alone, in terms of
4 increasing its DSM effort. The -- the plan that we saw
5 at the beginning of this case and -- and the plan that
6 was released several weeks ago, obviously, there --
7 there are very significant differences and a rather
8 dramatic increase in savings goals in the short-run, at
9 least. And -- and that, frankly, is -- is a fantastic
10 evolution to this case.

11 There remain, I believe, some very
12 important steps. And the primary step that remains is
13 ensuring that that increase in focus and attention on
14 DSM in the short-run is also brought to play in the
15 long-run from a planning perspective. And that will be
16 the focus of my presentation here.

17 So my presentation will seek to answer
18 the question: What role should DSM be assumed to play
19 for proper long-run resource planning here? One (1)
20 thing just to -- I know that I'm going to go on
21 probably too long. It could have been worse. And so
22 to -- to keep it within the domain of reasonable, I'll
23 be focussing solely on the energy side of the equation
24 here, not addressing capacity.

25 I -- I would be glad to talk about

1 capacity if -- if you want to. My understanding is
2 that's not an immediate concern here in the province.
3 But certainly, as we get out toward the -- toward the
4 latter parts of the 2020s and into the early 2030s, the
5 ability to defer capacity needs and the ability to
6 address the growth and capacity needs does become a
7 real issue, so. Again, I won't be addressing that in
8 this presentation but please feel free to engage me on
9 that, if that's important.

10 So coming to where we are today. And so
11 let me just start with where we began. Manitoba
12 Hydro's 2013 Power Smart Plan, which you'll see on the
13 chart on slide 12, essentially showed an average annual
14 savings rate of 0.4 percent. I -- I should stop here
15 for a second and apologize and explain a little bit. I
16 apologize in advance, because my slide deck is not
17 nearly as clear and -- and clean and crisp as Mr.
18 Klassen's was. In fact, it's -- it's chock-full with a
19 lot of graphs.

20 And explain -- I should explain that
21 there are two (2) primary graphs that I'm going to be
22 bringing back here over and over again. And I have to
23 admit that, as I look at them, sometimes I get lost in
24 them, primarily because the curves go in opposite
25 directions.

1 So the first graph that you're going to
2 see very often throughout the presentation is this one
3 here. And that is a chart of incremental annual
4 savings expressed as a percent of total demand. That's
5 a -- it's a metric that we use very commonly in the DSM
6 world to get a sense of how aggressive a plan is.

7 And so just to clarify, for example, if
8 we're in a world where we're expecting demand to grow
9 at, let's say, 1 1/2 percent per year, if we talk about
10 a 1 1/2 percent incremental annual savings rate, what
11 that means is we flattened load growth, right? So --
12 so whatever -- however it was going to grow, we're
13 bringing it down by 1 1/2 percent. The next year it
14 was going to go up by 1 1/2. We're bringing it down
15 again. It's incremental annual savings as a percent of
16 load. So this is a chart that you'll see very often.

17 The other chart that will come up on
18 several occasions is the load forecast chart, which
19 you're much more accustomed to seeing. And, obviously,
20 that kind of goes in the other direction. So the
21 higher -- the higher the growth, or the higher the bars
22 here -- or the lines here, in terms of incremental
23 annual savings, the lower -- you know, the more it
24 pushes down the load growth bar. That's all.

25 So we started out with a 2013 plan that

1 had, on average, about 0.4 percent per year savings.
2 As I mentioned in my -- in my written testimony, that
3 was very low, certainly when we compare with other
4 regions. I mentioned Massachusetts earlier. They --
5 they are required to achieve 2.6 percent savings per
6 year. That's on the very high end of the spectrum.
7 Vermont has been doing roughly 2 percent per year for
8 quite some time now. They're in the process of
9 determining whether they increase that to 3 percent per
10 year, which would make it the highest in North America.

11 There are a large number of regions that
12 fall somewhere in the, I'll say, 1 1/4 to 2 percent
13 range. That tends to be a common range for those
14 regions that are -- that are taking energy efficiency
15 very seriously and that are -- that are being
16 reasonably aggressive at it. One example is just south
17 of here, Minnesota.

18 So Minnesota utilities have to achieve
19 1.5 percent per year. They just -- their 2013 numbers
20 just came in. They achieved over 1.7 percent. But --
21 so that's the kind of range that -- that we like to see
22 as a general rule. And obviously they are general
23 rules that, you know, that you then need to -- to
24 adjust for local circumstances. But those are the
25 kinds of rules of thumb that -- that we keep in mind.

1 So, obviously, the 0.4 percent was --
2 was surprising. Then we have the EnerNOC market
3 potential that pegged it at roughly 1 percent per year
4 on average. But there's a very important -- several
5 very important caveats to that. The EnerNOC market
6 potential, the scope of savings that it examined was
7 rather limited.

8 So, for example, it did not look at any
9 fuel switching opportunities. It did not look at any
10 customer-sided renewables. And there are a number of
11 aspects of the methodology that -- again, I won't spend
12 time getting into today, but I -- I did address them in
13 the -- in the written evidence -- that certainly caused
14 a lot of pause.

15 For example, it was -- their study was
16 based on -- on load growth projections that were far
17 lower than Hydro's own load -- load growth projections.
18 And those two (2) really need to be calibrated to -- to
19 get reasonable results. If they're not calibrated,
20 it's -- it's a big red flag. And we all know that, so.
21 That was a problem that -- that led to an underestimate
22 of -- of savings. There were a few others. They used
23 a partic -- a higher discount rate, for example, than
24 Hydro is using.

25 And by the way, I don't mean this in any

1 -- in any respect as -- as criticism of EnerNOC, per
2 se. I believe some of it had to do with them actually
3 doing the work, you know, previous to -- to some of the
4 newer numbers coming out of Manitoba Hydro. But
5 certainly there's a -- a lack of calibration there and
6 a limited scope that explains why those numbers still
7 look a lot lower than what so many others across North
8 America are -- are doing.

9 Hydro then came out with stress tests.
10 And those stress test -- stress tests essentially said,
11 Let's take our plan and increase it by either one point
12 five (1.5) or by four (4). And those were the two (2)
13 stress tests, and you'll see that with the dotted red
14 lines on this chart. And those were, I believe, built
15 into the -- the analysis.

16 And then we came out with, in our
17 written testimony, scenarios that we believe to be the
18 most reasonable scenarios for Manitoba. And so you see
19 them here with the blue lines. Essentially what you
20 see is a -- you know, a reasonably, I don't want to say
21 slow ramp-up, but you know, taking our time to -- to
22 ramp up to something in the range of 1 1/2 percent per
23 year by the fifth or sixth year, and then holding that
24 level steady.

25 And there's a second error; I apologize

1 for this. The -- what you should see here is not
2 Dunsky's scenarios 1.3 and 1.1, but rather 1.5 and 1.3
3 percent on average. And part of that is because all of
4 these numbers here -- in case anyone's looking very
5 carefully and finds any discrepancies -- is because all
6 of these numbers include both program savings and
7 additional savings that are currently expected to come
8 from provincial codes and standards that have already -
9 - that are already on the books. And so they -- those
10 just bumps things up a little bit by about -- I think
11 it's 0.02 percent.

12 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Just to stop you,
13 Mr. Dunsky, just for the record. We're looking at
14 slide 12 of your Power Point. And in the bottom left-
15 hand corner, where I see Dunsky's scenario 1.3 percent,
16 when we put in codes and standards, that should be 1.5
17 percent, sir?

18 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

19 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And when I see the
20 one point one (1.1), that should actually be one point
21 three (1.3)?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. So that's -
23 - that's essentially where we were. And this is prior
24 to Hydro's rebuttal evidence and their new plans. And
25 so I don't want to focus too much attention on -- on

1 this here.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Dunsky, just --
3 just to clarify -- this -- the figures -- the graph
4 we're seeing there is slightly higher than one point
5 five (1.5).

6 So the -- so the one point three (1.3),
7 one point one (1.1) would be averages, wouldn't it?

8 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, exactly,
9 exactly. So I'm going to leave that chart for a second
10 and I'm going to come right back to it afterwards,
11 because I want to look at the actual numbers behind
12 that.

13 So the scenario that we produced -- and
14 this -- this speaks to -- to your question, Mr.
15 Gosselin. We -- we put forth a -- a table with very
16 specific annual values going from 2014 to 2020. And
17 then you'll see in the -- in the 2020 column -- I'm on
18 slide 13 -- in the 2020 column, a plus-plus meaning
19 that we maintain that level of savings for all of the
20 years thereafter.

21 And so those are the scenarios that we
22 had. And there you'll see the ten (10) year average in
23 the dark blue for programs only that are at one point
24 three (1.3) or one point one (1.1). And the final
25 column is the ten (10) year average including those

1 codes and standards. And there you'll see one point
2 five (1.5) and one point three (1.3), and that's a ten
3 (10) year average.

4 And the really important thing to
5 mention here is I put in a ten (10) year average really
6 just for simplicity sake. And the critical thing is
7 it's not just for ten (10) years; it then continues
8 thereafter.

9 In Hydro's rebuttal evidence Hydro put
10 up a table that I was very glad to see partly, because
11 it was a very significant departure from their previous
12 -- from their previous plan. And what you see there
13 ultimately, in terms of the ten (10) year average with
14 codes and standards, are essentially the same values,
15 two (2) scenarios or two (2) -- I'm excluding Level 1
16 here because I think we're all, in this hearing,
17 talking primarily about Level 2 and Level -- Level 3,
18 from what I've seen from the transcripts.

19 So in terms of Levels 2 and 3, you're
20 looking at ten (10) year averages that look like 1.3
21 and 1.5 percent again. The actual gigawatt hour
22 numbers are ever so slightly different, but obviously
23 it's a fraction of a decimal different, and so we're
24 looking at very similar numbers.

25 So I was -- you can imagine I was very

1 heartened to -- to see those numbers and kind of
2 thought to myself, Well, great, we're done, and -- and
3 maybe I don't get to come to Winnipeg. And then I -- I
4 looked at something else, which is the impact that it
5 has on the load growth forecast.

6 And I put up here on the top a chart
7 that comes from my original evidence, where you see on
8 the top Hydro's load forecast, the -- the thick blue
9 line is the base forecast without any DSM. The dotted
10 blue line is the forecast with the original Power Smart
11 Plan. And then you'll see in -- with the orange dotted
12 lines the load forecast with our scenarios. And
13 obviously, that essentially looks like a flat -- flat
14 load forecast once you include our scenarios.

15 So I was very surprised when I saw in
16 that presentation the same chart coming from Hydro's
17 new DSM scenarios not looking at all like ours. And so
18 what you see there is actually more of a dip in the
19 initial years for Levels 2 and 3.

20 But then after the few -- the first few
21 years, the curve starts rising again and rising quite
22 substantially. So in the end, their -- their Level 2
23 cuts out roughly 50 percent of the anticipated load
24 growth, whereas my scenarios cut out roughly a hundred
25 percent, give or take, of the growth. All right. It's

1 a very substantial difference.

2 So I sought to understand why. And --
3 and that's when -- you'll have to excuse me. I'm a
4 very visual person. You'll -- you'll get that from all
5 the visuals that I'm throwing at you. I don't see
6 things in a table. I see things in a chart. My -- my
7 wife hates it. She says I always have to have
8 conversations through charts.

9 So I went to -- to plot out those new
10 levels, and -- and this is what they -- what they look
11 like. And what you see, obviously, is a very
12 substantial -- you know, I'll call it a very dramatic
13 increase in savings, you know, over those -- in the
14 initial plan in the early years, going from 2014 to
15 2016. And then it drops it off. And after 2018, the
16 DSM savings really drop off quite dramatically.

17

18 CONTINUED BY MR. BYRON WILLIAMS:

19 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Mr. Dunsky, if I
20 could interrupt you. This is important slide. And I
21 just -- you'll confirm that this is slide 14?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: This is slide 14,
23 yes. Thank you. So we're looking at an extremely
24 aggressive ramp up in the first three (3) years, and
25 then a very rapid decline post -- post-2018.

1 One (1) thing I realized as I was --
2 and, sorry, I'll -- I'll go back for a second. One (1)
3 thing I realized as I was looking at that slide is
4 that, again, I had inadvertently been looking at things
5 over a ten (10) year window just to kind of simplify my
6 view. And then when I actually take that and extend it
7 out over the full planning horizon, I've chose to look
8 to 2034, you see the enormous importance of that
9 dropping off of the new DSM scenarios for a planning
10 time frame, for a planning perspective.

11 So in this chart on slide 15 all I've
12 done here is extended the time covered by the graph out
13 to 2034. And again, you see, you know, extremely rapid
14 ramp-up to over 2 1/2 percent by 2016. That would be -
15 - that would put hydro right up at the very top of
16 North America along with Massachusetts and Vermont.
17 And then over the initial ten (10) year period we see
18 an average of 1.3 percent and that's consistent again
19 with that earlier table. But then over the -- over the
20 ten (10) or eleven (11) years following it drops down
21 to an average of, I believe, it's 0.28 percent.

22 And so this is -- again, not to repeat
23 myself, but I will, this is absolutely substantial and
24 critical from a long-term planning perspective to
25 understand, you know, the load fore -- the effect on

1 load forecast. And again -- so on slide 16, just to --
2 to clarify that, just again, using the arrows to -- to
3 point to how those different levels of DSM actually
4 impact the load forecast and -- and explain the
5 differences that I was finding in our load forecast
6 after DSM and -- and Hydro's.

7 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Mr. Dunskey, just
8 from this slide, just to make sure I have it right, in
9 -- in the top graph you -- you portray the -- the
10 collapse of the Hydro DSM scenario around 2018 and then
11 the arrow runs down to the left as an explanation for
12 the still significant growth in load under Hydro's DSM
13 Scenario 2.

14 Is that right, sir?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, it is.

16 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And on the -- on
17 the -- again, at the top in the blue you present your
18 two (2) scenarios and a -- a more moderate ramp-up and
19 then a -- a steady pace afterwards. And you suggest
20 how that would lead to, in your scenarios, a close to
21 zero growth on the right-hand side at the bottom.

22 Is that right, sir?

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, it is. So
24 one (1) thing to say is I -- you know, part of this is
25 time, right? And I think part of this, frankly, is --

1 is about how -- you know, turning -- turning ships
2 around. It doesn't happen overnight. This is -- the -
3 - the short-term change that I'm seeing in Hydro's plan
4 is absolutely dramatic and it cannot be -- it cannot be
5 overstated.

6 I strongly suspect that if we were
7 having this conversation in a year from now, the impact
8 of the short-term change to the long-term view will
9 have percolated as well, and we would probably be
10 seeing -- because, you know, the folks at -- I'm -- I'm
11 speaking out of turn here, but I believe that, you
12 know, the folks at -- at Hydro in DSM understand this
13 full well and know that, you know, opportunities
14 continue to evolve over time. And there's actually
15 recognition of that in the evidence. I think I have
16 that on the next slide.

17 So I strongly suspect that that would
18 percolate and we wouldn't be seeing this dramatic drop
19 off in the long-range planning if we're having this
20 conversation again in a year from now, when it -- you
21 know, when it had the time to make its way, you know,
22 through the -- through the process. But because it's
23 fresh and because the fresh changes on the short-term
24 three (3) year plan, that's the only way that I can
25 explain why it hasn't actually made its way into the

1 longer -- the longer time frame.

2 So just to summarize that -- that first
3 section: In the near term Hydro's Levels 2 and 3
4 represent a dramatic and very commendable change in DSM
5 planning and target setting that absolutely, you know,
6 puts them on average for the first ten (10) years in --
7 in the place that, frankly, I would expect them to be,
8 given what I know about their capabilities and Manitoba
9 Hydro -- well, not Manitoba Hydro's, but Manitoba's
10 context in North America.

11 In the long-term, however, the planning
12 level inputs very quickly revert back to previous
13 assumptions. These assumptions grossly understate
14 DSM's future contributions. And that's assuming that
15 Manitoba Hydro maintains its policy that it stated
16 recently in one (1) of the many pieces of evidence that
17 came out -- and I again apologize.

18 I -- I have conversations from time to
19 time with Mr. Williams, who -- who very easily refers
20 to exhibit, you know, one hundred (100) and whatever
21 'C'. I get lost in those. But I did -- I did see a --
22 a pretty clear statement, and welcome statement from
23 Hydro, that its policy is the pursuit of all economic
24 DSM. Assuming that Hydro maintains its pursuit to all
25 economic DSM policy, that understatement would remain

1 and would undermine the credibility of the domestic
2 load forecast.

3 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Mr. Dunskey, before
4 we -- and the -- the panel may want a -- a break in a
5 couple moments, but I wonder if I could just ask you to
6 turn back to slide 15 for a moment.

7 And lest you be -- be accused of never
8 meeting an aggressive DSM plan that you didn't like,
9 Mr. Dunskey, have you ever done an analysis where you
10 suggested a more conservative approach to DSM than the
11 DSM provider?

12 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I'm sure it's
13 happened on several occasions. Never a fun thing, but
14 -- but it definitely does happen. And ultimately --
15 you've been, I've been at it for twenty-three (23)
16 years, so -- so at some point you kind of get the long-
17 run view. And -- and, you know, being in it for long-
18 run, you want to make that this stuff is successful.
19 Not just, you know, pie in the sky.

20 So, to be honest, when I look at this
21 chart, I'm reminded of work I was doing in Nova Scotia
22 several years ago, where -- where Nova Scotia went from
23 doing very little DSM, and all of a sudden was -- was
24 given targets that lead to a chart that looked very
25 much like this, with -- with an enormous spike. In

1 their case, it went up to, I think it was, about 3
2 percent -- 2.9 or 3 percent in the peak year, which
3 was, I believe, three (3) or four (4) years out, and
4 then came back down again and levelled off at around --
5 I think it was around 1 1/2 or -- or 2 percent.

6 And in that case I -- I'd been hired by
7 the -- the DSM administrator to -- to look at their
8 plan and I -- I strongly recommended that they -- that
9 they reduce it. And I testified at a -- at a hearing
10 there, recommending that the -- not so much that the
11 long-run goal be changed, but -- but that the short run
12 increase be levelled, so that you actually have a
13 transition time and a levelling time.

14 I do get very nervous when I see boom
15 and bust cycles. And in energy efficiency, it is
16 extremely important to be engaging with the market in a
17 sustained fashion, helping them build up and then not
18 dropping them -- dropping them out, if you will. You
19 can get into serious trouble in the marketplace with
20 that. And, ultimately, in efficiency -- contrary to
21 other things -- you don't actual control, you're
22 influencing the market and you have to work with the
23 market, and so you don't want to be creating boom and
24 bust situations for them.

25 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: So, just -- if we

1 stay with this graph for a moment, we see under your
2 scenarios originally presented, you had a more gradual
3 buildup -- I'll suggest to you -- but then you stayed
4 at a -- a consisen -- consistently high level at or
5 around 1.5 percent in terms of forecast load.

6 Is that right?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. Yeah.

8 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And from a comfort
9 level, sir, do you have more comfort recognizing that
10 you -- with -- with the red plan being the hydro plan,
11 or the -- a -- a more gradual but more sustained blue
12 plan?

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah, I mean, I -
14 - obviously I -- I have more comfort with -- with the
15 plan I put forward and that's -- you know, that's why I
16 put it forward. I should say, you know, there's --
17 there's an important caveat and some humility to -- to
18 add into this.

19 And that is that, you know, I haven't --
20 I haven't looked very closely and I certainly haven't
21 discussed with -- with Hydro what is behind the very
22 rapid ramp-up that's currently anticipated in their
23 three (3) year plan. There may be something there that
24 I'm just not aware of. There may be, you know, some --
25 some new development, for example, that is ripe for --

1 for serious savings opportunity.

2 I -- I don't want to assume that -- that
3 that ramp-up is not feasible. But certainly at a high
4 level I tend to prefer a -- a little bit more of a
5 conservative and -- and steady-as-she-goes approach.

6 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And if we could
7 just go to slide 17 again, because I don't want my
8 questions to lose your -- your ultimate point.

9 And what I understand you to be saying,
10 sir, is while you're -- you're very appreciative of the
11 dramatic and commendable change in DSM planning by
12 Hydro, your concern is that as we get out past that
13 first big bump, that -- the quick reversion back to
14 previous assumptions will tend to understate
15 significantly the amount of all economic DSM available,
16 and will tend to undermine the credibility of the
17 domestic load forecast and that it is likely to be
18 quite overstated?

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah. I mean, to
20 be clear, you know, my real concern, when I see this --
21 this kind of change, you know, typically, regions that
22 ramp up very quickly, they don't tend to ramp down very
23 quickly thereafter, you know. I -- I suspect that what
24 would happen is, you know, Hydro, you know, achieves
25 this level, and then, you know, learns how to maintain

1 that level, and -- and eventually does maintain that
2 level.

3 And so my concern isn't so much that --
4 that the level itself would drop off dramatically. My
5 concerns is that the load forecast upon which you all
6 are going to be basing your investment decisions today
7 does not represent what is likely -- what is most
8 likely to happen in the real world, which is a -- you
9 know, a sustained DSM effort.

10 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Thank you. And,
11 Mr. Chair, subject to the -- the wisdom and direction
12 of the panel, this may be an appropriate time for a
13 break.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that,
15 Mr. Williams. I agree with you. I think we should
16 take ten (10) minutes. Thank you.

17

18 --- Upon recessing at 10:30 a.m.

19 --- Upon resuming at 10:46 a.m.

20

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Before we start, I
22 have some -- a few words to say. Manitoba Hydro asked
23 clarification of the priorities for updating the
24 economic and financial analyses. The panel's number 5
25 priority is to perform the financial analysis of the

1 fully updated Plan 4 DSM 2. And the panel's number 6
2 priority is to perform the financial analysis of fully
3 updated Plan 6, the DSM 2. Okay.

4 Does that clarify?

5 MS. MARLA BOYD: Thank you for that.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry about the
7 error. Thank you.

8 Is it -- can we continue, Ms. Boyd, in
9 the absence of your colleagues?

10 MS. MARLA BOYD: Yes, please do. I'm
11 sorry.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Back to you,
13 Mr. Williams, or Mr. Dunsky.

14

15 CONTINUED BY MR. BYRON WILLIAMS:

16 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Provided Mr.
17 Dunsky remembers to reference the slide numbers, I'm
18 going to allow him back on the wagon.

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Thank you. So on
20 slide 18, entering to the second -- second section of
21 the presentation, being why -- fundamentally, why this
22 is a problem. Why -- why it's a problem to assume only
23 the savings that we -- that we have right immediately
24 in front of us in a three (3) year plan, and then to
25 assume that the bottom falls out because we will have -

1 - you know, we will have secured those savings, and --
2 and that's it for the future.

3 So -- so let me just start up -- start
4 off by, you know, mentioning my -- certainly my view on
5 the DSM resource is that, fundamentally, the DSM
6 resource is -- is innovation. It is strictly a matter
7 of innovation. We get more efficient over time because
8 we seek to be more efficient over time because we need
9 to be more efficient over time. And we get there
10 through technological innovations. We get there
11 through -- through social innovations, as well,
12 ultimately, even through regulatory innovation.

13 But the innovation is what drives us to
14 become increasingly energy efficient. It's really no
15 different than mining or oil and gas drilling in the
16 sense that it's not just about what we know to be
17 immediately underneath us in the field. It's about --
18 it's about the more we want to find more oil, the more
19 we find new and innovative ways to get at that oil.

20 So innovation doesn't stop. It tends to
21 strengthen over time. And certainly that's what we're
22 seeing these days. I can certainly say that over the
23 past few years, there's been unprecedented capital
24 flowing toward energy innovations. And that is both on
25 the generation side and on the demand side.

1 I have, in -- in my experience, never
2 seen the level of innovation on the energy efficiency
3 side that I'm seeing today. And those innovations, of
4 course, are -- are for technologies and approaches that
5 either have come to market and will continue to grow in
6 the market, or will becoming to -- to market in the
7 coming years. And those innovations are what will
8 ensure that those savings can be sustained over time so
9 that in ten (10) years from now, we're still improving
10 our efficiency by 1.5 percent per year, and in fifteen
11 (15) years from now, and in twenty (20) years from now.

12 So that's -- that's just a general
13 principle. I -- I read in Hydro's rebuttal evidence
14 what is, you know, nothing other than a recognition of
15 that. And again, not surprisingly, because, you know,
16 they know energy efficiency very well -- and so I'm on
17 slide 20 here, you know, where in the rebuttal evidence
18 we read:

19 "Manitoba Hydro recognizes that the
20 targets in this plan are conservative
21 and some programs and opportunities
22 which could be reasonably expected to
23 be achieved within the planning
24 horizon were excluded. These and
25 other programs are expected to be

1 added in future Power Smart plans."

2 I -- I know that that is certainly the
3 case for the -- for the plans that are immediately in
4 front of us, and I would just suggest that that will
5 continue to be the case over time, again.

6 So what I thought I would do to -- to
7 maybe drive that home a little bit is talk about five
8 (5) very specific examples. These are by no means the
9 only examples that I could talk about. I -- I am, you
10 know, biting my -- biting my fingers limiting it to
11 this, because there's so many others that are
12 absolutely fascinating and they're hitting market
13 today.

14 But let me start with -- with five (5) -
15 - five (5) very concrete examples. And just to -- to
16 throw you off a little bit, I'll actually start with
17 one that is not a technology innovation, but rather a -
18 - I'll call it a regulatory innovation or regulatory
19 change. And that is a very strong and recent drive to
20 increase efficiency standards. After I finish with
21 that I'll talk very quickly about LED lighting. Again,
22 Mr. Klassen mentioned that this morning. I'll talk
23 about heat pumps. I'll talk about data-driven
24 analytics. And -- and I -- I fear that that's where
25 I'll start sounding like the title that Mr. Gange

1 associated with me earlier. And finally, I'll talk
2 about solar photovoltaics, again, as just examples of
3 the sorts of innovations that are coming forward.

4 But so let me just start quickly with
5 codes and standards. Building codes and -- and
6 appliance standards -- and when we say, "appliance,"
7 it's writ large, right, all equipment -- are
8 essentially around to secure the adoption of the DSM
9 innovations. In other words, an innovation hits the
10 market. You know, it follows a typical Rogers's curve.
11 It grows in that market through voluntary adoption, and
12 at some point it's become prevalent enough and -- and
13 cost effective enough and available enough that the
14 government steps in and says, All right, you know, from
15 here on in this is the standard and everyone -- you
16 know, the bar is raised for -- for all.

17 In terms of building codes, they tend to
18 be very specific to geography for obvious reasons.
19 I'll note that Canada recently adopted far more
20 stringent model codes for it's -- for commercial
21 buildings than we had previously. Those are model
22 codes that the provinces then -- then adopt on their
23 own. And I'll also note simply that Manitoba Hydro --
24 sorry, not Manitoba Hydro, but Manitoba has long been
25 among the leaders in Canada in adopting new building

1 codes for energy efficiency.

2 So that's just talking about the codes
3 piece. I really want to talk about the standards.

4 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Before you get
5 there, we're -- we're on about page -- slide 22, are
6 we, Mr. Dunsky?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: We are; we are
8 indeed.

9 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Good man.

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: What -- what I
11 think is more interesting right now is standards. And
12 so standards -- typically standards will be Canada-
13 wide. Some provinces adopt their own standards, but
14 those are few and far between. So typically they're --
15 they're federal standards, they're adopted.

16 In -- in Canada today under this
17 government, we tend to simply follow the US's lead on
18 standards. So we have not been getting out in front.
19 We've been waiting to see what the US does and then
20 harmonizing with them. That's been the policy approach
21 for the past several years.

22 The interesting thing is that over the
23 past four (4) years, under the Obama administration in
24 the US, there's been an absolutely unprecedented
25 overhaul of energy efficiency standards. The standards

1 that have been adopted in the past several years are
2 expected to achieve savings in the US by 2030 on the
3 order of 300 terawatt hours per year. And so to put
4 that into context, it's about 10 percent of total US
5 electricity demand. The administration has suggested
6 that more will come. If they do what they said that
7 they're going to do, we might be looking at roughly 150
8 terawatt hours additional coming in the next few years.

9 And so the point is this, that we're in
10 a period right now of sudden -- a sudden and very
11 significant increase in the adoption of standards in
12 the US that lead directly to very strong energy
13 savings. And we have a policy here of harmonizing with
14 the US. So what happens down there trickles up here
15 and very quickly.

16 And -- and my firm does a lot of work on
17 this question. For example, for Natural Resources
18 Canada, every time the US adopts a new standard, NRCan
19 seeks to examine how quickly and -- and whether there
20 are any minor changes or adaptations for us to adopt
21 those same standards.

22 So those standards are coming here. And
23 just to -- to give you an example. They're currently
24 thirty-two (32) energy efficiency standards proposed
25 for adoption by the federal government in Canada. They

1 cover -- I won't read the list -- but everything from,
2 you know, commercial chillers to room air-conditioners
3 to microwaves, dishwashers, clothes dryers, you know,
4 pool heaters, pre-rinse spray valves, line voltage
5 thermostats, et cetera.

6 All of these standards are about to be
7 adopted and will be coming into effect in the next
8 couple of years. The vast majority of them are
9 standards that will improve the efficiency of
10 electricity use specifically; and again, in all
11 likelihood, a fair a bit more to follow in the coming
12 years as the Obama administration down south continues
13 in its -- in its program of adopting standards.

14 DR. HUGH GRANT: Can I -- can I
15 interrupt with a naive question? If there was this,
16 sort of, new technology -- say, a new hot water heater
17 came along. And it clearly the marginal benefit
18 outweighed the marginal cost, and it should be adopted
19 from a social perspective.

20 Why wouldn't it happen voluntarily?

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Oh, my goodness.
22 So this is -- this is the work of my life you're
23 asking.

24 DR. HUGH GRANT: Then maybe a brief
25 answer.

1 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Market barriers,
2 that's a very brief answer.

3 DR. HUGH GRANT: Meaning that the
4 private benefit costs is different than the social?

5 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. And meaning
6 that it takes an awful lot of time for new products to
7 get to market, to be as available as they ought to be
8 for market actors to become familiar with them, to find
9 a business case for them, modify their own businesses
10 to start selling them, you know, give them preferential
11 shelf space. For example, if you just think of, you
12 know, a Home Depot, let's say.

13 There -- there's a lag. There's a real
14 lag. And there's also a lag on the demand-side for
15 customers to become aware of them, appreciate them,
16 understand them, get comfort with them, and eventually
17 move to adoption.

18 On top of all that, a lot of the
19 products that we're talking about are replacements. So
20 I'm not going replace dishwasher even if, you know, a
21 brand new thing comes into market today. You know,
22 that'll happen in ten (10) years.

23 DR. HUGH GRANT: But is that partly a
24 case where the consumer doesn't rationally think about
25 the initial purchase cost and the benefits -- the

1 stream of benefits that are going to be stretched out
2 over the next fifteen (15) years?

3 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: There -- there's
4 -- I mean, absolutely, that's a part of it. It's not
5 the whole picture, but definitely a part of it is
6 consumers -- you know, it's -- it's transaction costs
7 and information costs if we're talking economic terms.
8 So, yes, fundamentally consumers need to understand the
9 technology, need to know what the savings will be over
10 the lifetime of that measure and how that translates
11 into costs, factor that into their decision-making
12 process and, you know, frankly, they're just looking to
13 buy a lightbulb.

14 DR. HUGH GRANT: Just last point, is
15 part of it just sort of incomplete information or -- in
16 a sense, I go to buy a new home. The contractors put
17 in an old-fashioned water heater, but the cos -- it
18 keeps the cost of the house down when in fact if they
19 put in the higher priced hot water heater --

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Right.

21 DR. HUGH GRANT: -- you know, be --
22 over the long term it would be a better purchase for
23 me. So in some ways I'm an ill-informed consumer. So
24 in this case you impose a code or standard on the
25 builder that leads to that optimal outcome.

1 Would that be the right --

2 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. Yes,
3 absolutely. So that's really all I wanted to -- to
4 say about codes and standards, just to say that these
5 things are coming. These things are -- are not
6 insignificant. They will continue to grow in the
7 coming years and they will obviously affect -- affect
8 demand.

9 I'll talk very quickly about LED
10 lighting. And again, it was mentioned earlier this
11 morning, but let me just put some numbers to it. LED
12 lighting -- several years ago, you know, we were -- we
13 were all very excited about compact fluorescents. And
14 I remember buying my first compact fluorescent in -- in
15 '95 and it was, you know, flickering, and producing
16 awful quality lighting. And that was kind of the Holy
17 Grail. And eventually we -- I won't say we transformed
18 that market yet; there's still a fair bit to do, but --
19 but we -- we gained pretty good penetration.

20 LED lighting is a fantastic example of
21 the continuous flow of innovations where you find one
22 (1) opportunity; you address it; you, maybe not deplete
23 it, but you come close to depleting it; and in parallel
24 someone else is working on a new innovation that goes
25 even deeper.

1 LED lighting over the coming ten (10)
2 years is expected to -- to see a -- well, if I look
3 from 2010 onward, a -- a cost reduction on the order of
4 80 percent in terms of dollars for the same light
5 output. And efficiency increases on the order of three
6 (3) times what it is today. And I should mention I'm
7 on slide 24 here.

8 So three (3) times greater efficiency in
9 barely a decade, 80 percent cheaper than what they are
10 today, or have been over the past -- you know, were a
11 couple years ago. And because of this, we are
12 anticipating very dramatic savings across all sectors.
13 The nice thing about LEDs is they're not just -- you
14 know, they're -- they're ubiquitous. They can -- they
15 can work for light bulbs. They can work for, you know,
16 to replace, you know, T5s or T8s that are -- that are
17 lighting this room here. And, in fact, they -- they're
18 actually extraordinarily good at providing a number of
19 new lighting services that hadn't even been thought of
20 before.

21 So LED lighting is coming. I noticed in
22 the EnerNOC study, for example, LEDs were not included
23 until 2020, because they were assumed to not be cost
24 effective by then. I also note that Manitoba Hydro
25 currently promotes LEDs in some of its programs. So

1 it's just another example of something that, you know,
2 we -- when we -- when we look at things statically
3 today we say, you know, if it's not cost effective
4 today, we're not going to -- you know, it's not really
5 an opportunity. In fact, it absolutely is. You know,
6 my entire house is -- is lit with LEDs and I'm going to
7 wager that that will be the case for the vast majority
8 of homes within a decade.

9 Let me talk about heat pumps, which was
10 partly the source of that discussion that we had a year
11 ago. And I'll talk very specifically about ductless
12 heat pumps. And the reason I should say I want to talk
13 about ductless heat pumps is partly because we don't
14 talk about them a lot here in Manitoba and partly
15 because they serve a very particular need.

16 The value of ductless heat pumps is that
17 they don't require ducts. And so all of the homes in
18 Manitoba that heat with baseboard electric and would
19 not want to -- you would not want to think about
20 heating them with geothermal because that would require
21 putting in an entire distribution system, you know, can
22 very easily take a ductless heat pump to supplement --
23 to supplement the -- the heat there.

24 So heat pumps are an excellent
25 opportunity for baseboard heated homes. The really

1 nice and interesting thing about ductless heat pumps
2 that didn't exist ten (10) years ago is the advent of
3 what are called inverter-driven models. And I won't
4 bore you with the details there. And, frankly, I'm not
5 an engineer and I wouldn't be able to bore you with the
6 details there. I would have to turn to one (1) of my
7 engineers back in -- back in the office.

8 But inverter-driven models can achieve
9 extremely high efficiencies even at extremely low
10 temperatures, looking at something like 200 percent
11 efficiencies. By the way, that sounds, you know,
12 counterintuitive. But what it means, of course, is
13 that -- is that half (1/2) of the -- half (1/2) of the
14 heat that it's providing is being sucked out of latent
15 heat in the outso -- in the outside air even at minus
16 20 degrees.

17 That heat pumps can supply between 30 to
18 60 percent of the home heating needs in Manitoba given
19 Manitoba's very specific climate. And we did modelling
20 for the City of Winnipeg on this and -- and found
21 numbers in that range depending on the size of the
22 home.

23 Heat pumps have a number of other
24 benefits for customers. They -- they improve air
25 quality in winter. If you're used to baseboard heating

1 you'll understand what I -- what I mean. They provide
2 zonal control. So heat pumps, what they are
3 essentially is you have an outdoor unit. And then you
4 have a number of indoor units, almost like air
5 conditioners. So you can have, let's say, you know,
6 one (1) in the master bedroom and -- and one (1) in the
7 -- in the livingroom. And if you're not using the
8 master bedroom throughout the day, you know, it can be
9 programmed to be at a much lower -- lower temperature.
10 So you get additional savings there.

11 And it provides air conditioning in the
12 summer, which, of course, you know, slightly increases
13 loads overall, but, nonetheless, on a year-round basis,
14 given the climate and -- and -- in Manitoba, provides
15 substantial savings.

16 I -- I put up here just, you know, an
17 example of the performance of a typical inverter-driven
18 heat pump. What you see on the chart is -- well, I'll
19 urge you to look at the -- the blue triangles toward
20 the top there. And that is the coefficient of
21 performance, in other words, the efficiency. So a two
22 point zero (2.0) would be -- would be 200 percent
23 efficiency. And you see here how that evolves across
24 temperature ranges.

25 I'll note the temperature ranges here in

1 Fahrenheit, so a minus 5 Fahrenheit, for example, is
2 roughly minus 20 or minus 21 Celsius. At that level,
3 it's still producing two (2) units of heat for every
4 one (1) unit of electricity that -- that it consumes.

5 If I --

6 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Mr. Dunsky, if you
7 could go back to slide 25 just for a second. I see the
8 -- the graph in the right-hand corner is labelled,
9 "Yukon DHP Study."

10 Can you just tell us where this
11 information comes from, sir?

12 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. This --
13 this is a study that was done for -- or I should say by
14 the Government of -- of the Yukon for their territory.
15 And -- and the results here resemble very much the same
16 results that so many other studies have found.

17 In the Northwest, US in particular,
18 they've done a lot of study. They have a pilot project
19 that recent -- was recently completed. It was a pilot
20 project of over four thousand (4,000) units installed.
21 They did extensive testing on a number of those units
22 and found numbers very, very similar to this.

23 There are other studies, as well.

24 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And before you --
25 you turn, at the risk of displaying my ignorance,

1 yesterday we had the benefit of hearing evidence from a
2 Mr. Gio Robson, from prairieHOUSE. And I'll ask you to
3 accept, subject to check, that he described something -
4 - a technology called cold climate air source heat pump
5 and indicated, at least in my notes, that -- that this
6 technology was ready to flower.

7 Is that a different technology, sir,
8 from ductless heat pumps, or is it the same, or have I
9 given you insufficient information?

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It -- it could be
11 the same. So, you -- you can have -- when we say 'cold
12 climate heat pumps', it's, you know, it -- it's a
13 little bit semantics. But it's -- you know, heat
14 pumps, they're designed specifically for cold climates
15 and you can have both -- both cold climate air source
16 heat pumps that -- that distribute their heat through
17 internal distribution systems, and you can have cold
18 climate adapted ductless heat pumps as well. So, this
19 is the ductless heat pump version.

20 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: So, if I continue
22 with -- with DHPs a little bit. DHPs are posting very
23 strong growth today across North America, for some of
24 the reasons I just mentioned. In the US, they are
25 doubling every four (4) years in -- in market share.

1 In Canada, I'm afraid I don't have
2 market data per se, but I can definitely say,
3 anecdotally, that the evidence is very strong of very
4 rapid growth. We're working in several markets in
5 Canada where some of our clients have been taken aback
6 by the -- the extraordinary prevalence of ductless heat
7 pumps in the market.

8 The thing to mention with ductless heat
9 pumps, is you have -- you have ductless heat pumps that
10 are designed to produce high performance in cold
11 climates and others that are not. And, so, where DSM
12 programs come into play is really encouraging adoption
13 of the high performance versions of these heat pumps.
14 They are -- in several markets in Canada, they're
15 flying off the shelves, literally. But we want to be
16 there to make sure that only the high performance
17 versions are -- are being sold there as much as
18 possible.

19 In terms of the cost of heat pumps, I
20 put up here a -- a chart from -- from a very recent --
21 well actually, this is from a 2009 study, and there's
22 recent evidence that essentially points to the same
23 numbers. You're looking at, by and large, \$6,000 as
24 the install cost for a typical home.

25 Now, the thing to note about ductless

1 heat pumps is they -- they don't need to be designed to
2 supply all of the heating in a home. I mentioned 30 to
3 60 percent before. So, your -- your typical customer
4 for this is going to be a customer that already has
5 baseboard heat, isn't looking to gut their entire house
6 and put in a whole distribution -- air distribution
7 system. But just wants to put this up and they might
8 put one (1) or two (2) what we call heads in. And
9 those heads may supply, let's say, half of the heating
10 needs of the home. The other half to be supplied by
11 the baseboards that are already there. And so, that's
12 -- that's what you might find as a typical \$6,000
13 cost.

14 Now, Hydro put in its evidence -- its
15 rebuttal evidence, that it wasn't pursuing heat pumps
16 because they would cost in the range of 14,000 to
17 \$16,000 and I was very surprised by that. And I
18 suspect again that we're just talking about different -
19 - different cases. It might cost \$14,000 to -- to
20 install -- even then, frankly, it's very high, but
21 let's just say it's \$14,000 to -- to install enough
22 heads to cover every single room in the house, but
23 that's not how these things are used.

24 So, the nice thing about heat pumps is
25 that they're -- or ductless heat pumps is that their

1 price point is much lower than something like
2 geothermal. It's not to say that geothermal is bad by
3 any means. I've put geothermal in my own house. But
4 that's a very large investment and that covers all of
5 my heating needs and this is another share of the
6 market, another slice of the market, that can be
7 addressed in a different way.

8 I also note that -- that ductless heat
9 pumps were excluded from the EnerNOC study, despite
10 being absolutely present in the market today and going
11 very strong.

12 Let me switch to the fourth example,
13 slide 28. I'm now getting it from both sides. That's
14 good. You clearly found my blind spot.

15 Fourth example is -- is data driven
16 analytics and that sounds extraordinarily dry. But
17 for me is one of the most exciting things that's
18 happening today in demand-side management. And I want
19 to give you three (3) examples of how that works and
20 how that's changing things in -- in terms of what we
21 do.

22 And really, when -- when I say, "Data-
23 driven analytics," this is a combination of two (2)
24 things. It's the growth in computing power combined
25 with the growth in communications, in IT, basically,

1 you know, wireless communications.

2 So we now have access to -- to so much
3 more data than we ever did. And we have the ability to
4 communicate that data outside of our homes. And that
5 leads to, I'll say, you know, a little explosion of --
6 of new opportunities. Those opportunities help us to
7 get savings by changing behaviour, if you will, in the
8 residential sector by changing the way commercial
9 building facilities are operated and by even enabling
10 direct utility control of some end uses.

11 So I'll go through the three (3)
12 examples. The first example, some of you may have
13 heard of Opower. Opower is, in the world of energy
14 efficiency, a very well -- it's a little bit of a
15 darling firm now. They started out in the US several
16 years ago. They just had their -- their IPO, a very
17 successful IPO.

18 What they do is provide a very simple
19 concept of neighbour comparisons. They essentially
20 have developed, you know, a pretty strong algorithm and
21 analytic services and social science research and
22 converted that into a very simple way to take customer
23 data, compare the usage of that customer with similar
24 customers in the same region having the same -- having
25 similar housing characteristics, and so, you know,

1 ensuring an apples-to-apples comparison, and then
2 providing that information back to customers to say,
3 Here's how you compare to your neighbour.

4 That sounds very simple. And that has
5 been producing systematically annual savings on the
6 order of 1 to 3 percent in the homes of customers that
7 receive this notice over the past several years now.
8 And that's now operating in a number of states and
9 provinces throughout -- throughout North America.

10 It's fantastically simple. It's
11 something actually I was -- I was involved in -- in
12 looking at, something that Norway was doing in this --
13 in this light just about fifteen (15) years ago today,
14 actually. But they never had the -- the combination of
15 the data and the analytics that enables this to
16 actually be as powerful as it is today.

17 So Opower is one (1) example of a new
18 opportunity for new energy savings that we weren't able
19 to access previously.

20 Another area is what we call smart
21 thermostats. In the past couple of years, there have
22 been over fifty (50) new entrance into the smart thermo
23 -- you know, five (5) years ago, thermostats was --
24 were -- was a pretty dull space to be in, right. I
25 mean, there weren't that many choices. They didn't do

1 very much other than allow you to set the thermostat
2 and -- and maybe program it, and that's about it.

3 Right now, we're looking at an explosion
4 of thermostats in the marketplace, including ones by --
5 by Nest, which is now owned by Google, by Honeywell,
6 which have installed Man in my home. Ecobee is a
7 Canadian manufacturer. The types of functionalities
8 that they offer are extraordinary. They start with
9 assisted programming.

10 So I'm not sure how many of you have
11 programmable thermostats in your homes. Certainly the
12 one that I have, you know, if my wife, who tends to be
13 much smarter than I am, you know, wants to change the
14 program on that, she asks me because that's my work
15 because, otherwise, we don't remember how to change the
16 -- the damn thermostat.

17 So these things provide assisted
18 programming in many different ways. It can be a voice
19 question and answer. They also learn your consumption
20 patterns, and so adjusts automatically to those
21 patterns. They provide nudges, customized nudges. For
22 example, in some cases, they will communicate with your
23 smart phone. And if they notice that you've been -- if
24 your GPS is on, they notice that you've been out-of-
25 town for the past couple of days, they'll sent you a

1 little message saying: Hey, are you out-of-town? If
2 you are, maybe you want to press this button to lower
3 your -- your temperature thermostat until you get back.
4 They will do what are called touchless home energy
5 assessments.

6 So it costs -- today it costs something
7 in the order of five (5) to six hundred (\$600) to do an
8 audit of my home. The thermostat is -- is collecting
9 the data, is comparing based on outdoor temperature,
10 and the time that it takes for the -- for the
11 temperature in your home to ramp up to the set that it
12 has, is able to calculate with, you know, reasonable
13 precision, the performance of your home's envelope; in
14 other words, the heat loss of the home.

15 And so it's doing that on its own in the
16 background. No one's coming to your home and it can
17 then provide feedback to you on the performance of your
18 home. It does predictive start to temperature setting
19 algorithms. So in other words, if I want my
20 temperature to be 20 degrees when I wake up at -- at
21 7:15 in the morning, it will know exactly when to start
22 ramping up the temperature, because it knows how well
23 my home performs and how long it takes before -- from
24 the time it starts pumping out heat to the time the
25 temperature in my home gets to 20 degrees. So it'll

1 start it at, you know, 6:48, or at 7:01, whatever my
2 home needs.

3 They offer distance-based controls.

4 They offer distance -- distance-based utility controls
5 as well, so utilities -- if I -- if I choose to allow
6 my utility to do this, often time a utility will say,
7 I'll pay you twenty-five (25) bucks, twenty-five (25)
8 bucks a year and -- for the right to lower your
9 temperature by half a degree up to five (5) times in
10 the year for up to five (5) hours. And if I say yes
11 voluntarily, it allows them to take control of my
12 thermostat and do that within the balance of the
13 contract that we have, and that allows them to address
14 capacity issues, or peak -- peak issues.

15 It offers, you know, alerts to replace
16 your furnace, and then depending on the model that you
17 choose there are some that allow you to put photos up
18 there on your screen, or even control your music
19 system. The point is that these -- these new
20 thermostats offer very impressive new energy savings
21 that we would not have imagined three (3) years ago,
22 that are not to be found in the EnerNOC potential study
23 or, I believe, the current three (3) year plan, though
24 I may be wrong on that. But certainly savings that
25 will be growing over time.

1 On the commercial building side very
2 similar things. The case study here is a -- is a firm
3 by the name of FirstFuel. What they do is they take
4 realtime meter data from commercial buildings and not
5 just individual commercial buildings, but fleets of
6 commercial buildings. So if I own eighty (80)
7 different commercial buildings across Canada, I can
8 give them the data for my fleet and they can just
9 access it in realtime. They take the data, they do the
10 analytics, they bring in other -- other inputs, for
11 example, from satellite imagery, or from climate -- you
12 know, weather statistics.

13 And through that can do what we call a
14 touchless audit and actually audit my building without
15 ever having set foot in it at a bit less than one-third
16 (1/3) of the cost of a traditional audit. And most
17 importantly, they can do that on the eighty (80) plus
18 buildings that I have in my fleet, not one (1) at a
19 time. So this is scaling now.

20 This is an extraordinary new opportunity
21 that we have. I'm just providing a few images from
22 this, but they are not only finding significant savings
23 opportunities and -- and leading to significant
24 savings, but finding it in areas that our previous
25 programs never looked at or never really addressed

1 well. Primarily, operational savings as opposed to
2 just replacing any equipment, now it becomes about how
3 the building manager, for example, is -- is operating
4 the HVAC equipment and making sure they're bringing
5 savings there.

6 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And -- and we just
7 left -- we just left slide 33 there, did we, Mr.
8 Dunsky?

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, indeed we
10 did. And so finally let me get to solar photovoltaics.

11 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And, sir, the --
12 sir, before we go into solar, can you describe a little
13 bit of how -- how, if at all, you've gained experience
14 in this field whether your work in Saskatoon or -- or
15 otherwise?

16 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: In solar in
17 particular?

18 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Yeah.

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. We --
20 we've been doing a fair amount of work on -- on solar
21 power in a variety of different places.

22 In Saskatchewan you mentioned, we worked
23 -- we initially worked with the government of
24 Saskatchewan. They hired -- they had a -- a program to
25 encourage adoption of solar PV. They hired us to take

1 a look at that program and -- and recommend changes to
2 it to -- to ramp up its performance. In the process of
3 doing that we, you know, analyzed data on hundreds of
4 solar installations, looked at best practices
5 throughout North America. That was a couple of years
6 ago.

7 Currently, we are developing a solar
8 finance program for the City of Saskatoon. And that's
9 an innovative program that will allow the city to offer
10 -- well, to offer innovative financing for customers
11 who want to put solar power on their roofs, be it
12 residential or commercial.

13 So solar power is -- is a very
14 interesting story. You see -- I'm on slide 34 on the
15 left a chart showing the evolution of the cost of PV
16 modules. And as you can see a very dramatic decline,
17 and that's over the past -- in this thirty (30) --
18 thirty (30) odd years. On the top right, you see from
19 Manitoba Hydro's filing a chart of the declining clo --
20 declining costs of installed PV systems. There's a
21 slight distinction there.

22 In all cases what we're looking at is
23 extremely rapid cost reductions that are allowing solar
24 to move from what once was a niche to scale. And to
25 scale in residential rooftops, commercial rooftops, and

1 utility scale solar farms. And that is extraordinarily
2 big right now. I'll be able to talk to that soon.

3 Let me go to slide 35. The -- the graph
4 that you just saw previously in Hydro's evidence, to be
5 honest with you, I find a little -- and it's not --
6 it's not from Hydro. Hydro took it from a Citigroup
7 study. I find it overly optimistic for solar, so I
8 prefer to kind of let that -- set that aside.

9 I think what you have here is -- is
10 practical, real world solar costs on the chart on slide
11 35. And what you see is in the past four (4) years
12 alone, the cost has come down from about \$8 a watt to
13 under \$3 a watt.

14 And in that same time frame, we're
15 looking at rapid growth of solar power installations.
16 Annual installations of solar power have increased by
17 fifteen (15) fold in -- in barely the past five (5)
18 years; that's extraordinary growth.

19 Last year alone in the US, solar PV had
20 30 percent -- well, 29 percent of market share. It was
21 the number 2 installed electric power resource last
22 year, which is remarkable for those of us who have been
23 looking at solar for a long time now. It was just
24 behind gas power plants and well ahead of wind power
25 biomass plants and coal plants.

1 So this is obviously a very rapidly
2 growing resource. When we look to the future, we see
3 continued cost declines on the horizon. Modules are
4 expected to drop in costs by an additional 25 percent
5 over the next four (4) years because of automation.
6 Installation costs are dropping as designs make it
7 easier to install.

8 And financing -- there's an
9 extraordinary movement of financing of capital toward
10 the solar market today and is driving down financing
11 costs, and also bringing to market more adapted
12 financing products that just make it easier for
13 customers to adopt this.

14 Over the -- over the coming three (3)
15 years alone, we're looking at anticipated doubling of
16 demand in the US again. So that would bring it from
17 just under 5,000 megawatts to just under -- just under
18 10,000 megawatts of installed capacity being installed
19 in 2016 alone. If you look at that in a cumulative
20 basis, you know, we're looking at tens of thousands of
21 megawatts being installed over -- over the next five
22 (5) to six (6) years.

23 And worldwide we're looking at a
24 threefold increase in anticipated manufacturing
25 capacity, again, only over five (5) years. This is --

1 this is absolutely dramatic. By the way, as an aside,
2 I'm someone who believed in solar power in the late
3 '90s, got burned by it horribly, and -- and really for
4 a long time assumed that it was not actually going to
5 break out. I've now come around on this. It is
6 absolutely breaking out in a very big way.

7 On slide 37 I put up a little chart of
8 the -- what we call the global solar radiation. It's
9 the -- the solar potential in Canada. And as you can
10 see, Manitoba fairs quite well, not quite as
11 beautifully as Saskatchewan but very strong solar
12 radiation potential in the province here, especially in
13 the southern parts.

14 When we look at the solar radiation
15 available in southern Manitoba, we combine it with the
16 current forecast of electricity prices and a couple of
17 forecasts of declining PV prices what we find is,
18 essentially, two (2) scenarios of what we call grid
19 parity.

20 Grid parity is the point at which, for a
21 customer who finances their system, the annual cost of
22 financing is going to be equal to or cheaper than the
23 electricity that they don't have to buy from Manitoba
24 Hydro as a result.

25 If we take -- so you'll see here in this

1 -- in the chart on slide 37 two (2) orange lines.
2 Those are two (2) assumptions of declining solar PV
3 costs. The bottom line is -- is the line from the
4 chart in Manitoba Hydro's evidence that is a very
5 aggressive cost decline. If that were to happen, it
6 would lead to grid parity in Manitoba in 2018.

7 We then sought to test a much more
8 conservative assumption of only 5 percent per year cost
9 declines, and that would lead to grid parity in 2026.
10 In any event, it'll probably be something in-between
11 those. The important thing here is that we're looking
12 at grid parity certainly within the planning horizon
13 that we're talking about.

14 And when grid parity hits, you know,
15 it's anyone's guess as to how quickly adoption will
16 ramp up. Certainly we're seeing very rapid adoption in
17 some other markets that are hitting it before us,
18 California being a good example.

19 So the implications for Manitoba of --
20 of solar power are really threefold. One (1) is it is
21 a tremendous growing new opportunity for demand-side
22 savings. In other words, if Manitoba Hydro wants to
23 see this as another measure that can reduce the need
24 for grid supplied power, it can absolutely get involved
25 in promoting solar power, get ahead of it, if you will.

1 And again, if you think of that chart
2 looking out to 2034 and we think of the big chasm
3 there, this is certainly something that can fill it up
4 and contribute to our ability to maintain those -- a
5 sustained level of savings over time.

6 The second implication is that it could
7 be what we'll call a breakout demand suppression
8 threat. In other words, it could take off on its own.
9 We may not get ahead of it. We may find ourselves
10 behind it. And there's a lot of concern now in
11 utilities' fears throughout North America, and Europe,
12 as well, that this is going to fundamentally change the
13 game for utilities. There's a lot of talk about this.

14 To be perfectly honest with you, I'm
15 still a little bit on the fence on it. I suspect
16 there's a bit of hyperbole in all of it. But in the
17 long-run, there's no doubt that this is a game changer.

18 The third implication is that it become
19 a low cost utility scale power supply option for Hydro
20 and/or a low cost utility scale power supply competitor
21 to Manitoba Hydro in terms of its export markets. So
22 if Minnesota is looking at this and looking at it as a
23 utility scale option, not today, but let's say in five
24 (5) or ten (10) years from now it may very well be
25 cheaper than the available power from other sources,

1 including Manitoba. And that is a real threat as well.

2 A very -- a very quick analogy along
3 those lines: A number of utilities have -- have
4 recently gone into this -- you'll remember before I
5 mentioned that in the past year alone there were five
6 thousand (5,000) new megawatts of installed solar
7 capacity in the US. Almost half of that were -- were
8 utility-scaled projects.

9 One (1) very recent example that I find
10 very telling is from Austin, Texas, where the utility
11 in Austin put out an RFP looking for 50 megawatts of
12 solar power. The prices that they got were so cheap
13 and so compelling that they ended up taking over 150
14 megawatts at a price point just under five (5) cents a
15 kilowatt hour. And that is supplied to them by an
16 independent power producer.

17 When you account for a federal tax
18 credit that exists in the US, that comes out to seven
19 (7) cents a kilowatt hour by a private power supplier
20 for solar power in 2014. So again, look at those cost
21 decline curves, think out to the 2020s, and we're
22 looking at a real potential competitor here.

23 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And -- and that
24 slide, sir, in terms of the implications -- or
25 potential implications for Manitoba was slide 37?

1 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, indeed. I -
2 - I thought it might be interesting -- I mentioned
3 before there's a lot of talk now about the implications
4 of solar and other distributed technologies for
5 utilities. I thought it would be worth putting up just
6 a quick quote from Morningstar -- a Morningstar report
7 that said:

8 "Investors beware, distributed
9 generation could kill utilities as we
10 know them today."

11 Again, you know, how much of his is
12 hyperbole and how much of it is -- is real. To my mind
13 the real question is -- is time. You know, is that
14 today going to happen in the next ten (10) years or in
15 the next thirty (30) years. I'm not sure.

16 But there's -- very little is out now
17 that -- that this will have very, very significant
18 implications for -- for the utility world and for
19 utility capital investments today. And that's the big
20 concern for utilities today, is what happens to the
21 capital that they've invested on an assumption of, you
22 know, twenty (20) or thirty (30) year service, and then
23 they get sideswiped by customers sticking the -- the
24 panel on their roof and avoiding them altogether.

25 So to sum up the second section, energy

1 innovations are moving much faster today than any
2 recent time -- or anytime in recent history. New DSM
3 opportunities certainly abound, including a number of
4 game changers that have already landed in market and
5 with many more to come.

6 Not accounting for these -- for these
7 game changing future opportunities really exposes long-
8 term investment plans to significant risk. And that's
9 not to say it's not a risk worth taking, but there's a
10 really -- a very real risk that needs to be accounted
11 for, especially in terms of long-term forecasts.

12 I'm on slide 40. I -- I was going to
13 say how am I doing for time, but I think I'm not doing
14 well for time.

15 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: I'd suggest we --
16 we keep going until I think the panel has to break at
17 11:45. There's been a lot of heavy grinding. The
18 stuff that comes next I expect will move more quickly.
19 But this was essential setup, so we appreciate the
20 Board's indulgence.

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: So the next
22 question is how then to address this dilemma of, you
23 know, we need to plan out twenty (20) or thirty (30)
24 years forth. We -- we know what we know about DSM --
25 about our DSM plan for the next few years, but we don't

1 know what's going to happen in ten (10), fifteen (15)
2 years from now. How do we address that and how have
3 others addressed this?

4 The truth is that in a number of
5 regions, including some of the leading regions in North
6 America, this was often ignored until recently. And so
7 I want to go through a couple of examples of that where
8 we're looking at regions that are leading on -- on DSM
9 that were ignoring this until recently and that have
10 now taken it upon themselves to examine it much more
11 carefully to figure out how they -- how they should be
12 accounting for future DSM in their planning.

13 We have three (3) examples. The
14 starting part -- and primarily with the New England
15 ISO. So that would be the New England equivalent to
16 the MISO here. I'll talk about the California ISO, as
17 well, and then a couple other examples from Canada.

18 The New England ISO, as you can imagine,
19 their mandate is to ensure reliable supply of
20 electricity across the six (6) region state of New
21 England. They have three (3) basic tasks: The first
22 is day-to-day operation of the bulk power system. The
23 second is oversight and administration of regional
24 wholesale market. And the third is management of the
25 comprehensive power planning process to make sure that

1 the lights can stay on, not just today and not just
2 this year, but into the future. And that's what I want
3 to focus on here.

4 Until a few years ago, the -- the ISO
5 essentially, in their long-run forecasts, took into
6 account the DSM plans that were already approved, but
7 nothing thereafter. And again, it's a little bit
8 understandable because if you don't have anything
9 specific thereafter, well, you know, how can you
10 account for it?

11 They -- they came to realize that this
12 was a significant problem, significant problem to
13 actually conducting accurate forecasts and, as a
14 result, accurate planning. They struck a committee to
15 examine the issue. That committee went out and held
16 consultations regionally with a broad array of market
17 stakeholders. And, ultimately, they came to a new
18 approach that essentially finds that long-term
19 assumptions are absolutely critical to planning. In
20 other words, zero cannot be the right answer.

21 Their key finding was that there is
22 sufficient evidence that DSM potential replenishes
23 itself at roughly the same cost as its previous cost.
24 So that's huge, right? That comes back to the point
25 around innovation. We deplete some innovations, some

1 new -- new measures, we get them into market, and then
2 the market develops new measures, new savings
3 opportunities, to replace the old ones.

4 This assumption lead them. And you can
5 imagine, of course -- you know, this is the ISO. It's
6 not -- not, you know, an environmental group. They are
7 fundamentally responsible system reliability, so they
8 did not come to that easily. But they came to that
9 with a great deal of study and thought, and especially
10 study of historical data.

11 Once they came to that, they -- they
12 built in long-range assumptions for DSM going far
13 beyond the immediate plans. They -- they assume -- or
14 let me put it differently. They account for 100
15 percent of those savings happening in market. There's
16 no notion of discounting those savings in any way. And
17 the result of this has been new load forecasts that
18 anticipate essentially zero net load growth across the
19 entire region.

20 And that's now what they're planning for
21 and what they are building for. And I say "building"
22 in that case because there is building happening, and
23 that is to replace old, aging power plants that are
24 taken off of line.

25 MS. MARILYN KAPITANY: Mr. Dunsky, can

1 I just ask you, does this mean that DSM is categorized
2 as dependable energy?

3 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, effectively,
4 it is.

5 MS. MARILYN KAPITANY: Thank you.

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It is. So --

7

8 CONTINUED BY MR. BYRON WILLIAMS:

9 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And, sir, just
10 before you leave slide 43. When you say that they are
11 responsible for system reliability, would the
12 colloquial way to say that would be to say they're
13 responsible to keep the lights on?

14 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, indeed.
15 Indeed. So I -- I put up here the latest -- the latest
16 forecast. And by the way, this is actually -- we'll
17 call it a draft forecast. It's out for consultation
18 before they finalize it. But this is the ISO's latest
19 draft forecasts looking out ten (10) years.

20 I have to say there's -- there's a
21 unique situation here in that you guys are looking out
22 much further ahead than most places do. And that's
23 just, you know, by necessity because you're looking at
24 a hydro power plant with a long construction lead time.

25 So, you know, most other regions only

1 look out, let's say, ten (10) or fifteen (15) years
2 because they're not looking at, you know, long lead
3 time resources. So this is a ten (10) year -- ten (10)
4 year planning horizon.

5 If we look at some of the individual
6 states there, just to give you a sense of it, you'll
7 see Connecticut flat load -- load is -- becomes flat by
8 2016 and is anticipated to stay that way throughout the
9 planning horizon as result of DSM. Massachusetts, the
10 same thing: ever so slight decline in -- in load.
11 Those are two (2) of the states that are recognized as
12 leaders -- among the leaders in energy efficiency.

13 Maine and Rhode Island you have a
14 similar situation, except in those cases their DSM is
15 so large that it leads to declining loads over time.
16 So again, the -- the power planners there are now
17 planning for and counting on declining loads as a
18 result of the DSM in those -- in those two (2) states.

19 I want to put Vermont here. I -- I
20 should recognize, too, I said there was six (6) states
21 and I only put five (5) here. And it was a little bit
22 of an embarrassing technical glitch that I couldn't
23 actually get New Hampshire into this. New Hampshire
24 actually has increasing loads because they're one of
25 the places that do very little on -- on energy

1 efficiency. I just want to say that.

2 Vermont here is the biggest gap, as you
3 can tell, between the baseline load forecast and what's
4 now being planned for as a result of DSM. They are the
5 historic leader in DSM in the States. We -- we've been
6 working with them for some time now on their long --
7 long run energy planning.

8 And at the state level, they now assume
9 a 2 percent per year DSM happening consistently on a
10 sustained basis for the next thirty-five (35) years for
11 their long run planning. Because they actually do do
12 long run planning; we're doing that with them now.

13 So that's the case of the ISO New
14 England. CalISO --

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, Mr.
16 Dunsky. I think it's probably an appropriate time to
17 break because we agreed that we would adjourn at --
18 pardon me, we'd recess at 11:45. So with that we'll
19 just have to continue after -- after the -- the panel
20 recommences its proceedings.

21 I -- I would ask, Mr. Hombach, please
22 could you canvass the counsels to get an approximation
23 of the amount of time that each of them needs this
24 afternoon, so we can appropriately budget for the
25 available time that's -- that's open to us this

1 afternoon.

2 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: I will do that off
3 the record, Mr. Chairman. And I just remind the
4 parties that the panel will regroup at 1:00 to hear two
5 (2) presentations. The evidence of Mr. Dunskey will
6 only continue at 1:30 once the two (2) presenters are
7 finished.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

9

10 (PANEL RETIRES)

11

12 --- Upon recessing at 11:47 a.m.

13 --- Upon resuming at 1:05 p.m.

14

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon. I
16 believe that we're ready to continue with today's
17 proceedings. On behalf of the panel, I'd like to
18 welcome three (3) individuals who are presenting to the
19 panel today: Mrs. Janie Duncan, Ms. Solange Garson, and
20 Carol Kobliski (sic). I hope I pronounced that
21 correctly.

22 So I'd like to welcome you all. I'll
23 just let you know that one (1) of the panel members
24 could not be in attendance right now. He's got a
25 commitment that he had to fulfill, but he'll probably

1 join us very shortly. And you should know that we are
2 going to be recording your comments, and so he'll be
3 able to read the transcript, that is, when he's
4 available, so. And he may join us before you finish,
5 so. He just had a commitment that he had to fulfill.

6 And I know this can be a very
7 intimidating process, so please stay relaxed. You
8 know, we want to hear from you. And, you know, please
9 feel comfortable. This is not -- it's not an intention
10 to grill you or anything. We just want to hear your
11 perspectives.

12 So I'm not sure who's going to start,
13 but go ahead.

14

15 PRESENTATION BY MS. SOLANGE GARSON:

16 MS. SOLANGE GARSON: Hi. Good
17 afternoon.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon.

19 MS. SOLANGE GARSON: My name is Solange
20 Garson. I'm from Split Lake, Manitoba, also known as
21 Tataskweyak Cree Nation. And also, I'm a councilor for
22 my community. So I'm going to be -- I'm going to read
23 this letter. I -- I apologize. My English is not that
24 great, but I'm going to -- I'm going to read this. And
25 if there's any mistakes or grammar, please excuse me.

1 And I'll continue with this. Thank you.

2 Okay, this letter will be brief. And I
3 would like to point out why Manitoba Hydro has to be
4 held accountable, where they must show the public
5 exactly how they're spending Manitoba taxpayers' money.

6 I have been elected as councilor for my
7 community of Tataskweyak Cree Nation in 2012. But my
8 position was in jeopardy as soon as I began asking for
9 an investigation with TCN's consultants and lawyers and
10 non-band members who benefited Manitoba Hydro's jobs
11 and prosperity, promises that were made back in the
12 late '70s.

13 I'm not an expert with economics, export
14 prices, or whatever the excuses Manitoba Hydro is using
15 to hide the true financial picture. I have been
16 fighting for transparencies in accountability with my
17 community leaders to release where exactly the money
18 went. It is quoted by FIPA 244 million alone went to
19 the dam negotiation expenses.

20 My goodness. I do apologize here. I
21 just have to get this up.

22

23 (BRIEF PAUSE)

24

25 MS. SOLANGE GARSON: It's just that my

1 -- my computer is not working properly here. Okay. I
2 -- continue on.

3 I am so fed up. How can't -- why can't
4 we see any financial records to show where we stand or
5 how much we owe with the supposedly partners with
6 Hydro? Not once we are shown with any audit regarding
7 Manitoba Hydro's portion. The only quoted numbers I
8 see is -- I see is from ombudsman, Canada Taxpay --
9 Taxpayer Federation, or FIPA.

10 I'm not sure if it shows the true
11 financial records. So now Manitoba Hydro wants us to
12 sign off with Bipole III for 7 million, where would
13 they -- where they would pay TCN two hundred and forty
14 thousand (240,000) for the next fifty (50) years.

15 Now, compared to what these consultants
16 or lawyers, they billed TCN two hundred and seventy-
17 three thousand nine hundred and thirty-seven and
18 thirty-seven cents (273,937.37) within -- within a
19 month. I'm also going to include these figures, how
20 much these consultants and lawyers got for their past
21 work.

22 I do apologize for this. Goodness, what
23 is wrong with this? My com -- my computer's not
24 working here.

25 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Ms. Garson, would

1 you like us to take a break for a minute to --

2 MS. SOLANGE GARSON: No, no. It's
3 okay. It's okay. Okay. I'll continue on with this.
4 Okay. One (1) other consultant, his name is Robert
5 Roddick, received five hundred and forty-two thousand
6 six hundred and twenty point forty-eight (540,620.48)
7 for the year 2011 and 2012. Yet he worked for how long
8 for TCN? Approximately over thirty (30) years or less.

9 Douglas Mackenzie from Campbell and Marr
10 (sic), also a TCN counsel, made two hundred and one
11 thousand eight hundred and seven (201,807) for the year
12 2011/2012. He worked for TCN over twenty (20) years or
13 more. Ernie Hobbs, an associate, received six million
14 ninety-five thousand five hundred and two point eighty-
15 one cents (6,095,502.81) cents for year 2011 and 2012,
16 and he worked the longest for TCN, thirty (30) years or
17 more.

18 And I... It's very disturbing how my
19 community are being used to push this Hydro development
20 with empty promises while these consultants or lawyers
21 are continuing to be in conflict with Hydro and TCN.
22 How can we believe them when they're supposed to be
23 protecting our interests?

24 Yes, Manitoba Hydro tried to push this
25 clean, renewable energy on us when we -- when we are

1 experiencing the devastation impact with the
2 environment by these hydro dams, practically right in
3 our backyard. I moved back to TCN early '70s and I was
4 blessed to see TCN with six (6) beautiful beaches,
5 clean clear water. Now it's ugly, murky brown, beaches
6 are washed out, with my community looking more like a
7 slum reserve and 70 percent unemployed.

8 With that said, I came across this
9 internet with GEOOptimize, where they build ground-
10 coupled heat pump systems. I saw their presentation on
11 the website how their bes -- how their business can be
12 less expensive to implement than building dams and
13 powerlines, and employment and skills Manitoba for long
14 term. Better for the ratepayer -- for the ratepayer,
15 provincial economy, and the environment.

16 Hydro's track record, year 2001, four
17 thousand seven-o-one (4,701) to 2012, six hundred (600)
18 -- six thousand three hundred and twenty-four (6,324)
19 were hired. Only one thousand six hundred -- six
20 hundred and twenty three (1,623) were hired between
21 these years. I wonder if this includes First Nations.

22 I'm not surprised how Manitoba continues
23 to overlook all this when their slogan, 'clean,
24 renewable energy', is in question. The fact is they're
25 destroying the environment. I believe my people are

1 dying from mercury poisoning that is created by Hydro
2 dams already cut corners on expenses.

3 Manitoba Hydro -- Manitoba Hydro will
4 quickly silence anyone who questions or challenges if
5 their numbers are quoted wrong. Of course they would,
6 since we don't see any financial records. When we try
7 to get information, we are warned or terminated with
8 our jobs.

9 My brother was ousted out as chief for
10 asking this lawyer from Alberta, Robert Roderick, to
11 send all expense claims to him so he can approve all
12 claims. But he was out for questioning these
13 activities of these negotiators. Then TCN lawyer
14 Douglas MacKenzie prepared a BCR for my brother to be
15 stripped of his authority. And not once has he
16 contacted him to advise him of his right.

17 From what I witnessed, Hydro and others
18 wanted total control of our finances while we are
19 suffering the consequences of these individuals.
20 Unfortunately, my brother signed that dreaded
21 confidential agreement with Hydro and he didn't want
22 TCN to be -- be piled up with lawsuits that are set up
23 to fail if anyone decides to sue.

24 I may not be a university intellect or
25 sav -- savvy with words, but I know there's something

1 more to this and I know TCN will inherit a financial
2 mess if we continue this route. Now I'm asking for
3 this organization -- NAFTA (sic), you guys -- to
4 consider how Manitoba Hydro manages their finances or
5 how these dams are reck -- wreaking havoc with our
6 community with distress with their empty promises they
7 made years -- years ago.

8 We must look at other ways to create
9 energy and jobs for all Manitoba than destroying the
10 environment. That's my letter. Thank you.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mrs.
12 Garson. Would you like to add anything else to what
13 you've said?

14 MS. SOLANGE GARSON: I just want --
15 with all these negotiations that's been happening, from
16 early '70s, we are always dependent on -- on Indian
17 Affairs or AANDC or whatever names that they come up
18 with; we're always dependent on them. And we would try
19 to get some businesses going in our communities, and
20 then Hydro comes along and makes all these promises.
21 Yes, my people will say, Yeah. And then we have these
22 consultants coming along and taking advantage of that
23 situation.

24 And now I see my people, it's terrible.
25 And I -- I didn't think I was going to do this. But

1 I'm a councilor and I can't even exercise my duty as a
2 councilor because I'm asking these questions. We
3 shouldn't do this; this is Canada. And we have every
4 right to answer these -- for Manitoba Hydro to be
5 accountable, and the government. That's all I have to
6 say right now. Sorry, apologize.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Now I
8 wonder who is next to speak? Is it Mrs. Kobliski?

9

10 PRESENTATION BY MS. CAROL KOBLISKI:

11 MS. CAROL KOBLISKI: Tansi. That means
12 'hello' in my language. My throat is kind of sore
13 right now, but I'll do my best. I am from Nelson
14 House, also known as Nisichawayasihk. My name is Carol
15 Kobliski, and I'm a partner with Manitoba Hydro.

16 There's a lot of things that I would
17 like to bring forth as well in regards to what my
18 friend Solange was saying. With all the things that
19 are going on in her community, the same thing applies
20 to my community as well.

21 I know Wuskwatim was signed off and it's
22 been in operation, but the community has been asking
23 our leaders, you know, what's happening with Wuskwatim,
24 because we found out that they were renegotiating the
25 contract. And it was something that our community

1 wasn't aware of until we heard it in the news. And
2 lately, our community has been hearing a lot in the
3 news. Nobody's telling us anything back home.

4 We want to know if we've reached a 33
5 percent partnership or are we at twenty-seven point
6 five (27.5)? We've been asking our leaders and our
7 lawyers this, and they won't answer us. They just say
8 that it's -- they sign a confidentiality and they can't
9 disclose any information to us. And yet we're telling
10 our leaders and Manitoba Hydro, Why can't anything be
11 disclosed to us when we're partners?

12 We don't know what's going on behind
13 closed doors with this renegotiation and it concerns us
14 because we thought it was a -- a done deal already. So
15 this is something that I'm bringing to the table is,
16 you know, even as our community -- probably there's an
17 80 percent rate of unemployment in our community.
18 That's going on. And the housing condition's real bad.

19 You'll see an article in the paper
20 probably next week that I'm submitting in regards to
21 employment, housing, education, economic development.
22 It's all going to be in there. And I know my leaders
23 are pretty pissed off with me right now, but it's
24 something that has to be done. You know, we -- we've
25 been pushing and pushing for answers and nobody wants

1 to disclose anything to us.

2 And I think it's about time that Hydro
3 is held accountable for the way they practice their --
4 their ethics and how they do -- how they conduct their
5 work. You know, they -- they come into our
6 communities, they come into our land and destroy it,
7 and make promises like my friend said. And yet we don't
8 see it.

9 We were told at a general band meeting
10 last week that we already pulled in 18 million. We
11 asked, Where is it? All of a sudden we were told, Next
12 question. Nobody wanted to answer it. But I asked,
13 Are we still sitting at twenty-seven point five (27.5).
14 They said, Yes, we're short sixteen point five (16.5).
15 And then I said, Okay, where are you going to get it
16 from? They won't disclose that to us either. I -- I
17 just called one of my council members yesterday and
18 asked, and then they just said, Well, we'll try and get
19 the information to you, but I don't think we'll be able
20 to hand it out because we're sitting at the table
21 again, we're renegotiating, we can't disclose anything.

22 So this is kind of a -- it's a big
23 concern to us as a community, you know, when -- when
24 we're being told, We can't tell you anything. And yet,
25 we thought it was signed already. So that was the

1 thing that I wanted to mention, was that, you know, I'm
2 pretty sick and tired about Hydro treats First Nations
3 people and how they come into our land, into our
4 territory, and do whatever they want. And they get
5 away with it. There's no accountability.

6 Right now, our leaders are trying to
7 push for a constitution to go self-government. And I
8 know for a fact that the government is pushing
9 accountability on First Nations, which is going to come
10 out in 2015, where they have to report everything.

11 Well, I know that they're pushing this constitution so
12 that they don't have to be accountable to the
13 government for anything. And our people are saying,
14 No, we're not going to -- we're not going to have this.

15 They want to push -- put rules on us and
16 -- and on the Wuskwatim project, as well. And we're
17 kind of concerned about that. If they would tell us
18 what they were doing, maybe then we could sit with
19 them, as well, and work together as a community with
20 out partner. But there's nothing happening.
21 Everything is being kept secret. And we're -- we're
22 tired of it.

23 So that's what I wanted to -- to share
24 with you guys today. Thank you.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much,

1 Ms. -- Ms. Kobliski. And now, Ms. Duncan please?

2

3 PRESENTATION BY MS. JANIE DUNCAN:

4 MS. JANIE DUNCAN: Good afternoon to
5 the panel members and lawyers. Thank you for the
6 opportunity to make a presentation. My name is Janie
7 Duncan. I made a written submission dated April 14th
8 of 2014. I trust that you've all had an opportunity to
9 review it; if you haven't, please do so.

10 The purpose of my presentation today
11 will be to expand on some key issues addressed in my
12 written pre -- presentation, as well -- as well expand
13 on key issues that have just been discussed today.

14 When Manitoba Hydro began to construct
15 the generating stations in the early 1950s in the
16 Northern communities, they did not consult with the
17 First Nations. These generating stations destroyed
18 their lands and their way of life. This lack of
19 consultation with the First Nations prior to building
20 these generating stations led to a deep-rooted
21 resentment and mistrust against Manitoba Hydro. The
22 First Nations eventually joined in unity to protect
23 their rights so they could be compensated for their
24 losses from the destruction caused to their lands by
25 these generating stations.

1 In 1992, Split Lake received
2 approximately \$47 million in compensation. Mr.
3 Roderick and Mr. Ernie Hobbs were the negotiators
4 involved in the cod flood -- flood claim settlement,
5 according to an article in the Winnipeg Free Press back
6 in 1990.

7 Fast-forward to today; it's our
8 premier's position to compensate the First Nations
9 first to avoid the mistakes that Manitoba Hydro made in
10 the past.

11 The First Nations are now partners in
12 the business of the Keeyask generating station so they
13 can receive the economic benefits. From 1999 to 2012,
14 a total of \$110 million was reimbursed to the Cree
15 Nation partners from Manitoba Hydro, according to a
16 letter dated May 30th of 2012. As you know, the Cree
17 Nation partners consist of War Lake and TCN. This
18 compensation represented future development costs
19 pertaining to the Keeyask, Conawapa, Wuskwatim, and
20 Bipole III projects. These development costs
21 represented process costs, negotiation, mitigation, and
22 compensation.

23 The Cree Nation partners never existed
24 as an entity in Manitoba Hydro -- or pardon me, in
25 Manitoba, according to the Companies Office.

1 Mr. Douglas MacKenzie represented TCN
2 and War Lake, and he participated in the planning and
3 the development of the Joint Keeyask Development
4 Agreement. Ernie Hobbs, through his company, and
5 Robert Roderick were also involved in planning and the
6 development of the Keeyask -- Keeyask Project and the
7 development of the Joint Keeyask Development Agreement.
8 And so was the law firm Borden Ladner Gervais. Mr.
9 MacKenzie, and other members of the law firm of
10 Campbell, Marr also established corporate entities
11 beneficially owned by TC and War Lake, so they could
12 receive the economic benefits from the business
13 opportunities from the Keeyask project.

14 Manitoba Hydro had a reimbursement --
15 reimbursement policy in place with the Cree Nation
16 partners, which included War Lake and TCN for all
17 future development costs, according to their own
18 correspondence. All payments made were payable to --
19 were made to Robert Roderick Corporation in trust. He
20 was responsible for distributing the payments to the
21 invoicing parties in the -- in the reimbursement claim.
22 Mr. Lor -- Mr. Roderick is a lawyer that is licensed to
23 practise law in Alberta. He is not licensed to
24 practise law in Manitoba.

25 As you know and outlined in my

1 presentation, Manitoba Hydro has refused to provide
2 copies of the invoices even though Manitoba ratepayers
3 paid for their legal bills. These invoices may shed
4 some light on who is billing for the export forecast
5 pricing and agreements with the US power utilities.

6 Manitoba Hydro has kept this secret from
7 the public on the basis that they are apparently
8 commercially sensitive information. Manitoba Hydro
9 takes the position that they do not want the
10 competitors to know the details of the export pricing,
11 even though they claim that they have contracts in
12 place. However, if they had contracts in place, their
13 argument becomes moot.

14 When Manitoba Hydro made the decision to
15 reimburse the Cree Nation partners for participation
16 and negotiation costs, they proposed to use common
17 consultants and experts as cost-cutting measures,
18 including the use of Manitoba Hydro's consultants,
19 according to the Gull Rapids station potential Cree
20 Nation participation Manitoba Hydro proposal.

21 As you know and since that time,
22 Manitoba Hydro has reimbursed close to a quarter of a
23 billion dollars in negotiation costs, process costs,
24 and mitigation for all the Northern communities
25 involved in the Keeyask project, Conawapa, Wuskwatim,

1 and Bipole III projects.

2 These negotiations led to the
3 development of a limited partnership that isn't a
4 business called the Keeyask Hydro power Limited
5 Partnership. The Keeyask Cree Nation partners'
6 investment entities will only have an opportunity to
7 own up to 25 percent equity into the generating
8 station.

9 The Cree Nation Partners Limited
10 partnership was created in 2009 pursuant to a limited
11 partnership agreement with the general partner, 5872066
12 Manitoba Limited, and TCN and War Lake as limited
13 partners for the investment into the Keeyask Hydro
14 power Limited Partnership, along with Fox Lake Cree
15 Nation, Keeyask Investments Inc., and York Factory
16 First Nation Limited Partnership.

17 Manitoba Hydro has refused to provide a
18 copy of the financial statements of the Keeyask Hydro
19 power Limited Partnership on the basis that it is not
20 an entity which is subject to the Hydro Act. The
21 general partner of the Cree Nation Partners Limited
22 Partnership is in default for failure to file their
23 2013 annual return. The general partner of the Keeyask
24 Hydro power Limited Partnership is wholly owned by
25 Manitoba Hydro.

1 This general partner has an obligation
2 to look in the best interest of the limited
3 partnership. But there would appear to be an inherent
4 conflict of interest in the structure because Manitoba
5 Hydro also has an obligation to look in the best
6 interest of the ratepayers.

7 Manitoba Hydro will be selling all the
8 energy and capacity to the limited partnership and
9 entering into agreements with Manitoba Hydro. But
10 Manitoba Hydro is not being transparent to the
11 ratepayers on the basis that they are refusing to
12 provide the financial details of the limited
13 partnership.

14 The Cree Nation Partners Limited
15 Partnership number 2 was created in 2009 as the
16 business of the partnership and was a joint venture
17 with Signuset Northern (phonetic) called 'A
18 Misconstruction'. This joint venture was established
19 to perform direct negotiated contracts with Manitoba
20 Hydro under the provisions of Article 13 of the Joint
21 Keeyask Development Agreement.

22 Approximately 50 to \$75 million was
23 awarded to A Misconstruction in infrastructure work in
24 Split Lake. The general partner of the Cree Nation
25 Partners Limit -- Limited Partnership number 2 is in

1 default for failure to file their annual -- failed to
2 file its 2013 annual return.

3 The Cree Nation Partners Limited
4 Partnership number 3 was also created in 2009. But the
5 general partner of this limited partnership is also in
6 default for failure to file its 2013 annual return.
7 The registered office is Campbell, Marr.

8 They have -- they have -- there have
9 been many other entities that were created so that TCN
10 and War Lake could receive the economic benefits in
11 various other projects, but I'm only limited to fifteen
12 (15) minutes.

13 In 2012, many TCN members held a protest
14 in their community requesting a forensic audit of all
15 the millions of dollars invested into their community
16 and to find out more details about all the entities,
17 because despite the millions of dollars invested, they
18 are living in poverty. I've been to Split Lake myself
19 last year. And Split Lake is not receiving the
20 economic benefits despite the millions of dollars, as
21 you've heard from Solange Garson and as you've heard
22 from Ms. Kobliski.

23 In fact, there's 70 percent unemployment
24 in Split Lake, 80 percent in Nelson Hills. Is that
25 correct? When TCN members held their protest, the

1 general partner of the limited partnership sought an
2 injunction against some members in TCN, in the Court of
3 Queen's Bench in July of 2012, because their protest
4 was interrupting the Keeyask operations in Split Lake.

5 As you know, the general partner has
6 full control. As part of the Joint Keeyask Development
7 Agreement, training funds for both the Wus -- Wuskwatim
8 and Keeyask projects were provided from all levels of
9 gov -- government under a tribe -- under a federal,
10 provincial, and Hydro agreement. These monies were
11 contributed to the training initiative funds which were
12 administered -- administered by the Wuskwatim Keeyask
13 Training Consortium Inc.

14 Human resources and skills development
15 contributed \$22 million. Manitoba Hydro contributed
16 \$20 million. The government of Manitoba contributed
17 \$10 million. Western Economic and Diversification
18 contributed \$5 million. Indian and North -- Northern
19 Affairs Canada contributed \$3.3 million. And the
20 Aboriginal training partners contributed \$1.7 million,
21 for a total of \$62 million. Millions of dollars have
22 been disbursed for a sewer and water project in Split
23 Lake that was never completed.

24 Four million dollars (\$4,000,000) was
25 also bill -- provided to Split Lake to build a Keeyask

1 centre. The Keeyask centre does not exist. I have
2 attended to Split Lake in Manitoba as I said and I
3 would like to show you some photographs if I could.

4 These photographs do not represent a
5 community that is benefiting economically. You have
6 heard from testimony from other First Nations people
7 how they express their concerns and sacrifices that
8 they have made by promises that were never fulfilled to
9 them by Manitoba Hydro under the Northern Flood
10 Agreement and as we hear today.

11 Many of them clearly stated to the panel
12 that they were living in poverty stricken conditions.
13 Millions of dollars have been invested in negotiations
14 while the US still have to undergo their own regulatory
15 approvals as well. Yet the former CEO of Manitoba
16 Hydro said in a legislative assembly that if the United
17 States does not obtain their reg -- regulatory
18 approvals, Manitoba Hydro would not proceed with the
19 capital.

20 So the question remains today to all of
21 you, is: Why are we proceeding today? As you know,
22 these projects were developed for our export markets,
23 yet our export revenues have continued to decrease
24 while our rates increase.

25 These expo -- export markets were

1 intended to keep our rates low, however, our rates
2 continue to rise. What may have been a good idea in
3 the early stages of development is not an economically
4 sound idea today, because natural gas is much cheaper
5 in the United States, which is reflected in a decline
6 of our export revenues.

7 Ratepayers are subsidizing a huge
8 capital expenditure -- expenditures of these projects
9 and the benefits are not realized in the northern
10 communities. Furthermore, as our rates continue to
11 rise, this -- this will have a negative impact on
12 consumer's spending, which will crowd out private
13 investment and cause our interest rates to soar.

14 Seventy-five (75) percent of this
15 project is funded by debt financing, which may increase
16 to 85 percent, according to the Joint Keeyask
17 Development Agreement. Manitoba Hydro is reli --
18 relying on these export markets to keep our rates low,
19 but they are not disclosing these details to the
20 public.

21 What are the implications to Manitoba
22 ratepayers if we do not have the revenues to support
23 the capital expenditures. If the First Nations
24 communities are living in poverty, can they sustain a
25 business partnership and what happens if they do not

1 have the capital to invest in the project.

2 I'm almost done. The Needs For an
3 Alternatives To panel, here today, is faced with a very
4 difficult burden to determine whether Manitoba Hydro's
5 plan, and I quote:

6 "Are thoroughly justified and sound.
7 Its timing is warranted and the
8 factors the Hydro are relying upon to
9 prov -- to provide its needs are
10 complete, reasonable, and accurate
11 and whether the plan is justified as
12 superior to potential alternatives
13 that could -- could fulfill the
14 need."

15 However, it is my position that Manitoba
16 Hydro has not provided you with all the relevant
17 information in order to make an informed decision. The
18 question you need to ask yourself today is: Why is
19 Manitoba Hydro refusing to provide the panel here today
20 with the key information in order to come to any
21 conclusion?

22 We need a full independent and
23 transparent review of the training and expertise of the
24 parties that prepared the forecasting for the export
25 prices and contracts, including the millions of dollars

1 that have been invested to the First Nations over time
2 first and foremost before Manitoba Hydro invests
3 billions of dollars of the ratepayers' money.

4 Were any of these consultants involved
5 in the export pricing, and if so, what was their level
6 of expertise? Where did all the millions of dollars in
7 compensation go? I have copies of all the cance --
8 cancelled cheques from the compensation and payment
9 letters, payment transmittal letters from Manitoba
10 Hydro. But I don't think that this would be an
11 appropriate forum to discuss my concerns.

12 I would like to close by saying that
13 many people that have come forward about mismanagement
14 issues and risk issues to the appropriate parties,
15 including the RCMP and Manitoba Hydro, about Manitoba
16 Hydro's plan have faced some serious repercussions,
17 including myself, the -- the consultant who blew the
18 whistle on Manitoba Hydro, and Counsellor Garson, here
19 -- who is here today with me who has been ostracized
20 from her community for speaking out.

21 I don't believe anyone should be bullied
22 or threatened with lawsuits for taking a courageous
23 stance by speaking out about issues that have
24 significant public concern. I have made this
25 presentation to you in good faith because this is a

1 matter of public importance and you have a
2 corresponding interest to receive the information.

3 Mr. Gosselin, Mr. -- sorry, Ms.
4 Kapitany, Mr. Soldier, Mr. Bel, who's not here, and Mr.
5 Grant, Mr. Singh, Mr. Simonsen, Mr. Peters, Mr.
6 Hombach, and Ms. Lemoine, thank you so much for your
7 involvement and the timing you have invested into this
8 project. Your decision will be a difficult one.

9 But last but not least, you, Solange
10 Garson, I admire your tenacity and fighting for your
11 community that so desperately needs people like you to
12 expose the inequalities that have existed for many
13 years. No one is a better expert than you. You have
14 witnessed firsthand and have lived by the destrux -- by
15 the destruction of your land and the secrecy that
16 emanates from Manitoba Hydro.

17 The lives that were lost and the
18 sacrifices that you and many others have made in your
19 community can never be regained. But I want you to
20 know that I will continue to support you every step of
21 the way, as you have done for me. We have both
22 overcome some major obstacles and we will continue our
23 pursuit to ensure that justice is done.

24 Thank you.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much.

1 MS. JANIE DUNCAN: Do you have the
2 photograph?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry?

4 MS. JANIE DUNCAN: Do you have the
5 photograph? Yes, you have -- okay. No, you keep them.
6 Keep the photographs.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much
8 for appearing before us. We have -- unfortunately, we
9 have a limited amount of time available to us. We'll
10 certainly be considering what you've told us today. I
11 know that you've taken considerable initiative to come
12 here and speak to us. And I know you are facing
13 difficult personal situations given the stances you've
14 taken, so thank you very much for sharing those
15 opinions. And we will make sure that Board Member Bel
16 is made aware of your concerns, as well.

17 So thank you very much for appearing
18 before us.

19 MS. SOLANGE GARSON: I'll be submitting
20 my letter to you guys later.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you very
22 much.

23 MS. SOLANGE GARSON: Thank you.

24

25 --- Upon recessing at 1:38 p.m.

1 --- Upon resuming at 1:42 p.m.

2

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: I believe that
4 everyone's in position. We're a little bit late. So
5 without further ado, I'll turn the microphone back to
6 Mr. Williams unless there's some business to attend to.
7 I don't see anybody -- any hands up, so Mr. Williams,
8 please, or Mr. Gange or Mr. Dunskey.

9

10 CAC/GAC DSM PANEL CONTINUED:

11 PHILIPPE DUNSKY, Previously Affirmed (Qual.)

12

13 CONTINUED EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MR. BYRON WILLIAMS:

14 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: We -- we flipped a
15 coin. I will turn it over to Mr. Dunskey.

16 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Thank you. It's
17 hard to jump into California ISO after -- after that.
18 So coming back to -- to where we left off.

19 I -- I talked just previously about the
20 -- the New England ISO and their -- they -- the
21 decision that they took in terms of how they treat DSM
22 on the long-term basis for planning purposes. The
23 California ISO was in a very similar situation and --
24 and similarly decided to take a cold, hard look at --
25 at the issue, to ensure that -- that they were planning

1 in the most prudent way possible.

2 Obviously, the California ISO is very
3 similar to ISO New England. It's very similar to MISO.
4 Again, these are the people who are responsible for
5 system reliability or, in layman's terms, for keeping
6 the lights on in the short and even long runs.

7 In California ISO's case, they, too, had
8 previously accounted only for approved short-term,
9 basically three (3) year, plans and assumed very
10 little, if anything, after that in terms of energy
11 efficiency. When they -- when they undertook to
12 examine the issue, they did so jointly with the two (2)
13 other state agencies. So the California Energy
14 Commission, the California Public Utilities Commission
15 - essentially your counterparts - and the California
16 ISO worked jointly to examine this issue.

17 Again, held state-wide consultations of
18 key market stakeholders and actors and ultimately
19 concluded very similarly to the New England ISO that --
20 that demand-side management -- that there's sufficient
21 evidence that demand-side management potential
22 effectively replenishes itself over time. So again, a
23 very similar conclusion.

24 The approach in that case was a little
25 bit different in terms of how to account for DSM on a

1 forward-looking basis. They essentially adapted their
2 long-run DSM potential modelling approach to account
3 for future savings opportunities. So it was a little
4 bit of a different approach but fundamentally rooted in
5 the same decision, in the same perspective, of
6 accounting for sustainable savings over the long haul.

7 As -- in the way that -- that they do
8 their planning in California, they -- they use
9 sensitivity analyses of course, and so they did so for
10 the DSM as well. So you'll have a mid-DSM scenario
11 that represents the full potential, and then -- and
12 then a recognition that the actual DSM can be somewhat
13 higher or somewhat lower than the full potential
14 assessment.

15 In other words, we may be wrong. It may
16 be that in 15 years, there's not quite as many new
17 opportunities and maybe that in 15 years there are more
18 opportunities than there have been in the past. But
19 the mid scenario is, once again, the 100 percent of the
20 assessed potential.

21 The result of this change to the
22 California ISO's planning, similarly to the New England
23 ISO, was essentially flat load growth forecast into the
24 future. And so you see that on the chart here. I'm on
25 slide 48, by the way. And you'll see that by the --

1 the red squares in the charts. So those -- that
2 represents now the mid-demand scenario with mid-
3 efficiency savings, assumptions going out a dozen years
4 in that case.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Dunsky, are you
6 talking of DSM achievable potential?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Hundred percent DSM
9 achievable?

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. Yes.

11 So that's New England and California
12 ISO's. Very quickly just to note in Canada, very
13 similar approaches elsewhere. I'll take the example of
14 Nova Scotia because I was very involved with them
15 throughout the time period.

16 In 2007, Nova Scotia Power conducted an
17 IRP. As part of that, they had a -- a long-run DSM
18 potential study conducted. At the conclusion of the
19 DSM potential study, the regulatory board determined
20 that there was probably actually more potential than
21 had been indicated in the study. They asked for --
22 they asked essentially for the study to be adjusted to
23 account for a much higher level and then incorporated
24 100 percent of that higher level into the load
25 forecast.

1 And so the -- the current earliest --
2 the most recent NSPI load forecast as a result projects
3 declining load in the province. And again, that is how
4 they're planning their -- their system today.

5 So I unfortunately didn't have a chart
6 for this, but you'll see the numbers on the table
7 essentially are moving from 12 1/2 terawatt hours load
8 in 2008 pretty quickly down to roughly 11 terawatt
9 hours in 2013, and then continuing a slight decline
10 thereafter.

11 Following that IRP, DSM was handed over
12 to an independent body called Efficiency Nova Scotia
13 with whom we've been working ever since then. And they
14 very quickly ramped up DSM from next to nothing up to
15 roughly 1 1/2 percent per year in the span of -- it was
16 about two and half (2 1/2) years actually that they did
17 that. And they've been maintaining that rate ever
18 since.

19 I honestly threw in this chart from
20 Ontario; basically just says the same thing.

21 Let me just mention a few -- a few other
22 cases, because of a number of regions have looked at
23 the issue of risk. And the issue of risk I'm aware was
24 -- was raised by Elenchus in their testimony. And I
25 understand in that testimony, Elenchus put forth a -- a

1 proposal -- a bit of a theoretical proposal, but -- of
2 accounting for risks in DSM. And they also noted that
3 -- that to their knowledge, that's not actually done
4 anywhere. And I concur with them, it's not practised
5 anywhere in North America that I'm aware of.

6 So noone has actually con -- noone has
7 actually found that -- that DSM's risk merits any
8 downward adjustment on a forward-going basis. To the
9 contrary, though, some who have looked very carefully
10 at the risk associated with DSM have found rather that
11 DSM actually has a significantly lower risk profile
12 than the supply options that it is effectively
13 competing with.

14 So as a result, in the Northwest US for
15 example -- and frankly my personal perspective,
16 Northwest US is probably the region in North America
17 that has the longest history of very advanced power
18 planning.

19 When I started out in this -- I remember
20 in 1991, the Northwest Power Planning Council's five
21 (5) year plan was my Bible. I -- I learned from --
22 from that plan because they did - and continue to do
23 today - probably the deepest dive in terms of risk
24 analysis on the full range of options from planning
25 perspective. And they do long-term planning because

1 they were also, you know, less and less so, but remain
2 largely a Hydro power region.

3 The Northwest US applies -- assessed the
4 issue of risk, concluded that DSM's risk profile is
5 indeed lower and, therefore, more advantageous than
6 that of new supply. And as a result when they're doing
7 their cost effectiveness analysis, they apply a 10
8 percent reduction to DSM costs to account for that risk
9 benefit.

10 The State of Vermont and a number of
11 other states throughout New England do essentially the
12 same thing. On the flip side, they apply a 10 percent
13 risk premium to the cost of supply options to, again,
14 account for the higher risk with supply versus --
15 versus DSM so.

16 And there's -- there's a -- a note on
17 this slide that somehow got lost in the bottom, but
18 that's okay. The bottom line is that -- oh, and it
19 printed well, though, that's fine.

20 The bottom line is that -- is that the
21 serious people who have really taken the time to
22 examine the issue of risk have either concluded that --
23 that there is no need to -- to ass -- to treat risk any
24 differently for DSM or that there is a need to account
25 for a lower risk profile from DSM.

1

2 CONTINUED BY MR. BYRON WILLIAMS:

3

MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Could I, Mr.
Dunsky -- just go back to slide 49 for a second. And
the Chairperson asked you question about -- excuse me,
back one (1) more slide, slide 48, I guess is
California.

8

The Chairperson asked you a question
about California. And you've been reading the
transcript fairly regularly, sir --

11

MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Excerpts thereof,
yes.

13

MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Excerpts. And
you'll recall there was some discussion between myself
as counsel for CAC and Elenchus about the California
experience.

17

Do you recall reading that, sir?

18

MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, somewhat.

19

MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And if -- you'll
agree with me, subject to check, that if the panel was
looking for more insight into that particular
experience in California and their approach, they could
go to Exhibit 8 from ERA, which contains the -- a very
recent California study?

25

Is that your understanding, sir?

1 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah, subject to
2 check on which exhibit. But I think you're talking
3 about the -- the California Potential Study. Sure. Is
4 -- is that it? Yeah. Yeah, that -- that does contain
5 a good discussion of -- of this issue.

6 So just to conclude on -- on the third
7 section: System planners who've been tasked with
8 shining like on DSM have concluded that rather than
9 seeing its potential depleted, it renews itself quite
10 systematically through continued innovation. They also
11 conclude that it a dependable and low risk resource.
12 As a result, they've concluded that it would be
13 imprudent to not assume continued DSM improvements for
14 planning purposes over time.

15 And with that I'll move to the fourth
16 and final section of the presentation, the shortest one
17 as well, and that is what this all means for preferred
18 assumptions around DSM, and ultimately around load
19 growth for your -- for your assessments.

20 Let me start on slide 54. I -- I simply
21 put back here the chart that we saw previously that is
22 the incremental savings scenarios. And, again, just to
23 -- just to recall, the -- the solid red lines are
24 Manitoba Hydro's Level 2 and 3. The solid blue lines
25 are the scenarios that we put forth in my written

1 testimony in January or February; I'm sorry, I don't --
2 I don't recall.

3 And what I've done is said, All right,
4 let's -- let's assume that we -- that we start with
5 Manitoba Hydro's Levels 2 and 3, and rather than assume
6 a partici -- a precipitous fall after 2018, what if we
7 assume that savings are sustained.

8 In other words, that new measures
9 continue to -- to evolve, Manitoba Hydro finds new
10 opportunities to promote DSM, and -- and we essentially
11 sustain incremental savings at that rate over time. I
12 used a -- a rolling three (3) year average starting
13 from Hydro's Level 2s -- Level 2 and 3 to extend that
14 out.

15 And so if I go to slide 54 you'll see in
16 the dashed red lines -- sorry, 55, you'll see with the
17 dashed red lines what that looks like. And so I have
18 those labelled as: MH Level 3, no drop off; and MH --
19 MH Level 2, no drop off. Probably the better wor --
20 you know, better term for that would be: extended
21 versions of Hydro's Level 2 and 3 DSM.

22 Essentially what we -- what we see is
23 that the results are, by and large, similar to our
24 original Scenarios A and B. I would say that they are
25 -- their Level 2, on average, is pretty close to our

1 scenarios. Their Level 3 adjusted, on average is a
2 fair bit higher than our scenarios. Both of those
3 extended, or adjusted levels, look very much like what
4 others throughout North America are planning on and
5 counting on going pretty far into the future.

6 So this chart here has that -- that ten
7 (10) year view going out to 2023. If I then take that
8 and extend it out another decade, I go to slide 56 --
9 well, I'm sorry, let me correct myself there.

10 Slide 56 is a little bit of a different
11 view. And this is just to confound you. When I looked
12 at -- what we -- what we were looking at before were
13 incremental annual savings. This is the same numbers
14 but on a cumulative basis.

15 So what we see here is -- you know, if
16 you look out to 2025 and you look at MH Level 2 with no
17 drop-off, you're looking at a cumulation of 18 percent
18 savings relative to the forecast demand at that -- at
19 that point. And that is precisely in line at that
20 point with my Scenario A. And you can see again that,
21 on a cumulative basis, their Level 3 is significantly
22 higher on a cumulative basis. So that's just to
23 confound things.

24 Now, if I go back to the incremental
25 view, with your indulgence, but extend that out further

1 into time -- no, that's not what I'm doing here either.

2 All right. I apologize.

3 You know, I'm used to having the -- this
4 thing with PowerPoint where you can actually see the
5 next slide on your screen and others can't. So now I
6 actually have to count on my memory, which is -- which
7 is always a bad thing.

8 Moving to slide 57. What I've done is
9 taken that and now moved this to the load forecast. So
10 we're coming back to load forecast scenarios here. So
11 I'm on -- I'm on slide 57. And what we have here is,
12 at the very top, Hydro's original load forecast without
13 their Power Smart followed by their load forecast with
14 their original Power Smart Plan of 2013, which is now
15 old and outdated.

16 The yellow lines are the forecast that
17 we put in our testimony in January and February. And
18 the dark dashed red are the -- the resulting load
19 forecasts assuming extended Levels 2 and 3.

20 As you can see, if we look at Level 2
21 extended by 2025, we're looking at the total load --
22 total forecast load that is essentially the same as --
23 as our Scenario A, somewhat lesser than our Scenario B.
24 And I'm not sure if you see on -- on your screens my
25 cursor, but, essentially, I'm looking over here.

1 So what we're looking at here in terms
2 of the forecast out to 2025 looks pretty close to flat
3 load growth scenario under Level 2, and declining under
4 Level 3. When I then, and now I think this is it, take
5 that out and extend that forecast out to 2034, this is
6 now on slide 58, we see the very same data but just
7 extended outward. And again we're looking at a Level 2
8 extended that shows demand out to 2034 being just a
9 little bit higher than current demand, and Level 3
10 extended following far below.

11 That's starting to look a lot more like
12 what Massachusetts, Maine, Rhode Island, Vermont are --
13 are starting to look at, in terms of their -- their
14 load growth forecasts.

15 So, ultimately, that's where -- I think
16 if we are making the assumption that Hydro is going to
17 continue to pursue a policy of -- of pursuing all cost-
18 effective DSM opportunities, these I would consider the
19 likeliest scenarios in terms of what future load in
20 Manitoba will look like.

21 So what does that mean? First, just a
22 little caveat. It's not a prediction, all right.
23 There -- there's a really important distinction between
24 a prediction and projection. This is a projection,
25 again, assuming that that stated policy remains in

1 place. There is -- there's a risk as well, that if you
2 do end up in a situation of -- of surplus, or if, you
3 know, if the export market, for example, becomes depr -
4 - depressed, that then you will start moving quickly
5 away from that policy of pursuing all economic DSM
6 because there would be no value to saving energy that
7 you have in surplus. And that is a fundamental risk
8 that I really believe ought to be taken into account.

9 Speaking a little bit from experience
10 here, we -- we have a situation in -- in my home
11 province of Quebec right now that is extremely
12 unfortunate situation, wherein we committed to building
13 and buying far beyond what demand will support, both
14 domestically and in export markets. We counted on
15 export markets being there. They're not there. We are
16 now selling enormous amounts of surplus energy.

17 We're looking by the way -- just to give
18 you a sense of it, the -- the best estimate that I saw
19 is over the next fourteen (14) years we will have 169
20 terawatt hours of surplus energy, over fourteen (14)
21 years.

22 And that surplus energy cost us in the
23 range of ten (10) cents a kilowatt hour. We're selling
24 it at between three (3) and three and a half (3 1/2)
25 cents. It's costing our economy just over a billion

1 dollars, between 1.1 and \$1.2 billion per year in
2 losses. And that's going to go on over fourteen (14)
3 years.

4 So it's a very big hit for -- for our
5 economy. Frankly, it's a very big subsidy for our
6 neighbours to the south. But there's -- there's a real
7 risk there.

8 Now, that having been said, assuming
9 that doesn't happen and you maintain the policy of
10 economic DSM, the flat long-run demand curve is the
11 most prudent assumption for domestic needs.

12 Ultimately that implies that Keeyask and
13 other supply investments will primarily or exclusively
14 serve export opportunities. That doesn't mean that
15 it's a good thing or a bad thing. It just means that
16 it's important to view it in that light and to assess
17 it in that light.

18 And ultimately, that light implies a
19 merchant plant perspective, that fundamentally these
20 are -- these are merchant plants that are being built
21 and -- and it may be -- it may be a very good
22 investment and it may not. But I think it's very
23 important to -- to view things in that perspective.

24 So as I said -- and I'm on to sli --
25 slide 60 now -- the Preferred Plan may still be

1 preferential. You guys have some things -- if I can
2 put it this way, good things going for you that we
3 didn't have in Quebec, for example. You have,
4 potentially at least, initial export contracts to
5 secure a part of the -- of the upfront investment. And
6 that -- that's a great strength. You have additional
7 export opportunities down the road and -- and you
8 potentially have added reliability benefits from having
9 such surplus power being exported.

10 But that said, if you're looking at this
11 as -- as merchant investments, the value is heavily
12 dependant on some really big risk factors. Notably --
13 I'll throw out three (3) of them that -- that for me
14 are the biggest: How will natural gas prices evolve; I
15 think it's a very significant wild card. How quickly
16 will solar PV costs continue to decline will
17 significantly impact export prices. And whether, and to
18 what extent, the US will adopt more aggressive carbon
19 reduction requirements is a big one as well.

20 If the US ends up adopting very
21 aggressive carbon reduction requirements, they will
22 have to -- they will have to go on a pretty aggressive
23 retirement schedule -- retirement of old plants, and
24 that could open up some real opportunities for you.
25 If, on the other hand, they don't, then, you know,

1 there's a -- there's a real big risk there.

2 So, ultimately, I'm not going to come
3 down one way or another. It's not my -- not my mandate
4 here and -- and I honestly haven't -- haven't looked at
5 all the -- at all the facts involved. But I do think
6 it's important at least that in terms of the
7 assessment, that the assessment be rooted in the
8 perspective, or start from the basis of this is not for
9 domestic demand, this is not to meet domestic load
10 growth; this is an export play.

11 So, to conclude, for planning purposes,
12 it may be more prudent to assume Manitoba Hydro Level 2
13 extended after 2018. And again, this adjusts an early
14 flat domestic demand curve to 2034, which in turn
15 suggests that Keeyask and other supply investments
16 should be assessed primarily as merchant plants.

17 One (1) little note that I should
18 mention, I know that when we -- when we talk about
19 2034, we do actually start getting into the area where
20 capacity constraints become an issue. And, in fact, we
21 pass by, I think a few years, the area where capacity
22 constraints become an issue.

23 As I mentioned earlier this morning,
24 that's not something I addressed in the presentation,
25 but it certainly is something for which we -- we did

1 the -- the initial analytics and could very easily pull
2 that out and provide you additional analytics if that's
3 -- if that's sought.

4 So thank you very much.

5 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Just before we
6 turn you over to questions from the panel, or cross-
7 examination, I have just a couple more questions.

8 And, Mr. Dunsky, I'll ask you to accept,
9 subject to check, that we heard evidence yesterday from
10 some consumers on fixed or low income, that they did
11 not know a lot about Hydro DSM programming or they were
12 expect -- experiencing certain barriers in accessing
13 programming.

14 From an equity perspective, does a more
15 aggressive approach to DSM hurt or help low income
16 customers?

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It depends, is
18 the real answer. You know, it depends on a number of
19 factors. Ultimately, it can help very much if -- if
20 the conditions are -- are right. The nice thing about
21 going deeper on DSM is it means that you by necessity
22 have to offer expanded opportunities to participate.
23 If you do very limited DSM you will not be reaching as
24 many people and very often those on the lower income of
25 the -- of the scale will be those not participating,

1 because they won't have the initial capital, for
2 example, that you need to take part.

3 So to the extent that you do go deeper,
4 you certainly are offering much more opportunity to
5 participate, I think under those conditions. And --
6 and if that is a goal, then absolutely you can be
7 increasing the -- the equity.

8 The other thing I'll -- I'll mention is,
9 to the extent that you have a dedicated effort aimed a
10 low income customers, that obviously helps very much
11 from an equity standpoint. The same thing for small
12 commercial customers as well, I should say.

13 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And what do you
14 mean by dedicated effort?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well, low-income
16 programs, obviously. But one (1) -- one (1) thing to
17 note, low-income programs tend to address some large
18 end-uses and some large measures. And that's very
19 important because without them low-income customers
20 would not have access.

21 But low-income customers can also access
22 what I'll call standard market programs on smaller
23 ticket items. So if we look at programs that address
24 things like light bulbs, or fridges, or appliances, and
25 we actually look at who participates in those, we find

1 that low-income customers participate a fair bit so
2 long as those programs are out there and aggressively
3 out there, such that they can actually access it.

4 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Okay. And just a
5 final question. In terms of DSM, what exactly are you
6 recommending that the PUB do?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: My recommendation
8 really is -- is that the PUB assesses this case using a
9 more prudent load forecast. And the more prudent load
10 forecast is one that would assume a more sustainable
11 rather than a dramatic drop off DSM effort and DSM
12 results.

13 And so, very specifically, you know, I
14 have a -- I have a slight preference for -- for our
15 scenarios. I think they're -- I think they're good and
16 -- and reasonable scenarios and those would be the
17 Scenarios A and B.

18 But I think it's -- it's equally
19 judicious, if -- if the Board uses the new Manitoba
20 Hydro Level 2 and 3 Scenarios with the extensions to
21 correct the -- the dramatic drop-off that was otherwise
22 there.

23 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Just to finish the
24 point, going forward over the long-term, are there any
25 reporting or oversight mechanisms that you might

1 recommend going forward?

2 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well, yeah, I
3 mean, you know, going forward if -- if Hydro does
4 maintain its -- its policy of pursuing all economic
5 DSM, I certainly think that there's value in looking at
6 the framework here. There -- what's common elsewhere
7 is independent evaluation of programs; for example, an
8 oversight mechanism. I think you would want to be
9 looking at a framework in which multiple parties have a
10 view into what Hydro is doing and -- and can direct the
11 reporting requirements, as well.

12 Once -- once you get good reporting and
13 get good feedback and you get third-party evaluations -
14 -and, you know, this is true for anyone, myself
15 included, you know, you put my feet to the fire and I
16 will perform -- perform more and you will be certain of
17 what I'm doing. You'll be certain that I'm performing
18 at the level that -- that is expected of me. And so
19 certainly I think there's value in looking at the -- at
20 the framework.

21 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Sorry, and I
22 apologize. My back row would -- would like one (1)
23 last question, and just as a teaser, so. But capacity
24 concerns in the future may be important.

25 So if you can offer a little teaser

1 about demand response, just give a little --

2 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Okay, sure.

3 Well, the -- the first thing is that ener -- energy

4 efficiency -- just traditional energy efficiency

5 actually produces demand reductions as well. And so we

6 put those in -- in our initial testimony and -- so that

7 our Scenarios A and B come with megawatts to begin

8 with. And that's because, you know, if you're

9 insulating a home, you know, you're getting energy

10 savings, but you're also reducing peak, for example.

11 But beyond that, there are a plethora of

12 mechanisms that you can use and that Hydro can use to

13 add additional capacity savings through demand

14 response. And demand response can mean a lot of

15 different things. It can mean direct load control of

16 the source that we talked about earlier. It can mean

17 using, for example, three (3) phase water heaters. And

18 it can mean use of -- of rates as a mechanism for

19 demand response, as well as interruptible rates with --

20 with large industrial. So it's a very -- a very

21 summary response.

22 But again, we're -- we're actually

23 developing a demand response plan now for -- for

24 another Canadian utility. I can certainly expand on

25 that if -- if that's of interest.

1 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Thank you. We --

2 Mr. Dunsky is now available for questions from the
3 panel or cross.

4 MR. RICHARD BEL: Mr. Dunsky, would
5 there be an interplay between the uptake of
6 photovoltaic and -- so the market taking care of CO2
7 reduction in a certain way and would encourage
8 regulators to not impose CO2 pricing, or -- or would
9 that not be significant?

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I think the
11 problem with solar PV right now is that -- is that it's
12 anyone's guess exactly how far and fast it's going to -
13 - it's going to take off. So, you know, that's --
14 that's really an open question and, frank -- frankly, a
15 risk factor, as -- as far as I'm concerned.

16 And, you know, beyond that, from a
17 political standpoint, my experience is almost to the
18 contrary. Regulators tend to get involved when -- when
19 they see that -- that imposing the constraint will
20 actually be achievable. And so sometimes it's easier
21 to impose constraints like that when you know that that
22 -- let's say that solar resource is -- is taking off or
23 some other solution is.

24

25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1 DR. HUGH GRANT: I've been imposing
2 myself on the patience of people in the room lately,
3 but... Can I -- I have actually like eight (8) or nine
4 (9) questions, but I'll limit myself to three (3). Can
5 I start with this -- this static kind of vision.

6 And I'm having one (1) of these -- I
7 think we call it in economics big bills on the sidewalk
8 moment, where the story is an economist is walking down
9 the street, sees a hundred dollar bill on the sidewalk.
10 They won't pick it up because it's too good to be true;
11 somebody else would have gotten it, you know, months
12 ago. And I'm having a bit of that, if I understand
13 this correctly, with DSM.

14 So are you saying that the -- the
15 marginal cost of some of these DSM initiatives is in
16 the order of three and a half (3 1/2) cents a kilowatt
17 hour? And this is compared to twelve (12) cents in
18 some US states of generating an equivalent amount of
19 power.

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Absolutely.

21 DR. HUGH GRANT: And that's the total
22 resource cost, not necessarily the cost of the utility?
23 Or even if it was, there's still going to be a huge gap
24 between...

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Enormous gap. So

1 if we look at the past -- I think it's roughly twelve
2 (12) years in -- of US data just, because sometimes
3 it's easier to use. US data's just published more
4 often. They're -- they've been producing savings over
5 that period systematically at around two and a half (2
6 1/2) cents a kilowatt hour. I believe the two and a
7 half (2 1/2) cents is -- is utility costs subject to
8 check, so it might be in the range of three and a half
9 (3 1/2) cents total resource cost. But, yes, this is -
10 - this is multiples cheaper than -- than supply.

11 DR. HUGH GRANT: So in what world of
12 perversely misaligned incentives does this go on in,
13 right? And you think from just a socially optimal
14 perspective this is a no-brainer. It should've been
15 done a long time ago.

16 What's preventing this from happening?
17 Is it regulatory framework, is it in the average cost
18 pricing models or...

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. And you
20 could've gone on with others and I would've answered,
21 Yes. They're -- they're a number of -- they're a
22 number of reasons. First of all I should say that, you
23 know, we're in a situation today where we have I'd say,
24 you know, probably a third, maybe up to 40 percent of
25 regions in North America that are now going -- I won't

1 say all out but -- but going pretty hard at DSM,
2 because they have recognized what an enormous
3 opportunity this is. An opportunity that -- that if
4 you -- if you don't go after it, you -- you leave that
5 dollar bill on the ground, absolutely.

6 So they've come to -- to that
7 realization and are pursuing it. So it's not like, you
8 know, the whole continent is -- is neglecting this --
9 this tremendous opportunity.

10 That said, there are real impediments to
11 -- to pursuing it. Sometimes they are regulatory.
12 Sometimes, for example, the -- the regulatory framework
13 is a cost plus framework, and the cost plus flame --
14 framework provides no incentive at -- at all to
15 utilities to do this. So you do need regulators to
16 step in and say, you know: It's in the public
17 interest. It may not be in yours, but it's in the
18 public interest; you got to do this.

19 California, for example, just adopted a
20 -- a very strong incentive mechanism for the utilities
21 to -- to make sure that it's absolutely in their
22 interest to -- to hit and exceed targets. So there's a
23 bit of that.

24 Frankly -- and this is from the, you
25 know, twenty-three (23) years or so I've been doing

1 this -- the biggest impediment oddly enough is, to put
2 it in crude terms, it's not sexy. It's -- it's very
3 easy to wrap your head around a large project -- a
4 supply project. It's very easy to focus the mind on
5 that. It's much harder to explain the what this beast
6 is, this demand-side thing. And that, too, plays a --
7 plays a pretty important role.

8 DR. HUGH GRANT: Okay. Now, let me
9 pause for a minute. And I'm persuaded, but that
10 essentially just puts me in line where with where maybe
11 Manitoba Hydro is how now. And that's at a static
12 model. There's some -- some gains to be made from DSM
13 in the -- in the short term.

14 And I -- I take your point of getting
15 out of this head space where you think there's never
16 going to be any more innovations, so we should start
17 thinking about this constantly evolving innovation
18 but...

19 I wasn't thrilled by your example of the
20 oil industry because I remember oil at three fifty
21 (350) a barrel. And so -- but I -- I understand
22 there's been lots of technological changes; that may be
23 an exceptional case, given the resource constraint
24 but...

25 I -- I took your point, I think it was

1 on Figure 13 in your report which showed on the one
2 hand -- it had all the different states and the amount
3 of DSM being undertaken and the cost per kilowatt hour,
4 saying it didn't seem to matter if you were trying for
5 2.5 percent -- I'm sorry, there it is. So there wasn't
6 any strong correlation between the amount of DSM you're
7 undertaking and the -- and the cost per kilowatt hour.

8 Okay, I kind of buy into that. I think
9 I'd be more interested in hearing, if you could talk
10 about, say, Vermont where you've got some experience
11 that -- and it's way off to the right on this slide.

12 Do you have any insight on what
13 Vermont's done sort of year after year after year to
14 kind of maintain that kind of 2 percent saving and
15 still -- without the marginal costs going up at all?

16 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah. Vermont is
17 a -- is an interesting place. Let me -- you know, the
18 -- the thing with -- the thing with DSM is you have to
19 almost leave the world of resource economics and go to
20 the world of managerial economics.

21 Ultimately, DSM is a product that you
22 sell. And there are companies out there who sell
23 products really well and there are companies out there
24 who sell products very poorly. And fundamentally, you
25 know, what's going to make the difference between those

1 who sell well and those who sell poorly is a
2 combination of skill and motivation.

3 Vermont was extremely motivated. And
4 sometimes these things come down to -- to entirely
5 issues of personality, if you will. In Vermont, there
6 was a -- there was an organization that believed very
7 strongly in this. They convinced the legislature to --
8 to essentially make a bet on them, give them a contract
9 to actually implement this. And they were very
10 motivated and believed very strongly in achieving this.

11 Now, that was -- that was tempered by
12 independent evaluation and independent oversight of
13 what they do. But that motivation that they had -- I
14 mean, I can tell you because I worked very closely with
15 them for many years, you would never go a day without
16 the key people there waking up and thinking of some way
17 to improve their processes, to improve their
18 strategies, to find a new opportunity, to test that new
19 opportunity, to bring it to market, to find a better
20 way to bring it to market, and then start the whole
21 thing over again.

22 That -- that was and remains today the
23 environment that you have in that particular
24 organization. And it is primarily for that reason that
25 they have been able to achieve and maintain

1 systematically this pace. And the extraordinary thing
2 is you would think -- you know, coming back to this
3 question of depletion, you would think that if anyone
4 had depleted the opportunity, it would be them, all
5 right. I mean, they've been at this for, you know,
6 almost twenty (20) years now.

7 They are the ones who recently went to
8 the legislature and said, For our next contract we'd
9 like to up the ante because we believe that we should
10 now be doing 3 percent a year, and we are convinced
11 that we can achieve that. And they have a bonus
12 incentive mechanism built into their contract. So, you
13 know, they're on a very personal level. You know, the
14 people who work there, part of their -- part of their
15 payments are based on whether they hit their targets.

16 So it's unusual to find that situation -
17 - or in that situation, find an entity that says, you
18 know, Up the ante, give me more targets for the same
19 bonus. But that's -- that's in their character.

20 DR. HUGH GRANT: If I may, just one (1)
21 last point.

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Not seven (7) or
23 eight (8)?

24 DR. HUGH GRANT: I'm trying to keep
25 myself to three (3). It was ugly yesterday. They

1 were...

2 I was just thinking back, you know, sort
3 of different examples of disruptive technologies. And
4 you think of all the different ways somehow some firms
5 get out ahead of the curve and actually are the
6 innovators; some just disappear and...

7 But you mentioned -- at one point, I
8 think you used the term 'utility scale innovations' or
9 'options'. And I was wondering if -- if we did -- did
10 get to this world of new innovations and a lot of off-
11 grid type of stuff, does it necessarily mean the
12 destruction of the utility?

13 Or are there going to be instances where
14 the utility, in fact, there may be scale economies to
15 it, becomes the produce of what had -- the off grid
16 comes back on grid, or even if you're off grid, you
17 need to stay connected for the power saving or...

18 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah.

19 DR. HUGH GRANT: Can you just -- I
20 don't know if there's any examples, but --

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure.

22 DR. HUGH GRANT: -- do you see any
23 patterns to some of the new technologies as it evolves?

24 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. And -- and
25 by the way, that's -- that's kind of the question of

1 the day throughout our industry right now, not the DSM
2 industry, but -- but, you know, the utility industry,
3 especially in those areas that are already dealing with
4 the advent of solar. It's a huge question.

5 So there are -- you know, I think you
6 put it very well. There are -- every time that -- that
7 situation is -- is being faced, as is the case with
8 utilities today, there are some who say, We're going to
9 get ahead out -- we're going to go out and get ahead of
10 it, and others who -- who let them get -- let
11 themselves get swallowed by it, if you will.

12 There's a very strong argument for those
13 to get ahead of it because of economies of scale with
14 utility-scale solar. So when we talk about utility-
15 scale solar, we might be talking about -- you know,
16 we're talking about power plants here. All right. I -
17 - I visited one in California. I mean, it's, you know,
18 you got acres and acres of panels.

19 And you can imagine the economies of
20 scale that you have on that, first, in terms of the
21 pricing that you get on the panels; second, in terms of
22 the installation costs. As compared with buying them
23 one-off, panel by panel almost, and then installing
24 them panel by panel, roof by roof. So the current cost
25 estimates for utility-scale solar are just a hair north

1 of half the cost of rooftop.

2 And that's why you see, in the last
3 year, over half of all solar installations being
4 utility-scale solar installations, those utilities that
5 are getting ahead of the game by investing in it. And
6 once -- you know, the bet there is that I can't be beat
7 if my solar is cheaper than my customer's solar
8 opportunity. That's the bet.

9 DR. HUGH GRANT: Yeah, I'd better stop
10 now. They'll get nasty on me. Thanks very much.

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Pleasure.

12 MS. MARILYN KAPITANY: On your slide
13 61, you suggested to us that Keeyask and other supply
14 investment should be assessed primarily as merchant
15 plans. You talked quite a bit about New England and
16 California and Nova Scotia and what's happening there
17 in terms of DSM.

18 But given that we sell our power into
19 the MISO market, and into Saskatchewan to some extent,
20 could you talk a bit about what's happening in those
21 areas that might affect how -- how successful we might
22 be selling power there in the future.

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. I will --
24 yeah, and, I mean, can talk to a couple of -- couple
25 places there. Let me start with -- with Minnesota that

1 I happen to know pretty well. So Minnesota is, I think
2 I mentioned earlier -- utilities in Minnesota have to
3 achieve at least 1.5 percent demand savings every year,
4 which essentially means they are flattening their load.

5 What that means for you, if they didn't
6 have to be in the world of retiring plants, that would
7 mean that it would, obviously, create a big hit on your
8 export opportunities. What it really means is that
9 your export opportunities, to Minnesota at least, are
10 limited to the retirement rate of their plants.

11 And -- and that's why, when I talked
12 earlier about -- about risk factors, the key one there
13 from my perspective is how quickly will they have to
14 retire their plants and/or to what extent can they
15 replace those retired plants with gas plants and/or
16 with solar, as opposed to your power. So I think
17 that's -- that's, you know, it's to that level that it
18 affects them. That's in terms of the DSM.

19 The question of the solar outbreak, you
20 know, that's a whole other question. And that will --
21 actually, what I could do -- let me see here. If you
22 bear with me, I believe I have -- I do. All right. So
23 -- I'm sorry, I was -- no, it doesn't go automatically,
24 does it? It does. So I'm sorry, I don't have this --
25 I don't have this perfectly ready to present but, you

1 know, I'll share with you what I have.

2 So, you know, we -- we have a -- we have
3 a model that looks at grid parity in -- in different
4 places. And so, you know, when -- if I'm looking at
5 this here -- what we're seeing -- I'm sorry we're not -
6 - it's kind of hard to look at because we don't see the
7 years here. Geez, let's see.

8 Okay, if I take my conservative
9 assumption, about 5 percent cost reductions for PV, as
10 opposed to the 18 percent annual cost reductions of the
11 other scenario, North Dakota is looking at grid parity
12 by 2021. If I -- can I do this? I can. Okay.
13 SaskPower is looking at grid parity by 2017. And
14 that's -- that's significant. And that's partly
15 because Saskatchewan has the -- the single best solar
16 power resource in Canada today, you know, Manitoba
17 falls just behind that.

18 But with their resource and with their
19 rates they're looking at grid parity coming very
20 quickly and that's why we're -- we're working with them
21 now to help them get ahead of the game and actually be
22 involved in -- in working on, you know, implementing
23 solar, and having their customers implement solar
24 during that period.

25 If I look, and I'm -- I'm just -- to

1 answer your question, right, you're looking at the
2 neighbours. The OPA is a bit unusual because given the
3 incentives that they have in the market today they're
4 already at grid parity and beyond. You know, it's
5 extremely interesting to put solar power on your roof
6 in Ontario.

7 Okay. Minnesota hit grid parity this
8 past year, 2013. And when I say "grid parity," by the
9 way, this is grid parity for residential rooftop
10 installations, 5 kilowatt systems to be specific. And
11 I believe the last one -- no, that would be it. I
12 think that would cover most of the immediate
13 neighbours, right.

14 So that's in terms of that -- that risk,
15 if you will. I can -- do you want me to talk about the
16 others? Ontario being another export -- potential
17 export client, they similarly have a pretty aggressive
18 DSM plan now.

19 I would have to look at the actual
20 numbers, but I know -- I certainly know it's somewhere
21 between 1 to 1 1/2 percent a year in that range. So
22 they are effectively looking at flat demand. And
23 again, as with the case in Minnesota, the export
24 opportunity for you is in exporting to -- to meet the
25 needs associated with their -- with their existing

1 power plants coming off of line. And you'll be
2 competing with us for that to some extent and with our
3 enormous surplus.

4 And then Saskatchewan is a very
5 different story. So Saskatchewan is not doing very
6 aggressive DSM right now. And on top of that they
7 have, you know, a very significant load growth
8 associated with their -- their resource economy that's,
9 you know, quite on fire right now. So there certainly
10 you'd be looking at, you know, a greater opportunity.

11 And ultimately if they face carbon --
12 you know, carbon regulations and carbon regulations
13 start hitting their plants and they don't build their -
14 - their famous car -- capture and storage facility,
15 then you're looking at something very interesting
16 there.

17 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Mr. -- Mr. Chair,
18 could I have one (1) moment with My Friend Mr. Gange
19 and Mr. -- Mr. Dunsky?

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

22

23 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, I was
24 just making sure that that material was on the -- on
25 the record somewhere. And it's in Mr. Dunsky's

1 original evidence, so.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Probably an
3 appropriate time to take a break before I turn the
4 microphone over to the Intervenors. So let's take ten
5 (10) minutes.

6

7 --- Upon recessing at 2:37 p.m.

8 --- Upon resuming at 2:54 p.m.

9

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: If people can get
11 into position we'll start the -- resume the
12 proceedings. Mr. Williams, I just noticed that there's
13 a document that's been handed out.

14 Do you want to record it -- enter it
15 into the record?

16 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Yes, My Learned
17 Friend, Ms. Craft, who will be appearing tomorrow has
18 instructed me to request that the Board accept the
19 Aboriginal Litigation Practice Guidelines from October
20 of 2012. She's requested that we put this on the
21 record.

22 And it's something that's certainly --
23 at our office we use to guide our practice in -- in
24 dealing with our -- with the -- our -- our First people
25 in -- in terms of evidence. And so it's something we'd

1 recommend for the Board's reading.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Williams --

3 MR. KURT SIMONSEN: That'll be CAC-63,
4 Mr. Williams.

5 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you for
6 doing my job for me, Mr. Simonsen. I'm very grateful.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
8 Williams.

9

10 --- EXHIBIT NO. CAC-63: Aboriginal Litigation
11 Practice Guidelines from
12 October of 2012
13

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'll turn the
15 microphone over to Me. Hacault. S'il vous plait.

16

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ANTOINE HACAULT:

18 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Merci, M.
19 President. I'm just back here, so don't worry. Just a
20 couple little points, Mr. Dunsky. You had shown us on
21 your screen some Excel spreadsheets which I think are
22 the background information to some of the information
23 on PV system grid parity, which is discussed in your
24 report around page 39.

25 Would it be possible to print out some

1 of that information that you referred to, sir? And if
2 so, could you -- I'll leave you the care of describing
3 the undertaking.

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. So I -- I
5 do need to -- to be just a little bit careful, because
6 the model itself was -- was initially built for -- for
7 another client and is -- is protected in that -- in
8 that sense. But -- but I can certainly provide the
9 details of the -- of the solar power grid parity
10 assessment that we did for each of the -- each of the
11 four (4) neighbouring states and provinces, as well as
12 for Manitoba, if that -- if that meets the need.

13 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Yes. That --
14 that would be great.

15 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And --

16 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Thank you.

17 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And to confirm the
18 undertaking, it's to provide supporting tables for the
19 information found in Figure 15 of Mr. Dunsky's pre-
20 filed written evidence relating to grid parity. And it
21 will address the calculat -- the -- the conclusions
22 drawn for Ontario, Minnesota, North Dakota,
23 Saskatchewan, and Manitoba?

24 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: That's perfect.

25 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Thank you, sir.

1 --- UNDERTAKING NO. 121: Mr. Dunsky to provide
2 supporting tables for the
3 information found in Figure
4 15 of his pre-filed written
5 evidence relating to grid
6 parity, and it will address
7 the conclusions drawn for
8 Ontario, Minnesota, North
9 Dakota, Saskatchewan, and
10 Manitoba
11

12 CONTINUED BY MR. ANTOINE HACAULT:

13 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: The next question
14 I have, sir, relates to some of the exchange that was
15 occurring between Dr. Grant and yourself about the
16 hundred dollar bill on the sidewalk and the DSM costs.
17 And I'd like to draw your attention to an exhibit which
18 was filed earlier on in this proceeding, we've all been
19 here for some time, but it's MIPUG Exhibit 20-3. And
20 this is a document that was prepared by InterGroup
21 Consultants, sir.

22 And I reviewed this document very
23 briefly with you when we were having our break,
24 correct?

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

1 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: And, sir, if we
2 look at this spreadsheet, and the example too, what
3 we're doing is adding generation or lost exports at ten
4 (10) cents, for a total revenue requirement of eighty
5 dollars (\$80).

6 If we proceed with the assumptions
7 there, do you agree mathematically that that example
8 shows that adding ten (10) cent power would give us an
9 average rate per kilowatt hour of seven point two-seven
10 (7.27) cents?

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I -- I have no
12 reason to -- to think otherwise. I haven't done the
13 math, but it seems to make sense.

14 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: And so if a
15 customer used 10,000 kilowatts in a year, the math on
16 the -- would lead you to a bill of -- for that
17 customer, of seven hundred and twenty-seven dollars
18 (\$727), correct?

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: That one is
20 pretty straightforward, yeah.

21 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: And then if we
22 did DSM, for example, a new showerhead with a lower hot
23 water usage, and say if that was a program -- and I
24 don't think it would be a ten (10) cent program -- but
25 if it was a ten (10) cent program per kilowatt hour,

1 this is Example 3, we'd have a revenue requirement of
2 eighty dollars (\$80).

3 Are you following me so far?

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

5 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: And then this
6 assumption or illustration, we're actually seeing that
7 the DSM program worked and we've taken out the expected
8 growth so that we remain at 1,000 gigawatt hours for
9 the system.

10 Do you see that?

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yep.

12 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: And the average
13 rate if we spend as much on DSM as new generation,
14 actually leads us to a higher average rate?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure, less
16 revenue. Yeah.

17 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: And if we look at
18 -- we've established that some customers will
19 participate in the programs depending on the programs,
20 and some won't be participating in the programs, fair?

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

22 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: So that the
23 customer who participates in this particular program,
24 if his usage was reduced because of the program to
25 9,000 kilowatts hours per year, we'd see that his or

1 her bill would be seven hundred and twenty (720). And
2 that is obtained by multiplying the eight (8) cents
3 times 9,000 kilowatt hours per year.

4 Do you see that, sir?

5 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

6 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Okay. So -- but
7 the non-participating customer would see his bill --
8 his or her bill increase to eight hundred dollars
9 (\$800) in Example 3, compared to Example 2 at seven
10 hundred and twenty-seven dollars (\$727), correct?

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

12 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: So is it fair to
13 suggest to you, sir, that we can't automatically say if
14 we spend ten (10) cents per kilowatt hour on a DSM
15 program, that it leads to the same results for
16 customers as spending ten (10) cents on generation?

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, certainly.
18 For individual customers, yes. Yes. It would really
19 depend on who's participating. And so participants win
20 and non-participants lose. And I think that just comes
21 right back to the -- to the question earlier from Mr.
22 Williams, which is, you know, do you -- how do you
23 address this issue of equity, right.

24 And the way to address the issue of
25 equity is really one (1) of two (2) routes. You can

1 either minimize the effort, but in which case you will
2 have many more losers than winners. Or you can
3 maximize the effort and try to ensure that while not
4 everyone is going to take a showerhead, everyone takes
5 something, right. Someone's going to take a
6 showerhead, someone's going to take a light bulb,
7 someone's going to take insulation. And overall
8 everyone is participating in the overall reduction in -
9 - in total cost.

10 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Thank you. Next
11 short subject, and I think you've touched upon it
12 fairly well, one of the themes I have been dealing with
13 as it relates to various witnesses and their particular
14 area of expertise is: Have we got the bandwidth wide
15 enough.

16 And with respect to DSM and our approach
17 and -- and load growth, do I understand your
18 recommendation, sir, that the way Manitoba Hydro has
19 approached DSM in its analysis, that it's your view
20 that we have not got that bandwidth wide enough if
21 we're not examining an example that basically has flat
22 load growth?

23 We are not analyzing the possibilities
24 in a wide enough manner?

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: By -- by

1 bandwidth you mean -- you mean essentially scenario
2 analysis?

3 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Yes.

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah. Well,
5 certainly. I mean, my -- my analysis is that -- that a
6 zero growth scenario is the likeliest -- the likeliest
7 scenario. And so clearly, if -- if that is not one (1)
8 of the scenarios that is being assessed, then
9 absolutely, there's a -- there's a real issue in terms
10 of the -- the band, if you will, of analysis.

11 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: And therefore, if
12 we don't have that scenario and we have to go to the
13 next best one, what, in your view, is the next best one
14 that's on the record so far, apart from yours, in -- in
15 Manitoba Hydro's material?

16 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: This -- this is
17 where my limitations kick in. So I -- I have not
18 looked at that side of -- of the evidence. I've been
19 pretty singularly focussed on the DSM side. So I'm
20 sorry, I -- I would have to assume that it would be the
21 -- the next -- the next lowest load growth forecast,
22 but I can't really speak to that.

23 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Okay, I thought
24 you might be able to as regards to your specific area
25 because, without suggesting the answer, Manitoba Hydro

1 had looked at a DSM 2 scenario, a DSM 3 scenario, and
2 you had presented your scenarios and the record that
3 Manitoba Hydro has provided to -- in -- in this
4 proceedings -- the most aggressive DSM would be a DSM
5 3, but tapering off, as you showed, sir.

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

7 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: If -- it that's
8 the best information that we have, the DSM 3...

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I -- I would -- I
10 would be very wary of suggesting the use of DSM 3 for a
11 couple of reasons. First is that that tapering off
12 affects DSM 3 just as much as it does DSM 2. You know,
13 Level 3 and Level 2. In the -- over the planning hori
14 -- you know, over a short period of time, there's a
15 difference there. Over the planning horizon, you know,
16 it's a grain of sand on a pretty long beach. It --
17 it's largely immaterial for planning purposes.

18 The other concern that I would have is I
19 did look quickly at Level 3 costs, and Level 3 costs
20 are extremely high. There's -- you know, my -- my
21 guess would be that'll -- that, you know, effort was
22 put into looking at Level 2 and then, you know, Level 3
23 just put a very high cost there. But that is certainly
24 not in line with anything that I've ever seen for the
25 level of -- of DSM that is involved in -- in Level 3.

1 So I -- I would be very -- very wary of putting any --
2 any use to the -- to the costs assumptions for Level 3.

3 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Thank you very
4 much for that perspective, because one might have
5 assumed, well, if we're going to be doing more --
6 looking at a more aggressive DSM scenario, we should
7 look at DSM 3 to get us a better idea of what might be
8 occurring based on your belief of what's going to be
9 reasonable.

10 But you say we should be cautious about
11 that because of the tapering off at the very end and --
12 and because of the additional cost that's being
13 attributed to a DSM 3 scenario, which would skew the
14 results.

15 Is that a -- a fair summary?

16 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, and it --
17 you know, in effect DSM Level 3 is no -- no prefer --
18 no more preferable than -- than DSM Level 2. I would
19 just temper that by saying that, you know, those same
20 concerns apply to DSM Level 2, in terms of the tapering
21 off. I just don't think it's a realistic long-term
22 savings assessment from that perspective.

23 If there is any way to -- to run the
24 numbers using a -- using the adjusted levels, then if
25 that were the case, then I would certainly recommend

1 you using an adjusted or extended Level 2, rather than
2 an adjusted -- or extended Level 3, both for prudence
3 sake and -- and for cost sake.

4 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Thank you, sir.

5 And in your testimony, you focussed, as you said,
6 mainly on, I'm going to call it, energy DSM. In your
7 report, you mention various, I'm going to say, demand-
8 type DSM. For example, at page 7 of your report, you
9 talk about demand response programs, interruptible
10 rates.

11 Can you explain, sir, your views of how
12 these, I'm going to call it, capacity, or dealing with
13 the peak type of DSM, in your view should be considered
14 as resource options?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure, you know,
16 it's -- it's very much analogous to -- to energy, in
17 that, you know, the -- the conventional thing to do is
18 say, Well, you know, if energy demand rises then we
19 need to, you know, build more to -- to meet that
20 demand. And we now know that energy efficiency can
21 supply that same service.

22 Well, the same thing is true for -- for
23 capacity, and so there are demand-side management
24 options that address capacity very specifically that
25 can be undertaken at a lower cost than the cost of new

1 capacity being built. And so in that respect, I'm
2 absolutely favourable to at least looking at those
3 options.

4 And we -- we recently completed a demand
5 response potential study. And that potentials --
6 actually I completed two (2) -- two (2) different
7 demand response potential studies for two (2) different
8 regions. We found they have very significant savings
9 opportunities, not unlike other demand response
10 potential studies.

11 And when we look at those and apply
12 those to
13 -- to Manitoba Hydro's case, I think we put the -- the
14 numbers in here, we make just adjustments for Manitoba
15 and this is, you know, reasonably high level. You
16 know, we think it's pretty safe to add an additional
17 somewhere between a hundred and twenty-two (122) and
18 400 megawatts of capacity savings just from demand
19 response. And that would be on top of the roughly
20 1,000 megawatts of capacity savings associated with the
21 energy efficiency programs.

22 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: And I won't take
23 you through that, sir, but in the DSM reports prepared
24 by Manitoba Hydro there's a fairly large sector of the
25 DSM initiatives that relate to commercial land

1 industrial users.

2 Would that be fairly consistent with
3 your experience in other jurisdictions that the
4 commercial and industrial sectors have a role to play
5 in capacity DSM programs?

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Oh, absolutely,
7 yes. Yes, they have a very large role to play. And
8 that would frankly depend on, you know, the specifics
9 of each region.

10 One of the big differentiating factors
11 is how much control one has over domestic water
12 heaters, so that'll, you know, temper or not the value
13 of the commercial industrial side. But you know,
14 interruptible rates in the industrial side, as well as,
15 demand response in commercial buildings offer very
16 interesting opportunities.

17 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: So if Manitoba
18 Hydro was proposing to cap certain demand-side capacity
19 programs in industrials, that wouldn't be something
20 that you would be recommending as long as those
21 programs are economically feasible?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I guess it's --
23 it's a little bit of a hypothetical for me. I think, I
24 probably need to know a little more before -- before
25 advising. You know, it depends what -- what the cap is

1 for and -- and what that cub -- what that cap does in
2 terms of, you know, savings on the one hand and -- and
3 costs on the other.

4 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: One of the
5 programs that we've discussed is the curtailable rates
6 program. Are you familiar with all the details, if you
7 aren't I'll just end there?

8 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah, I'm -- I'm
9 not familiar with the -- with the fine print details of
10 -- of the specific curtailable rates in Manitoba.

11 MR. ANTOINE HACAULT: Thank you very
12 much. Those are all my questions.

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Okay.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Merci, Me. Hacault.
15 I'll turn it over -- microphone over to Mr. Orle on
16 behalf of MKO.

17

18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GEORGE ORLE:

19 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
20 Mr. Dunsky, hello.

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Hello.

22 MR. GEORGE ORLE: I just have a few
23 questions for you and they relate to some of the
24 service areas of your -- of your company. You indicate
25 planning design and -- and then some partial

1 implementation are -- are part of the services that you
2 provide.

3 And I gather that planning and design of
4 DSM programs is part of what you -- you do in your
5 company?

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: A very, very
7 large part of what we do, yes.

8 MR. GEORGE ORLE: And in planning and
9 designing a -- a program, do you take into account
10 differences such as geographical location within the
11 territory?

12 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Absolutely.

13 MR. GEORGE ORLE: And in a province
14 like Manitoba where a bulk of our population is in the
15 south that a -- and a large portion of the population
16 is scattered throughout the north, there would be
17 particular considerations that you would take into
18 account in -- in designing a program?

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Anywhere we're
20 designing a program we're going to design it
21 specifically for the -- for the characteristics of the
22 province. And so in this case, yes, clearly the -- the
23 unique characteristics of the northern population would
24 certainly be a part of it.

25 MR. GEORGE ORLE: And in designing

1 programs -- if you're designing a program that would
2 involve or would require a large participation by low-
3 income groups, would there also be specific factors
4 that you would take into account in designing that
5 program?

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Absolutely.

7 MR. GEORGE ORLE: And can you indicate
8 just on general sense what sorts of -- of designs or
9 planning would be required in dealing with low-income
10 groups.

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: There are a lot
12 of options there. I mean, you know, the --
13 unfortunately, what we -- what we find very commonly is
14 -- is the need to cover essentially the full cost of --
15 of measures. In -- to the extent that we're talking
16 about home retrofits, we tend to find that there is a
17 need to do what's called direct installation.

18 So in other words, it's not just putting
19 out the option, but it's actually offering the service
20 of go -- of going to the home, installing the measures,
21 conducting the work, doing the audits. In other words,
22 it's -- it becomes a very turnkey program. And -- and
23 there have been, you know, different efforts over
24 different -- different locations over time to try to
25 get away from that, with very little success. So that's

1 as a general rule, again, you know, notwithstanding
2 specifics.

3 MR. GEORGE ORLE: That would be a
4 general case though throughout North America. You --
5 you wouldn't fine a much different way of dealing with
6 the low-income population?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Not -- not in
8 terms of -- of home retrofit programs. I mean, and --
9 and sorry, just -- I mean, just to be very precise,
10 there are exceptions to the rule, but oftentimes, they
11 don't pan out. So just to give you an example, Nova
12 Scotia, a long time ago, tried something where they
13 said, You know, okay, we can't ask for financial
14 contributions, but maybe we can ask for sweat equity.

15 And what they quickly found was that a
16 large proportion of their low-income customer base was
17 -- was also seniors, and seniors were not able to
18 provide the sweat equity. So a lot of places have --
19 have attempted different tweaks to try to get a greater
20 contribution from low-income customers. Some have
21 succeeded. Vermont, for example, has succeeded to a
22 limited extent to get some contributions, for example,
23 from -- from landlords; a limited extent though.

24 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Okay. And I would
25 assume, and correct me if I'm wrong, that if one's

1 talking about loan programs, then if they're directed
2 towards low-income persons, who normally don't have
3 anything in their budget leftover for -- you would have
4 to have almost an immediate payback to cover the cost
5 of the load on whatever it is that you put into effect
6 to reduce the -- the costs?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah, let me
8 stratify this a little bit more, right, because it
9 depends. There -- there's low income and there's low
10 income. And within low income, there's -- there is --
11 there are different levels of education as well that
12 affect the ability to take on finance, and there's
13 also, frankly, different levels of debt aversion.

14 So, you know, we see cases where you can
15 -- you can put together the -- you know, the most, on
16 paper, perfect finance mechanism, and, you know, some
17 segments of the low-income population will not touch it
18 with a 10-foot pole because they've been burned by debt
19 problems in the past, and they just will not take on
20 new debt, you know.

21 And there are other segments that, you
22 know, unfortunately, you can sell debt pretty -- pretty
23 easily and too easily. So I wouldn't -- I wouldn't
24 generalize it, and I'd want to closer at the
25 sociodemographics of the low-income population in

1 Manitoba.

2 MR. GEORGE ORLE: And I guess where the
3 strong point of your company is that you also provide
4 the evaluation of these plans, and the opinions you're
5 giving here now are based upon the -- the amount of
6 time you've had to evaluate various plans of this type?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. We do -- we
8 do a program evaluation, typically not on the programs
9 that we've designed, but...

10 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Okay. And have you,
11 in your experience, done any work in designing or -- or
12 planning DSM programs on First Nations territories?

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, we have.

14 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Okay. And do they
15 have any specific requirements in terms of the design
16 of the -- of the plan?

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. And again,
18 they -- 'they' being a generalization, so I -- I want
19 to be careful. But, you know, certainly there -- there
20 are some specific considerations that we -- that we
21 focus in on there. Again, you know, depending on the
22 territory, literacy sometimes is an issue -- excuse me
23 -- and sociodemographics, as well.

24 Sometimes there are, you know, simply
25 different -- differences as simple as, you know, for

1 example, we did work in Labrador and helped -- you
2 know, helped them in particular with -- with Native
3 populations there, in some places where there are areas
4 of very high unemployment. And where there's very high
5 unemployment, you know, we're finding a lot of people
6 who are at home all day long, and that leads to
7 different usage patterns. And that means that some
8 measures, for example, are going to be more interesting
9 or less interesting to address.

10 So all those factors we try to -- we try
11 to look at when we design a program.

12 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Okay. And the input
13 for that, where -- where would you get that input from?

14 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well, it really -
15 - again, it depends on location. We'll start with
16 secondary data, whatever secondary data is available.
17 We'll then go on to consulting populations and so we
18 can, you know, either consult at a -- at a secondary
19 level with people that know the populations. It -- you
20 know, in the worst case -- I shouldn't say worst case,
21 but -- because cost wise it becomes a worst case. You
22 have to go a level further and actually you had to do
23 consultations with individuals.

24 You know, we'll do phone -- phone
25 surveys, for example, if needed. And we've certainly

1 done that in the past.

2 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Okay.

3 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It depends on the
4 available data, really.

5 MR. GEORGE ORLE: And -- and how
6 effective is things like phone consultation with either
7 low income participants or First Nations participants?

8 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I -- I hate to
9 keep answering with it -- it depends, but it depends.
10 And I -- I think if -- certainly sometimes there are
11 concerns around -- again, to strat -- just to stratify
12 this, because low income -- you know, there could be a
13 lot of people considered low income. At the very, very
14 bottom strata there you can have significant
15 populations, for example, that don't have phone
16 service. And, you know, in that case phone surveys --
17 you won't know it if you're not conscious of it that
18 you're going to be missing, you know, stratas of that -
19 - of that population using phone surveys.

20 MR. GEORGE ORLE: My point wasn't to
21 try to put you on the spot. I'm assuming that it's
22 very important that there be a lot of contribution from
23 the -- the areas that you want to penetrate into as to
24 what's going to work, what's going to be culturally
25 appropriate, what may be socially appropriate, and that

1 there's a lot of work that needs to go into preparing a
2 plan.

3 You just don't cookie cut them out of
4 somebody else's plan, or do anything of that sort?

5 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: That -- that is
6 absolutely true, yes. Yes. One (1) of the things I
7 should say with -- with First Nations populations, in
8 particular, that we try to do is -- is bring in their
9 participation into the program and -- and in to
10 delivering the program; that tends to have a higher
11 level of success.

12 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Okay. And at one (1)
13 point in your testimony you talked about dealing with
14 DSM programs with low income people. And I believe you
15 used the words that one would have to be fairly
16 aggressive -- sorry --

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: No, it's --

18 MR. GEORGE ORLE: -- that one would
19 have to be fairly aggressive in promoting these plans
20 in order to -- to get them into the -- the low income
21 areas?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, that's true.

23 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Okay. And -- and why
24 is that?

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Gee, I wish I

1 knew. It's something that we hit up against
2 systematically. You know, there -- there are people
3 who have gotten burned once too often and look at that
4 hundred dollar bill laying on the sidewalk and say, you
5 know, Too good to be true.

6 You know, there are people who are hard
7 to reach. Other people -- in many cases, for example -
8 - well, I won't say just in urban environments but in
9 many cases who have far greater problems that they're
10 dealing with than -- than thinking about energy bills
11 and getting their time and attention is -- is
12 exceedingly difficult.

13 So there are a number of different
14 factors.

15 MR. GEORGE ORLE: So we're -- we're
16 basically talking aggressive marketing?

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah.

18 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Going some --
19 something more than just putting out a pamphlet or --
20 or making an announcement?

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Absolutely.

22 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Yeah.

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I'm a little bit
24 nervous on this, because I'm going to be looking at
25 Hydro's Low Income Program, which I haven't begun to

1 look at yet, so talking a little bit ahead here.

2 MR. GEORGE ORLE: I'm just giving you a
3 few ideas.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

6

7 MR. GEORGE ORLE: On -- on slide 10 you
8 talk about some of the unique strengths that Manitoba
9 Hydro has in -- in dealing with -- with DSM programs.
10 And the -- the second bullet on that is electric gas
11 integration. And you -- you set this out as being a --
12 a strength on delivering DSM.

13 We've heard some testimony, and it's
14 gone back and forth, but that one (1) of the problems
15 that -- a problem, in fact, is the fact that it's
16 integrated. That if there had been a separation and
17 each side was allowed to aggressively market, then one
18 would be able to reach each of the different groups of
19 the program that was appropriate to them as opposed to
20 having a neutral aspect to -- to what program you may
21 use?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I -- I wouldn't
23 really understand why, unless what you're talking about
24 is -- is the motivation for the utilities, respective
25 utilities in that case, to do this. You know, in some

1 cases one could argue that a utility -- let's say, you
2 know, a gas utility that's competing for market share
3 will be more motivated to go out and help their
4 customers as a -- as a retention tool. But otherwise,
5 I don't really see the -- the challenge that you're --
6 that you'd be referring to there.

7 MR. GEORGE ORLE: Okay. Thank you very
8 much, Mr. Dunsky. Those are my questions. Thank you,
9 Mr. Chair.

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Thank you.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Orle.
12 And on behalf of Manitoba Metis Federation, Mr.
13 Shefman, please.

14

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COREY SHEFMAN:

16 MR. COREY SHEFMAN: Thank you, Mr.
17 Chair. Sorry, I'm kind of hidden behind the pillar
18 here. I'll move over a bit. I have very few
19 questions. I did have more, but your presentation was
20 so thorough it answered most of them. So I'm going to
21 start by going back to your example, speaking about the
22 utility-scale solar generation.

23 You gave us an example of a project in
24 Texas where they were able to produce significant solar
25 power at, I think you were saying, significantly lower

1 cost than is available generally.

2 My question is: Would implementing
3 solar PV on a community power generation level have
4 similar efficiencies for the grid and for ratepayers?

5 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Would it have
6 similar -- similar economies of scale?

7 MR. COREY SHEFMAN: Yeah.

8 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It would just --
9 it would depend on the scale. So if you're -- it's
10 really hard to answer. You know, so in that case, they
11 were looking at 150 megawatt project.

12 MR. COREY SHEFMAN: Okay.

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: If we're looking
14 at 150 megawatt project, then certainly you'd be
15 looking at the same economies of scale.

16 MR. COREY SHEFMAN: Can you tell us,
17 have any projects on that scale been tried in Canada?

18 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, there --
19 there's a project in Ontario, and I'm just -- I'm
20 trying to remember the -- the capacity. It's not
21 coming to me. I believe it was, you know, north of a
22 hundred megawatts, but that would be really subject to
23 check.

24 MR. COREY SHEFMAN: Fair. So if --
25 given that -- given that it -- it's been implemented

1 elsewhere, if good parity were to happen in Manitoba --
2 or, sorry, could happen in Manitoba as soon as four (4)
3 years from now, according to Manitoba Hydro's
4 estimates, and I believe I have that number right,
5 would you agree with me that the PDP appears -- the
6 Preferred Development Plan appears to be lacking an
7 emphasis on solar that the evidence you presented us
8 suggests should probably be there?

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, absolutely.

10 MR. COREY SHEFMAN: Thank you. Moving
11 on to slide 55, in particular, and -- and continuing on
12 this same theme. Your projections seem to expose what
13 appears to me to be some pretty dramatic flaws in the
14 PDP's reasoning. In response to Board member Grant,
15 you attributed Vermont's success at DSM at least in
16 part to their motivation to succeed.

17 If we compare what Manitoba Hydro has
18 been doing for many years now and continues to do at
19 Power Smart and what Vermont does, is motivation the
20 significant distinction there or is there something
21 else that's holding Manitoba back?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Honestly, that's
23 hard to speculate on.

24 MR. COREY SHEFMAN: Okay. That would
25 be my questions then. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.

2 Shefman. Mr. Weinstein, on behalf of the

3 independent consultants, please.

4 MR. MICHAEL WEINSTEIN: We have no

5 questions for this witness. Thank you.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms. --

7 thank you, Mr. Weinstein.

8 Ms. Boyd, please.

9

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MARLA BOYD:

11 MS. MARLA BOYD: Thank you. Good

12 afternoon, Mr. Dunsky.

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Good afternoon.

14 I want to start with a few questions regarding solar

15 power. You'd agree with me that solar is an

16 intermittent resource?

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

18 MS. MARLA BOYD: The sun doesn't shine

19 twenty-four (24) hours a day?

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Indeed.

21 MS. MARLA BOYD: Sometimes it's cloudy?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

23 MS. MARLA BOYD: Can you confirm that

24 the cost for solar provided in your evidence do not

25 include the costs required to manage that intermittency

1 of the resource?

2 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Absolutely.

3 There's an additional cost for capacity.

4 MS. MARLA BOYD: Those costs would
5 include system integration costs, the cost of storage
6 to back up or support the variability of the solar
7 resource.

8 Is that right?

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Storage costs,
10 yes. System -- by system -- if by 'system integration'
11 you mean the storage?

12 MS. MARLA BOYD: Well, connection with
13 the system back and forth, yes.

14 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah, I'm -- you
15 know, utility scale, costs, my guess is, would include
16 system connection. I could check that. But absolutely
17 on storage, yeah, you need to add storage costs to do
18 an apples-to-apples comparison.

19 MS. MARLA BOYD: We heard evidence,
20 probably a week or so ago, from Morrison Park Advisors,
21 one of the independent expert consultants, that the
22 utility rate structure would have to adapt to ensure
23 that customers pay the cost associated with receiving
24 backup support or integration from the grid.

25 Would you agree with that?

1 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Over the long-
2 term I think it would.

3 MS. MARLA BOYD: And can you also
4 confirm that the cost for solar provided in your
5 evidence do not include the operation, maintenance, and
6 inverter replacement costs that would continue
7 to accrue through the life cycle of the measure?

8 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: No, that is not
9 true. So --

10 MS. MARLA BOYD: Those costs are
11 included?

12 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah. The life -
13 - well, the life cycle analysis that we do is based on
14 the life of each of those equipment. And so they're
15 blended into the separate kilowatt hour.

16 MS. MARLA BOYD: Thank you. Turning to
17 your discussion of certainty or uncertainty, would you
18 agree that there's uncertainty with respect to the
19 delivery of power from any resource option, including
20 hydro or DSM?

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

22 MS. MARLA BOYD: And given where we are
23 today, looking at negotiating long-term export
24 contracts for power to be delivered from Keeyask in
25 advance of the requirement for Manitoba load in the

1 order of a thousand gigawatt hours for ten (10) years,
2 would you agree that there would be uncertainty related
3 to receiving regulatory approvals which could delay the
4 in-service date and affect the availability -- or the
5 ability to deliver power from such a sale?

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure.

7 MS. MARLA BOYD: From a del -- delivery
8 of a dependable energy perspective for the sale of that
9 thousand gigawatt hours for ten (10) years, the risk
10 from Keeyask, or at Keeyask, is at the front end,
11 correct?

12 Once Keeyask is in service the
13 dependable energy can be relied upon?

14 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Once it's in
15 service -- well, okay, let me come back to the
16 question.

17 MS. MARLA BOYD: Sure.

18 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: The question was:
19 Is the risk to Keeyask from -- from the front end?
20 From my perspective, if what you're talking about is is
21 the cost risk to Keeyask at the front end, absolutely.
22 The revenue risk to Keeyask of course is on the back-
23 end. And then the -- you know, the smaller, but
24 nonetheless present risk is -- is a question of
25 resource risk, you know, rainfall over twenty (20),

1 thirty (30) years, but that's -- you know, that's a
2 smaller issue.

3 MS. MARLA BOYD: I -- I was actually
4 talking about the delivery risk, the ability to be able
5 to deliver power from Keeyask.

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Oh, to deliver
7 power. Yes.

8 MS. MARLA BOYD: Would be at the front-
9 end?

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Primarily, with
11 the exception of -- of rainfall projections.

12 MS. MARLA BOYD: And you're aware that
13 the -- the source of power is dependable energy, it's
14 based on the lowest water level in a hundred years?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Okay. I was not.

16 MS. MARLA BOYD: Okay. So with respect
17 to DSM, would you agree with me that DSM savings are
18 generally built up year-by-year?

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

20 MS. MARLA BOYD: So there'd be
21 uncertainty as to whether those savings would be
22 realized every year as projected?

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

24 MS. MARLA BOYD: And would you accept
25 that page 40 of Manitoba's Clean Energy Strategy --

1 first of all, have you looked at Manitoba's Clean
2 Energy Strategy?

3 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: No.

4 MS. MARLA BOYD: Okay. It's -- it's
5 part of Manitoba Hydro's filing and will you accept,
6 subject to check, that it says that:

7 "Nonetheless, for most Manitobans,
8 the opportunity to move from
9 conventional fossil fuel use to clean
10 energy and even onto fossil fuel
11 freedom is increasingly a reality."

12 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I will accept
13 that's what it says, yes.

14 MS. MARLA BOYD: Are you aware that the
15 fifteen (15) year DSM plan Manitoba Hydro has put
16 forward includes a component of fuel switching?

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, I am.

18 MS. MARLA BOYD: And would you agree
19 that there's a potential to have some programs in that
20 plan not approved or even cancelled at a later date?

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. If -- if
22 we're in the world of yes/no, then yes. If we're in
23 the world of nuance, I might nuance.

24 MS. MARLA BOYD: Feel free.

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Okay. The -- the

1 nuance is that -- that DSM is -- it's a portfolio.
2 It's comprised of many different pieces, many different
3 levers. And so, yes, individual pieces are -- are at
4 risk, as is everything, but the fact that you have such
5 a broad portfolio of options in front of you means that
6 when -- when one (1) item is at risk, another one (1)
7 can be used to kick in further.

8 And, you know, the risk -- like first of
9 all, the risk goes up and down -- anyways, the risk is
10 -- is both ways, but more to the point you control a
11 lot of different levers. And so you can deliberately
12 address a risk with one (1) area by putting more
13 emphasis, putting more effort into another area.

14 In that sense the risk is reduced.

15 MS. MARLA BOYD: But you're not saying
16 it's non-existent?

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I -- I will never
18 say -- I don't know of anywhere where risk is non-
19 existent.

20 MS. MARLA BOYD: Thank you.

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I would invest
22 there if I could, but...

23 MS. MARLA BOYD: Thank you very much,
24 Mr. Dunsky.

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: My pleasure.

1 MS. MARLA BOYD: Those are our
2 questions.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Dunsky, before I
4 turn the microphone over to Mr. -- our counsel, I -- I
5 have a few questions I wanted to ask you. And
6 specifically, you indicated that you did a couple DSM
7 potential studies for other jurisdictions.

8 How long did it take you to do that? I
9 mean, from the point you got the mandate until the
10 point you generated the report, how long was it that...

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It depends on the
12 region, but typically six (6) to twelve (12) months.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, that's -- okay.
14 Now, I -- I'd -- you know, there was a reference in
15 your report that you submitted where you mentioned
16 transmission. And you'd indicated in your report that
17 you hadn't -- it wasn't part of your mandate and I
18 agree with that, but you did provide a tantalizing clue
19 that there might be some benefit for you to consider --
20 examine transmission.

21 And so I'm -- do you have any off -- any
22 perspectives to offer on the link between transmission
23 DSM based on work you've done, and so on?

24 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well, okay, there
25 -- there are a couple of pieces, and I'm not sure if

1 this is -- if this is what -- what you're getting at,
2 but I'll -- so you know, DSM certainly offsets the need
3 for new generation, but -- but DSM also has the benefit
4 of offsetting the need for -- for capital investments,
5 period.

6 And so to the extent that -- for
7 example, you have -- you have load growth where you
8 have anticipated transmission constraints in certain
9 areas, you can intensify the DSM effort in that
10 particular area. And it, as a result, push back the
11 need date -- you know, defer the need date for any
12 capital investments in transmission upgrades to meet
13 the load in that particular area. And that certainly
14 don -- something that's done in several regions.

15 You know, and simply put the costs --
16 the cost effectiveness of DSM in those regions where
17 you have transmission constraints, you know, changes
18 dramatically and allows you to do a lot more -- a lot
19 more DSM to create those deferrals of capital needs.
20 I'm not sure if that's --

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: I guess I assumed
22 that -- I kind of had the impression that you were
23 referring to the planned transmission investments that
24 Manitoba Hydro was going to make in relation to the
25 Preferred Development Plan.

1 So I wasn't sure. I just wanted to
2 clarify with you what you were alluding to when you
3 made that reference in your report to transmission.

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I wish I knew,
5 and I'm a little bit embarrassed that I don't. But if
6 there is a specific place in -- in the report, I can
7 certainly take a look at it.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: You know what I -- I
9 don't recall where I -- where I saw it, so.

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: You and me both.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I guess the
12 other question I wanted to ask you is, in the -- in
13 dealing with utilities where DSM has become a priority,
14 is it a case where that is part of their strategic
15 direction?

16 In other words, is there a clear DSM
17 goal imbedded in a strategic plan of the organization
18 that would cause that organization to focus on DSM in
19 particular?

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes and no.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Or does it make a
22 difference?

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well, it -- so
24 they're two (2) -- they're two (2) ways I can answer
25 this. And I'll -- I'll try and answer both to make

1 sure I'm being comprehensive in my answer.

2 There's the question of origination, so
3 in other words where does it come from. And typically
4 where it will come from is the regulator. So whenever
5 we look at, you know, those regions that are rather
6 aggressive on DSM, it's the regulator that is saying --
7 you know, as a -- as a requirement of -- of your -- of
8 your licence you need to achieve these goals. So
9 that's where the motivation comes from.

10 Once -- once that is put into place
11 then, absolutely, utilities, to put that into the
12 strategic plans, you know, ideally have incentive --
13 and the incentive can be monetary or not, but incentive
14 to hit those targets. And that has to be built into,
15 you know, every nook and cranny of the organization,
16 and of the organizations indicators -- key indicators
17 of success.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: I guess -- I guess
19 you -- you made -- you mentioned the situation that's
20 going on in Quebec with respect to the surplus
21 production and the fact that it represents to some
22 extent a disincentive for increased DSM investment.
23 And I'm -- I'm trying to draw a parallel to Manitoba
24 where, you know, selling power to a US marketplace at
25 three (3), four (4) cents a kilowatt hour, and you

1 know, you can get seven (7) or eight (8) cents from a
2 domestic customer for power. So it seems to me that
3 there's a bit of a parallel there in that -- in that --
4 that situation may represent a disincentive for an
5 institution to invest in DSM.

6 Am I misreading this situation --

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. No, I
8 think that's fair. I -- you know, I think the -- the
9 important thing is to -- is to define if we're looking
10 at this from a short-term or long-term perspective.
11 And if you're looking at it for a long-term
12 perspective, which I think is -- is the appropriate way
13 to look at things in terms of resource planning, right,
14 then the DSM that we do today -- even if export markets
15 are -- are down or depressed, the -- the DSM that we do
16 today is pushing the need date for future investments
17 back. And that deferral is a deferral of real capital
18 investments and has a significant value to us. So the
19 deferral value is real.

20 The -- the problem arises when -- when
21 you have surplus, and so much surplus that it becomes a
22 long-term surplus. And so Quebec is in that situation
23 where we -- you know, we're looking at fifteen (15)
24 years of surplus ahead of us, fourteen (14), according
25 to the latest projection. When you're looking at

1 fourteen (14) years of surplus, you know, the value of
2 the deferral -- now you're looking at, you know,
3 deferral value only kicking in, you know, deep into the
4 future that -- you know, once you've discou --
5 discounted the value, it starts becoming pretty
6 minimal.

7 So, you know, I think -- I guess what
8 I'm trying to say is the fundamental difference is how
9 deep surplus you're in or not, not so much the -- the
10 short-term export price.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: There's an expression
12 in English which I'll share with you. Most of you have
13 heard it. But there's -- you know, the expression is
14 there's many a slip between the cup and the lips.

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I had not heard
16 that.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I don't know if
18 there's a French expression, but that's the English
19 that I learned. And -- and I guess my concern is
20 around the fact you may build a nice structure. You
21 may build -- you know, you have a beautiful DSM
22 program. And then the question becomes: Will they
23 come?

24 And so -- and a low income -- I don't
25 want you to do -- I don't want you to dwell on that

1 because I know you're going to be studying that later,
2 but the Low Income Program has been in place -- the
3 Furnace -- the Furnace Replacement Program has been in
4 place for a number of years, and the drawdown has not
5 been there, you know, peop -- when people are not
6 taking advantage.

7 So there is a program there that's --
8 that's been put in place. I guess the question I have
9 -- you know, it's one thing to say we're going to
10 invest 50, 60, \$70 million dollars in DSM, but
11 expecting that there will be a benefit short-term. I
12 guess I have some real concerns there. And I -- you
13 know --

14 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Okay.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- you don't seem to
16 have that same concern. So I guess...

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: And I did have
18 that concern when -- when I started out in this, and
19 for some time. But what I've come to see is that -- if
20 I may, I'll take issue with the premise. And the
21 premise is that you put something out there and you
22 wait for them to come, and maybe they'll come and maybe
23 they won't, and that -- that would be a pretty big
24 risk. If that's the way we're delivering DSM, then
25 we're doing -- then we're doing a real disservice to

1 DSM and to ourselves.

2 So it's not something that we put out
3 there and hope that people will come. It's something
4 that we have to sell and actively sell, and go out
5 there and pound the pavement and make sure that the
6 sales happen. When you have a good product you can do
7 that if you know how to sell and -- you know, and allow
8 yourself to do so.

9 So, you know, I think the way -- the
10 question that you ask is -- is extraordinarily
11 pertinent because I've worked with both types of
12 organizations. I've worked with organizations that --
13 that put DSM out there and hope that people will come.
14 And oftentimes they find that they don't, and -- and
15 then declare failure.

16 Those organizations tend not to have
17 motivation to deliver, to sell. They tend not to have
18 a solid reporting framework where they have to actually
19 report on their results in -- in a specific way. They
20 tend not to have an oversight framework.

21 Now, let me switch over. Those
22 organizations that operate under a clear oversight
23 framework with clear reporting requirements and,
24 ideally, performance requirements, they deliver, and
25 they systematically deliver. So I will work in an

1 organization like that, and I know they're going to hit
2 their targets every year, year after year. And that's
3 because the managers of those DSM programs, they have
4 KPIs.

5 You walk into that organization. The
6 very first thing that you see is, you know, the -- the
7 monthly -- the monthly scale of where we are and where
8 we need to be, and we're measuring that on -- I won't
9 say a realtime basis, but something pretty close. You
10 know, every couple of weeks we know exactly where the
11 needle is, we know exactly where it needs to hit. And
12 we have the flexibility to ensure that if -- if our
13 needle is looking too low in a given month, the next
14 month we're going to change it up to make sure that we
15 ramp up our sales and hit those sales targets.

16 Now, that all sounds nice in theory.
17 When we look at practice -- Mr. Klassen actually this
18 morning put up a chart. And I would urge you to -- to
19 look at that chart. And that was -- if I remember it
20 correctly, it's a report by the -- by the ACEEE which
21 is the premiere organization in DSM.

22 And they looked at the -- I think they
23 took -- look -- took a look at something at twenty (20)
24 odd states, and I forget if it was over the past year,
25 over the past years, indicated the initial target and

1 what they achieved. And in the vast, vast majority of
2 cases, targets were either met or exceeded.

3 And in very few cases were they not met,
4 and where they were not met, it was by very small
5 margins. And that's because those organizations have a
6 framework in place, reporting requirements. Oftentimes
7 they have financial incentives including penalties and
8 bonuses that are tied to performance. When that
9 happens, DSM is a power plant. And you operate that
10 power plant to produce the output that you need to
11 produce in that year.

12 I -- I've -- I can't -- I can't express
13 it really any other way other than to say that, you
14 know, there are those two (2) organizations and you
15 want to make sure that you, or Hydro, is -- is the
16 former. Sorry -- is the latter, to be clear.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks. Mr. Hombach,
18 please?

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Oh, and -- sorry,
20 if I can just add one (1) little thing. When I say
21 "hit the targets," those are independently evaluated
22 numbers. So in all cases, you know, the -- the program
23 savings are given over to independent evaluators to
24 verify exactly what happened in market and exactly how
25 much savings are related specifically to the programs

1 that those entities put in place.

2 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Thank you, Mr.
3 Chairman. Good afternoon, Mr. Dunsky, and welcome to
4 Winnipeg.

5 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Good afternoon.
6 thank you.

7 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Before we get
8 started, I do have two (2) exhibits to introduce. Both
9 of those are comparisons between Manitoba Hydro's 2013
10 Power Smart Plan and the 2014 Power Smart Plan.

11 The first is a chart that focusses on
12 the projected electric savings between those two (2)
13 plans. The second is a chart that focusses on the
14 difference in planned investment between those two (2)
15 plans, and I propose to have those entered as PUB
16 Exhibits 67 and 68.

17

18 --- EXHIBIT NO. PUB-67: Chart that focusses on the
19 projected electric savings
20 between Manitoba Hydro's
21 2013 and 2014 Power Smart
22 Plans

23

24 --- EXHIBIT NO. PUB-68: Chart that focusses on the
25 differences in planned

1 investment between Manitoba
2 Hydro's 2-13 and 2014 Power
3 Smart Plans
4

5 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And Mr. Chairman, if
6 we could stand down for a minute, I can hand out paper
7 copies.
8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)
10

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we're ready
12 to resume the proceedings.
13

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SVEN HOMBACH:

15 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Yes, we are, Mr.
16 Chairman. Thank you. So, Mr. Dunsky, you could have
17 left the microphone on since I'm about to ask you
18 questions now anyway. Before we get into the nuts and
19 bolts of your report, I'd like to follow up on a few
20 issues relating to your expertise, just to get a better
21 understanding. And I appreciate you've testified
22 before the PUB before.

23 You spoke this morning about a pending
24 retainer with Manitoba Hydro, and I was wondering if
25 you could provide a little more clarification of what

1 that entails and what the status of that currently is?

2 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. So my firm
3 responded to Manitoba Hydro RFP for a review of their
4 Low Income Program. And we were retained to conduct
5 that work. So I believe that we're, you know, at the
6 stage of just waiting for contracts to be signed and --
7 and work to begin shortly.

8 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And I note that your
9 website lists a project for the City of Saskatoon
10 relating to solar photovoltaic panels.

11 Can you provide a brief overview of what
12 that retainer entailed?

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. For the
14 City of Saskatoon, we were -- we were asked to examine
15 -- first of all, to examine the opportunity for -- for
16 solar PV for the city; questions of grid parity, for
17 example, so the costs and -- and benefits thereof. And
18 then to work with the city as well as its -- its
19 utility to examine a variety of different financing
20 mechanisms that the city could use to promote -- or to
21 facilitate adoption of solar PV by its -- by homeowners
22 and businesses in the region.

23 And so we've -- we've essentially, you
24 know, examined those scenarios and -- and ultimately
25 recommended strategies for the city related to the

1 finance of solar PV.

2 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Can you advise what
3 the purpose of that city initiative is?

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure, the -- the
5 -- their purpose is to accelerate adoption of solar PV
6 in the city, examine -- examine financial models for
7 them that would ensure -- if I can come back to -- to
8 the point that -- that Commissioner Grant made earlier,
9 ultimately their point is to get ahead of the game, if
10 you will, and make sure that they are involved in the
11 eventual adoption of solar PV within their -- their
12 city.

13 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And if I heard you
14 correctly this morning, I believe you indicated that
15 you're currently working on the preparation of a
16 Canadian Integrated Resource Plan?

17 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Integrated
18 Resource Plan, no.

19 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: An IRP?

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well --

21 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: I misheard. I
22 apologize. I'm --

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: No, that's fine.
24 So in terms of IRP, we're currently advising one (1) of
25 our clients in the context of -- of the IRP that's --

1 that's taking place in their province, yes.

2 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Are you aware of the
3 fact that -- that one of the recommendations that this
4 panel has received from Elenchus Research Associates,
5 who was an independent expert retained to -- to speak
6 to load forecasting and DSM, is to apply an Integrated
7 Resource Plan perspective to the evaluation of DSM?

8 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I believe I read
9 that, yes.

10 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Are you in a
11 position to speak to what the difference is between the
12 way Manitoba Hydro currently evaluates programs and the
13 way programs would be evaluated in an Integrated
14 Resource Plan?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. In a -- an
16 Integrated Resource Planning process -- let me say it
17 this way, the fundamental difference is prior to --
18 prior -- prior to the advent of IRP, utilities largely
19 begun with a load forecast and then examined options to
20 meet that forecast. And that was kind of the way to
21 go.

22 Eventually, people realized as -- as I
23 was, you know, having a conversation with -- with
24 Commissioner Grant, that -- that demand-side resources
25 can be far more economic than supply. And so bending

1 down the -- the supply curve -- or, sorry, bending down
2 the demand curve can be far more economic than
3 increasing the supply curve.

4 And that's -- so IRP was born of that --
5 of that concern, that -- that the previous way of
6 planning almost necessarily, or almost by design, led
7 to higher cost solutions than were necessary.

8 So to come to -- to your question then,
9 the fundament difference is IRP looks at demand-side
10 options on an equal footing and in the same pacing as
11 supply options. And that means that at the very outset
12 you look at the full array of -- of demand-side
13 opportunities at the same time as you look at the
14 supply-side opportunities. You bring those together
15 and you examine, you know, which -- which combinations,
16 if you will, are the -- are the lowest cost and lowest
17 risk options to ensuring that the lights get -- are
18 kept on over the long haul.

19 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: How would the
20 practical outcome be different between those two (2)
21 approaches if in one approach you're comparing it
22 directly to new supply, whereas in another one you're
23 evaluating the cost and looking at it from a reduction
24 of the load forecast perspective?

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: The -- the way it

1 -- it effectively changes things is that when you're
2 not doing IRP, you tend to take load as a given. And
3 when you take load as a given, you focus on supply
4 options alone. You compare your -- your supply options
5 amongst themselves. You -- you assess which ones are -
6 - are more costly, less costly; which ones are more
7 sensitive or less sensitive to changes, including to
8 changes in demand.

9 But ultimately it becomes, if you will,
10 a competition strictly between supply options, not
11 between supply options and demand options. As a
12 result, you can get locked into the choice of the --
13 the preferred supply option, or series of supply
14 options, to meet that hypothetical demand and not have
15 had the opportunity to choose a lower cost path which
16 involves greater use of demand-side options.

17 Does that help, or am I not being clear?

18 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: It's -- it's quite
19 helpful. That doesn't mean I don't have a follow-up
20 question still. And that's the test that you apply in
21 the two (2) approaches to evaluate a new DSM measure.

22 Is there any fundamental difference in
23 the tests?

24 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I'm not sure
25 exactly what you mean.

1 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: There is a --
2 there's several different tests that are used to
3 evaluate DSM. There's the total resource cost test.
4 There's Manitoba Hydro's modified total resource cost
5 test. There's RIM, the rate impact measure.

6 Is there any fundamental difference in
7 the tests that would be used under either of those two
8 (2) approaches?

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: IRP or non-IRP?

10 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Yes.

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It's a little bit
12 of a different context. So those tests are usually
13 talked about when -- when you're looking at building a
14 DSM plan. When you do that, your tests are comparing -
15 - in all cases, your tests are fundamentally comparing
16 the DSM option against your voided cost. And your
17 voided cost has a certain value given to it.

18 If you think of IRP as being you put all
19 these resources in the mix and see which ones come out,
20 then you're no longer really looking at a test, per se.
21 You -- the test, in other words, is: Is the demand-
22 side option cheaper than the supply options? So in
23 effect you're -- by analogy, the test that you use in
24 an IRP is what's known as the program administrator
25 cost test, or alternatively known as the utility cost

1 test. Because all you're doing is comparing the cost
2 to, let's say, Hydro of supply options and the cost to
3 Hydro of demand options.

4 So that's -- that's what an IRP
5 effectively, de facto does. If you're talking outside
6 of an IRP and -- and let's say, you know, the process
7 here, then really you can do whatever you want. If
8 you're not using that integrated approach, you can --
9 you can use pretty much any test. It ultimately won't
10 really matter because you're not testing for different
11 -- different levels of DSM.

12 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Let's go to Manitoba
13 Hydro Exhibit 87, page 69 for a moment, please. And
14 that can be flashed up on screen.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

17

18 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: I don't know if
19 you've seen the chart, Mr. Dunskey. That's a chart
20 that's from Manitoba Hydro's DSM evidence. And it
21 shows the levelized resource costs of a number of
22 different measures. And I believe if we skip back one
23 (1) page. Sorry, if we -- it shows that on average the
24 levelized utility cost of the existing DSM measures are
25 about two point four (2.4) cents per kilowatt hour.

1 Are you familiar with the levelized
2 utility costs that Manitoba Hydro's projecting for
3 Keeyask and Conawapa?

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: My -- I -- I only
5 have a vague understanding that it's somewhere in the
6 range of eight (8) to ten (10) cents.

7 Is that fair?

8 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: I -- I believe it's
9 -- it's above six (6) cents, but lower than -- than
10 what you indicated.

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Okay.

12 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: But in any case, it
13 is significantly higher than the two point four (2.4)
14 cents.

15 So can you describe to the panel at a
16 high level how you would evaluate individual measures,
17 or, if you'd prefer, a basket of measures against the
18 levelized utility cost for new generation?

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. And -- and
20 I remember now, I -- I believe I had eight (8)
21 something cents in mind, and I think that was because
22 it was including the -- the original cost writeoffs.
23 But, yeah, the -- the -- to answer the question, I
24 mean, it's the -- the utility cost test, if you will,
25 is very straightforward, and that is you compare the

1 cost to the utility against the cost to the utility,
2 both costs being costs that will be passed on to -- to
3 ratepayers eventually.

4 And so if you take this example, you
5 would say, you know, at the portfolio level, you're
6 looking at two point four (2.4) cent option and you're
7 comparing that against a, you know, six (6), seven (7),
8 or eight (8) cent kilowatt hour option.

9 And it -- it's -- I don't mean to
10 belittle it. You know, there are a lot of complexities
11 behind that, but at a fundamental level, it's that
12 simple and ought to remain that simple.

13 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Are you suggesting,
14 then, that Manitoba Hydro look at a basket of DSM
15 measures and take the total levelized utility cost or
16 the total resource cost of that basket and compare it
17 against the levelized utility cost of new generation?

18 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I -- I would
19 absolutely recommend that in this case, that you be
20 looking exclusively at the utility costs, not what's
21 called the TRC, or the total resource cost, and so,
22 yes, you would take a basket of opportunities.

23 And depending on the -- on the precise
24 situation, there are different levels at which you
25 might want to group those baskets, all right? So for

1 resource planning purposes, the way we'll typically do
2 it is we will look at several scenarios. And so let's
3 say, you know, we would look at -- you know, let's say
4 it's the Hydro Level 2 and the Hydro Level 3 and maybe
5 a Hydro Level 2 adjusted, or, you know, extended and a
6 3 extended. Let's say we took those four (4)
7 scenarios, we attributed costs to them, and -- and we
8 would compare those against the utility avoided costs,
9 which, in this case, would be -- would be Keeyask,
10 again, always at the utility cost level, not the total
11 resource cost level.

12 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: As a corollary to
13 that, you spoke about economic DSM in your testimony
14 this morning. What's your definition of economic DSM
15 then?

16 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well, there are
17 different ways of doing it. So there are fundamentally
18 two (2) -- two (2) different ways, and it depends on
19 the perspective that you are interested in. If you're
20 interested in a societal perspective, then -- then the
21 economic DSM would compare the full societal cost of
22 DSM against the full societal benefits.

23 If you're doing that, you have to look
24 at an awful number of factors. Societal benefits get
25 pretty -- pretty large, and so there are a number of

1 regions, probably about, you know, I'd say roughly a
2 fifth or so of -- of states and provinces that do DSM
3 significantly that use that as their metric, a societal
4 cost test, if you will.

5 Alternatively, you take a narrower but
6 simpler view, which is the utility perspective, and if
7 you're doing that, then you are comparing again the
8 utility cost against the utility benefit, and that is
9 what defines what is economic for the utility to do on
10 behalf of its ratepayers.

11 My -- ultimately, I don't land on one
12 (1) side or the other of that debate, because I think
13 it depends on how far you're willing to go and
14 interested to go in assessing that social perspective.
15 The one (1) thing I urge all my clients not to do is to
16 take a societal perspective on cost but not on benefit,
17 because the societal benefits are more difficult to
18 calculate, and that skews things a fair bit.

19 So when that's the case -- and I suspect
20 that's the case here; I don't think anyone has -- has
21 done extensive non-energy benefit studies -- then
22 certainly if you want an apples-to-apples comparison,
23 you compare utility cost to utility cost.

24 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: When you prepared
25 your original report, you had reviewed the EnerNOC

1 study that forms part of the original NFAT filing?

2 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, I had.

3 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And that is a
4 bottom-up study?

5 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

6 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And that compares to
7 a -- a top-down approach that you took in preparing
8 your report?

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: To some extent,
10 yes.

11 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Have you ever prepa
12 --

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Can I just nuance
14 that a little bit?

15 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Absolutely.

16 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: So in our report,
17 what we did was we accounted for -- we took a -- a
18 mixture of top-down approach but -- but accounted for
19 the EnerNOC results as well, as well as the limitations
20 of the EnerNOC study, as a counterbalance to that top
21 down. So, in other words, we did account for that
22 bottom-up analysis but as well as its limitations.

23 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Have you ever
24 actually prepared or assisted in the preparation of
25 bottom-up studies?

1 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Absolutely.

2 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And --

3 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: We're doing some
4 right now.

5 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: I take it you've
6 reviewed Manitoba Hydro's rebuttal evidence?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

8 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: So you're aware that
9 Level 2 DSM is approximately three point eight (3.8)
10 times base DSM?

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Absolutely.

12 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And that compares to
13 the stress test that Manitoba Hydro had initially filed
14 of four (4) times base DSM?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. And just
16 with one (1) -- one (1) very important caveat, and that
17 is that that is three point eight (3.8) times in the
18 very short term. But over the full planning period,
19 it's nowhere near that. And I think that's the -- the
20 fundamental rift there.

21 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And before we
22 address that point, let's go to Manitoba Hydro's
23 rebuttal evidence, which is Manitoba Hydro Exhibit 85,
24 page 35 of the PDF.

25

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2

3 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Sorry, page 35, not
4 page 85. On the bottom of that page, there's a
5 description of what Level 2 DSM actually entails. And
6 it's my understanding that Level 2 means the -- the
7 measures that are included in DSM Level 1, as well as
8 conservation rates, load displacement, and fuel
9 switching.

10 That's your understanding as well?

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Absolutely.

12 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And conservation
13 rates, that's just another word for saying it's going
14 to be an inclining tail block rate?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Primarily. It
16 depends what your conservation goal is but...

17 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Fuel switching, that
18 means switching from electricity to gas or to other
19 fuels?

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

21 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And what's your
22 understanding of load displacement?

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: That depends what
24 -- what you build into -- into load displacement. It
25 can mean a lot of different things, quite frankly.

1 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Is it your
2 understanding that to implement conservation rates,
3 Manitoba Hydro would need PUB approval?

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, but... My
5 assumption is yes.

6 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Have you had an
7 opportunity to review the 2014 Power Smart Plan?

8 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: At a very cursory
9 level, and if you're talking about the -- the three (3)
10 year plan, right? Certainly not the fifteen (15) year
11 plan that was...

12 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Yes, at this point,
13 I'm asking you about the three (3) year plan that was
14 filed a -- a while ago.

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: At -- at a very
16 cursory level.

17 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Based on your
18 review, is it your view that it represents a credible
19 means of achieving Level 2 DSM?

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: To be perfectly
21 honest with you, I couldn't say. And I couldn't say
22 simply because I did not that level of review to try to
23 get to the answer to that question.

24 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Have you had an
25 opportunity, at least at a cursory level, to compare

1 the difference in expenditures and projected measures
2 year over year between the two (2) plans.

3 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, I did so
4 begin at a cursory level when looking at it. Not in
5 that -- not in an analytical level.

6 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Okay. If we could
7 flash PUB Exhibit 67 up on the screen for a moment?
8 That's the chart prepared by PUB advisors that shows
9 the difference in projected savings on a measure-by-
10 measure basis.

11 And I appreciate, Mr. Dunsky, that this
12 was just handed out and you haven't had an opportunity
13 to review it beforehand, but you see that for some of
14 the measures, there's a very significant increase.

15 Like, for example, for the Lower Income
16 Energy Efficiency Program insulation measure, it's a
17 643 percent increase.

18 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, on the
19 capacity side, yes.

20 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Conversely, for some
21 of the programs listed further down, if we could scroll
22 down?

23 On the capacity side, the Commercial
24 Kitchen Appliance Program projects a 2,600 percent
25 increase.

1 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

2 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: When you see such
3 sharp increases, are you optimistic that those can
4 actually be met?

5 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Certainly. You
6 know, if you look, for example, at the Commercial
7 Kitchen Appliance Program, I'm not concerned at all by
8 that, because forget about the 2,600 percent number,
9 but look at the starting point.

10 The starting point is essentially --
11 there's very little activity. Perhaps that was a
12 pilot, you know? Perhaps it's -- it's something that's
13 dormant and will now be activated.

14 And so -- but I'm not concerned about,
15 you know, increasing twenty-five (25) fold from -- from
16 essentially nothing.

17 You know, where -- where I might get
18 concerned is if we're looking at programs that -- that
19 are already very aggressive and are then projecting
20 doubling or tripling. And unfortunately, that's not
21 the sort of assessment that I can -- that I can make on
22 the fly here.

23 What I can say is that, and I think I
24 said it before, you know, the -- I -- I understand that
25 a part of the very large increase comes from the -- the

1 Load Displacement Program, and so that offsets a -- a
2 fair bit of my concern for the very peaky nature of
3 this.

4 Setting that aside, when I look at
5 things in the aggregate and -- and, yeah, I look at
6 this list and I -- I would really encourage you not to
7 be, you know, phased, if you will, by individual
8 measures. You need to look at this in the aggregate.

9 And -- and I would certainly assume that
10 -- that, you know, the folks at Manitoba Hydro
11 understand, you know, which areas have room for
12 improvement and which -- and which don't.

13 It's in the aggregate where I would be
14 concerned. And in the aggregate, again, to be
15 perfectly honest, the Utility's existing Power Smart
16 Plan, or at least the previous years' savings, were
17 very, very much on the low side.

18 So I have worked with a number of
19 regions that have ramped up, you know, this quickly
20 from those levels. If their levels of savings up until
21 now were triple what they are and you're still looking
22 at the same percentages, then I'd be concerned.

23 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And you testified
24 earlier that you're not aware of any US jurisdictions
25 or North American jurisdictions that are discounting

1 DSM measures at this point.

2 Did I get that right?

3 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

4 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: When you say there
5 is no discounting going on, what is the baseline for
6 that, because estimates can change over time?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Oh, sure.

8 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: So when you say
9 there is no discounting, there is no discounting from -
10 - from what underlying assumption or from what
11 baseline?

12 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: There's no
13 discounting in a systematic way in a planning process.
14 So, in other words, if I'm doing long-term resource
15 planning and I look at the DSM opportunity and I assess
16 that the DSM opportunity is, let's say, 1,000 gigawatt
17 hours in year X, I don't have a systematic policy to,
18 let's say, only take half of that, which is what I
19 believe, without getting into the specifics of the
20 half, but -- but directionally, is what, I believe,
21 Elenchus was originally talking about in their written
22 testimony, and I -- I believe that in their oral
23 testimony they perhaps walked back from that a bit.

24 But that having been said, you know, any
25 plan should be constantly re-evaluated, and that

1 includes DSM.

2 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And you mentioned
3 that it might just be necessary to come up with other
4 programs to -- to meet those targets. When you have an
5 existing list of proposed programs, be that a list like
6 the one developed in the Power Smart Plan in front of
7 you here or one developed by way of a bottom-up study
8 that proposes specific measures, do those have to be
9 discounted?

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Do they have to
11 be discounted? Do you mean for --

12 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And you assume that
13 if you have a list of specific measures and you're
14 projecting savings for those specific measures, that in
15 the aggregate, you can meet those projections?

16 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: In the aggregate,
17 yes. In the aggregate, if you're -- if you are
18 properly doing your potential study -- and again, I
19 have some caveats about that potential study and the
20 scoping, you know, the scope limitations -- but in the
21 aggregate, if I'm doing my potential study correctly,
22 in the aggregate, a DSM manager should be able to
23 implement that and achieve those targets.

24 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Does that hold true
25 for both projected capacity savings and projected

1 energy savings?

2 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, it does. It
3 doesn't necessarily simultaneously, and -- and so
4 that's where, again, you have to be very clear about
5 what the targets are.

6 And I come back to, you know, what I was
7 saying before about having your -- you know, the -- the
8 program manager needs to understand what the -- what
9 the targets are and have those key indicators right
10 there in front of them everyday.

11 You know, if the key indi -- I'll give
12 you an example, we keep talking to -- about Vermont
13 from time-to-time. So Vermont -- part of their bonus
14 structure is actually a fairly long list of specific
15 targets. They have gigawatt hour targets, megawatt
16 targets, equity targets, and others, and they have to
17 hit each and one of those -- each and every one of
18 those, and so they, you know, manage to that goal.

19 If on the other hand you're focussed on,
20 let's say, an energy-only target, and you're making an
21 assumption that megawatts are going to come, you might
22 miss those megawatts, because to get to your energy-
23 only target, you may end up changing things around.
24 You may end up dropping one measure, adopting a
25 different one, and that different one may have a very

1 different capacity factor to it. So -- so you want to
2 just make sure, you know, that if the megawatt target
3 is a critical one, that that one be put -- put up as
4 well, and be managed, too.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

7

8 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Can we go to slide
9 14 of your presentation from this morning please?
10 That's the chart that you walked us through this
11 morning that shows your scenario with a gradual ramp up
12 and a sustained 1.5 percent incremental DSM level --

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

14 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: -- compared to
15 Manitoba Hydro's target.

16 Are you aware of why there are these two
17 (2) projected peaks in Manitoba Hydro's projections?

18 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: The first one, as
19 I understand it, is -- is primarily load displacement.
20 The -- the second one, to be honest with you, I'm not
21 entirely sure.

22 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: 2017, that would be
23 the expiry of the three (3) year 2014 Power Smart Plan,
24 would it not?

25 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes. I believe

1 20 -- 2016 would be.

2 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And 2019, the
3 projected in-service date of Keeyask?

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I would assume
5 that's the case.

6 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: In -- in reaching
7 your recommendations to assume a sustained incremental
8 level of about 1.5 percent, you relied on codes and
9 standards, and you spoke about new technology.

10 Are you familiar with the term Rogers'
11 Curve? I take that as a yes?

12 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Very much so,
13 yes.

14 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And that's just a
15 fancy way for saying that you have some early adopters
16 -- you've got some people that take their time, and
17 you've got some laggards that wait until the last
18 possible minute.

19 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah.

20 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: So when there is a
21 new standard or a new technology, it might take a
22 number of years for people to upgrade?

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well, so just to
24 clarify, yes, when you're talking about new technology.
25 No, when you're talking about new standard, all right?

1 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Well, even with a
2 new standard it's -- you indicated this morning
3 somebody that has a -- an appliance might only replace
4 it once that appliance actually breaks and isn't
5 salvageable anymore?

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yeah. Yeah, and
7 so that's -- that's a little bit different, but yes, I
8 mean, ultimately the standard will take the full -- the
9 full average life, let's say, of measures before the
10 full impact is felt.

11 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Does that introduce
12 any specific risk too that you cannot count on -- on
13 new technology measure necessarily having the desired
14 effect immediately or within a short time frame?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes and no. See,
16 again, if this were -- if this were something that is
17 focussed on a single resource then I would say, yes,
18 I'd -- I'd be very concerned about that. But this is
19 hundreds of resources.

20 I mean, frankly, this is, you know,
21 thousands of different resources, because you're
22 talking about, you know, well over a hundred different
23 measures applied in -- in a whole, you know, a large
24 variety of different market segments. And so the point
25 is that when -- when some aspects don't kick in the way

1 you expected, and there will be many that don't kick in
2 the way you expected, you have levers. And I can't
3 emphasize that enough. It's -- it is not a static
4 resource. It's a resource that you manage dynamically.

5 And because you have so many levers you
6 can do that dynamic resource management where, you
7 know, one (1) takes longer to get to market than you
8 anticipated, but another one (1) takes faster, or
9 another one (1) -- or both of them take longer and the
10 resources that you have in front of you, you can push
11 harder to the ground to get them in place in the
12 interim.

13 That -- that's the nature of this
14 resource. And again, that is why -- you know, I come
15 back to the question that -- that Commissioner Grant
16 asked earlier, you know, why -- why does this not
17 happen when you have two point five (2.5) cents versus
18 -- versus ten (10) let's say in the States. And the
19 answer is these sorts of things, right.

20 It -- it's more diffuse. And when it's
21 more diffuse it's harder to wrap our -- wrap our heads
22 around and -- and harder to -- to trust, let's say,
23 unless you're in it on a day-to-day basis. But once
24 you are and once you look at the -- at the data, which,
25 you know, again, the -- you know, the ISOs have looked

1 at now, you very quickly become convinced that, yes,
2 this is -- this is available and is managed to hit the
3 target on a pretty systematic basis.

4 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Overall, is it fair
5 to say that the risk of missing the target is less in
6 the long term than the short term?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, absolutely.

8 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And it's less the
9 bigger your basket of different DSM measures is?

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: That is critical.

11 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Let's go to Manitoba
12 Hydro Exhibit 153.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

15

16 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: That is the 2014
17 Power Smart Plan that you've had an opportunity to
18 cursorily review. Let's go to page 42 of the document,
19 please.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

22

23 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Mr. Hombach, just
24 -- your -- your gifted colleagues don't have an extra
25 copy of the -- the twenty (20) -- the three (3) year

1 Power Smart Plan lurking about, do you?

2 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Not in a paper form.
3 I'm just taking Mr. Dunsky to one (1) specific page,
4 which is on the screen.

5 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: This is the big
6 one. We were looking for the -- that's okay, go ahead.

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: That's okay.
8 That's okay. We'll just use this here.

9 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yep.

11

12 CONTINUED BY MR. SVEN HOMBACH:

13 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: I took you through
14 Level 2 DSM earlier and there -- you're aware that that
15 includes achievable DSM from the EnerNOC Study which
16 forms Level 1 and then load displacement conservation
17 rates and fuel switching.

18 In front of you you see a new measure
19 from the 2014 Power Smart Plan related to customer site
20 load displacement?

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: M-hm.

22 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And if we could
23 scroll down a bit on the page? Do you see that over a
24 three (3) year time frame there's a projected -- a
25 fairly significant projected increase, 137.5 gigawatt

1 hours in 2014/'15; 191 in 2015/'16; and then 335.6 in
2 2016/'17. And those are cumulative. Those are not in
3 the video.

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Okay.

5 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And keeping in mind
6 what you said about long-term risk being less than
7 short-term risk, would you be concerned that for such a
8 relatively short-term measure that it's relying on to
9 achieve Level 2, it may not be feasible to meet it in
10 three (3) years?

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I -- I think it's
12 possible. To be honest with you, the -- my -- my
13 hesitation in -- in answering in the affirmative is
14 simply that in my experience sometimes -- oftentimes
15 program managers know something that I don't. In other
16 words, they know their customer base.

17 And so it's entirely possible that these
18 numbers come from very specific projects that are --
19 that are already planned or under discussion in which
20 case they would have a higher liability. If they do
21 not, and it's purely a, you know, a very high level
22 incentive based forecast of impact then, yes, I would
23 have -- I would have some concern about putting a lot
24 of eggs into a single basket.

25 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Based on the data

1 that you've reviewed now, and Manitoba Hydro's
2 projections, are -- do you -- you see yourself in a
3 position to speak to what the deferral prospects for
4 Keeyask would be based on the new DSM? And in
5 particular, you spoke to the fact that you thought it
6 would be prudent to assume a flat load growth curve.

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

8 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: So what is your view
9 now on the deferral prospects?

10 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Well, as -- as I
11 mentioned before, I mean, the -- my understanding is
12 that currently the -- the need date is somewhere out in
13 the mid '20s if -- if I'm not mistaken.

14 And there is little doubt in my mind
15 that if Manitoba Hydro continues to pursue a policy of
16 pursuing all economic DSM that need date can be
17 successfully deferred out to beyond the planning
18 period. In that respect I'm not sure how to say it
19 otherwise, but --

20 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: But you're not
21 prepared to commit yourself to a time frame?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Oh, maybe I'm
23 misunderstanding the question then.

24 Could -- could you restate the question?

25 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Sorry. Yeah, I'm

1 interested in your view as to the potential time frame
2 that new generation could be deferred under your
3 suggestions.

4 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Under my
5 suggestions, in terms of meeting domestic load -- in
6 terms of meeting domestic load --

7 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Yes.

8 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I believe that
9 you can defer the need for new generation at least to
10 the 2033/2034 time frame, at least. And to be -- to
11 maybe go a little bit -- to maybe go a little bit
12 further on that I should say this. You know, anything
13 that we're talking about in 2033/2034, is a long ways
14 away.

15 And, you know, my -- my assumption about
16 what DSM can do over the next twenty (20) years is
17 probably about as good or -- or as bad as anyone's
18 assumption about what load will look like. Load will
19 have -- about how load will naturally grow or not grow
20 in twenty (20) years. So there is real uncertainty
21 there. And that's just uncertainty related to load in
22 the long-term.

23 What's -- what's unique here is that
24 you're -- you're faced with a decision -- you're faced
25 with a -- with a challenge of having to make a decision

1 today based on what you think might be the case in the
2 future. What I would argue is, if you have sufficient
3 confidence that you can defer domestic need out, you
4 know, at least to the mid '20s or to late '20s, it buys
5 you time to watch and see what happens in the real
6 world, and then adjust over time.

7 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: It may be helpful to
8 put up a slide from a Manitoba Hydro exhibit on screen.
9 If we could have a look at Manitoba Hydro Exhibit 95,
10 slide 4. And I note that your counsel was able to
11 predict which slide I would put up. I'm very
12 impressed.

13 Have you had an opportunity to actually
14 see this slide before, Mr. Dunsky?

15 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes, I have.

16 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And you see that
17 based on level 2 without what Manitoba Hydro refers to
18 as "the pipeline load" and Manitoba Hydro is projecting
19 a -- that dependable energy would be required in
20 Manitoba in 2031 and new capacity the same year with
21 level 3 DSM, again, that's without new pipeline load,
22 it would be 2033 for energy and, again, the same year
23 for capacity?

24 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

25 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Now, keeping in mind

1 your chart that shows that both level 2 and level 3 DSM
2 would be dropping off after a number of years.

3 Would it be fair to say that with your
4 suggestions to assume an ongoing incremental level of
5 DSM that would push back both of these dates further?

6 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Indefinitely.

7 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: And does that hold
8 true, in your view, for both level 2 and level 3?

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

10 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Okay. Thank you.
11 Those are all my questions.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: I have a few more
13 questions, although Ms. Boyd, I guess you're entitled
14 to redirect. But let me ask my questions first, then
15 you can probably ask some more questions.

16 I just wanted to discuss with you the
17 perspective that Mr. Klassen brought this morning in
18 respect of jobs that flow from DSM.

19 Can you comment on -- on the -- the job
20 creation that stems from DSM? Are you in a position to
21 -- to provide some of your experience there?

22 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. So I've
23 actually worked on a number of employment impact
24 studies for DSM. The first one I managed was -- was
25 actually in -- in 1990 -- in '95 or '96. I can't

1 recall. I think it was 1996.

2 And I've also over time had a chance to
3 review and -- and assess a large number of -- of
4 different employment impact studies of DSM that have
5 been undertaken throughout North America.

6 What we find typically is, you know --
7 when we talk about employment impacts, we talk about
8 person years -- person years of employment, and we talk
9 about person years of employment per million dollars as
10 the metric.

11 What we typically find for -- for energy
12 efficiency is person years per million dollars in the
13 range of fifteen (15) to upwards of thirty-five (35)
14 job years per million for DSM.

15 That -- you know, that depends on the
16 local economy primarily, and it depends in part on what
17 those -- what those programs look like and what --
18 which resources they're offsetting.

19 But certainly we tend to -- the lowest
20 I've ever seen is in the range of fifteen (15)
21 something and the highest I've ever seen actually is --
22 is a fair bit higher than what I just said, but it's a
23 bit of an outlier. Let's say around thirty-five (35)
24 job years per -- per million dollars.

25 When we look at supply options, I think

1 I mentioned it earlier, the -- you know, in Quebec
2 where we have an industrial structure that is very much
3 built around hydro power and so very much able to be
4 supplying a large share of the -- of the investment
5 there, our large hydro projects get up to about nine
6 (9) job years per million dollars invested.

7 So, certainly looking at considerably
8 more jobs created through conservation and energy
9 efficiency than -- than a new supply.

10 I -- I can say that my firm was hired
11 about two (2) years ago to work on an employment impact
12 study for -- for four (4) Canadian provinces --
13 essentially, the three (3) Maritime Provinces and
14 Quebec. And we completed that work about a year ago,
15 and that's now public.

16 And I can certainly share that with you.
17 That doesn't talk specifically to Manitoba, but it
18 talks specifically to these other provinces. And, you
19 know, we found very high employment creation numbers
20 there from DSM.

21 We have since been -- been hired to work
22 on employment impact study of DSM for the remainder of
23 Canadian provinces, and that's for the Federal
24 Government, and that's using the -- I'm not sure if
25 you're familiar with the REMI model, but that's a

1 pretty sophisticated, very commonly used macroeconomic
2 model. Uses a variety of different approaches,
3 input/output and economic -- econometric and others.
4 And that model was built up specifically for the
5 economic characteristics of each of the provinces,
6 including Manitoba.

7 And so when the -- the results come out
8 I -- I can't -- I can't share them right now because I
9 don't own them, the Federal government does, and I'm
10 hoping that they're going to come out relatively soon,
11 and so certainly when they do I'd be glad to -- to
12 share the results with you. What I certainly can say
13 is that -- is that for Manitoba they were reasonably
14 high given the -- given the structure of the economy
15 here.

16 The DSM scenarios that we -- that we ran
17 provided, again, on the -- I quoted a range before of
18 study results from elsewhere. The values that we found
19 for Manitoba were toward the higher end of the range
20 that I've seen elsewhere. And again, that's just, you
21 know, based on pure macroeconomic modelling.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. And I
23 guess you sort of kind of -- you know, the people that
24 Manitoba Hydro is negotiating with and signing
25 contracts with are, frankly, good operators, tough

1 competitors, smart people, tough regulators in those
2 jurisdictions as well, and so they're reading the same
3 literature that we're exposed to today.

4 I mean, they're seeing grid parity come
5 closer and closer. They're seeing -- you know, they're
6 seeing the industry changing, and yet they're signing
7 long-term contracts with Manitoba Hydro at interesting
8 prices.

9 So, is it entirely a policy construct?
10 I mean, you indicated that -- that it's somewhat
11 related to -- to the fact that, you know, because of
12 policy decisions impacting coal generation, in
13 particular, is that what's going on? Is that...?

14 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I don't think so.
15 I think that it's a time factor. I think this whole
16 thing is -- is an issue of time. And the discussions
17 happening in the industry now around, you know, what --
18 what's being termed the -- the utility death spiral,
19 right.

20 The question is, you know: Is that --
21 is that something for ten (10) years from now or thirty
22 (30) years from now? If -- I absolutely understand why
23 they would be interested in signing a -- I'll call it a
24 midterm contract. As I understand it, and -- and
25 correct me if I'm wrong, we're talking about something

1 short of ten (10) years. Is that -- is that fair? Ten
2 (10) to fifteen (15) years. Okay. Yeah.

3 So -- so that makes sense insofar as the
4 kinds of changes -- the -- the sorts of changes that
5 we're talking about are not going to dramatically
6 change the -- the picture on the ground when we talk
7 about solar, for example. If we have solar parity in
8 Minnesota today, that doesn't mean that tomorrow
9 morning everyone runs out and puts solar panels on the
10 roofs for the very same reason that energy efficiency
11 is very cheap for people to do today, and they are
12 still not doing it on their own.

13 These things take time. You know,
14 there's -- there's an adoption curve. If you go back
15 to -- to Rogers' curve, you know, you start out with
16 the innovators and onward and onward. So if I'm
17 thinking of -- if I'm Minnesota, I'm thinking, I'm in
18 2014, I've probably got, you know, a good ten (10) or
19 fifteen (15) years before -- before any very
20 significant changes kick in. I'm going to lock in a
21 reliable, clean power source for that period.

22 Now, if they were willing to sign a much
23 longer term contract, that to me would say something
24 different because it's that second period that concerns
25 me much more than the first.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: I guess, you know,
2 we've -- globally, if you look at the evidence you
3 provided to us and your commentary and so, it provides
4 a pretty rosy picture of DSM generally, you know.

5 So just to make sure the panel has --
6 goes into this with its eyes open, can you -- can you
7 share with us what you perceive to be limitations of
8 DSN?

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. There are
10 many. Ultimately, let me put it this way. I think the
11 limitations of DSM are related to the limitations of
12 the framework that you put in place that -- that in
13 centre require performance. That is the -- the most
14 important risk factor, if you will, of DSM.

15 So giving an example without naming
16 names, I've worked with -- with agencies, you know,
17 government agencies, whose mandate was to put DSM in
18 place. But they were not measured on performance. The
19 success was not measured. The measurement was how much
20 money goes out the door.

21 And I can assure you that in those cases
22 that money was not performing well. And that money was
23 probably not achieving the savings that they were
24 hoping to achieve. There was no reporting. There was
25 -- or scant reporting. There was scant oversight.

1 There were very unclear targets.

2 So without the framework I think you're
3 in an area of very large risk. It goes back to the old
4 saying of, you know, you -- you -- if you don't know
5 where you're going, you know, any road will get you
6 there. You need to have a frame work that is very
7 clear, that's -- that puts in place very clear targets,
8 very clear and agreed upon reporting, very clear
9 oversight, and consequences, understood consequences
10 for achieving or not achieving those targets.

11 To the extent that those are in place, I
12 wouldn't call it a -- a rosy picture, I'd -- I'd call
13 it evidence based. This is what we have seen on a
14 systematic basis from those regions that have those
15 mechanisms in place. They achieve their targets within
16 their budgets systematically. That's the -- that's the
17 area of -- that's the grey zone, if you will.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Boyd, do you have
19 any questions that -- on re-direct?

20 MS. MARLA BOYD: I do have just -- I do
21 have just one (1) area to cover.

22

23 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MARLA BOYD:

24 MS. MARLA BOYD: Mr. Dunsky, are you
25 aware that Manitoba Hydro uses the resource cost, not

1 the utility cost, in assessing DSM in its integrated
2 resource planning?

3 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I -- I --
4 generally, yes. And -- and I did become aware very
5 recently that that is what -- what's used in the recent
6 -- here we go, in the recent DSM analysis economic
7 summary tables, yes.

8 MS. MARLA BOYD: And are you aware that
9 they do that because they are -- as a Crown corporation
10 they consider it appropriate to include that resource
11 cost because our customers pay the cost whether it's in
12 a new supply or DSM?

13 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: I'll assume that
14 to be -- to be the case. But I also believe that it's
15 -- that it's a mistake to do so. And if you'd like I
16 can ans -- I can explain why.

17 MS. MARLA BOYD: Sure, go ahead.

18 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Okay. The -- the
19 total resource cost framework is -- there's absolutely
20 nothing wrong with it. What it's trying to get at is
21 the sum of costs and benefits for both participants and
22 the utility. And to the extent that Manitoba Hydro's a
23 Crown corporation and wants to take into account that
24 larger perspective, I think that's very -- that's very
25 good.

1 The difficulty is this, participants in
2 your programs benefit in multiple ways. And those
3 multiple benefits drive their participation in your
4 programs. So people who prog -- who participate, for
5 example, in your retrofit programs, they do it because
6 they want to save money on their bills and because they
7 want to improve their comfort in their homes.

8 When you try to do a total resource cost
9 assessment where you're including all of the costs, so
10 your cost and their costs, money in, but only looking
11 at their monetary benefit and not the other benefits
12 that they take out of the DSM programs and that are
13 part of their motivating factors, you -- you result in
14 effectively an un -- an unwitting bias to the
15 assessment.

16 So I think I mentioned before, I'm an
17 advisor to the National Energy Efficiency Screening
18 Project and that project is precisely addressing this.
19 And if you want I can -- I can undertake to -- to
20 provide you with -- with the report that was recently
21 provided -- recently published by the -- by that
22 project, because there is an increasing recognition of
23 this bias with the TRC.

24 There are two (2) ways of addressing the
25 bias. You can either undertake to assess those non-

1 energy benefits, and there are a number of regions that
2 do that now. We have -- we have conducted those
3 studies. Some regions do that very systematically now.
4 And when you do that it is absolutely fair to account
5 for customer cost as well. And then you have a
6 holistic picture.

7 But if you're not taking into account
8 those benefits, and you're only taking into account
9 those costs, then you have a problem. And that's where
10 I will argue very strenuously for reverting to a
11 utility cost perspective; not because it's the right
12 perspective, but because it's the only perspective that
13 allows for a fair apples to apples comparison.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

16

17 MS. MARLA BOYD: Mr. Dunsky, could I
18 ask you to undertake to provide that report? And I'm
19 going to have to ask you to put the name of the report
20 on the record to do so, please.

21 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. I -- I
22 will provide the -- the recent report of the National
23 Energy Efficiency Screening Project.

24 MS. MARLA BOYD: Thank you.

25

1 --- UNDERTAKING NO. 122: Mr. Dunsky to provide the
2 recent report of the
3 National energy Efficiency
4 Screening Project
5

6 MS. MARLA BOYD: We have nothing
7 further. Thank you.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms. Boyd.
9 Mr. Hombach, could you give us some closing comments
10 about the schedule tomorrow, and perhaps remind
11 everybody about Saturday morning.

12 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, if --
13 if I might. I just have a couple of clarification
14 questions for Mr. Dunsky.
15

16 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BYRON WILLIAMS:

17 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: You recall in your
18 early conversation with My Learned Friend Mr. Hombach
19 that there was some confusion whether you had been
20 working on an integrated resource plan, or -- or some
21 other recent plan.

22 Do you recall that discussion?

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

24 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Would I be correct
25 in suggesting to you that you recently were involved in

1 the development of a comprehensive three (3) year
2 integrated demand-side management plan for New
3 Brunswick Power, Efficiency New Brunswick, and the
4 Government of New Brunswick and Municipal Utilities?

5 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

6 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And when you spoke
7 of an integrated plan -- plan this morning that was the
8 plan you were referring to, sir?

9 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It probably was,
10 yes.

11 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And in response to
12 a question by the Chair in terms of job opportunities
13 flowing from DSM, you indicated that you couldn't
14 release the Canadian-wide study.

15 Is that right?

16 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

17 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: You did indicate
18 that -- that there's another study for some of the
19 Atlantic provinces that you had undertaken?

20 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

21 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And is that study
22 in the public domain, sir?

23 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: It is.

24 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And so certainly
25 if -- if asked you would be able to produce that

1 report?

2 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Sure. Yeah.

3 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: We'll see if
4 you're asked. I don't think I can ask you to do -- can
5 I ask him to do an undertaking? I think they have to.
6 Mr. Hacault says.

7 Also, you'll recall conversations both
8 with the Chair and with My Learned Friend Mr. Orle in
9 terms of challenges in reaching disadvantaged markets
10 or disadvantaged communities --

11 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Yes.

12 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: -- and
13 individuals? And you do you recall, I know it's a long
14 time ago, that you testified in 2008 in Manitoba about
15 barriers to DSM programming for low-income persons, as
16 well as some thoughts about overcoming those barriers.

17 Do you recall that?

18 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Vaguely, yes.

19 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Is there anything
20 in the public domain more recent than that, sir, where
21 you've been discussing the -- the numerous market
22 barriers that low income people face in accessing DSM
23 programming?

24 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: We've been
25 working with other clients on -- on that topic, and

1 helping them refine their low-income programs, but
2 nothing that's in the public domain, no.

3 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Okay. If asked,
4 you could certainly be prepared to provide an -- an
5 electronic copy of your 2008 report, which was
6 previously provided to the Public Utilities Board?

7 MR. PHILIPPE DUNSKY: Absolutely.

8 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Okay. We'll see
9 if they bite.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
11 looking quick -- doing a quick scan. It doesn't seem
12 that there's anybody else. Mr. Hombach, please?

13 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Mr. Williams, I will
14 bite. It would be helpful to have your 2008 report
15 filed on the record, so perhaps I'll leave it to -- to
16 you to restate the undertaking since you're likely
17 aware of the name of the report. I am not.

18 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: I suspect Mr.
19 Dunsky is likely not aware of the name of the report,
20 but what he -- we would undertake would be to file an
21 electronic copy of his written report provided to the
22 Public Utilities Board on low -income programming,
23 along with his supporting PowerPoint, if that is sati -
24 - satisfactory, Mr. Hombach?

25 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: It is. Thank you.

1 --- UNDERTAKING NO. 123: Mr. Dunsky to provide an
2 electronic copy of his
3 written report provided to
4 the Public Utilities Board
5 on low-income programming,
6 along with his supporting
7 PowerPoint
8

9 MR. SVEN HOMBACH: Mr. Chairman, I'm
10 happy to be able to address scheduling matters
11 tomorrow. Tomorrow's going to be a somewhat ambitious
12 day. The morning session is reserved for a panel of
13 elders, and that session will start nine o'clock.
14 We're budgeting to have that session finished by the
15 lunch break. The afternoon session is reserved for CAC
16 witnesses Simpson, Gotham, and Harper. The panel is
17 prepared to sit until five o'clock tomorrow afternoon.

18 As the parties are likely aware by now,
19 Saturday morning has been reserved as an overflow
20 session if necessary. And at this point, I'm certainly
21 assuming it will be necessary. The panel will regroup
22 at ten o'clock on Saturday morning to continue the
23 evidence of witnesses Simpson, Gotham, and Harper and
24 sit until it is done, likely by the lunch break on
25 Saturday.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
2 Hombach. I believe that completes today's proceedings
3 so, M. Dunsky, merci beaucoup. Thanks for coming to
4 Winnipeg. Thank you for the work you've done so far
5 and the work you're likely to do after this is over.
6 And it's always interesting to hear from the second
7 time now, and just as interesting as the first time, so
8 congratulations.

9 So the rest of you, we'll see -- those
10 of you who are here tomorrow morning, we'll see you
11 again tomorrow morning at nine o'clock. Have a good
12 evening everyone.

13

14 (PANEL STANDS DOWN)

15

16 --- Upon adjourning at 4:52 p.m.

17

18

19 Certified correct,

20

21

22 _____

23 Cheryl Lavigne, Ms.

24

25

\$	7928:16	8103:21	16 8081:24	10,000
\$1.2 8082:1		8104:18	8091:16	8029:18
\$1.3 7942:8	0	8111:25	8092:6,7,9	8109:15
\$1.7 8061:20	0.02 7986:11	8113:7	8103:21	10:30
\$1.71	0.28 7991:21	8127:6,12	1/3 7932:21	7999:18
7927:23	0.4 7981:14	8129:14	8025:16	10:46
\$10 8061:17	7983:1	8139:6,12	1/4 7983:12	7999:19
\$100 7929:3	7984:1	8149:20	1:00 8042:4	100 7935:8
\$110 8055:14	1	8153:24	1:05 8042:13	7994:20
\$14,000	1 7926:18	8158:23	1:30 7947:23	8038:14
8018:19,21	7927:3	8162:12,15	8042:6	8070:19
\$16,000	7932:23	8164:16	1:38 8067:25	8071:24
8018:17	7934:14	8165:7	1:42 8068:1	104-6 7950:6
\$17.2 7928:8	7935:19,24	8176:7,8,9	10 7926:12	10-foot
7929:13,19	7941:15	8178:3,16	7936:3	8123:18
\$20 8061:16	7948:19	8190:21	7940:13,16	11
\$22 8061:15	7949:21	1,000 8110:8	7946:3	7979:22,24
\$3 8028:13	7964:11	8117:20	7970:10	7991:20
\$3.3 8061:19	7965:4,5,8	8170:16	7974:5,12,21	8072:8
\$300,000	7972:25	1,623	7987:22,25	11:45
7929:5	7975:5	8047:20	7988:3,5,7,13,20	7947:21
\$4,000,000	7977:22	1.1	7991:5,17,20	8035:17
8061:24	7980:19	7986:2,20	7994:6	8041:18
\$47 8055:2	7982:9,10,13,14	7987:7,24	7999:16	11:47
\$5 8061:18	7983:12	8082:1	8002:9	8042:12
\$6,000	7984:3	1.3	8006:4	12 7948:24
8017:23	7985:22	7986:2,15,21	8008:22	7981:13
8018:12	7988:15	7987:6,24	8011:1	7986:14
\$600 8023:7	7991:1,2	7991:18	8013:2	8072:7
\$62 8061:21	7992:24	1.5 7983:19	8032:24	8091:17
\$70 8146:10	7994:16	7985:12	8034:14	8092:2
\$727 8109:18	7996:5	7986:2,16	8036:1	8140:12
8111:10	8010:22	7987:5	8039:19	120 7924:3
\$75 8059:22	8013:6	7988:2,21	8040:1,3	7975:14
\$8 8028:12	8014:6	7997:5	8074:7,12	121 7924:7
\$80 8109:5	8015:4	8002:10	8078:7	8108:1
8110:2	8018:8	8101:3	8081:23	122 7924:14
\$80,000	8021:6,17	8173:12	8105:5	8117:17
7930:16	8025:18	8174:8	8109:4,8,24,25	8194:1
\$800 8111:9	8031:20	1.7 7983:20	8111:14,16	123 7924:17
\$900,000	8033:9	1/2 7932:21	8129:7	8198:1
	8042:23	7973:18	8136:1,9	13 7987:18
	8046:4	7982:9,10,13,14	8159:6	8059:20
	8072:15	7985:22	8176:18	8095:1
	8075:6	7991:14	8187:21	13,000
	8084:17	7996:5	8188:1,2,18	7929:20
	8086:16	8013:13	8	137.5
	8088:22	8072:7,15,		
	8091:6			
	8097:20			

8178:25	19 7930:14	8041:9	2.9 7996:2	8106:12
14 7948:20	19,200	8042:5,6	2:37 8105:7	2013 7923:8
7973:19	7928:10,15	8059:15,25	2:54 8105:8	7981:12
7990:21,22	191 8179:1	8069:12	20 7930:11	7982:25
8081:19,20	1950s	8072:16	7932:19	7983:19
8082:2	8054:15	8076:24	8002:11,17	8058:23
8144:24	1970 7972:3	8077:5,13,19,21,25	8013:16	8060:2,6
8145:1	1980 7942:1	8078:16	8015:2	8072:9
8173:9	1990	8079:19,20	8023:20,25	8079:14
14,000	7972:13,14	8080:3,7	8034:22	8103:8
8018:16	8055:6	8084:12	8035:23	8150:9,21
14th 8054:7	8183:25	8087:20	8046:12	2014 7918:24
15 7924:9	1991 8073:20	8092:5,7	8097:6	7923:8,13
7935:3,6	1992 8055:1	8095:14	8136:25	7987:16
7941:6,13	1996 7972:14	8111:9,25	8148:23	7990:14
7946:3	8184:1	8114:1,12,13,22	8174:1	8033:20
7972:18	1999 8055:13	8115:18,20	8177:25	8054:8
7991:11		8116:1	8181:16,20	8150:10,21
7995:6		8117:6,7	200 8013:10	8151:2
8002:11		8142:24	8014:22	8166:7
8009:2		8149:14	2001 8047:16	8173:23
8021:13		8150:8,12,14 8155:20	2007 8071:16	8177:16
8028:17		8156:21	2008 8072:8	8178:19
8036:1		8157:8	8196:14	8188:18
8040:1		8161:4,5,1	8197:5,14	2014/'15
8060:12		8 8164:9	2009 7932:19	8179:1
8070:16,17		8165:5,6	8017:21	2014/2017
8107:19		8166:19	8058:10	7934:4
8108:4		8167:2	8059:15	2015 8053:10
8138:15		8173:17	8060:4	2015/'16
8144:23		8178:14	201,807	8179:1
8166:10		8179:9	8046:11	2016 7939:7
8184:13,20		8182:17	2010 8011:3	7990:15
8188:2,19		8183:1,8	2011	7991:14
150 8006:7		8185:11	8046:7,15	8029:19
8033:13		8192:24	2011/2012	8040:8
8131:11,14		2,600	8046:12	8174:1
153 8177:12		8167:24	2012 7923:5	2016/'17
16 7952:2		8168:8	7932:19	8179:2
7992:1		2.0 8014:22	7941:13	2017 8102:13
16.5 8052:14		2.4 8158:25	7960:2	8173:22
169 8081:19		8159:13	8044:7	2018 7937:19
17 7998:7		8160:6	8046:7,15	7990:15
18 7926:8		2.5 8095:5	8047:17	7992:10
8000:20		8176:17	8055:13,16	8031:6
8052:10		2.6 7983:5	8060:13	8077:6
8078:17		2.8 7932:20	8061:3	8084:13
8102:10			8105:20	2019 8174:2

2020 7938:24 7987:16,17 ,18 8011:23	8015:7 8024:7 8029:4 8058:7 8168:15	8080:4,9 8081:24 8083:13 8087:20 8089:17 8091:4,16 8092:9 8097:10,25 8110:1 8111:9 8114:1,5,8 ,10,12,13, 19,22,25 8115:2,7,1 3,17 8116:2 8143:25 8161:4,6 8166:9,13 8173:23 8177:25 8178:24 8179:10 8182:21 8183:1,8 8185:13 8195:1	330 7918:22 335.6 8179:1 34 7942:2 8027:14 35 7930:11 7952:25 8028:3,11 8041:10 8164:24 8165:3 8184:13,23 350 8094:21 37 7972:2 8030:7 8031:1 8033:25 39 8106:24 4 7949:4 7963:20 7966:11 7967:9 7968:11 7972:11 7973:12 7985:12 7996:3 8000:1 8005:23 8016:25 8028:11 8029:5 8107:11 8132:2 8143:25 8161:6 8164:14 8182:10 8185:12	8035:12 8092:24 8137:25 400 7918:22 8117:18 42 8177:18 43 8039:10 45 7931:2 48 8070:25 8075:6 49 8075:4 5 5 7929:2,16 7933:22 7940:12,16 7948:18 7949:12,19 7977:2 7999:24 8003:8,14, 15 8015:1 8021:23 8023:7 8024:9,10 8028:17 8029:22,25 8031:8 8032:24 8033:14 8040:21 8073:21 8102:9 8103:10 5,000 8029:17 8033:6 50 7989:23 8021:22 8033:11 8045:14 8059:22 8146:10 54 8076:20 8077:15 540,620.48 8046:6 55 8077:16
2020s 7981:4 8033:21	25th 7950:7			
2021 8102:12	27.5 8051:6 8052:13			
2023 8078:7	273,937.37 8045:18			
2025 7937:17 8078:16 8079:21 8080:2	28 8019:13 28th 7949:5			
2026 8031:9	29 8028:20			
20-3 8108:19	2nd 7948:14			
2030 7935:24 8006:2	2s 8077:13			
2030s 7981:4	<hr/> 3 <hr/>			
2031 8182:20	3			
2033 8182:22	7926:5,10, 14			
2033/2034 8181:10,13	7935:4,17 7941:6			
2034 7991:8,13 8032:2 8080:5,8 8084:14,19	7949:12 7970:24 7974:15 7976:5,9 7983:9 7988:17,19 7989:19 7990:24 7993:24 7994:3 7996:1,2,3 7997:23 8000:24 8011:6,8 8019:19 8020:11 8021:6 8024:21,23 8029:14 8036:13,21 8042:18 8060:4 8069:9 8076:24 8077:5,12, 13,18,21 8078:1,21 8079:19	3,535 7928:1 3.8 8164:9,17 3/4s 7972:7 30 7940:15 8013:17 8018:2 8027:17,18 8028:20 8034:15,22 8035:23 8046:8,16 8137:1 8187:22 300 7929:13 7930:3 8006:3 30th 8055:16 32 8006:24 33 7952:24 8026:7 8051:4		
20s 8180:13 8182:4				
21 8015:2				
2-13 7923:12 8151:2				
22 8005:5				
23 7954:6 7957:19 7995:15 8093:25				
24 7918:24 8011:7 8133:19				
240,000 8045:14				
244 8044:18				
24th 7963:22				
25 7972:5				

8132:11	8045:12	8043 7921:13	96 8183:25	7991:23
56 8078:8,10	8097:22	8050 7921:14	9th 7948:23	7993:4
57 8079:8,11	8116:8	8054 7921:15		7994:5
58 8080:6	8144:1	8068 7921:20	<hr/> A <hr/>	8003:12
5872066	8160:7	8106 7921:21	a.m 7947:1,2	8005:24
8058:11	7.27 8109:10	7923:5	7999:18,19	8009:4
5th 7948:23	7:01 8024:1	8108 7924:13	8042:12	8010:3
<hr/> 6 <hr/>	7:15 8023:21	8119 7921:22	AANDC	8012:5
6 7941:15	70 8047:7	8130 7921:23	8049:17	8019:10
7948:22	8060:23	8133 7921:24	aback 8017:5	8030:1,6
7949:13,15	70s 8044:12	8150	ability	8031:24
7950:5	8047:3	7923:9,13	7979:15	8037:19
7952:2	8049:16	8151 7921:25	7981:5	8086:6
7968:2	720 8111:1	8190 7922:3	8020:3	8091:20
8000:1,3	75 7972:3	8194 7922:4	8032:4	8093:5,21
8029:22	8063:14	7924:16	8123:12	8113:9
8036:20	75,000	8198 7924:21	8136:5	8117:2
8040:20	7929:17	8199 7918:25	8137:4	8118:6
8047:4	7918 7918:25	7922:6	able 7948:13	8120:12
8140:12	7923 7921:3	85 7972:15	8013:5	8121:6
8159:9	7924 7921:4	8063:16	8021:18	8127:6
8160:7	7925 7921:6	8164:23	8023:12	8128:21
6,095,502.81	7951 7921:10	8165:4	8028:2	8132:9
8046:15	7923:3	87 8158:13	8043:3	8134:2,16
6,324	7962 7921:11	89 7935:8	8052:19	8136:21
8047:18	7975 7924:6		8096:25	8143:11
6:48 8024:1	<hr/> 8 <hr/>	<hr/> 9 <hr/>	8113:24	8160:19
60 8013:18	8 7949:19	9 7952:1	8122:17	8163:15
8018:3	7971:22	7973:10,13	8129:18	8164:1,11
8082:25	8075:23	8091:4	8130:24	8165:11
8146:10	8091:3	8185:6	8137:4	8177:7
600 8047:17	8097:23	9,000	8171:22	8187:22
61 8100:13	8111:2	8110:25	8182:10	8191:19
62 7936:14	8144:1	8111:3	8185:3	8193:4
7970:23	8159:6,20	9:14 7947:1	8195:25	8197:7
643 8167:17	8160:8	9:21 7947:2	8198:10	abundance
67 8150:16	8:45 7947:11	90 7939:9	Aboriginal	7941:23
8167:7	8:49 7925:1	7941:15	7923:4	academic
68 8150:16	80 7939:10	90s 8030:3	8061:20	7959:17
69 8158:13	7941:16	95 8010:15	8105:19	accelerate
<hr/> 7 <hr/>	8011:4,9	8182:9	8106:10	8153:5
7 7952:1	8025:6,17	8183:25	abound	accept
7973:18	8051:17		8035:3	7962:14
8033:19	8060:24		absence	8016:3
			8000:9	8085:8
			absent	8105:18
			7934:22	8137:24
			absolutely	8138:5,12
			7963:9	access
				7940:6
				8020:2
				8021:19

8025:9	8064:10	8016:23	8030:4	adding
8086:20,21	accused	8025:7	8037:13	8109:3,8
8087:3	7995:7	8036:20	8039:16	additional
accessing	accustomed	8038:18	8040:23,24	7932:8
8085:12	7982:19	8047:8	8041:11	7986:7
8196:22	ACEEE	Act 8058:20	8071:20	8006:8
according	8148:20	Action	8072:16	8014:10
8055:5,15,	achievable	7947:14	8073:3,6,7	8029:4
25 8056:17	8071:6,9	7950:19	,11	8083:6
8057:19	8090:20	activated	8079:4,6	8085:2
8063:16	8178:15	8168:13	8084:19	8089:13
8132:3	achieve	actively	8086:25	8115:12
8144:24	7983:5,18	8147:4	8087:3	8117:16
account	8006:2	activities	8089:5,22	8134:3
7970:13	8013:8	8048:13	8090:20	address
8033:17	8096:25	activity	8091:3	7924:11
8037:6,10	8097:11	8168:11	8096:9	7948:2
8038:14	8101:3	actors	8098:5	7967:2
8069:25	8143:8	8008:8	8101:21	7968:22
8070:2	8171:23	8069:18	8102:21	7969:20,24
8071:23	8179:9	actual	8110:6,14	7970:1,7
8074:8,14,	8189:24	7987:11	8117:6	7981:6
24 8081:8	8190:15	7988:21	8121:19	7984:12
8120:9,18	achieved	7996:21	8125:22	8010:22
8121:4	7983:20	8070:12	8137:3	8024:13
8163:21	8002:23	8103:19	8147:18	8024:13
8191:23	8149:1	actually	8148:17	8035:22
8193:4,7,8	achieves	7940:16	8163:24	8036:2
accountabili	7998:24	7944:16	8165:5	8086:17,23
ty 8044:16	achieving	7947:12	8168:4	8107:21
8053:5,9	8096:10	7966:25	8172:14	8108:6
accountable	8166:19	7967:5	8175:4	8111:23,24
8044:4	8189:23	7968:1	8182:13	8116:24
8050:5	8190:10	7970:21	8183:23,25	8125:9
8052:3	acquire	7973:2	8184:21	8139:12
8053:12	7931:6	7977:17	adapt	8164:22
accounted	acres	7978:3,15	8134:22	8198:10
8035:10	8099:18	7985:2	adaptations	addressed
8069:8	across	7986:20	8006:20	7967:18
8163:17,18	7925:12	7989:18	adapted	8019:7
accounting	7940:21	7991:6	8016:18	8025:25
8035:6	7955:23	7992:3	8029:11	8036:3
8036:12	7956:10	7993:14,25	8070:1	8054:11
8070:6	7957:15	7996:12	add 7997:18	8084:24
8073:2	7966:1	8003:16	8049:12	addressing
accrue	7967:23	8011:18	8089:13	7980:24
8135:7	7985:7	8017:21	8117:16	7981:7
accurate	8011:12	8021:11,14	8134:17	8192:18,24
7952:13	8014:23	,16	8149:20	adds 7978:24
8037:13,14		8025:14	added 8003:1	adjourn
			8083:8	8041:17
				adjourning

8199:16	8006:1	8118:25	8157:16	8010:12
adjust	8007:7,18	8153:24	8159:17	8011:11
7983:24	8093:19	advisor	8160:1,7,1	8012:11
8182:6	adopters	7957:12	7	8013:2
adjusted	8174:15	8192:17	8161:8,22	8020:16
8071:22	adopting	advisors	8162:8	8021:13,23
8078:1,3	8004:25	8134:20	age 7937:23	8024:21
8115:24	8007:13	8167:8	7938:1,2,3	8027:6
8116:1,2	8083:20	aerogels	,7,8	8037:4
8161:5	8172:24	7936:1	agencies	8049:7
adjustment	adoption	Affairs	7955:23	8091:12
8073:8	8004:8,11	8049:17	8069:13	8092:15
adjustments	8006:11,25	8061:19	8189:16,17	8122:12
8117:14	8008:17	affect	Agency	8134:20
adjusts	8017:12	8010:7	7938:5,6	8166:14
8022:20	8026:25	8100:21	aggregate	8185:11,14
8084:13	8031:15,16	8123:12	8169:5,8,1	8196:14
administered	8152:21	8136:4	3,14	agreed
8061:12	8153:5,11	affects	8171:15,16	7946:24
administrati	8188:14	8101:18	,17,21,22	8041:17
on 8005:23	adopts	8114:12	aggressive	8190:8
8006:5	8006:18	affirmative	7941:5,7	agreement
8007:12	advance	8179:13	7942:20	8048:21
8036:23	7981:16	Affirmed	7978:14	8056:4,7
administrati	8135:25	7921:9,18	7982:6	8058:11
ve 7947:16	advanced	7951:14	7983:16	8059:21
7948:1	8073:17	8068:11	7990:24	8061:7,10
administrato	advantage	affirms	7995:8	8062:10
r 7996:7	8049:22	7951:11	8031:5	8063:17
8157:24	8146:6	afraid	8083:18,21	agreements
administrato	advantageous	8017:1	,22	8057:5
rs 7979:13	8074:5	afternoon	8085:15	8059:9
admire	advantages	8041:24	8103:17	ahead
8066:10	7930:23	8042:1,15	8104:6	7963:20
admit 7969:5	7931:10	8043:17,18	8114:4	8028:24
7970:23	advent	8054:4	8115:6	8031:25
7981:23	8013:2	8133:12,13	8127:16,19	8032:9
ado 8068:5	8099:4	8150:3,5	8128:16	8039:22
adopt	8154:18	8198:15,17	8143:6	8043:13
8004:22	advice	afterwards	8168:19	8098:5
8005:13	7954:3	7987:10	aggressively	8099:9,13
8006:20	advise	7992:19	8087:2	8100:5
8029:13	8048:16	against	8129:17	8102:21
8083:18	8153:2	7928:22	aging	8129:1
adopted	advised	7929:15	8038:23	8144:24
8004:19	7947:9,25	8054:21	ago 7937:15	8153:9
8005:15	advising	8061:2	7940:17	8178:6
	7957:10	8128:1	7978:2	8191:17
			7980:6	aimed
			7995:22	7957:14
				8086:9

air	8033:5	7985:8	8000:2	8078:13
8013:15,24	8044:18	7991:16	8073:24	8102:10
8014:4,11	8156:4	7994:10	8074:7	ans 8191:16
8016:4,15	already	8016:23	8112:19	answer
8018:6	7939:11	8021:9	8113:2,5,1	7934:1
air-	7946:12	8027:5	0 8135:13	7935:12
conditione	7986:8,9	8032:11	8163:22	7939:16
rs 8007:2	8018:4,11	8036:6	8191:6	7980:17
air-source	8035:4	8073:5,16	analytic	8007:25
7936:8	8037:6	8078:4	8020:21	8008:2
Alaska	8048:2	8092:25	analytical	8022:19
7945:12,15	8051:14	8122:4	8167:5	8037:20
,17	8052:10,25	8184:5	analytics	8050:4
Alberta	8099:3	American	8003:24	8051:7
8048:10	8103:4	7932:17	8019:16,23	8052:12
8056:23	8168:19	7941:10	8021:15	8085:18
alerts	8179:19	8169:25	8025:10	8103:1
8024:15	alternative	among	8085:1,2	8113:25
algorithm	7939:21	8004:25	analyzed	8131:10
8020:20	7942:19,20	8040:12	8027:3	8142:24,25
algorithms	alternativel	amongst	analyzing	8143:1
7960:3	y 8157:25	8156:5	8112:23	8159:23
8023:19	8162:5	amount	and/or	8166:23
aligns	alternatives	7998:15	8032:20	8176:19
7946:18	7918:8	8026:20	8101:14,15	answered
allow	8064:3,12	8041:23	Anderson	7966:17
7934:16	altogether	8067:9	7919:21	8092:20
7946:22	8034:24	8091:18	anecdotally	8130:20
7964:10	am 7925:22	8095:2,6	8017:3	answering
8000:18	7957:25	8124:5	angered	8126:9
8022:1	7962:23	amounts	7929:24	8179:13
8024:5,17	7976:5	8081:16	animated	answers
8027:9	7979:24	analogous	7964:1	7933:25
8147:7	8003:9	8116:16	announcement	8051:25
allowed	8022:13	analogy	8128:20	ante
8129:17	8035:13	8033:2	annoyed	8097:9,18
allowing	8045:3	8157:23	7927:17	anther
8027:23	8050:13	analyses	7929:24	7957:4
allows	8138:17	7956:22	annual	anticipate
8024:11,13	8144:6	7999:24	7981:13	8038:18
8141:18	8156:17	8070:9	7982:3,10,	anticipated
8193:13	8197:17	analysis	15,23	7989:23
alluding	ambitious	7927:8	7987:16	7997:22
8142:2	8198:11	7948:16,22	8021:5	8029:15,24
alone 7980:3	America	7949:5,14	8028:16	8040:8
8028:12,19	7926:22	7957:7,8	8030:21	8141:8
8029:15,19	7956:16	7960:17	8058:23	8176:8
	7965:4,7	7985:15	8060:1,2,6	anticipating
	7973:15	7995:9		8011:12
	7983:10	7999:25		

Antoine	anytime	8134:18	8156:21	8190:3,17,21
7919:18	8035:2	8162:22	8157:8	
7921:21	anyway	appliance	8186:2	areas
7961:8	8151:18	8004:6	appropriate	7960:12
8106:17,18	anyways	8167:24	7957:11	7966:5
8107:13,16	8139:9	8168:7	7965:15	8025:24
,25	anywhere	8175:3,4	7999:12	8099:3
8108:12,13	7976:19	appliances	8041:16	8100:21
8109:1,14,21	8073:4,5	7955:18	8065:11,14	8119:24
8110:5,12,17,22	8120:19	8086:24	8105:3	8125:3
8111:6,12	8139:18	applied	8126:25	8126:23
8112:10	apart 7946:4	7972:14	8129:19	8127:21
8113:3,11,23	8113:14	8175:23	8144:12	8141:9
8114:7	apologize	applies	8191:10	8169:11
8115:3	7963:21	8050:19	appropriatel	aren't
8116:4	7968:8	8074:3	y 8041:24	7979:22
8117:22	7971:1	apply	approval	8119:7
8118:17	7981:15,16	8074:7,12	8166:3	argue 8130:1
8119:4,11	7985:25	8115:20	approvals	8182:2
anybody	7994:17	8117:11	8062:15,18	8193:10
7933:23	8043:23	8154:6	8136:3	argument
8068:7	8044:20	8156:20	approve	8057:13
8197:12	8045:22	appreciate	8048:11	8099:12
anymore	8050:6	8008:15	approved	arises
8175:5	8079:2	8035:19	8037:6	8144:20
anyone	8088:22	8151:21	8069:8	arranged
8048:4,23	8153:22	8167:11	8138:20	7964:5
8065:21	apparently	appreciative	approximatel	array
8088:14	8057:7	7998:10	y 8046:8	7953:14,19
8097:3	appear	approach	8055:2	7965:6
8162:20	8059:3	7995:10	8059:22	8037:16
anyone's	APPEARANCES	7998:5	8164:9	8155:12
7986:4	7919:1	8005:20	approximatio	arrow
8031:15	7920:1	8037:18	n 8041:22	7992:11
8090:12	appeared	8069:24	April	arrows
8181:17	7952:17	8070:2,4	7918:24	7992:2
anything	appearing	8075:22	7949:5	article
8037:8	8067:8,17	8085:15	7963:21,24	8051:19
8043:10	8105:17	8112:16	7964:8	8055:5
8049:12	appears	8155:21	8054:7	8059:20
8051:3,10	7952:25	8158:8	arbiter	articles
8052:1,21,24	8132:5,6,13	8163:7,18	7967:15	7959:24
8053:13	apples	approached	area 7956:9	Asia 7926:23
8069:10	8193:13	8112:19	8021:20	7936:4
8114:24	apples-to-	approaches	8084:19,21	aside
8123:3	apples	7960:5	8112:14	7974:19
8127:4	8021:1	8002:4	8113:24	8028:8
8181:12		8071:13	8139:12,13	8030:1
8196:19		8155:21	8141:10,13	

8169:4	7952:5	assumption	7942:22	7983:1
aspect	associate	7969:22	attributed	7984:4
8129:20	8046:13	8031:8	8115:13	7986:3
aspects	associated	8034:21	8132:15	7987:22,25
7984:11	7945:2,7	8038:4	8161:7	7988:3,5,13
8175:25	8004:1	8080:16	audit	7991:18,21
ass 8074:23	8073:10	8082:11	7967:21	7994:6
assembly	8103:25	8102:9	8023:8	8077:12,25
8062:16	8104:8	8110:6	8025:14,16	8078:1
assertion	8117:20	8166:5	8045:6	8092:17
7975:3	8134:23	8170:10	8060:14	8109:9
assess	Associates	8172:21	auditors	8110:12,14
7955:8	8154:4	8181:15,18	7967:16	8158:23
8082:16	Association	assumptions	audits	8175:9
8156:5	7925:10	7970:19	7944:24	averages
8170:15	7947:12,13	7994:13	8121:21	7987:7
8184:3	7950:19	7998:14	Austin	7988:20
8192:25	assume	8031:2	8033:10,11	aversion
assessed	7998:2	8037:19	authority	8123:13
7927:20	8000:22,25	8038:12	8048:15	avoid 8055:9
8070:20	8038:13	8071:3	automaticall	avoided
8074:3	8041:8	8076:18	y 8022:20	8161:8
8084:16	8076:13	8109:6	8101:23	avoiding
8100:14	8077:4,5,7	8115:2	8111:13	8034:24
8113:8	8084:12	assure	automation	awarded
assesses	8087:10	8189:21	8029:5	8059:23
8087:8	8113:20	7967:10	availability	awards
assessing	8122:25	Asterisk	7947:19	7978:1
8162:14	8169:9	8195:19	8136:4	aware 7950:4
8191:1	8171:12	Atlantic	available	7997:24
assessment	8174:4,7	attempt	7975:9	8008:15
7927:24	8180:6	7950:10	7998:15	8051:1
7929:2	8183:4	attempted	8004:13	8067:16
7960:16	8191:13	8122:19	8008:7	8072:23
8070:14	assumed	attend	8030:15	8073:5
8084:7	7980:18	7946:20	8032:25	8137:12
8107:10	8011:23	7950:14	8041:25	8138:14
8115:22	8030:4	8068:6	8043:4	8154:2
8168:21	8069:9	attendance	8067:9	8164:8
8192:9,15	8115:5	8042:24	8090:2	8169:24
assessments	8141:21	attended	8125:16	8173:16
8023:5	assuming	8062:2	8126:4	8178:14
8076:19	7948:12	attention	8131:1	8190:25
assisted	7953:4	7976:12	8177:2	8191:4,8
7954:18	7994:14,24	7980:13	Avenue	8197:17,19
8022:9,17	8079:19	7986:25	7918:22	8198:18
8163:24	8080:25	8108:17	average	away 7969:5
assisting	8082:8	8128:11	7981:13	8053:5
	8126:21	attractive		8081:5
	8198:21			

8121:25	8013:25	8177:3	8098:15	7997:21
8181:14	8018:5	8190:14	8121:22	8028:24
awe 7968:5	baseboards	basket	8125:21	8032:10
awful 8008:6	8018:11	8159:17	8144:21	8051:12
8010:16	based	8160:14,16	8145:22	8102:17
8161:24	7966:14	,22 8177:9	8156:9	8130:17
	7984:16	8179:24	becoming	8160:11
<hr/> B <hr/>	8023:9	baskets	7937:7	Bel 7918:16
background	8097:15	8160:25	8002:6	8066:4
7959:18	8115:8	bathtubs	8145:5	8067:15
8023:16	8124:5	7936:7	Bedford	8090:4
8106:22	8135:13	BC 7928:25	7919:7	belief
backup	8137:14	7929:15	bedroom	8115:8
8134:24	8140:23	BCR 8048:14	8014:6,8	believe
backyard	8166:17	beach	beer 7931:19	7947:5
8047:3	8179:22,25	8114:16	beforehand	7950:14
bad 8019:2	8180:4	beaches	8167:13	7951:1
8051:18	8182:1,17	8047:4,5	begin	7963:21
8079:7	8186:21	bear 8101:22	7964:13	7968:17
8082:15	8190:13	beast 8094:5	7968:11	7979:10
8181:17	baseline	beat 8100:6	7970:20	7980:11
balance	8041:3	beaucoup	8089:7	7985:2,14,
8024:12	8170:5,11	8152:7	8167:4	17 7991:21
band 8052:9	basements	8167:4	beginning	7993:11
8113:10	7935:13	7963:11	7980:5	7996:3
bandwidth	basic	8199:3	begins	8024:23
8112:14,20	8036:21	beautiful	7946:7	8042:16
8113:1	basically	8047:4	begun 7957:4	8046:22
bar 7982:24	8019:25	8145:21	8128:25	8047:25
8004:16	8069:9	beautifully	8154:19	8065:21
barely	8072:20	8030:11	behalf	8068:3
8011:9	8112:21	become	7925:10	8081:8
8028:17	8128:16	7939:20	7947:11	8092:6
barrel	basing	7981:6	7950:18	8097:9
8094:21	7999:6	8001:14	7961:9,13,	8101:22
barriers	basis	8004:12	18	8103:11
8008:1	8014:13	8008:8,15	7962:3,15,	8127:14
8085:12	8029:20	8032:18	18 8042:17	8131:21
8196:15,16	8041:10	8084:20,22	8119:16	8132:4
,22	8057:7	8142:13	8130:12	8152:5
bars 7982:21	8058:19	8177:1	8133:2	8153:14
base 7989:9	8059:11	8191:4	8162:10	8154:8
8122:16	8068:22	becomes	behaviour	8158:22
8164:10,14	8070:1	7924:5	8020:7	8159:8,20
8179:16	8073:8	7975:7,17	behind	8170:19,20
baseboard	,22 8084:8	8026:2	7946:12	,22
8012:18,25	8148:9	8040:7	7987:11	8173:25
	8167:10	8057:13		8181:8
	8176:23	8081:3		8191:14
				8199:2
				believed
				8030:2

8096:6,10	7952:11	8083:14	7973:6,17	blessed
belittle	7956:14	8094:1	7974:3	8047:4
8160:10	7960:14	bill 7936:15	7975:22	blew 8065:17
Bench 8061:3	7970:5	7937:5	7978:15,17	blind
Benchmark	8027:4	8061:25	7981:15	8019:14
7929:15	8050:13	8091:9	7986:10	blip 7935:5
benchmarked	8059:2,5	8093:5	7998:4	block
7928:22	8081:18	8108:16	8003:7,16	8165:14
bending	8102:15	8109:16	8007:11	blue 7985:19
8154:25	8113:13	8111:1,7,8	8010:18	7987:23
8155:1	8114:8	8128:4	8016:13,22	7989:8,10
beneficially	best-	billed	8020:14	7992:17
8056:11	practice	8045:16	8025:15	7997:11
benefit	7956:2	billing	8032:15,16	8014:19
8007:17	bet 7964:13	7979:9,16	8037:7	8076:24
8008:4	8096:8	8057:4	8040:21	board
8016:1	8100:6,8	billion	8068:4	7918:3,14,
8074:9	better	7927:23	8069:25	15,16,17,2
8140:19	7931:5	7928:8	8070:4	1 7919:2
8141:3	7943:10	7929:3,13,	8073:1	7924:19
8146:11	7971:13	19 7942:8	8078:2,10	7932:4
8162:8,16,	8009:22	8057:23	8080:9	7933:18
21	8047:14	8081:25	8081:9	7950:4,18,
8192:2,11	8066:13	8082:1	8087:1	25 7952:18
benefited	8077:19,20	billions	8091:12	7953:2
8044:10	8096:19	8065:3	8093:23	7954:10
benefiting	8100:9	bills	8100:15,20	7967:23
8062:5	8115:7	7977:9,17	8103:2	7975:8,10
benefits	8151:20	7979:13	8107:5	8067:15
7930:21	beware	8057:3	8118:23	8071:19
7931:15	8034:8	8091:7	8123:8	8087:19
7977:8	beyond	8128:10	8128:23	8105:18
8008:25	7931:10	8192:6	8129:1	8132:14
8009:1	7947:24	bio 7952:24	8130:18	8197:6,22
8013:24	8038:13	biomass	8142:5	8198:4
8055:13	8081:13	8028:25	8144:3	boards
8056:12	8089:11	Bipole	8157:11	7959:13
8060:10,20	8090:16	8045:12	8162:18	Board's
8063:9	8103:4	8055:20	8163:14	8035:20
8083:8	8180:17	8058:1	8169:2	8106:1
8152:17	bias	bit 7926:2	8170:23	Bob 7919:2
8161:22,24	8192:14,23	7929:6,25	8175:7	body 8072:12
8162:17	,25	7946:12	8178:23	bolts
8191:21	Bible	7949:10	8181:11	8151:19
8192:3,11	8073:21	7950:2	8184:22,23	Bonjour
8193:1,8	bigger	7957:23	bite	7961:8
bes 8047:11	8177:9	7962:20	8197:9,14	bonus
best 7935:20	biggest	7963:13	biting	8097:11,19
	7973:2	7968:5	8003:10	
	8041:2		blended	
			8135:15	

8172:13	8138:4,14, 18,24	7925:13	budgets	8038:12
bonuses	8139:15,20	briefly	8190:16	8082:20
8149:8	,23 8140:1	7925:21	build	8097:12
bookended	8183:13	8108:23	7996:17	8107:6
7969:13	8190:18,20	bring	8047:9	8117:1
books 7986:9	,23,24	7965:16,17	8061:25	8137:18
boom	8191:8,17	7978:5	8104:13	8143:14
7996:14,23	8193:17,24	8025:10	8116:19	8185:3
boom/bust	8194:6,8	8029:16	8145:20,21	8186:4
7931:1	brand	8050:17	8165:24	bulb 8112:6
boots 7968:1	8008:21	8096:19,20	builder	bulbs
Borden	break	8127:8	8009:25	7935:14
8056:8	7947:17,20	8155:14	building	8011:15
bore	7949:24	bringing	7931:9	8086:24
8013:4,5	7968:10	7981:22	7937:20	bulk 8036:22
born 8155:4	7995:4	7982:13,14	7939:25	8120:14
bottom	7999:13	8026:4	7940:20	bullet
7930:3	8030:5	8029:11	7944:2,15, 18,20	8129:10
7986:14	8035:16	8051:15	7946:2	bullied
7992:21	8041:17	brings	7971:9	8065:21
8000:25	8046:1	7977:7	7976:12	bump 7998:13
8031:3	8105:3	broad	8004:5,17, 25 8020:9	bumps
8074:17,18 ,20	8108:23	7953:14	8025:1,14	7986:10
8126:14	8198:15,24	7965:6	8026:3	burden
8165:4	breaking	8037:16	8038:21,22	8064:4
bottom-up	8030:6	broader	8047:12	burned
8163:4,22, 25 8171:7	breakout	7946:6	8054:19	8030:3
Boyd 7919:6	8032:7	7961:2	8081:12	8123:18
7921:24	breaks	brother	8157:13	8128:3
7922:3	8175:4	8048:9,14, 20	buildings	business
7948:1,4,5	brief	brought	7926:7	7950:14
7949:25	7933:10	7960:21	7930:15	7965:22
7950:2,12	7954:10	7980:14	7931:7	7977:10
7962:3,5	7958:20	8183:17	7936:6	8008:9
8000:5,8,1 0	7962:11	brown 8047:5	7937:16,18	8047:11
8133:8,10, 11,18,21,2 3	7966:22	Brunswick	7943:1	8055:12
8134:4,12, 19	8007:24	7954:21	7944:14	8056:12
8135:3,10, 16,22	8008:2	8195:3,4	7955:18	8058:4
8136:7,17	8044:2,23	bucks	8004:21	8059:16
8137:3,8,1 2,16,20,24	8090:25	8024:7,8	8025:4,5,6 ,7,18	8063:25
briefed	8104:21	budget	8118:15	8068:6
	8129:5	7934:19,25	buildup	businesses
	8151:9	8041:24	7997:3	7931:4
	8152:11	8123:3	built	7971:10
	8158:16	budgeting	7940:18	7977:11
	8165:1	8198:14	7972:10	8008:9
	8173:6		7973:23	8049:19
	8177:14,21		7985:14	8152:22
	8193:15			

bust 7996:15,24	8177:23 8178:5,9 8194:12,16 ,17,24 8195:6,11, 17,21,24 8196:3,12, 19 8197:3,8,1 8	8075:7,9,1 5,22,24 8076:3 8093:19 8099:17 8100:16	7962:21 cance 8065:7 cancelled 8065:8 8138:20	8141:4,12, 19 8144:17
busy 7925:8				capture 7973:21 8104:14
button 8023:2				car 7937:1 8104:14
buy 7937:1 8009:13,16 8030:23 8095:8		CalISO 8041:14	CanmetENERGY 7926:11	
buying 7971:9 8010:14 8081:13 8099:22	<hr/> C <hr/> CAC 7919:12 7952:24,25 8075:15 8198:15	Campbell 8046:9 8056:10 8060:7	canvass 7961:5 8041:22	carbon 7973:21 8083:18,21 8104:11,12
buys 8182:4	CAC/GAC 7921:8,17 7951:13 8068:10	Canada 7925:10 7931:24 7932:4,5 7939:1 7941:3 7950:19 7955:23,24 7956:10 7972:2,12 7973:23 7974:25 8004:19,25 8005:12,16 8006:18,25 8017:1,5,1 4 8025:7 8030:9 8036:17 8045:8 8050:3 8061:19 8071:12 8102:16 8131:17	cap 8118:18,25 8119:1 capabilities 7994:8 capacity 7936:3 7940:19 7980:24 7981:1,5,6 8024:14 8029:18,25 8033:7 8059:8 8084:20,21 8088:23 8089:13 8116:12,23 ,24 8117:1,18, 20 8118:5,18 8131:20 8134:3 8167:19,23 8171:25 8173:1 8182:20,23	card 8083:15 care 8090:6 8107:2 career 7926:13 careful 8107:5 8124:19 carefully 7986:5 8036:11 8073:9 cares 7946:4 Carol 7921:14 8042:20 8050:10,11 ,14 carried 7960:23 case 7970:6 7980:1,5,1 0 7986:4 7996:1,6 8003:3,5 8008:9,24 8009:24 8012:7 8025:2 8038:22 8041:13 8069:7,24 8071:4 8087:8 8094:23 8099:7 8103:23
Byron 7919:12 7921:11,20 7922:4 7962:25 7963:1 7971:19,20 7979:20,21 7986:12,19 7990:18,19 7992:7,16 7995:3 7996:25 7997:8 7998:6 7999:10 8000:15,16 8005:4,9 8015:6,24 8016:20 8026:6,11, 18 8033:23 8035:15 8039:8,9 8068:13,14 8075:2,3,1 3,19 8085:5 8086:13 8087:4,23 8088:21 8090:1 8104:17,23 8105:16 8106:5 8107:15,17	CAC-19 7951:20 CAC-62 7923:3 7951:2,3,5 CAC-63 7923:4 8106:3,10 calculat 8107:21 calculate 7942:9 8023:12 8162:18 calibrated 7984:18,19 calibration 7985:5 California 7939:8 7957:19 7958:1,4,6 8031:18 8036:16 8068:17,23 8069:2,7,1 3,14,15 8070:8,22 8071:11	Canada's 7941:3 7959:8 Canadian 7930:16 7974:21,25 8022:7 8089:24 8153:16 8185:12,23 Canadian- wide 8195:14 Canadiens	capacity- focussed 7959:6 capital 7977:10 8001:23 8029:9 8034:19,21 8062:19 8063:8,23 8064:1 8086:1	

8112:1	7984:5	7975:1	8199:19	8067:3,7,2
8115:25	8171:19	7976:21	cetera	1 8068:3
8117:13	Celsius	7977:1	7955:20	8071:5,8
8120:22	8015:2	7978:4,24	8007:5	8075:5,8
8122:4	cent	7981:3	chain	8105:2,10
8125:20,21	8109:8,24,	7983:3	7944:12	8106:2,7,1
8126:16	25	7984:13	chair 7929:9	4 8119:14
8129:25	8160:6,8	7985:5	7950:18	8130:11
8131:10	centre	7997:20	7960:10	8133:1,6
8142:14	7926:11	7998:3	7961:15,24	8140:3,13
8159:12	7943:25	8001:4,21,	7975:5	8141:21
8160:19	7945:18	22 8003:2	7999:11	8142:8,11,
8161:9	7947:14	8022:11	8104:17	21 8143:18
8162:19,20	7950:20	8024:24	8119:19	8145:11,17
8174:5	8062:1	8031:12,16	8130:9,17	8146:15
8179:20	8189:13	8032:3	8132:25	8149:17
8182:1	cents	8035:3	8194:12	8151:11
8191:14	7932:20	8067:10	8195:12	8183:12
cases	7973:12,19	8084:25	8196:8	8186:22
8018:19	8033:14,19	8086:4	Chairman	8189:1
8022:22	8045:18	8088:5,19	7925:7	8190:18
8027:22	8046:15	8089:24	7946:21	8194:8
8040:14	8081:23,25	8103:20	7947:9	8197:10
8072:22	8091:16,17	8104:9	8042:3	8199:1
8123:14	8092:6,7,9	8105:22	8150:3	challenge
8128:7,9	8109:4,10	8107:8	8151:5,16	7978:24,25
8130:1	8111:2,14,	8111:17	8198:9	8130:5
8149:2,3,2	16 8143:25	8113:5	Chairperson	8181:25
2 8157:15	8144:1	8114:23	7918:13	challenges
8189:21	8158:25	8115:25	7925:3	8048:4
catchy	8159:6,9,1	8120:24	7945:1,6	8196:9
7960:2,9	4,21	8124:19	7946:9,24	chance
categorized	8176:17	8125:25	7947:4	8184:2
8039:1	century	8126:10	7948:3	change
category	7942:2	8131:14	7949:23	7937:19
7961:3	CEO 8062:15	8141:2,13	7950:1,10,	7993:3,8
cause	certain	8142:7	13 7960:18	7994:4
7936:15	8085:12	8162:22	7961:4,12,	7998:11,21
8063:13	8088:16,17	8166:10	17,22	8003:19
8142:18	8090:7	8168:5	7962:2,7,1	8022:13,15
caused	8118:18	8169:9	3 7966:20	8032:12
7984:13	8141:8	8184:19	7975:11,21	8070:21
8054:24	8157:17	8185:7,16	7987:2	8148:14
cautious	certainly	8186:11,12	7999:14,21	8170:6
8115:10	7949:25	8195:24	8000:6,12	8188:6
caveat	7952:14	8197:4	8041:15	changed
7997:17	7955:1	8198:20	8042:8,15	7934:16
8080:22	7956:25	certainty	8043:18	7968:19
8164:16	7963:5	8135:17	8049:11	7996:11
caveats	7972:25	Certificate	8050:7	changer
		7922:6	8053:25	8032:17
		Certified	8066:25	

changers 8035:4	,18,24 8158:19 8167:8 8173:10 8183:1	8156:12	7981:17 8046:25 8047:5,23 8137:25 8138:1,9 8188:21	8025:11
changes 7942:17 7969:6 7993:23 8006:20 8027:1 8094:22 8141:17 8156:1,7,8 8188:4,20	charts 7990:8 8071:1 chasm 8032:2 cheap 8033:12 8188:11	choices 8021:25 choose 8024:5,17 8156:15 chose 7991:7 Christian 7920:6 Churchill 7945:21 churning 7937:25 circumstance s 7983:24 Citi 7938:6 Citigroup 8028:6 city 8013:20 8027:8,9 8152:9,14, 16,18,20,2 5 8153:3,6,1 2 claim 8055:4 8056:21 8057:11 claims 8048:11,12 clarificatio n 7961:5 7999:23 8151:25 8194:13 clarify 7949:24 7950:11 7976:8 7982:7 7987:3 7992:2 8000:4 8142:2 8174:24 clean 7939:18	clear 7981:17 7994:22 7998:20 8047:5 8142:16 8147:22,23 8149:16 8156:17 8172:4 8190:7,8 clearly 7963:22 7970:3 8007:17 8019:14 8062:11 8113:7 8120:22 client 7967:16 8103:17 8107:7 clients 7954:4,19 7955:2 7957:10 7960:24 7965:4,6,1 7 7967:12,13 7978:7,10 7979:12 8017:5 8153:25 8162:15 8196:25 climate 7933:4 7936:8 7943:22 7945:15,18 8013:19 8014:14 8016:4,12, 15,18	climates 7933:7 8016:14 8017:11 clo 8027:19 close 7992:20 8010:23 8057:22 8065:12 8077:25 8080:2 8148:9 closed 8051:13 closely 7946:19 7956:12,14 7997:20 8096:14 closer 8123:24 8187:5 closing 8194:9 clothes 8007:3 cloudy 7943:18 8133:21 clue 8140:18 CO2 7977:6 8090:6,8 coal 7938:2 7973:20,21 ,22 8028:25 8187:12 cod 8055:4 code 8009:24 codes 7926:15 7934:20,24 7986:8,16 7988:1,14 8004:5,17,

20,22	7937:11	commendable	8081:12	8055:25
8005:1,2	8019:24	7994:4	committee	8095:22,23
8010:4	comes	7998:11	8037:14,15	company
8174:8	7926:15	comment	common	7953:24
coefficient	7938:5	7945:1	7933:25	7958:10
8014:20	7989:7	7954:14,15	7934:1	8056:4
coin 7933:2	8008:21	8183:19	7983:13	8119:24
7942:23	8015:11	commentary	8057:16	8120:5
8068:15	8033:18	8189:3	8088:6	8124:3
cold 7931:19	8035:18	comments	commonly	comparator
7933:3	8037:24	7962:8	7982:5	7974:6
7936:8	8049:20	8043:2	8121:13	compare
7945:3,18	8098:16	8194:9	8186:1	7928:21
7964:3	8111:20	commercial	communicate	7969:2,10
8016:4,11,	8143:9	7937:7	8020:4	7973:12,15
14,15,17	8168:25	7944:20	8022:22	7983:3
8017:10	comfort	7955:18	communicatio	8020:23
8068:24	7997:8,9,1	8004:20	ns 8019:25	8021:3
collaboratio	4 8008:16	8007:2	8020:1	8132:17
n 7942:7	8192:7	8020:8	communities	8156:4
collapse	comfortable	8025:1,4,5	7926:8	8159:25
7992:10	8043:9	,6,7	7931:8,9	8160:16
collapsed	coming	8027:12,25	7940:5	8161:8,21
7935:8	7946:13	8086:12	7943:2,8	8162:23
colleagues	7964:14	8117:25	7946:2	8166:25
8000:9	7981:10	8118:4,13,	8049:19	compared
8177:24	7985:4	15 8167:23	8052:6	7930:25
collecting	7989:16	8168:6	8054:16	7974:11
8023:8	8002:7	commercializ	8057:24	8045:15
College	8004:3	ed 7936:5	8063:10,24	8091:17
7943:25	8006:8,22	commercially	8196:10	8099:22
colloquial	8007:7,11	8057:8	community	8111:9
8039:12	8010:5,7	commission	8043:22	8173:14
column	8011:1,21	7940:23	8044:7,17	compares
7987:17,18	8023:16	7958:5	8046:19	8163:6
,25	8029:14	8069:14	8047:6	8164:12
com 8045:23	8049:22	commissioned	8049:6	comparing
combination	8068:18	7933:17	8050:19,20	8023:9
7971:13	8079:10	Commissioner	,22,25	8155:21
8019:23	8097:2	8153:8	8051:2,16,	8157:14,15
8021:14	8102:19	8154:24	17 8052:23	8158:1
8096:2	8104:1	8176:15	8053:19	8160:7
combinations	8131:21	commit	8060:14,15	8162:7
8155:15	8199:3	8180:21	8062:5	comparison
combine	commence	commitment	8065:20	8021:1
8030:15	7925:15	8042:25	8066:11,19	8134:18
combined	commenced	8043:5	8131:3	8162:22
	commencing	committed	compact	8193:13
	7925:1		8010:13,14	comparisons
			companies	8020:19

8150:9	8138:16	8175:18	8052:4	8134:12,16
compelling	comprehensiv	8179:7	8152:4	conscious
8033:13	e	concerns	conducted	8126:17
compensate	7954:12,19	7962:9	7956:22	consequences
8055:8	7955:2,5	7999:5	7957:1	8048:19
compensated	8036:25	8051:13	8071:16,18	8190:9
8054:23	8143:1	8062:7	8193:2	conservation
compensation	8195:1	8065:11	conducting	8165:8,12,
8055:2,18,	comprised	8067:16	8037:13	16 8166:2
22	8139:2	8088:24	8121:21	8178:16
8065:7,8	computer	8115:20	Conference	8185:8
competing	8045:1	8126:11	7932:4	conservative
8073:13	computer's	8146:12	confess	7995:10
8104:2	8045:23	8188:24	7962:20	7998:5
8130:2	computing	conclude	confidence	8002:20
competition	8019:24	8076:6,11	8182:3	8031:8
8156:10	con 8073:6	8084:11	confidential	8102:8
competitive	8135:6	concluded	8048:21	consider
7977:11	Conawapa	8069:19	confidential	7933:21
competitor	7928:8	8074:4,22	confidential	8049:4
8032:20	7929:12	8076:8,12	ity 8051:8	8080:18
8033:22	7931:3	concludes	confirm	8140:19
competitors	8055:19	7977:18	7990:21	8191:10
8057:10	8057:25	conclusion	8107:17	considerable
8187:1	8159:3	7926:4	8133:23	8067:11
complete	concept	7942:4	8135:4	considerably
8064:10	8020:19	8064:21	conflict	8185:7
completed	concern	8069:23	8046:21	consideratio
7929:1	7934:3	8071:18	8059:4	ns 7925:25
7957:2	7945:10	conclusions	confound	7927:3
7974:20	7981:2	7924:11	8078:11,23	8120:17
8015:19	7998:12,20	8107:21	confused	8124:20
8061:23	7999:3	8108:7	7927:7	considered
8117:4,6	8032:10	concrete	7928:17	7975:6
8185:14	8034:20	8003:15	confusing	8116:13
completes	8052:23	concur	7928:11	8126:13
8199:2	8065:24	8073:4	confusion	considering
completing	8114:18	conditioners	7949:10	8067:10
7957:3,4	8145:19	8014:5	7950:3	consisen
complexities	8146:16,18	conditioning	8194:19	7997:4
8160:10	8155:5	8014:11	congratulati	consist
complicated	8169:2	conditions	ons 8199:8	8055:17
7938:22	8179:23	8062:12	connected	consistent
7944:14	concerned	8085:20	8098:17	7991:18
component	8053:17	8086:5	Connecticut	8118:2
7961:2	8090:15	condition's	8040:7	consistently
	8168:7,14,	8051:18	connection	7997:4
	18	conduct		
	8169:14,22			

8041:9	consultation	7921:1	8047:22	7996:21
consolation	7933:19	7922:1	8132:18	8014:2
7962:22	7934:6	context	8180:15	8020:10
Consortium	8039:17	7956:18	continuing	8024:11,18
8061:13	8054:19	7994:10	8046:21	8048:18
constant	8126:6	8006:4	8072:9	8061:6
7937:25	consultation	8153:25	8132:11	8089:15
constantly	s 8037:16	8157:12	continuous	8118:11
8094:17	8069:17	continent	8010:21	8139:10
8170:25	8125:23	7957:9	continuously	controls
constitute	consulted	7965:14	7979:24	8024:3,4
7956:14	7934:6,7	8093:8	contract	conventional
constitution	7942:12	continue	8024:13	8116:17
8053:7,11	consulting	7947:22	8050:25	8138:9
constraint	7953:23	7993:14	8096:8	conversation
8090:19	8125:17	8000:8	8097:8,12	7993:7,20
8094:23	consume	8002:5	8187:24	8154:23
constraints	7971:14	8003:5	8188:23	8194:18
8084:20,22	consumer	8010:6	contractors	conversation
8090:21	8008:24	8016:21	7967:17	s 7990:8
8141:8,17	8009:23	8042:6,16	8009:16	7994:18
construct	consumers	8044:1	contracts	8196:7
8054:14	8009:6,8	8045:2	8057:11,12	Conversely
8187:9	8085:10	8046:3	8059:19	8167:20
construction	consumer's	8049:2	8064:25	converted
7927:25	8063:12	8063:2,10	8083:4	8020:22
7930:2	Consumers	8066:20,22	8135:24	convinced
7955:19	7925:10	8073:22	8152:6	8096:7
7976:13	7947:12,13	8077:9	8186:25	8097:10
8039:24	7950:19	8080:17	8187:7	8177:1
consult	consumes	8083:16	contrary	cookers
8054:16	8015:4	8135:6	7996:20	7936:7
8125:18	consumption	8198:22	8073:9	cookie
consultant	7965:21	continued	8090:18	8127:3
7926:12	8022:19	7921:17,19	contribute	cooling
7964:20	Con't 7920:1	7970:14	8032:4	7937:8
8046:4	7922:1	7971:19	contributed	copies
8065:17	contacted	7979:20	8061:11,15	8057:2
consultants	8048:16	7990:18	,16,18,19,	8065:7
8044:9	contain	8000:15	20	8151:7
8045:15,20	8076:4	8029:3	contribution	copy 7924:18
8046:20	contains	8039:8	8122:20	8058:18
8049:22	8075:23	8062:23	8126:22	8177:25
8057:17,18	content	8068:10,13	contribution	8197:5,21
8065:4	7976:17	8075:2	s 7994:14	8198:2
8108:21	7977:20	8076:10,13	8122:14,22	Corey 7920:4
8133:3	CONTENTS	8108:12	control	7921:23
8134:21		8178:12	7979:12	7961:20
		continues		
		7988:7		
		8007:12		

8130:15,16	correspon	8123:4	8056:17	8081:14
8131:7,12,	ce 8056:18	8125:21	8057:16,23	counterbalan
16,24		8131:1	8074:8	ce 8163:20
8132:10,24	correspondin	8133:24	8083:16	counterintui
corner	g 8066:2	8134:3,5,2	8092:7	tive
7986:15	cos 8009:17	3 8135:4	8095:15	8013:12
8015:8	cost	8136:21	8099:22	counterparts
corners	7927:9,23	8141:16	8108:16	8069:15
8048:2	7928:7	8155:7,16,	8114:19	counting
corollary	7932:15	23 8156:15	8115:2	8040:17
8161:12	7934:13	8157:3,4,1	8119:3	8078:5
corporate	7936:9	6,17,25	8123:6	couple
8056:10	7939:18	8158:1,2,2	8133:25	7956:24
corporation	7940:1	4	8134:4,5,9	7963:16
8056:19	7941:23	8159:18,22	,15,17	7970:22
8191:9,23	7942:24	,24	8135:6,10	7995:5
correct	7956:23	8160:1,15,	8141:15	8007:8
7951:3,21	7957:11	16,17,21	8152:17	8011:11
7952:3,9,2	7960:15	8161:10,11	8158:21	8021:21
1	7973:11,16	,21	8159:2	8022:25
7953:3,25	8004:13	8162:4,8,1	8160:2,20	8027:5
7955:12	8007:18	6,23	8161:7,8	8030:16
7956:3	8008:25	8190:25	8191:21	8036:7,17
7957:21	8009:18	8191:1,11,	8192:9,10	8085:7
7959:1	8011:3,23	19	8193:9	8100:24
7960:6	8012:3	8192:8,10	could've	8106:20
8060:25	8017:19,24	8193:5,11	8092:20	8114:11
8078:9	8018:13,16	cost-cutting	council	8140:6,25
8087:21	,19	8057:17	7932:17	8148:10
8108:24	8025:16	cost-	7941:10	8194:13
8109:18	8027:15,23	effective	8052:17	coupled
8111:10	8028:12	7939:20	councilor	8047:10
8122:25	8029:3	7944:19	8043:21	courageous
8136:11	8030:21	cost-	8044:6	8065:22
8187:25	8031:5,8	effectiven	8050:1,2	course
8194:24	8032:19,20	ess	Council's	7943:17
8199:19	8033:20	7957:6,7,1	8073:20	7956:18
correction	8037:23	1,15	counsel	7973:16
7949:21	8074:7,13	costing	7919:2	7977:9
corrections	8080:17	8081:25	8046:10	7979:5
7937:4	8081:22	costly	8075:15	8002:4
correctly	8091:15,22	8156:6	8140:4	8013:12
8042:21	8092:9,17	costs 8008:4	8182:10	8014:12
8091:13	8093:13	8009:6,7,1	Counsellor	8038:5
8148:20	8095:3,7	1 8023:6	8065:18	8048:5
8153:14	8099:24	8027:20	counsels	8070:9
8171:21	8100:1	8028:10	8041:22	8136:22
correlation	8102:9,10	8029:4,6,1	count 8079:6	Court 8061:2
8095:6	8112:9	1 8031:3	8175:12	cover 7966:4
	8114:23	8055:18,20	counted	
	8115:12	,21		
	8116:3,25			
	8121:14			

7978:9	7996:23	8151:14	25 8167:4	customer's
8007:1	creation	cross-	curtailable	8100:7
8018:22	7929:4	sectoral	8119:5,10	customer-
8103:12	8183:20	7965:23	curve 7941:1	sided
8121:14	8185:19	crowd	7989:21	7953:18
8123:4	credibility	8063:12	8004:10	7984:10
8190:21	7964:12	Crown	8082:10	customized
coverage	7995:1	8191:9,23	8084:14	8022:21
7978:9	7998:16	crude 8094:2	8098:5	cut 7937:7
covered	credible	cub 8119:1	8155:1,2,3	7966:1
7938:11	8166:18	culturally	8174:11	7989:24
7955:21	credit	8126:24	8180:6	8048:2
7991:12	8033:18	cumulation	8188:14,15	8127:3
covering	Cree 8043:21	8078:17	curves	cuts 7989:23
7955:15	8044:7	cumulative	7981:24	cycle 7931:1
covers	8055:14,16	8029:19	8033:21	8135:7,13
7965:20	,23	8078:14,21	customer	cycles
8019:4	8056:15	,22 8179:2	7953:21	7996:15
Craft	8057:15,19	cup 8145:14	7977:16	
8105:17	8058:5,9,1	curious	8018:3,4	
cranny	4,21	7933:18	8020:22,23	
8143:15	8059:14,24	current	8030:21	
create	8060:3	8024:23	8109:15,17	
7927:6,12	crisp	8030:16	8110:23	
7928:9	7981:17	8072:1	8111:7	
7929:9,12,	critical	8080:9	8122:16	
16,20	7968:21	8099:24	8144:2	
7943:5,6,8	7988:6	currently	8178:19	
8049:8	7991:24	7958:23	8179:16	
8101:7	8037:19	7986:7	8193:5	
8141:19	8173:3	7997:22	customers	
created	8177:10	8006:23	7977:17	
7927:10,13	criticism	8011:25	7978:25	
,16	7985:1	8027:7	8008:15	
7930:14,24	criticisms	8152:1	8013:24	
7944:6,10	7932:23	8153:15,24	8020:24	
7945:18	cross 8085:6	8154:12	8021:2,6	
7974:15	8090:3	8180:12	8027:10	
8048:1	cross-	curriculum	8029:13	
8058:10	examinatio	7952:24	8034:23	
8059:15	n	cursor	8085:16	
8060:4,9	7921:21,22	8079:25	8086:10,12	
8185:8	,23,24,25	cursorily	,19,21	
creates	7947:22	8177:18	8087:1	
7929:21,22	8106:17	 cursory	8102:23	
7944:12	8119:18	8166:8,16,	8110:18	
7971:12	8130:15		8111:16,18	
7974:2	8133:10		8122:20	
creating			8130:4	
			8134:23	
			8191:11	

dashed	8022:25	8068:21	8058:22	delivering
8077:16,17	day-to-day	8070:5	8060:1,6	7978:5,24
8079:18	8036:22	8181:24,25	defer 7981:5	8127:10
data 7948:25	8176:23	decision-	8141:11	8129:12
7973:14	de 8158:5	making	8181:9	8146:24
7979:15	deal 7967:16	8009:11	8182:3	delivery
8017:2	7970:12	decisions	deferral	7926:16
8019:15,22	8038:9	7999:6	8144:17,19	8135:19
8020:3,4,2	8051:14	8187:12	8145:2,3	8136:7
3 8021:15	dealing	deck 7966:7	8180:3,9	8137:4
8023:9	7952:16	7981:16	deferrals	Deloitte
8025:4,8,9	7960:22	declare	8141:19	7927:23
8027:3	8099:3	8147:15	deferred	demand
8038:10	8105:24	decline	8180:17	7932:8
8080:6	8112:12	7990:25	8181:2	7939:8
8092:2	8116:12	8027:16	define	7941:1
8125:16	8121:9	8031:5	7953:11	7942:9
8126:4	8122:5	8033:21	8144:9	7949:7
8176:24	8127:13	8040:10	defined	7953:16,19
8179:25	8128:10	8063:5	7943:16	,20 7955:2
data-driven	8129:9	8072:9	defines	7959:6
8003:23	8142:13	8083:16	8162:9	7971:6
data's	death	declines	definitely	7972:16
8092:3	8187:18	8029:3	7968:7	7982:4,8
date 7963:20	debate	8031:9	7995:14	8001:25
8136:4	8162:12	declining	8009:5	8006:5
8138:20	debt 8063:15	8027:19,20	8017:2	8010:8
8141:11	8123:13,18	8030:17	definition	8029:16
8144:16	,20,22	8031:2	8161:14	8032:7
8174:3	decade	8040:15,17	degree	8078:18
8180:12,16	7926:21	8072:3	7959:19,21	8080:8,9
dated 8054:7	8011:9	8080:3	8024:9	8081:13
8055:16	8012:8	decrease	degrees	8082:10
dates 8183:5	8078:8	7971:6	8013:16	8084:9,14
dating	decades	8062:23	8023:20,25	8089:1,5,1
7958:17	7926:5,14	dedicated	del 8136:7	3,14,19,23
7977:24	7935:17	8086:9,14	delay 8136:3	8101:3
day	decided	deep	deliberately	8103:22
7925:8,11	8068:24	8145:3,9	8139:11	8116:7,9,1
7931:22	decides	deeper	deliver	8,20
7943:18	8048:23	8010:25	8136:5	8117:4,7,9
8014:8	decimal	8085:21	8137:5,6	,18
8096:15	7928:18	8086:3	8147:17,24	8118:15
8099:1	7988:23	deepest	,25	8155:2
8125:6	decision	8073:23	delivered	8156:8,11,
8133:19	8057:14	deep-rooted	8135:24	14 8157:21
8198:12	8064:17	8054:20		8158:3
days 7933:6	8066:8	default		demand-side
7963:20				7926:1
8001:22				7927:18,19
				7930:24
				7931:11

7932:25	8024:16	7955:11	8059:12	develops
7933:15	8110:19	7960:14	8060:16	8038:2
7934:10	8124:21	8119:25	8063:19	device
7935:7	8160:23	8120:3,20	8107:9	7936:21
7941:5,25	depends	8124:15	8119:6,9	DHP 8015:9
7952:19	8085:17,18	8125:11	determine	DHPs 8016:22
7953:8,11,	8118:25	8155:6	7961:6	die 7978:7
13 7954:19	8123:9	designated	8064:4	difference
7956:20	8125:15	7948:11	determined	7975:23
7959:9,12	8126:3,9	designed	8071:19	7990:1
7960:12,13	8140:11	7955:14	determining	8095:25
7961:3	8161:18	8016:14	7983:9	8114:15
7964:24	8162:13	8017:10	detriment	8142:22
7965:1,24	8165:16,23	8018:1	7973:7	8145:8
7971:3,16	8184:15,16	8124:9	devastation	8150:14
7977:5	deplete	designing	8047:1	8154:11,17
8008:14	8010:22	8120:9,18,	develop	8155:9
8019:18	8037:25	20,25	7967:19	8156:22
8031:21	depleted	8121:1,4	developed	8157:6
8069:20,21	8076:9	8124:11	8020:20	8167:1,9
8094:6	8097:4	designs	8062:22	differences
8116:23	depleting	8029:6	8171:6,7	7923:11
8118:18	8010:23	8121:8	developing	7980:7
8154:24	depletion	desired	7954:19	7992:5
8155:9,12	8097:3	8175:13	7955:2	8120:10
8156:16	Deport	desperately	7959:8	8124:25
8195:2	8008:12	8066:11	8027:7	8150:25
Department	depr 8081:3	despite	8089:23	different
7926:9	depressed	8019:9	development	7926:19
7935:21	8081:4	8060:17,20	7918:10	7930:12
7940:21	8144:15	destroy	7925:23	7938:7
departure	derive	8052:6	7927:6,10,	7956:25
7988:11	7925:22	destroyed	16 7930:17	7958:2,25
depend	describe	7939:9	7933:24	7965:16
8111:19	8026:12	8054:17	7942:5,7,1	7988:22,23
8118:8	8159:15	destroying	3 7943:4	7992:3
8131:9	described	8047:25	7960:13	8001:15
dependable	7946:19	8049:9	7997:25	8008:4
8039:2	8016:3	destruction	8046:19	8016:7
8076:11	describing	8054:24	8051:21	8018:18,19
8136:8,13	8107:2	8066:15	8055:18,20	8019:7
8137:13	description	8098:12	8056:3,6,7	8022:18
8182:19	7923:2	destrux	,17 8058:3	8025:7
dependant	7924:2	8066:14	8059:21	8026:21
8083:12	8165:5	details	8061:6,14	8069:25
dependent	design	7934:18	8063:3,17	8070:4
8049:16,18	7926:16	8013:4,6	8132:6	8078:10
depending	7943:24	8057:10	8141:25	8089:15
7956:8			8195:1	8095:2
7973:17				8098:3,4
8013:21				8102:3

8104:5	dip 7989:18	8171:9,11	disruptive	dollar
8117:6,7	dire 7950:21	discounting	7938:21	8091:9
8121:23,24		8038:16	8098:3	8093:5
8122:5,19	direct	8169:25	disservice	8108:16
8123:11,13	7928:4,11,	8170:5,9,1	8146:25	8128:4
8124:25	12,13,14	3	distance	dollars
8125:7	8020:10	discrepancie	8024:4	7928:3,16
8128:13	8059:19	s 7986:5	distance-	7929:5
8129:18	8088:10	discuss	based	7930:16
8139:2,11	8089:15	7928:23	8024:3,4	8011:4
8152:19	8121:17	8065:11	distinction	8057:23
8155:20	directed	8183:16	7975:25	8060:15,17
8157:2,12	8123:1	discussed	8027:21	,20
8158:10,11	direction	7997:21	8080:23	8061:21,24
,22	7927:25	8054:13	8132:20	8062:13
8160:24	7949:17,22	8106:23	distinguish	8064:25
8161:17,18	7982:20	8119:5	7931:17	8065:3,6
8165:25	7999:11	discussing	7958:2	8082:1
8172:25	8142:15	8196:21	distress	8109:5,17
8173:1	directionall	discussion	8049:6	8110:2
8175:7,21,	y 8170:20	7928:23	distribute	8111:8,10
22,24	directions	7968:22	8016:16	8146:10
8177:9	7981:25	8012:10	distributed	8184:9,12,
8184:4	directly	8075:14	7939:2	24 8185:6
8186:2	7976:15	8076:5	8034:4,8	domain
8188:24	8006:12	8135:17	distributing	7980:22
differentiat	8155:22	8179:19	8056:20	8195:22
ing	disadvantage	8194:22	distribution	8196:20
8118:10	d	discussions	7931:5	8197:2
differently	8196:9,10	7943:24	8012:21	domestic
8038:14	disappear	7964:2	8016:17	7995:1
8074:24	8098:6	8187:16	8018:6	7998:17
difficult	disbursed	dishwasher	disturbing	8082:11
8064:4	8061:22	8008:20	8046:18	8084:9,14
8066:8	disclose	dishwashers	dive 8073:23	8118:11
8067:13	8051:9	8007:3	Diversificat	8144:2
8128:12	8052:1,16,	disincentive	ion	8181:5,6
8162:17	21	8143:22	8061:17	8182:3
difficulties	disclosed	8144:4	divide	domestically
7945:2	8051:11	displacement	7928:2	8081:14
difficulty	disclosing	8165:8,22,	document	don 8141:14
8192:1	8063:19	24 8169:1	8105:13	done 7926:14
diffuse	discou	8173:19	8108:20,22	7936:23
8176:20,21	8145:4	8178:16,20	8177:18	7948:17
dilemma	discount	displaying	documents	7949:1
7970:8	7984:23	8015:25	7931:14	7954:16,21
8035:22	discounted	7977:9		,22
diodes	8145:5			7955:5,22,
7935:21				23
				7956:1,19
				7957:1,10

7961:1	8056:1	8108:7	7957:20	18
7963:2	downward	dreaded	7958:24	8077:10,21
7964:19	8073:8	8048:20	7959:3,5	8080:18
7967:24	dozen	drilling	7960:14,15	8081:5
7974:23	7955:25	8001:15	7962:15	8082:10
7978:1	7958:17	driv 7964:7	7964:3	8085:11,15
7989:2	7959:15,16	drive 7964:6	7967:13	,21,23
7991:12	8071:3	8003:7,19	7968:12	8087:5,11
7995:9	dozens	8192:3	7969:9,21,	8088:5
8015:13,18	7955:15	driven	22	8091:13,15
8051:14,24	7956:19	7964:7	7970:20,23	8093:1
8064:2	Dr	8019:15,23	7976:2	8094:12
8066:21,23	8007:14,24	drives	7978:5,24	8095:3,6,1
8073:3	8008:3,23	8001:13	7979:5,13	8,21
8077:3	8009:14,21	driving	7980:4,14,	8099:1
8079:8	8091:1,21	8029:10	18 7982:5	8100:17
8092:15	8092:11	drop 7990:16	7989:9,17	8101:18
8095:13	8094:8	7993:18	7990:16	8103:18
8109:12	8097:20,24	7999:4	7991:9	8104:6
8124:11	8098:19,22	8029:4	7992:3,6,1	8108:16
8126:1	8100:9	8077:18,19	0,12	8109:22
8140:23	8108:15	8087:11	7993:12	8110:7,13
8141:14	draft	drop-off	7994:4,24,	8111:14
8162:21	8039:17,19	8078:17	25	8112:16,19
8198:24	drain	8087:21	7995:8,10,	8113:19
8199:4	7936:20	dropping	11,23	8114:1,4,8
door 8189:20	dramatic	7991:9	7996:7	,10,12,25
doors	7980:8	7996:18	7998:11,15	8115:6,7,1
8051:13	7990:12	8029:6	7999:9	3,17,18,20
dormant	7993:4,18	8172:24	8000:1,3	8116:6,8,1
8168:13	7994:4	8183:2	8001:5	3
dotted	7998:11	drops	8004:8	8117:23,25
7985:13	8011:12	7990:15	8017:11	8118:5
7989:9,11	8027:16	7991:20	8035:2,24,	8120:4
double	8030:1	dry 8019:16	25	8124:12
7934:17	8087:11,21	dryers	8036:8,12	8127:14
doubled	8132:13	8007:3	8037:6,22	8129:9,12
7934:10,15	dramatically	DSM	8038:12	8132:15
doubling	7990:16	7921:8,17	8039:1	8135:20
8016:25	7999:4	7930:3,6	8040:9,14,	8137:17
8029:15	8141:18	7931:16	18	8138:15
8168:20	8188:5	7942:20	8041:4,5,9	8139:1
doubt	draw 8108:17	7944:5,10	8068:10,21	8140:6,23
8032:17	8143:23	7948:11,18	8069:25	8141:2,3,9
8180:14	drawdown	,19,20,22	8070:2,10,	,16,19
Douglas	8146:4	7949:15	12	8142:13,16
7919:7	drawn	7951:13,19	8071:6,8,1	,18
8046:9	7924:12	7953:7	7,19	8143:6,22
8048:14	8107:22		8072:11,14	8144:5,14,
			8073:2,10,	15 8145:21
			11	8146:10,24
			8074:8,15,	8147:1,13
			24,25	8148:3,21
			8076:8,13,	8149:9

8154:6,7	Due 7947:19	7997:7,13	20,21	,13,16
8156:21	dug 7930:10	7998:19	8120:6,12,	8164:1,3,7
8157:3,14,		8000:13,17	19	,11,15
16	dull 8021:24	,19	8121:6,11	8165:11,15
8158:11,20	Duncan	8005:6,7,1	8122:7	,20,23
,24	7921:15	0 8007:21	8123:7	8166:4,8,1
8160:14	7947:18	8008:1,5	8124:7,13,	5,20
8161:13,14	8042:19	8009:3,20	17 8125:14	8167:3,11,
,21,22	8054:1,3,4	8010:2	8126:3,8	18
8162:2	,7	8015:6,12	8127:5,17,	8168:1,5
8164:9,10,	8067:1,4	8016:10,21	22,25	8170:3,7,1
14		8026:8,9,1	8128:17,21	2
8165:5,7	Dunsky	6,19	,23	8171:10,16
8166:19	7921:9,18	8034:1	8129:22	8172:2
8170:1,15,	7923:3	8035:21	8130:8,10	8173:13,18
16	7924:3,7,1	8038:25	8131:5,8,1	,25
8171:1,22	4,17	8039:3,6,1	3,18	8174:4,12,
8173:12	7925:9	4 8041:16	8132:9,22	19,23
8177:9	7946:17	8042:5	8133:12,13	8175:6,15
8178:14,15	7947:10,23	8068:8,11,	,17,20,22	8177:7,10
8180:4,16	7950:21,23	15,16	8134:2,9,1	8178:3,7,1
8181:16	7951:6,10,	8071:5,7,1	4	0,21
8182:21	11,14,17,2	0	8135:1,8,1	8179:4,11
8183:1,5,1	2	8075:4,11,	2,21	8180:7,10,
8,20,24	7952:4,10,	18 8076:1	8136:6,14,	22
8184:4,14	14,22	8085:8,17	18	8181:4,8
8185:20,22	7953:4,8,1	8086:15	8137:6,10,	8182:14,15
8186:16	3,23	8087:7	15,19,23	,24
8189:4,11,	7954:1,6,1	8088:2	8138:3,12,	8183:6,9,2
14,17	3,17	8089:2	17,21,25	2 8187:14
8191:1,6,1	7955:7,13	8090:2,4,1	8139:17,21	8189:9
2 8192:12	7956:4,7,2	0	,24,25	8190:24
8195:13	4 7957:22	8091:20,25	8140:3,11,	8191:3,13,
8196:15,22	7958:11,15	8092:19	24	18
DSM's	7959:2,14,	8095:16	8142:4,10,	8193:17,21
7994:14	20,25	8097:22	20,23	8194:1,14,
8073:7	7960:7,11,	8098:18,21	8144:7	23
8074:4	25	,24	8145:15	8195:5,9,1
DSN 8189:8	7962:14,19	8100:11,23	8146:14,17	6,20,23
ductless	7963:2,3,9	8104:19	8149:19	8196:2,11,
8012:11,13	7966:6,10,	8106:20	8150:3,5	18,24
,16,22	20 7967:4	8107:4,24	8151:16	8197:7,19
8013:1	7971:20,24	8108:1,25	8152:2,13	8198:1
8016:8,18,	7975:4,14,	8109:11,19	8153:4,17,	8199:3
19	20 7976:7	8110:4,11,	20,23	Dunsky's
8017:6,8,9	7979:23	15,21	8154:8,15	7950:22
,25	7986:13,18	8111:5,11,	8155:25	7951:1
8018:25	,22	17 8112:25	8156:24	7986:2,15
8019:8	7987:2,8	8113:4,16	8157:9,11	8104:25
ducts	7990:19,22	8114:6,9	8158:19	8107:19
8012:17	7992:7,15,	8115:16	8159:4,11,	duplicate
	23	8116:15	19 8160:18	7964:18
	7995:3,9,1	8118:6,22	8161:16	
	2	8119:8,13,	8163:2,5,9	

durable 7945:23	easily 7994:19 8012:22 8038:8 8085:1 8123:23	8091:8	7930:7,15, 25 7932:3,9,1 2,15 7933:23 7935:11 7936:16 7937:11 7941:12,21 7942:3 7945:25 7953:17 7954:4,22 7955:15 7957:13 7958:12,13 16 7959:5,12 7960:19 7961:2 7964:24 7970:14,15 7971:7,16 7972:3,7,1 0,17,23 7973:11 7974:2,11, 16,21 7975:16 7977:4,24 7983:14 7996:15,20 8002:2,10, 16 8003:20 8005:1,25 8006:24 8007:9 8011:5,8 8014:21,23 8020:14 8040:12 8041:1 8069:11 8071:3 8072:12 8089:4 8116:20 8117:21 8167:16 8184:12 8185:9 8188:10 8192:17 8193:23	8194:3 8195:3 efficiency's 7972:14 efficient 7945:23 7946:5 7958:11 7971:14 8001:7,8,9 14 effort 7946:14 7980:4 7999:9 8086:9,14 8087:11 8112:1,3 8114:21 8139:13 8141:9 efforts 7934:10 7935:7 7959:6 8121:23 eggs 8179:24 EICs 7961:25 eight 7932:20 8046:11 8091:3 8097:23 8111:2,8 8144:1 8159:6,20 8160:8 8164:9,17 eighteen 7926:8 eighty 7930:15 8025:6,17 8046:14 8109:4 8110:2 either 7941:14 7956:10
during 7947:17 7954:8 8102:24	easy 8094:3,4	economy 7932:7,18 7941:10 8047:15 8081:25 8082:5 8104:8 8184:16 8186:14		
duty 8050:1	Ecobee 8022:6	education 7926:17 8051:21 8123:11		
dwell 8145:25	econometric 8186:3	effect 7991:25 8007:7 8115:17 8123:5 8157:23 8175:14		
dying 8048:1	economic 7927:3 7949:4,6 7977:8 7994:23,25 7998:15 7999:24 8009:7 8051:21 8055:13 8056:12 8060:10,20 8061:17 8081:5 8082:10 8088:4 8154:25 8155:2 8161:13,14 21 8162:9 8180:16 8186:3,5 8191:6	effective 7934:13 7940:1 8004:13 8011:24 8012:3 8080:18 8126:6		
dynamic 8176:6	economically 8062:5 8063:3 8118:21	effectiveness 7956:23 7957:12 7960:15 8074:7 8141:16		
dynamically 8176:4	economics 7950:5 8044:13 8091:7 8095:19,20	efficiencies 7960:23 8013:9,11 8131:4		
<hr/> E <hr/>	economies 7971:7,8 8098:14 8099:13,19 8131:6,15	efficiency 7924:4,16 7926:24		
earlier 7941:9 7947:9 7972:22 7983:4 7991:19 8004:1 8010:10 8084:23 8089:16 8101:2,12 8108:18 8111:21 8153:8 8169:24 8176:16 8178:14 8185:1	economist			
earliest 8072:1				
early 7981:4 7990:14 8047:3 8049:16 8054:15 8063:3 8084:13 8174:15 8194:18				
easier 8029:7,12 8090:20 8092:3				

7971:6	8170:21	7975:15	,25	8116:6,16,
7985:11	eleven	8047:13	7938:5,6	18,20
8002:5	7991:20	8051:21	7940:21	8117:21
8052:16	else 7989:4	8183:23	7941:12,21	8128:10
8074:22	8010:24	8184:4,7,8	7942:24	8136:8,13
8079:1	8049:12	,9	7943:12,13	8137:13,25
8112:1	8091:11	8185:11,19	,16,17	8138:2,10
8125:18	8132:21	,22	7944:24	8167:16
8126:6	8197:12	empty	7945:3,23,	8172:1,22
8149:2	else's	8046:20	25	8182:19,22
8157:7	8127:4	8049:6	7946:1,5	8184:11
8192:25	elsewhere	enabled	7953:17,23	8185:8
elders	7956:11	7936:24	7954:4,5	8188:10
8198:13	7967:14	enables	7955:15	8192:17
elected	8071:13	8021:15	7957:13	8193:1,23
8044:6	8088:6	enabling	7958:10	8194:3
electric	8132:1	8020:9	7959:5,12	Energy-
7923:7	8186:18,20	encourage	7960:19,23	Efficient
7936:13,14	emanates	7931:13	7961:2	7932:18
8012:18	8066:16	7934:21	7964:24,25	7941:10
8028:21	embarrassed	7936:23	7970:14,15	energy-only
8129:10	8142:5	7938:23	,15	8172:20
8150:12,19	embarrassing	8026:25	7971:12,14	EnerNOC
Electrical	8040:22	8090:7	,15	7933:15,24
7939:8	emerging	8169:6	4,16,17,22	7934:1
electricity	7960:4	encouraging	7972:3,4,1	7984:2,5
7932:16	7964:25	8017:12	7973:3,5,7	7985:1
7933:1,3	emissions	end-uses	,10	8011:22
7938:14,25	7977:6	8086:18	7974:2,16,	8019:9
7939:12,18	emphasis	ener 8089:3	21 7975:16	8024:22
,21	8132:7	energies	7977:4,9,2	8162:25
7940:11,25	8139:13	7960:22	4 7978:14	8163:19,20
7978:19,20	emphasize	energy	7980:23	8178:15
7979:3	8176:3	7924:4,15	7983:14	engage
8006:5	employment	7926:6,9,1	7996:15	7981:8
8007:10	7924:3	5,24	8001:14,24	engaging
8015:4	7925:25	7928:21	8002:2,16	7996:16
8030:16,23	7927:9,19,	7930:6,15,	8005:1,25	engineer
8036:20	25	24	8006:12,24	8013:5
8165:18	7928:2,4,5	7931:14,18	8020:13	engineering
electronic	,17 7929:6	,19,20,25	8021:18	7944:13,16
7924:17	7930:2,4,6	7932:3,5,9	8023:4	engineers
8197:5,21	,13,21,23	,15,24	8024:20	8013:7
8198:2	7931:1,11	7933:8,19,	8034:25	England
Elenchus	7942:9	22	8039:2	8036:14,15
7933:16,25	7943:7	7935:11,18	8040:12,25	,18,21
7934:2	7944:6	,22,23	8041:7	8041:14
8072:24,25	7974:3,20	7936:24	8046:25	8068:20
8075:15		7937:11,20	8047:24	8069:3,19
8154:4			8049:9	8070:22
			8059:8	
			8069:10,13	
			8081:6,16,	
			20,22	
			8089:3,4,9	

8071:11	entirely	8058:7	8121:14	8096:12
8074:11	8096:4	8085:14	8152:23	8124:4,8
8100:15	8173:21	8086:7,11	8168:10,16	8154:7
English	8179:17	8111:23,25	8185:13	evaluations
8043:23	8187:9	8122:14,18	established	7958:3,7
8145:12,18	entities	8172:16	8056:10	7960:17
enormous	8056:10	equivalent	8059:18	8088:13
7942:14	8058:6	8036:15	8110:18	evaluator
7976:12	8060:9,16	8091:18	Establishing	7957:18,25
7991:8	8150:1	ERA 8075:23	7960:2	evaluators
7995:25	entitled	Ernie	estimate	7957:24
8081:16	8183:13	8046:13	7927:22	8149:23
8091:25	entity	8055:3	7935:22	evening
8093:2	8055:24	8056:4	8081:18	8199:12
8104:3	8058:20	error	estimated	event
ensure	8097:17	7985:25	7930:4,13	8031:10
7971:5	entrance	8000:7	estimates	eventual
8002:8	8021:22	especially	8099:25	8153:11
8036:19	envelope	8030:12	8132:4	eventuality
8066:23	8023:13	8035:11	8170:6	7943:2
8068:25	environment	8038:9	et 7955:19	eventually
8112:3	7945:3,8	8099:3	8007:5	7942:25
8134:22	7967:1	essential	ethics	7999:1
8148:12	7970:13	8035:19	8052:4	8008:16
8153:7	8047:2,15,	essentially	Europe	8010:17
ensuring	25 8049:10	7953:14,20	7926:22	8054:22
7980:13	8096:23	7965:20	7930:9,11	8154:22
8021:1	environmenta	7971:4	7956:11,16	8160:3
8155:17	1 7926:6	7981:13	8032:11	everybody
entail	8038:6	7985:10,19	European	8194:11
7956:6,7	environments	7986:23	7937:17	everybody's
entailed	8128:8	7988:14	evaluate	7947:5
8152:12	equal	7989:13	8124:6	everyday
entails	8030:22	8004:8	8156:21	8172:10
8152:1	8155:10	8014:3	8157:3	everyone
8165:5	equally	8017:22	8159:16	8004:15
enter	8087:18	8020:19	evaluated	8112:4,8
8105:14	equation	8030:18	8149:21	8188:9
entered	7953:21	8037:5,18	8154:13	8199:12
8150:15	7965:1	8038:18	evaluates	everyone's
entering	7980:23	8069:15	8154:12	8068:4
7938:7,8	equipment	8070:1,23	evaluating	everything
8000:20	7936:6	8071:22	8155:23	7926:14
8059:9	7944:17	8072:7	evaluation	7934:12
entire	8004:7	8074:11	7957:23	7948:13
7978:9	8026:2,4	8077:10,22	7958:1,4	7953:19
8012:6,21	8135:14	8079:22,25	7960:3	7964:23
8018:5	equity	8094:10	8088:7	7965:2
8038:19		8096:8		
		8101:4		
		8113:1		

7967:5	evolving	8008:11	exceed	7938:20
8007:1	8094:17	8010:20	8093:22	exercise
8053:10,21	exactly	8011:22	exceeded	8050:1
8139:4	7969:3,7	8012:1	7941:14	exhibit
evidence	7970:9,16	8014:17	8149:2	7923:2
7924:10	7977:3	8015:1	exceedingly	7950:6
7925:9	7987:8,9	8019:12,15	8128:12	7951:5,20
7946:18	8023:21	8020:12	Excel	7952:24,25
7950:6,20	8044:5,17	8021:17	8106:21	7994:20
7969:12	8087:5	8022:22	excellent	8075:23
7984:13	8090:12	8025:11	7946:14	8076:2
7986:24	8148:10,11	8026:3	8012:24	8106:10
7988:9	8149:24	8031:18	except	8108:17,19
7989:7	8156:25	8033:9	8040:14	8150:18,24
7993:15	examination	8071:13	exception	8158:13
7994:16	7922:4	8073:15	8137:11	8164:23
8002:13,17	8085:7	8081:3	exceptional	8167:7
8016:1	8194:16	8083:3	8094:23	8177:12
8017:3,22	Examination-	8086:2	exceptions	8182:8,9
8018:14,15	in-chief	8088:7	8122:10	exhibits
8028:4	7921:11,19	8089:10,17	Excerpts	7921:3
8031:4	7962:25	8093:12,19	8075:11,13	7923:1
8037:22	8068:13	8094:19	exchange	8150:8,16
8042:5	examine	8109:2,7,2	8108:14	exist 8013:2
8069:21	8006:19	2 8110:1	excited	8062:1
8085:9	8036:10	8111:9	8010:13	existed
8105:1,25	8037:15	8112:21	exciting	8055:23
8107:20	8069:12,16	8116:8	8019:17	8066:12
8108:5	8074:22	8122:11,21	excluded	existent
8113:18	8140:20	,22	8002:24	8139:19
8132:7	8152:14,15	8125:1,8,2	8019:9	existing
8133:24	,19 8153:6	5 8126:15	7988:15	7926:7
8134:19	8155:15	8128:7	excluding	8103:25
8135:5	examined	8130:21,23	exclusively	8158:24
8158:20	7984:6	8141:7	8082:13	8169:15
8164:6,23	8152:24	8152:17	8160:20	8171:5
8189:2	8154:19	8160:4	excuse	exists
8190:13	examining	8167:15	7990:3	8033:18
8198:23	8112:21	8168:6	8041:15	expand
evolution	example	8172:12	8043:25	8054:11,12
7980:10	7934:19	8188:7	8075:5	8089:24
8027:15	7936:20	8189:15	8124:22	expanded
evolve	7943:23	8192:5	excuses	8085:22
7993:14	7967:16,20	examples	8044:14	expect
8077:9	7974:14	7935:19,20	executives	7948:13,22
8083:14	7978:11	7970:5		7949:2,16,
evolved	7982:7	8003:8,9,1		20 7994:7
7968:14	7983:16	5 8004:2		8035:18
evolves	7984:8,15,	8019:19		8085:12
8014:23	23 7997:25	8020:12		
8098:23	8006:17,23	8036:7,13,		
		17		
		8098:3,20		

expected	7961:15	8083:4,7,1	8162:21	faced 8064:3
7986:7	7962:14	7 8084:10	extent	8065:16
8002:22,25	8044:13	8101:8,9	8083:18	8099:7
8006:2	8062:23	8103:16,17	8086:3,9	8181:24
8011:2	8066:13	,23	8100:19	facilitate
8029:4	8134:21	8135:23	8101:14	8152:21
8088:18	8154:5	8144:14	8104:2	facilities
8110:7	expertise	8145:10	8121:15	8020:9
8176:1,2	7942:11	exported	8122:22,23	facility
expecting	7954:12	8083:9	8141:6	8104:14
7982:8	8064:23	exporting	8143:22	facing
8146:11	8065:6	7943:20	8163:9	8067:12
expenditure	8112:14	8103:24	8190:11	fact 7933:8
8063:8	8151:20	exports	8191:22	7979:8
expenditures	experts	8109:3	extra	7981:18
8063:8,23	7933:19,22	expose	8177:24	8009:18
8167:1	7934:8	8066:12	extraordinary	8011:17
expense	8057:17	8132:12	ily	8012:5
8048:11	expiry	exposed	8011:18	8047:24
expenses	8173:23	8187:3	8019:16	8053:8
8044:19	explain	exposes	8028:1	8060:23
8048:2	7981:15,20	8035:7	8147:10	8084:20
expensive	7992:4	express	extraordinary	8098:14
7927:12	7993:25	8062:7	y 8017:6	8129:15
7929:4,7	8094:5	8149:12	8022:8	8139:4
7939:12	8116:11	expressed	8025:20	8143:21
7943:4,6	8191:16	7982:4	8028:18	8145:20
8047:12	explains	expression	8029:9	8154:3
experience	7985:6	8145:11,13	8097:1	8180:5
7926:6,20	explanation	,18	extreme	8187:11
7955:11	7992:11	extend	7933:7	facto 8158:5
7966:24	exploding	7991:6	7943:21	factor
8002:1	7938:15	8077:13	extremely	8009:11
8026:13	explosion	8078:8,25	7968:13,20	8090:15
8075:16,22	8020:5	8080:5	7978:14	8173:1
8081:9	8022:3	extended	7990:23	8187:15
8090:17	expo 8062:25	7991:12	7991:13	8189:14
8095:10	export	8077:20	7996:16	factors
8118:3	7929:2,21	8078:3	8013:9	8064:8
8124:11	7939:15	8079:19,21	8027:23	8083:12
8179:14	8032:21	8080:7,8,1	8081:11	8085:19
8183:21	8044:13	0 8084:13	8096:3	8101:12
experiencing	8057:4,10	8116:1,2	8103:5	8118:10
8047:1	8062:22,25	8161:5,6	8114:20	8121:3
8085:12	8063:6,18	extensions	eyes 8189:6	8125:10
expert	8064:24	8087:20	<hr/>	8128:14
7938:11	8065:5	extensive	face 7979:1	8161:24
7952:19	8081:3,14,	8015:21	8104:11	8192:13
7959:11	15 8082:14		8196:22	Factory
7960:11				

8058:15	7983:12	8079:17	8184:13,20	7937:22
facts 8084:5	8077:6	fed 8045:3	8188:2,19	7987:24
Fahrenheit	falls	federal	fifth	8076:16
8015:1	8000:25	8005:15	7977:14	8087:5
fail 8048:23	8102:17	8006:25	7985:23	finalize
failed	familiar	8033:17	8162:2	8039:18
8060:1	8008:8	8061:9	fifty	finally
failure	8119:6,9	8185:23	8021:22	8004:1
8058:22	8159:1	8186:9	8045:14	8026:10
8060:1,6	8174:10	Federation	8094:20	finance
8147:15	8185:25	7961:19	fighting	8027:8
fair 7957:23	famous	8045:9	8044:16	8123:12,16
7959:10	8104:14	8130:12	8066:10	8153:1
7973:17	fancy	feedback	figure	finances
7974:2	8174:15	7936:25	7924:9	8030:21
8007:11	fantastic	7937:4	7935:22	8048:18
8010:18	7979:14	8023:17	8036:11	8049:4
8026:20	7980:9	8088:13	8095:1	financial
8078:2	8010:20	feel 7979:9	8107:19	7948:21
8087:1	fantasticall	7981:8	8108:3	7949:14
8110:20	y 8021:10	8043:9	figures	7999:24,25
8111:12	farms 8028:1	8138:24	7987:3	8000:2
8115:15	fascinating	feet 8088:15	8045:19	8044:15
8131:24	8003:12	fell 7929:9	file 7948:13	8045:4,11
8144:8	fashion	felt 8175:10	7968:13	8048:6
8159:7	7996:17	fence	8058:22	8049:1
8162:18	fast 8090:12	8032:15	8060:1,2,6	8058:18
8169:2	faster	Fernandes	8197:20	8059:12
8177:4	8035:1	7919:10	filed	8122:13
8183:3	8176:8	field	7948:17,21	8149:7
8184:22	Fast-forward	7954:3,16	,22	8153:6
8188:1	8055:7	7967:21	7949:5,8	financing
8193:4,13	favourable	8001:17	7950:5	8027:10
fairly	8117:2	8026:14	7951:25	8029:8,9,1
7936:21	fear 8003:24	fifteen	7952:12,23	0,12
7977:24	fears	7935:3,6	7968:16	8030:22
8075:10	8032:11	7941:6,13	8107:20	8063:15
8112:12	feasible	7946:3	8108:18	8152:19
8117:24	7934:12	8002:10	8164:13	finding
8118:2	7998:3	8009:2	8166:14	7992:5
8127:15,19	8118:21	8021:13	8197:15	8025:22,24
8172:14	8179:9	8028:17	filing	8037:21
8178:25	February	8036:1	7948:15	8125:5
fairs	7964:6,9	8040:1	8027:19	findings
8030:10	7968:17	8060:11	8138:5	7975:2
faith	8077:1	8144:23	8163:1	finds 7986:5
8065:25		8166:10	fill 8032:3	8037:18
fall 7932:4			final	8077:9
7946:4			7927:23	fine 8074:19

8119:9	1 8058:16	flag 7984:20	8195:13	7932:5
8122:5	8062:6	flame	fluorescent	7940:9,10
8153:23	8063:23	8093:13	8010:14	7982:18
fingers	8065:1,2	flash 8167:7	fluorescents	7989:5,8,9
8003:10	8080:21	flashed	8010:13	,10,12,14
finish	8089:3	8158:14	fly 8168:22	7992:1,4,5
8003:20	8092:22	flat 7989:13	flying	7995:2
8043:4	8099:20	8040:7	8017:15	7997:5
8087:23	8105:24	8070:23	focus	7998:17
finished	8114:11	8080:2	7930:19	7999:5
8042:7	8124:12	8082:10	7972:24	8030:16
8198:14	8126:7	8084:14	7980:13,16	8039:16,17
FIPA 8044:18	8127:7	8103:22	7986:25	8041:3
8045:9	8138:1	8112:21	8037:3	8057:4
fire 8088:15	8139:8	8180:6	8094:4	8070:23
8104:9	8148:6	flattened	8124:21	8071:25
firm 7952:8	8150:11	7982:11	8142:18	8072:2
7955:14	8152:15	flattening	8156:3	8078:18
7964:21	8173:18	8101:4	focussed	8079:9,10,
7966:13,14	8183:14,24	flawed	7954:9	12,13,16,2
8006:16	8188:25	7942:6	7965:6	2 8080:2,5
8020:15	8199:7	flaws	7976:11	8087:9,10
8025:2	FirstFuel	8132:13	8113:19	8113:21
8056:8,9	8025:3	fleet	8116:5	8154:19,20
8152:2	firsthand	8025:8,18	8172:19	8155:24
8185:10	8066:14	fleets	8175:17	8179:22
firms 8098:4	five	flexibility	focusses	forecasting
first	7929:2,16	8148:12	7923:6,10	8064:24
7927:21	7933:22	flickering	8150:11,13	8154:6
7930:22	7940:12,16	8010:15	,18,24	forecasts
7931:8	7977:2	flip 8074:12	focussing	8030:17
7938:1	7985:12	flipped	7980:23	8035:11
7943:9	7987:5	8068:14	fold 8028:17	8037:5,13
7959:8	7988:2	flood 8055:4	8168:15	8038:17
7963:16,19	8003:7,14,	8062:9	folks	8039:19
7970:25	15 8021:23	floored	7993:10,12	8079:19
7977:5	8023:7	7927:15	8169:10	8080:14
7982:1	8024:9,10	flow 8010:21	follow-up	foremost
7989:20	8028:17	8183:18	8156:19	8065:2
7990:24	8029:21,25	flower	foot 8025:15	forensic
7994:2,6	8032:23	8016:6	footing	8060:14
7998:13	8033:5,14	flowing	7968:6	forget
8010:14	8040:21	7976:2	7970:3	8148:24
8020:12	8046:5,14	8001:24	fore 7991:25	8168:8
8036:21	8051:6	forecast	forecast	forgetting
8047:21	8052:13,14			7979:24
8053:2,9	8073:20			form 8178:2
8054:17,19	8176:17			former
,22	8198:17			8062:15
8055:8,9,1	fixed			8149:16
	8085:10			

forms 8163:1 8178:16	7969:15 8019:12,15 8076:15	8175:20 8186:25	8064:13	7936:16 8024:16 8146:3
forth 7987:15 8035:24 8050:17 8072:25 8076:25 8129:14 8134:13	Fox 8058:14 fracking 7931:23 7938:3	free 7981:8 8055:5 8138:24	fulfilled 8062:8	Furthermore 8063:10
forty 7929:22 8045:13	fraction 7930:17 7931:1 7933:2 7988:23	freedom 8138:11	full 7978:8 7979:2 7991:7 7993:13 8061:6 8064:22 8070:11,13 8073:24 8121:14 8155:12 8161:21,22 8164:18 8175:8,9,1 0	future 7926:3 7932:5,6 7935:8 7937:23 7939:14 7994:14 8001:2 8003:1 8029:2 8035:7 8036:12 8037:2 8055:18 8056:17 8070:3,24 8078:5 8080:19 8088:24 8100:22 8144:16 8145:4 8182:2
forty-eight 8046:6	frame 7991:10 7994:1 8028:14 8175:14 8178:24 8180:21 8181:1,10 8190:6	fresh 7993:23	fully 7949:15 8000:1,2	8036:12 8037:2 8055:18 8056:17 8070:3,24 8078:5 8080:19 8088:24 8100:22 8144:16 8145:4 8182:2
forty-two 8046:5	8028:14 8175:14 8178:24 8180:21 8181:1,10 8190:6	fridge 7931:19	fun 7995:13	future-proof 7939:25
forum 8065:11	framework 8088:6,9,2 0 8092:17 8093:12,13 ,14 8147:18,20 ,23 8149:6 8189:12 8190:2 8191:19	fridges 8086:24	functionalit ies 8022:7	future- proofing 7943:1
forward 7933:13 7942:17 7963:22 7968:23 7969:11,18 7997:15,16 8004:3 8065:13 8087:24 8088:1,3 8138:16	frameworks 7957:12,15	friend 7947:25 8050:18 8052:7 8104:18 8105:17 8194:18 8196:8	fundament 8155:9	<hr/> G <hr/>
forward- going 8073:8	frank 8090:14	front 7969:23 8000:24 8003:4 8005:18 8136:10,19 ,21 8137:8 8139:5 8171:6 8172:10 8176:10 8178:18	fundamental 8081:7 8145:8 8154:17 8156:22 8157:6 8160:11 8164:20	fundamentall y 8000:21 8001:5 8009:8 8032:12 8038:7 8070:4 8082:19 8095:24 8157:15 8161:17
forward- looking 8070:1	frameworks 7957:12,15	fuel 7984:9 8138:9,10, 16 8165:8,17 8178:17	fundamentall y 8000:21 8001:5 8009:8 8032:12 8038:7 8070:4 8082:19 8095:24 8157:15 8161:17	fundamentall y 8000:21 8001:5 8009:8 8032:12 8038:7 8070:4 8082:19 8095:24 8157:15 8161:17
fossil 8138:9,10	frank 8090:14	fuels 8165:19	funded 8063:15	fundamentall y 8000:21 8001:5 8009:8 8032:12 8038:7 8070:4 8082:19 8095:24 8157:15 8161:17
foundation 7953:24	frankly 7978:6 7980:9 7992:25 7994:7 8009:12 8013:4 8018:20 8073:15 8082:5 8090:14 8093:24 8118:8 8123:13 8165:25	fulfill 8042:25 8043:5	funds 8061:7,11	fundamentall y 8000:21 8001:5 8009:8 8032:12 8038:7 8070:4 8082:19 8095:24 8157:15 8161:17
fourteen 7973:19 8081:19,20 8082:2 8144:24 8145:1	frankly 7978:6 7980:9 7992:25 7994:7 8009:12 8013:4 8018:20 8073:15 8082:5 8090:14 8093:24 8118:8 8123:13 8165:25	fulfill 8042:25 8043:5	furnace	game 8032:13,17 8035:4,7 8100:5 8102:21 8153:9
fourth	frankly 7978:6 7980:9 7992:25 7994:7 8009:12 8013:4 8018:20 8073:15 8082:5 8090:14 8093:24 8118:8 8123:13 8165:25	fulfill 8042:25 8043:5	furnace	gamut

7955:22	8001:15	7972:6	getting	global
Gange	8028:24	7974:3,6	7971:13,14	7927:1
7919:15	8063:4	8001:25	7984:12	8030:8
7950:16,17	8083:14	8034:9	8005:18	globally
7951:8,17,	8101:15	8109:3	8019:13	7937:20
23	8129:10	8110:13	8084:19	7938:15
7952:5,11,	8130:2	8111:16	8089:9	8189:2
16,23	8165:18	8130:22	8094:14	Globe
7953:6,10,	gather	8131:3	8100:5	7932:11
22	8120:3	8141:3	8128:11	GMT 7939:6
7954:2,8,1	GDP 7932:8	8159:18	8141:1	goal 7996:11
4	Gee 8127:25	8160:17	8170:19	8086:6
7955:4,10	Geez 8102:7	8181:2,9	gifted	8142:17
7956:1,5,2	general	8187:12	8177:24	8165:16
1 7957:17	7983:22	geographic	gigawatt	8172:18
7958:8,13,	8002:12	7931:5	7988:21	goals
22	8052:9	geographical	8110:8	7978:14,15
7959:10,16	8058:11,21	8120:10	8136:1,9	7980:8
,23	,23	geography	8170:16	8143:8
7960:1,10,	8059:1,24	8004:18	8172:15	golden
20 7962:17	8060:5	GEOptimize	8178:25	7938:2
7963:2	8061:1,5	8047:9	Gio 8016:2	golf 7962:22
7975:4	8121:8	George	given 7994:8	gone 8033:4
8003:25	8122:1,4	7919:20	7995:24	8092:20
8068:8	generalizati	7921:22	8013:18	8129:14
8104:18	on 8124:18	7961:14	8014:14	goodness
gap 8041:2	generalize	8119:18,19	8016:9	7967:22
8091:23,25	8123:24	,22	8067:13	8007:21
Garson	generally	8120:8,13,	8094:23	8044:20
7921:13	7953:10	25 8121:7	8100:18	8045:22
7947:19	8131:1	8122:3,24	8103:2	Google
8042:19	8137:18	8124:2,10,	8131:25	8022:5
8043:15,16	8189:4	14 8125:12	8135:22	Gosselin
,19,20	8191:4	8126:2,5,2	8148:13	7918:13
8044:25	generated	0	8149:23	7987:15
8045:25	7938:14	8127:12,18	8156:2,3	8066:3
8046:2	8140:10	,23	8157:17	Gosselin's
8049:12,14	generates	8128:15,18	8186:14	7964:14
8060:21	7943:17	,22	giving	Gotham
8065:18	generating	8129:2,7	8124:5	8198:16,23
8066:10	8054:15,17	8130:7	8129:2	gotten
8067:19,23	,20,25	geothermal	8189:15	8091:11
gas	8055:12	8012:20	glad 7964:7	8128:3
7928:23,24	8058:7	8019:2,3	7980:25	gov 8061:9
7932:1	8091:18	Gervais	7988:10	government
7936:16	generation	8056:8	8186:11	7927:4
7938:3	7928:24	gets 7928:11	glazing	7928:25
7973:1,20	7940:17	7931:21	7937:8	
7974:8,9,1		7932:13	glitch	
1		8023:25	8040:22	
7978:20,21				
7979:3				

7937:16	7991:12	8043:10	7989:5,24,	8053:24
7955:23	7992:9		25	8067:20
7965:22	7997:1	grinding	7992:12,21	8083:1
8004:14	8015:8	8035:17	8016:23	
8005:17	8028:3	grossly	8017:4	<hr/>
8006:25		7940:10	8019:24,25	<hr/> H
8015:14	graphs	7994:13	8028:15,18	Habs 7964:15
8026:23	7981:19,21		8038:18	7966:15
8050:5	grateful	ground	8070:23	Hacault
8053:8,13	8106:6	7967:7	8076:19	7919:18
8061:9,16		7968:1	8080:3,14	7921:21
8185:24	great 7979:4	8047:9	8084:10	7961:7,8,1
8186:9	7980:2	8093:5	8104:7	2
8189:17	7989:2	8176:11	8110:8	8106:15,17
8195:4	8038:9	8188:6	8112:17,22	,18
	8043:24		8113:6,21	8107:13,16
governments	8083:6	group 8038:6	8141:7	,25
7926:23	8107:14	8160:25	8180:6	8108:12,13
		groups		8109:1,14,
GPS 8022:24	greater	8121:3,10	guess	21
	8011:8	8129:18	7935:10	8110:5,12,
gradual	8104:10		7976:25	17,22
7997:2,11	8122:19	grow	8031:15	8111:6,12
8173:11	8128:9	7971:7,8	8075:6	8112:10
Grail	8156:16	7982:8,12	8090:12	8113:3,11,
8010:17		8002:5	8114:21	23 8114:7
grain	Green	8010:6	8118:22	8115:3
8114:16	7947:13	8181:19	8124:2	8116:4
	7950:19		8134:15	8117:22
grammar	grey 8190:17	growing	8141:21	8118:17
8043:25		7938:25	8142:11	8119:4,11,
Grant	grid 7924:10	7971:11	8143:18	14 8196:6
7918:17	7938:25	8024:25	8145:7,19	
7928:25	7939:21	8029:2	8146:8,12,	hair 8099:25
8007:14,24	7943:19	8031:21	16 8183:13	half 7928:3
8008:3,23	8030:18,20		8186:23	7932:7,8,1
8009:14,21	8031:6,9,1	grows	8189:1	0 7933:2
8066:5	2,14,24	8004:11		7935:24
8091:1,21	8098:11,15	growth	guessed	7941:11
8092:11	,16	7926:3	7966:15	7942:3
8094:8	8102:3,11,	7932:7		7955:25
8097:20,24	13,19	7936:12,18	guidance	8013:13
8098:19,22	8103:4,7,8	7937:23	7940:4	8018:9,10
8100:9	,9 8106:23	7939:4,7,9	guide	8024:9
8108:15	8107:9,20	,14,15	8105:23	8033:7
8132:14	8108:5	7940:11,13	Guidelines	8072:16
8153:8	8131:4	7941:1	7923:5	8081:24
8154:24	8134:24	7942:3	8105:19	8091:16
8176:15	8152:16	7971:13	8106:11	8092:5,7,8
	8187:4	7972:4,5,1		8100:1,3
graph	grid-	5,16	Gull 8057:19	8170:18,20
7938:23	supplied	7973:4	gut 8018:5	
7973:13	7953:16	7981:6	guys 8039:21	Hampshire
7982:1	grill	7982:11,21	8049:3	8040:23
7987:3		,24		
		7984:16,17		

hand 7966:5	8102:6	8094:3,15	8019:8	helped
7980:1	8128:6		8023:14,24	7926:25
7986:15	8131:10	heads	8047:10	8125:1,2
8052:20	8132:23	8018:8,9,2		
8083:25		2 8176:21	heated	helpful
8095:2	harder		8012:25	8156:19
8119:2	8094:5	hear 7931:23	heater	8182:7
8151:6	8176:11,21	8042:4	8007:16	8197:14
8172:19	,22	8043:8,10	8009:17,19	helping
	harmonizing	8062:10		7977:11
handed	8005:20	8199:6	heaters	7996:17
8072:11	8006:13	heard	7936:11,19	8197:1
8105:13		8020:13	8007:4	
8167:12	Harper	8051:1	8089:17	helps
	8198:16,23	8060:21	8118:12	8086:10
hands		8062:6	heating	Here's
7974:24	hate 8126:8	8085:9	7936:12,13	8021:3
8068:7	hates 7990:7	8129:13	,14,15	
		8134:19	8012:20	he's 7925:13
happen	haul 8070:6	8145:13,15	8013:18,25	7947:12
7939:17	8155:18	8153:13	8018:2,9	8042:24
7993:2			8019:5	8043:3
7995:14	haven't	hearing		hesitation
7998:24	7960:18,22	7924:6	heating/	8179:13
7999:8	7976:22	7928:9	cooling-	
8007:20	7997:19,20	7929:11,25	degree	Hey 8023:1
8008:22	8054:9	7975:8,18	7933:6	Hi 8043:16
8031:5	8084:4	7988:16		hidden
8034:14	8109:12	7996:9	heavily	8130:17
8036:1	8128:25	8016:1	8083:11	
8082:9	8167:12	8051:2	heavy	hide 8044:15
8101:1	having	8095:9	8035:17	high 7936:16
8132:1,2	7936:12		held 7918:20	7937:11
8147:6	7963:10,17	heart	8037:15	7983:6
8176:17	,23 7965:6	7927:18	8044:4	7997:4
	7993:7,19	7966:18	8052:3	7998:3
happened	8020:24	heartened	8060:13,25	8013:9
7949:11	8025:15	7989:1	8069:17	8017:10,13
7995:13	8079:3	heat		,16
8149:24	8082:8	7936:8,10,	Helga 7919:8	8018:20
	8083:8	20 8003:23		8114:20,23
happens	8091:6,12	8012:9,12,	he'll	8117:15
7953:20	8102:23	13,16,18,2	8042:25	8125:4
8006:14	8108:23	2,23,24	8043:2	8159:16
8034:20	8129:20	8013:1,14,	hello	8179:21
8063:25	8154:23	15,17,23	8050:12	8185:19
8149:9	8170:24	8014:2,18	8119:20,21	8186:14
8182:5	8172:7	8015:3	help 7964:20	
happy	8175:13	8016:4,8,1	7977:16	higher
7971:21	8181:25	2,13,16,18	8020:6	7931:1
8198:10		,19	8085:15,19	7982:21
	havoc 8049:5	8017:6,8,9	8102:21	7984:23
hard	Hawaii	,13,19	8130:3	7987:4
7963:2,13	7939:7	8018:1,5,1	8156:17	8009:19
7977:2		5,24,25		
8068:17,24	head			
8093:1				

8070:13	8031:14	8167:6,20	homeowners	horribly
8071:23,24	hitting	8168:2	8152:21	8030:3
8074:14	8003:12	8169:23	homes 7926:7	host 7979:17
8078:2,22	8031:17	8170:4,8	7943:1	hot 8007:16
8080:9	8104:13	8171:2,12,24	7955:17	8009:19
8110:14	Hobbs	8173:8,14,22	8012:8,17,25	8109:22
8127:10	8046:13	8174:2,6,14,20	8021:6	hour 7932:20
8155:7	8055:3	8175:1,11	8022:11	7954:11
8159:13	8056:4	8177:4,8,11,16,23	8192:7	7973:12,19
8179:20	hold 8171:24	8178:2,12,13,22	home's	7988:21
8184:22	8183:7	8179:5,25	8023:13	8033:15,19
8186:19	holding	8180:8,20,25	hone 7956:17	8081:23
highest	7985:23	8181:7	7960:8	8091:17
7930:1	8132:21	8182:7,16,25	honest	8092:6
7948:11	holistic	8183:7,10	7995:20	8095:3,7
7976:17	8193:6	8194:9,18	8028:5	8109:9,25
7983:10	Holy 8010:16	8197:12,13,24,25	8032:14	8111:14
8184:21	Hombach	8198:9	8166:21	8135:15
High-	7919:3	8199:2	8169:15	8143:25
performanc	7921:25	home 7931:20	8173:20	8158:25
e 7937:6	7925:5,6	7936:22	8179:12	8160:8
Hills	7946:21	7939:25	honestly	8172:15
8060:24	7947:7,8	7940:1,3	8072:19	hours
hired 7996:6	7962:8,9	7964:6,7,13	8084:4	8006:3,8
8026:24,25	8041:21	8003:7	8132:22	8024:10
8047:19,20	8042:2	8008:12	Honeywell	8072:7,9
8185:10,21	8045:25	8009:16	8022:5	8081:20
historic	8066:6	8013:18,22	hope 7952:14	8110:8,25
8041:5	8149:17	8017:24	7965:13	8111:3
historical	8150:2,7	8018:2,10	7974:25	8133:19
8038:10	8151:5,14,15	8022:6	8042:20	8136:1,9
history	8152:8	8023:4,8,11,14,16,18	8147:3,13	8170:17
7937:24	8153:2,13,19,21	8081:10	hopefully	8179:1
7977:23	8154:2,10	8089:9	7944:1	house
7979:5	8155:19	8121:16,20	7965:17	7936:25
8035:2	8156:18	8122:8	hoping	7937:2
8073:17	8157:1,10	8125:6	7968:6	7943:16,18
hit 7978:16	8158:12,18	homebuilders	8186:10	8009:18
8082:4	8159:8,12	7945:12	8189:24	8012:6
8093:22	8160:13	7936:17	hori 8114:13	8018:5,22
8097:15	8161:12		horizon	8019:3
8101:7	8162:24		7991:7	8050:14
8103:7	8163:3,6,11,15,23		8002:24	household
8128:1	8164:2,5,8,12,21		8029:3	7977:8
8143:14	8165:3,12,17,21		8031:12	houses
8148:1,11,15	8166:1,6,12,17,24		8040:4,9	7937:18
8149:21			8114:15	7940:6
8172:17				7943:12,13
8177:2				7944:7
hits 8004:9				7945:23,24
				7946:2,3

7971:10	8175:22	8028:6	8151:24	8047:16
housing	hundreds	8030:24	8152:3	8057:18
7937:13	7957:8	8031:22	8154:12	8064:4
7945:18	8027:3	8032:19,21	8158:2,3,1	8065:16
7946:6	8175:19	8039:24	3 8160:14	8076:24
8020:25	hurt 8085:15	8044:3,14	8161:4,5	8077:5,13,
8051:18,21	HVAC 8026:4	8045:6,11	8164:13,23	21 8079:12
huge 8037:24	Hybrid	8046:19,21	8166:3	8113:15
8063:7	7936:10	,24 8047:2	8169:10	8117:13
8091:23	hydro 7918:7	8048:1,3,1	8177:12	8128:25
8099:4	7919:5	7,21	8180:15	8132:3
hugely	7927:22,24	8049:4,20	8182:8,9,1	8138:5
7979:10,11	7928:8,12	8050:4,15	7,18	8150:9,20
Hugh 7918:17	7929:7,9,1	8051:10	8185:3,5	8151:2
8007:14,24	0,21,25	8052:2	8186:24	8157:4
8008:3,23	7930:1	8053:2	8187:7	8158:20
8009:14,21	7932:2	8054:14,21	8190:25	8159:2
8091:1,21	7933:13	8055:9,15,	Hydro/CAC/	8164:6,22
8092:11	7934:12	24 8056:14	GAC-1	8173:15,17
8094:8	7935:25	8057:1,6,8	7952:1	8180:1
8097:20,24	7936:11	,14,20,22	hydroelectri	8191:22
8098:19,22	7940:11,15	8058:4,13,	c 7939:19	hyperbole
8100:9	,17,23	17,18,20,2	7940:19	8032:16
Human	7948:1	4,25	7941:23	8034:12
8061:14	7950:6,7	8059:5,7,9	Hydro's	hypothetical
humility	7955:6	,10,20	7918:9	8118:23
7997:17	7962:3	8061:10,15	7923:8,12	8156:14
hundred	7964:2,5	8062:9,16,	7934:3	
7928:1,10,	7967:14	18 8063:17	7935:7	<hr/>
15,16	7973:1,16	8064:8,16,	7936:18	I
7929:5,13	7974:13,16	19	7939:14,24	I'd 7955:14
7930:3	7976:2,9,1	8065:2,10,	7942:4	7962:18
7989:24	3,18	15,18	7945:11	7969:11
7994:20	7977:23	8066:16	7951:19	7996:6
8023:7	7978:2,25	8074:2	7955:9	8035:15
8045:13,16	7979:4	8077:9	7968:12	8042:17,22
,17	7980:2	8080:16	7969:9,21	8092:23
8046:5,6,1	7984:24	8084:12	7970:20	8095:9
0,11,14	7985:4,9	8085:11	7981:12	8100:9
8047:17,18	7988:9	8087:20	7984:17	8108:17
,19,20	7991:15	8088:3,10	7986:24	8123:24
8071:8	7992:10	8089:12	7988:9	8140:14
8091:9	7993:12	8094:11	7989:8,16	8151:19
8108:16	7994:9,15,	8112:18	7992:6,12	8162:1
8109:17	23,24	8113:25	7993:3	8169:22
8111:1,8,1	7997:10,21	8114:3	7994:3,9	8175:18
0 8117:17	7998:12,24	8117:24	8002:13	8186:11
8128:4	7999:22	8118:18	8027:19	8190:12
8131:22	8002:19	8129:9	8028:4	idea
8137:14	8004:23,24	8132:17	8031:4	8063:2,4
	8011:24	8135:20	8044:10	8115:7
	8018:14	8138:15	8045:7	ideally
		8141:24		
		8149:15		

8143:12	8071:13	7981:21	5 8104:23	8142:17
8147:24	8076:15	7987:9,10,	8106:6,19	immaterial
ideas 8129:3	8083:13	17 7988:15	8113:19	8114:17
Iderstine	8085:8	7990:3,5	8116:6,7,1	immediate
7919:8	8086:8,22	7993:3,10	2 8117:1	7981:2
IEC 7920:6	8091:4	7995:12,21	8119:8	8038:13
ignorance	8102:1	7997:24	8122:25	8103:12
8015:25	8106:14	8000:6,10,	8126:21	8123:4
ignored	8107:2	17	8128:23,24	immediately
8036:6	8119:7,15	8002:3,16	8129:2	8000:23
ignoring	8130:18	8008:20	8130:17,20	8001:17
8036:9	8141:2	8009:23	8131:19	8003:3
III 8045:12	8142:25	8011:6	8134:14	8175:14
8055:20	8145:12	8012:6	8140:21,25	impact
8058:1	8146:20	8013:4	8141:20	7924:4
I'll 7925:21	8172:11	8017:1	8142:5	7927:25
7935:19	8187:23	8019:13	8143:1,23	7929:1
7947:6	8191:13	8022:10	8145:8	7936:17
7964:18	8197:15	8025:21	8151:17	7958:1,3
7965:18,23	ill-informed	8027:14	8153:22	7964:3
7966:10	8009:23	8030:2	8156:24	7974:20
7969:9,15	illustration	8032:14	8166:13	7975:15
7970:1,5,7	8110:6	8034:15	8168:7,14	7989:4
,8,20	I'm	8035:12,13	8170:14	7992:4
7974:1	7925:20,24	8043:12,20	8171:21	7993:7
7977:20	7926:1	,21,22,24	8173:20	8047:1
7978:11	7928:17	8044:13	8178:3	8063:11
7980:22	7938:10,11	8045:10,19	8180:13,18	8083:17
7983:12	7939:25	8047:22	,22,25	8157:5
7990:12	7943:23	8049:2	8182:11	8175:10
7991:2	7945:3	8050:1,2,1	8185:24	8179:22
7997:3	7947:25	5	8186:9	8183:23
8003:16,18	7948:8,25	8051:15,20	8187:25	8184:4
,21,22,23,	7953:4	8053:1	8188:16,17	8185:11,22
25	7954:23	8060:11	,20	impacting
8004:1,19,	7957:12	8064:2	8192:16	8187:12
23 8010:9	7958:3	8067:3	8193:18	impacts
8012:11	7960:7,10	8070:24	8197:10	7927:19
8014:18,25	7963:3,4,2	8072:23	8198:9,20	7930:4,6,1
8016:2	2	8073:5	imagery	3 7942:9
8020:5,11	7964:7,19	8077:1	8025:11	8184:7
8024:7	7966:7,11,	8078:9	images	impediment
8028:2	23	1,24,25	8025:21	8094:1
8036:16	7968:2,8,1	8082:24	imagine	impediments
8042:22	5,22	8084:2	7926:13	8093:10
8044:1	7971:20	8088:17	7988:25	implement
8046:3	7973:10	8090:15	8036:18	7968:1
8050:13	7975:4,22	8091:6,12	8038:5	8047:12
8067:19	7976:24	8094:9	8099:19	8096:9
8068:5	7977:21	8095:5	imagined	8102:23
	7979:9	8097:24	8024:21	
	7980:20	8101:23,24	imbedded	
		8102:4,5,2		

8166:2	8088:24	8061:13	8156:7	8168:3
8171:23	8094:7		8159:22	increasing
implementati	8126:22	incentive	8186:6	7980:4
on 7934:20	8144:9	8093:14,20	8192:9	8040:24
7966:22,23	8164:16	8097:12	income	8086:7
7967:7,8,1	8189:14	8143:12,13	7977:9	8155:3
1,19,22	importantly	8179:22	8085:10,15	8168:15
8120:1	7931:6	incentives	,24	8192:22
implemented	8025:17	8092:12	8086:10	increasingly
8131:25	impose	8103:3	8121:3	8001:14
implementing	8009:24	8149:7	8123:9,10	8138:11
7945:8	8090:8,21	inclining	8126:7,12,	incremental
7966:25	imposing	8165:14	13	7982:3,10,
7967:6,13,	8090:19	include	8127:14,20	15,22
24 8102:22	8091:1	7948:18	8128:25	8076:22
8131:2	impressed	7953:17,18	8145:24	8077:11
implication	8182:12	7986:6	8146:2	8078:13,24
8032:6,18	impression	7989:14	8152:4	8173:12
implications	8141:22	8045:19	8167:15	8174:7
7969:16	impressive	8133:25	8196:22	8183:4
7970:18	8024:20	8134:5,15	8197:22	indeed
8031:19	improve	8135:5	incomplete	8005:8
8033:24,25	7944:18,19	8191:10	8009:15	8026:9
8034:3,18	8007:9	included	incorporated	8034:1
8063:21	8013:24	8011:22	8071:23	8039:14,15
implies	8096:17	8056:16	increase	8074:5
8082:12,18	8192:7	8088:15	7971:6	8133:20
importance	improved	8135:11	7980:8,13	Indefinitely
7991:8	7971:7	8165:7	7983:9	8183:6
8066:1	7972:7,9,1	includes	7985:11	independent
important	7	7978:8	7990:13	8033:16
7931:17,25	improvement	8047:21	7996:12	8064:22
7941:22	8169:12	8138:16	8003:20	8072:12
7964:16	improvements	8171:1	8006:11	8088:7
7965:5	7970:15	8178:15	8029:24	8096:12
7968:13	8076:13	including	8062:24	8133:3
7969:7	improving	7939:1	8063:15	8134:21
7979:11	7936:10	7943:9	8111:8	8149:23
7980:12	7957:16	7955:18	8167:14,17	8154:5
7981:9	8002:9	7958:24	,25	independentl
7984:4,5	imprudent	7960:1,13	8168:25	y 8149:21
7988:4	8076:13	7974:22	8178:25	indi 8172:11
7990:20	inadvertentl	7987:25	increased	Indian
7996:16	y 7991:4	8022:4	7932:8	8049:16
7997:17	in-between	8033:1	8028:16	8061:18
8031:11	8031:10	8035:3	8143:22	indicate
8080:23	Inc 8058:15	8036:5	increases	8119:24
8082:16,23		8057:18	7938:15	8121:7
8084:6		8064:25	7977:8,16	8195:17
8086:19		8065:15,17	8011:5	
		8135:19	8014:12	
		8149:7		

indicated	industries	8049:1	innovative	8099:23
7947:11	7944:24	initial	7935:16	8121:20
7948:7	industry	7969:13	7936:2	instances
7949:13	7938:19	7989:19	8001:19	8098:13
8016:5	7942:18	7990:14	8027:9,10	instantaneous
8071:21	8094:20	7991:17	innovators	s 7937:4
8140:6,16	8099:1,2	8008:25	8098:6	institution
8148:25	8187:6,17	8083:4	8188:16	8144:5
8153:14	inequalities	8085:1	input	instructed
8159:10	8066:12	8086:1	8125:12,13	8105:18
8175:2	in-field	8089:6	input/output	insufficient
8187:10	7967:20	8148:25	8186:3	8016:9
8195:13	influencing	initially	inputs	insulate
indication	7996:22	8026:23	7994:12	7935:14
7948:8	inform	8107:6	8025:10	insulating
indicators	7926:25	8164:13	in-service	7936:3
8143:16	information	initiative	8136:4	8089:9
8172:9	7924:8	7927:5	8174:3	insulation
indirect	7938:6	8061:11	insight	7936:1,2
7928:11,13	7951:25	8067:11	8075:21	7944:22
,15	7952:7	8153:3	8095:12	8112:7
individual	7975:9,24	initiatives	insignifican	8167:16
7939:3	8009:7,15	8091:15	t 8010:6	integrate
8025:5	8015:11	8117:25	insofar	7979:8,15
8040:5	8016:9	injunction	8188:3	integrated
8111:18	8021:2	8061:2	install	7958:24
8139:3	8048:7	innovation	7944:17	7959:3,9
8159:16	8051:9	7934:21	8017:24	7960:4
8169:7	8052:19	7935:1	8018:20,21	8129:16
individuals	8057:8	7945:11	8029:7	8153:16,17
8042:18	8064:17,20	7946:7	installation	8154:6,13,
8048:19	8066:2	8001:6,7,1	8029:6	16 8158:8
8125:23	8106:22	2,13,20	8099:22	8191:1
8196:13	8107:1,19	8002:2	8121:17	8194:20
indoor	8108:3	8003:17,18	installation	8195:2,7
8014:4	8114:8	8004:9	s 8027:4	integration
indulgence	informed	8010:24	8028:15,16	7959:4
8035:20	7933:16	8037:25	8100:3,4	7979:2
8078:25	8064:17	8076:10	8103:10	8129:11
industrial	informs	8094:17	installed	8134:5,10,
7955:19	7937:24	innovations	7936:6	24
7974:17	infrastructure	8001:10,11	8015:20	intellect
7976:12	re 8059:23	,24	8022:6	8048:24
8089:20	ingenious	8002:3,7	8027:20	intended
8118:1,4,1	7936:21	8004:3,9	8028:21	8063:1
3,14	inherent	8010:21	8029:18,21	intensify
8185:2	8059:3	8035:1	8033:6	8141:9
industrials	inherit	8037:25	installing	
8118:19		8094:16		
		8098:8,10		

intention 8043:9	8047:9	invested 8034:21	involved 7943:23	8069:2,3,1 6,19
interest 7937:12 8059:2,4,6 8063:13 8066:2 8089:25 8093:17,18 ,22	interplay 8090:5	8060:15,17 8062:13 8065:1 8066:7 8185:6	7958:23 8021:11 8031:24 8055:4 8056:5 8057:25	8070:23
interested 7956:9 7966:24 8095:9 8161:19,20 8162:14 8181:1 8187:23	interpretati on 7976:6	investigatio n 8044:9	8031:24 8055:4 8056:5 8057:25 8065:4 8071:14 8084:5 8090:18 8102:22 8114:25 8153:10 8194:25	isolation 7946:1
interesting 8005:11,22 8013:1 8027:14 8034:2 8095:17 8103:5 8104:15 8118:16 8125:8,9 8187:7 8199:6,7	interrupt 7963:5 7971:21 7975:5 7990:20 8007:15	investing 8100:5	involve 8039:18 8069:7 8070:22 8071:12	ISOs 8176:25
interests 8046:23	interruptibl e 8089:19 8116:9 8118:14	investment 7923:11 7929:14 7999:6 8019:4 8035:8 8058:6,13 8063:13 8082:22 8083:5 8100:14 8143:22 8150:14 8151:1 8185:4	involvement 7958:9 8066:7	ISO's 8039:18 8069:7 8070:22 8071:12
InterGroup 8108:20	intervene 7967:18	investments 8034:19 8058:15 8082:13 8083:11 8084:15 8141:4,12, 23 8144:16,18	involves 8156:16	issue 7940:8 7975:22 7981:7 8037:15 8068:25 8069:12,16 8072:23 8074:4,22 8076:5 8084:20,22 8111:23,24 8113:9 8124:22 8137:2 8146:20 8187:16
interim 8176:12	Intervenors 7961:5 8105:4	investments 8034:19 8058:15 8082:13 8083:11 8084:15 8141:4,12, 23 8144:16,18	IPO 8020:16,17	issues 7926:2 7952:20 7965:24 7967:15,17 8024:14 8054:11,13 8065:14,23 8096:5 8151:20
intermittenc y 8133:25	interviews 7956:15	investors 8034:8	IRP 8071:17 8072:11 8153:19,24 ,25 8154:18 8155:4,9 8156:2 8157:9,18, 24 8158:4,6	item 7948:10 7949:12 8139:6
intermittent 8133:16	intimidating 8043:7	invests 8065:2	Island 8040:13 8080:12	items 8086:23
internal 8016:17	introduce 7925:22 7945:2 8150:8 8175:11	invite 7946:16 7963:5	isn't 7939:7 7941:24 7942:24 7943:21 7999:3 8018:5 8058:3 8175:4	it'll 8023:25 8031:10
international l 7926:20 7931:14 7938:5	introducing 7970:22	invoices 8057:2,3	ISO 8036:15,16 ,18 8037:4 8038:5 8041:13 8068:17,20 ,23	it's 7928:2,9 7929:4 7931:16 7932:2,12,
internet 7930:8	introduction 7934:21 7968:11	invoicing 8056:21		
	inverter- driven 8013:3,8 8014:17	involve 7967:14 8121:2		
	invertor 8135:6			
	invest 8064:1 8139:21 8144:5 8146:10			

13 7938:24	8050:21	8148:20	8149:12	7975:22
7939:11,16	8051:8,22,	8156:18	8183:22	7976:1,18
,17	23	8157:11	8184:2,20,	8044:10
7941:8,24,	8052:2,22	8159:5,8,9	21 8186:20	8048:8
25	8055:7	,24	8188:18	8049:9
7942:5,23	8068:16	8160:9,11	8189:16	8183:18
7943:5,19	8069:3	8161:4		8185:8
7944:19,21	8073:4	8164:19	<hr/>	join
7945:25	8080:22	8165:6,13	J	8043:1,4
7959:20	8081:25	8167:16	Janie	joined
7962:22	8082:4,5,1	8168:12	7921:15	8054:22
7964:13	5,16,22	8169:13	7947:18	joint
7972:23	8083:15	8175:2	8042:19	7947:12
7973:6,9	8084:3,6	8176:3,4,2	8054:3,4,6	8056:3,7
7974:23,24	8087:18	0,21	8067:1,4	8059:16,18
7977:2,6	8089:20	8177:8	January	,20 8061:6
7979:14	8090:11,12	8179:8,11,	7968:17	8063:16
7981:18	,13,20	17,21	8077:1	jointly
7982:5,15	8091:10	8184:22	8079:17	8069:12,16
7984:20	8092:1,3	8187:10,15	jealousy	judicious
7986:11	8093:7,16,	8188:24	7962:20	8087:19
7988:7,23	17,21	8191:11,14	Jennifer	July 8061:3
7989:25	8094:2,4,5	,15,20	7919:9	jump 8068:17
7991:21	8095:11	8193:11,12	jeopardy	jurisdiction
7993:22	8097:16	8196:13	8044:8	s 8118:3
7995:12	8099:4,17	8199:6	Jersey	8140:7
8000:22	8101:17	I've	7939:10	8169:24,25
8001:14,16	8102:6	7926:14,19	7954:23	8187:2
,17,18	8103:4,20	,20	Jessica	justice
8004:7,12,	8104:25	7928:18,19	7920:3	8066:23
20 8006:4	8105:22,25	7934:7	Jets 7962:21	justified
8009:4,6	8107:18	7942:21	job 7928:4	8064:6,11
8012:1,3,4	8108:19	7955:7,13	7929:4,21	
8013:14	8112:19	7958:16	7930:16	<hr/>
8015:3	8114:16,17	7966:4	8106:6	K
8016:12,13	8115:21	7969:17	8183:19	Kapitany
8018:20,21	8116:16	7970:23	8184:14,24	7918:14
8019:2,24	8117:16	7977:19	8185:6	7943:11
8020:14	8118:22,23	7978:1	8195:12	7944:4,9,2
8021:10	8121:18,19	7988:18	jobs	5 8038:25
8023:15	,22	7991:7,11	7927:5,12,	8039:5
8028:5,6	8126:21	7995:15	13,15	8066:4
8030:8	8127:17	8019:3	7929:10,13	8100:12
8031:15	8128:1	8030:5	,17,20,22	Keeyask
8035:9	8129:13,15	8060:18	7930:3,14,	7928:7
8037:7	8131:9,20,	8077:3	20 7931:5	7929:12
8038:5	25 8133:21	8079:8	7943:5,8	7931:3
8039:17	8136:14	8091:1	7944:6,10,	7976:21
8041:16	8137:13	8093:25	12,13,23	8055:12,19
8043:9	8138:4	8113:18	7974:15	
8044:25	8139:1,2,1	8114:24		
8046:2,3,1	6 8143:6	8146:19		
8 8047:5	8146:9	8147:11,12		
8049:24	8147:2,3			

8056:3,6,7 ,13 8057:25 8058:4,5,1 3,15,18,23 8059:21 8061:4,6,8 ,12,25 8062:1 8063:16 8082:12 8084:15 8100:13 8135:24 8136:10,12 ,19,21,22 8137:5 8159:3 8161:9 8174:3 8180:4 Keeyesak 8056:6 Ken 7921:6 7925:11,18 ,19 7927:11 7933:12 7943:15 7944:8,11 7945:5,9 key 8037:21 8054:11,13 8064:20 8069:18 8096:16 8101:12 8143:16 8172:9,11 kick 8113:17 8139:7 8175:25 8176:1 8188:20 kicking 8145:3 kill 8034:9 kilowatt 7932:20 7973:12,19 8033:15,19	8081:23 8091:16 8092:6 8095:3,7 8103:10 8109:9,25 8111:3,14 8135:15 8143:25 8158:25 8160:8 kilowatts 8109:15 8110:25 kinds 7983:25 8188:4 Kitchen 8167:24 8168:7 Klassen 7921:6 7925:12,16 ,18,19 7933:12 7943:12,15 7944:8,11 7945:5,9 7968:9 7970:3 7975:24 7976:1,6,2 3 8003:22 8148:17 8183:17 Klassen's 7968:4 7981:18 knew 8128:1 8142:4 knowledge 7942:13 7952:12 8073:3 known 7932:15 8043:20 8050:14 8157:24,25 Kobliski	7921:14 8042:20 8050:8,10, 11,15 8054:1 8060:22 Korea 7937:15,17 Korean 7937:15 KPIs 8148:4 KURT 7951:3 7966:6 8106:3 <hr/> L <hr/> lab 7936:4 labelled 8015:8 8077:18 Labrador 8125:1 lack 7985:5 8054:18 lacking 8132:6 Ladner 8056:8 lag 8008:13,14 laggers 8174:17 Lake 8043:20 8055:1,17 8056:2,11, 16 8058:12,14 8059:24 8060:10,18 ,19,24 8061:4,23, 25 8062:2 land 8052:6 8053:3 8066:15 8117:25 8162:11	landed 8035:4 landlords 8122:23 lands 8054:18,24 language 8050:12 large 7933:2 7966:7 7973:1 7974:4 7976:14 7983:11 8004:7 8017:23 8019:4 8040:15 8077:23 8086:17,18 8089:20 8094:3 8117:24 8118:7 8120:7,15 8121:2 8122:16 8161:25 8168:25 8175:23 8184:3 8185:4,5 8190:3 largely 8074:2 8114:17 8154:18 larger 8191:24 largest 7931:25 7935:25 7978:13 Larry 7918:15 last 7926:12,21 7932:4,17 7963:12,13 ,25 7964:5	7970:25 7977:19 8009:14 8028:19,21 8052:10 8060:19 8066:9 8088:23 8097:21 8100:2 8103:11 8174:17 lasting 7946:3 late 7946:13 7964:8 8030:2 8044:12 8068:4 8182:4 lately 8051:2 8091:2 latent 8013:14 later 7938:23 7939:17 7949:2,3 7974:1 8067:20 8138:20 8146:1 latest 8039:15,18 8144:25 latter 7981:4 8149:16 Lavigne 8199:23 law 8056:8,9,2 3,24 lawsuits 8048:22 8065:22 lawyer 8048:10,13
---	---	---	---	---

8056:22	8105:16	lengthy	8049:10	8178:14,16
lawyers	8145:19	7956:15	8055:16	8179:9,21
8044:9	8194:18	less 7929:6	8067:20	8182:17,21
8045:16,20	8196:8	7932:25	letters	8183:1,4,8
8046:20	learns	7941:16	8065:9	levelized
8051:7	7998:25	7964:16	level 7930:1	7942:23
8054:5	least	7969:22	7948:18,19	8158:21,24
laying	7958:17	7970:2,3	7985:24	8159:1,18
8128:4	7968:21	8025:15	7987:19	8160:15,17
layman's	7980:9	8046:8	7988:15,17	levelled
8069:5	8016:5	8047:12	7989:22	7996:4,12
lead 7957:25	8066:9	8074:1	7994:12	levelling
7992:20	8083:4	8110:15	7997:4,9	7996:13
7995:24	8084:6	8125:9	7998:4,25	levels
8005:17	8101:3,9	8156:6,7	7999:1,2,4	7937:11
8006:12	8117:2	8177:5,8	8002:2	7988:19
8031:6,9	8132:15	8179:6	8015:2	7989:19
8038:4	8166:25	lesser	8032:5	7990:10
8039:24	8169:16	8079:23	8041:8	7992:3
8040:2	8181:9,10	lessons	8065:5	7994:3
8109:16	8182:4	7965:15	8071:23,24	8061:8
leader	leave 7987:9	lest 7995:7	8076:24	8077:5
8041:5	8039:10	let's 7927:2	8077:13,18	8078:3
leaders	8093:4	7929:18	,19,21,25	8079:19
8004:25	8095:19	7930:21	8078:1,16,	8115:24
8040:12	8107:2	7931:16	21 8079:20	8123:11,13
8044:17	8197:15	7982:9	,9 8084:12	8158:11
8050:23	led 7935:20	7985:11	8087:20	8160:24
8051:6,10,	7984:21	8008:12	8088:18	8169:20
22 8053:6	8003:21	8014:5	8097:13	levers
leading	8010:9,11,	8018:9,21	8101:17	8139:3,11
7933:22	20	8032:23	8114:13,19	8176:2,5
7934:8	8011:1,21	8040:1	,22,25	liability
7958:3	8054:20	8077:4	8115:2,17,	8179:20
8025:23	8058:2	8090:22	18,20	licence
8036:5,8	8155:6	8102:7	8116:1,2	8143:8
leads	LEDs	8105:4	8117:15	licensed
7937:22	8011:13,22	8130:1	8125:19,22	8056:22,23
8009:25	,25 8012:6	8158:2,6,1	8127:11	life 8007:22
8020:5	leftover	2	8131:3	8054:18
8040:15	8123:3	8161:2,3,6	8137:14	8135:7,12,
8110:14	legal 8057:3	8164:22	8159:16	13,14
8111:15	legislative	8170:16,18	8160:5,11	8175:9
8125:6	8062:16	8172:20	8161:4,5,1	lifetime
learn	legislature	8175:9	0,11	7939:22
7965:10	8096:7	8176:18,22	8164:9	8009:10
8022:19	8097:8	8177:11,18	8165:5,6,7	light
learned	Lemoine	8184:23	8166:9,16,	7935:14
8073:21	8066:6	letter	19,22,25	8011:4,15
		8043:23	8167:4,5	
		8044:2	8173:12	
			8174:8	

8021:13	8058:3,4,9	lists 8152:9	8118:23,24	8070:23
8057:4	,10,12,14,	lit 8012:6	8121:25	8071:24
8082:16,17	16,19,21,2	literacy	8123:8	8072:2,3,7
,18	4	8124:22	8128:23	8076:18
8086:24	8059:2,8,1	literally	8129:1	8079:9,10,
8112:6	2,14,25	8017:15	8142:5	12,13,18,2
lightbulb	8060:3,5,1	literature	8149:20	1,22
8009:13	1 8061:1	8187:3	8151:25	8080:3,14,
light-	8067:9	Litigation	8157:11	19 8084:9
emitting	8085:23	7923:4	8163:14	8087:9
7935:21	8101:10	8105:19	8168:11	8089:15
lighting	8122:22,23	8106:10	8175:7	8101:4
7935:20,23	limiting	little	8180:14	8104:7
,24	8003:10	7926:2	8181:11	8112:17,22
7971:10	line	7929:24	live 7933:6	8113:21
8003:21	7989:9,10	7935:4	lived	8123:5
8010:10,12	8007:4	7942:15	8066:14	8135:25
,16,20	8031:3	7946:12	lives	8141:7,13
8011:1,17,	8038:24	7962:20	8066:17	8154:6,19
19,21	8074:18,20	7963:13	living	8155:24
lights	8078:19	7967:10	8060:18	8156:2,3
7971:5	8094:10	7968:5	8062:12	8165:8,22,
8037:1	8104:1	7972:20	8063:24	24 8169:1
8039:13	8114:24	7975:22	livingroom	8173:19
8069:6	lines	7978:17	8014:7	8178:16,20
8155:17	7949:19	7981:15	LNG	8180:6
likeliest	7982:22	7986:10	7929:2,16,	8181:5,6,1
8080:19	7985:14,19	7995:23	19,21	8,19,21
8113:6	7989:12	7998:4	load 7926:3	8182:18,21
likelihood	8031:1	8003:7,16	7936:18	loads
7970:13	8033:3	8016:13,22	7937:23	7935:25
8007:11	8076:23,24	8020:5,14	7939:4,7,9	7936:13,14
likely	8077:16,17	8023:1	,14,15	7937:8
7998:17	8079:16	8026:12	7940:9,13	8014:13
7999:7,8	link 8140:22	8028:5	7942:3	8040:15,17
8197:16,19	lips 8145:14	8030:7	7948:19,20	,24
8198:18,24	list	8032:15	,21	loan 8123:1
8199:5	7921:3,4	8034:16	7982:11,16	local 7931:4
limit	7923:1	8037:7	,18,24	7933:19,22
8059:25	7924:1	8040:21,25	7984:16,17	7934:8
8091:4	7948:6	8068:4	7989:5,8,1	7956:18
limitations	7962:15	8069:10,24	2,14,23	7983:24
8113:17	8007:1	8070:3	7991:25	8184:16
8163:19,22	8169:6	8078:10	7992:1,4,5	location
8171:20	8171:5,13	8080:9,22	,12 7995:2	8120:10
8189:7,11	8172:14	8081:9	7997:5	8125:15
limited	listed	8084:17	7998:17	locations
7984:7	8167:21	8088:25	7999:5	8121:24
7985:6	listening	8089:1	8038:17,18	lock 8188:20
	7968:4	8106:20	8040:7,10	locked
		8107:5	8041:3	

8156:12	8037:5	7938:19	8127:14,20	8198:15,24
London	8070:2	7940:7	8128:25	lurking
7959:19,22	8071:17	7942:11	8145:24	8178:1
long 7954:2	8082:10	7943:6	8146:2	
7977:24	longshot	7944:15,17	8148:13	<hr/>
7980:21	7973:9	7945:13	8152:4	M
7995:16,17	long-term	7957:10	8169:17	mackenzie
8004:24	7940:10	7965:8,10,	8196:22	8048:14
8009:22	7942:20	23 7976:1	8197:22	8056:1,9
8023:23	7991:24	7978:10	lower	Mackenzie
8028:23	7993:8	7981:19	7973:25	8046:9
8030:4	7994:11	7984:14	7982:23	macroeconomi
8035:7	8035:11	7985:7	7984:17	c
8039:24	8037:18	8006:16	7985:7	8186:1,21
8040:2	8068:22	8008:6,18	8014:9	Mail 7932:12
8041:6,7,1	8073:25	8012:14	8019:1	main 7938:9
1,12	8087:24	8015:18	8023:2	Maine
8046:7	8115:21	8032:10,13	8024:8	7954:22
8047:13	8135:23	8034:3	8070:13	8040:13
8069:6	8144:10,11	8035:17	8073:11	8080:12
8070:6	,22	8050:16	8074:5,25	
8087:2	8170:14	8051:2	8085:24	mainly
8092:15	8179:6	8080:11	8109:22	8116:6
8114:16	8181:22	8085:11	8116:25	
8118:20	8187:7	8089:14	8130:25	maintain
8122:12	Lor 8056:22	8098:10	8156:15	7987:19
8125:6	lose 7998:8	8121:11	8159:9	7998:25
8135:1	8111:20	8122:18	8167:15	7999:1
8140:8,10	losers	8125:5	lowering	8032:4
8155:18	8112:2	8126:13,22	7936:9	8082:9
8172:14	loss 8023:14	8127:1	lowest	8088:4
8177:6	losses	8139:11	7932:15	8095:14
8181:13	8054:24	8141:18	8113:21	8096:25
8196:13	8082:2	8160:10	8137:14	maintaining
longer	8082:2	8165:25	8155:16	8072:17
7935:2	lost 7931:21	8179:23	8184:19	maintains
7968:20,21	7981:23	lots 8094:22	low-income	7994:15,24
7994:1	7994:21	low 7932:25	7924:20	maintenance
8157:20	8066:17	7933:8	8086:15,17	8135:5
8176:7,9	8074:17	7939:18	,19,21	major
8188:23	8109:3	7941:23	8087:1	8066:22
longest	lot	7974:10	8121:9	majority
8046:16	7926:20,22	7983:3	8122:6,16,	7925:8
8073:17	,23	8013:9	20	7961:1
long-range	7928:23	8032:19,20	8123:2,17,	7972:15
7993:19	7929:10,18	8063:1,18	25 8196:15	7973:4
8038:12	7930:9,10	8076:11	8197:1	8007:8
long-run	7931:10	8085:10,15	8198:5	8012:7
7980:15,19	7933:7	8086:10	lump 7972:25	8149:1
7996:11	7935:6	8121:2	lunch	man 8005:9
8032:17	7936:11	8123:9,10	7947:17	
		8126:7,12,		
		13		

8022:6	8036:19	9,22	,17,21	margins
manage	8084:3	8032:21	8135:25	8149:5
8133:25	8140:9,17	8033:1,25	8138:5,15	Marilyn
8172:18	8189:17	8043:20	8141:24	7918:14
8176:4	Manitoba	8044:3,5,1	8143:23	7943:11
managed	7918:3,7,9	0,14	8150:9,20	7944:4,9,2
8173:4	,23 7919:5	8045:7,11	8151:1,24	5 8038:25
8177:2	7923:7,12	8046:24	8152:3	8039:5
8183:24	7924:13	8047:13,22	8154:12	8100:12
management	7926:9	8048:3	8157:4	Maritime
7926:2	7927:21,24	8049:4,9	8158:12,20	8185:13
7927:18,19	7928:8	8050:4,15	8159:2	marked
7930:24	7929:10,25	8051:10	8160:14	7951:2,20
7931:11	7932:24	8054:14,21	8164:6,13,	market
7932:25	7933:3,13	8055:9,15,	22,23	7934:8
7933:15	7934:3,11	24,25	8166:3	7956:23
7934:10	7935:7,25	8056:14,24	8169:10	7960:15
7935:7	7936:11,18	8057:1,2,6	8173:15,17	7979:6
7941:5,25	,22	,8,14,18,2	8177:11	7984:2,5
7942:10	7939:14,24	0,22	8180:1,15	7996:16,22
7952:20	7940:11,17	8058:12,17	8182:8,9,1	,23
7953:9,11,	,19,23	,25	7,18,20	8002:5,6
14 7954:20	7941:24	8059:4,7,9	8185:17	8003:12
7955:3	7942:4,23	,10,19	8186:6,13,	8004:10,11
7956:20	7943:9,20	8061:15,16	19,24	8008:1,7,8
7959:9,12	7945:4,11,	8062:2,9,1	8187:7	,21
7960:13,14	19 7948:1	5,18	8190:25	8010:18
7961:3	7950:6	8063:17,21	8191:22	8016:25
7964:24	7951:19,25	8064:4,15,	8196:14	8017:2,7
7971:4,16	7952:18	19	Manitobans	8019:6,10
7977:5	7955:6,8	8065:2,9,1	7931:17	8028:20
8019:18	7961:18	5,18	8138:7	8029:10,11
8036:24	7962:3	8066:16	Manitoba's	8035:4
8069:20,21	7964:2,3,4	8076:24	7994:9	8036:24
8116:23	7967:14	8077:5,9	8013:19	8037:16
8176:6	7968:12	8080:20	8137:25	8038:1,2,1
8195:2	7974:22	8084:12	8138:1	5 8069:18
manager	7976:21	8087:19	manner	8081:3
8026:3	7977:22,23	8094:11	8112:24	8086:22
8171:22	7981:11	8102:16	manufacturer	8090:6
8172:8	7985:4,18	8107:12,23	8022:7	8096:19,20
managerial	7994:8,9,1	8108:10	manufacturer	8100:19
8095:20	5 7999:22	8112:18	s 7976:14	8103:3
managers	8002:19	8113:15,25	manufacturin	8129:17
7956:16	8004:23,24	8114:3	g 8029:24	8130:2
8148:3	8011:24	8117:13,14	March 7950:7	8149:24
8179:15	8012:14,18	,24	marginal	8175:24
manages	8013:18	8118:17	8007:17,18	8176:7
8049:4	8014:14	8119:10	8091:15	8196:21
mandate	8027:19	8120:14	8095:15	marketing
	8030:10,15	8124:1		8128:16
	,23	8129:8		
	8031:4,6,1	8130:12		
		8132:1,2,3		

marketplace	8080:12	8024:24	7997:13	measure
7977:12	massive	8032:9,24	7998:19	8009:10
7996:19	7938:15	8043:4	8009:4	8031:23
8022:4	master	8048:24	8014:1	8135:7
8143:24	8014:6,8	8055:16	8021:25	8156:21
markets	master's	8057:3	8039:1	8157:5
7939:1,11,15	7959:18	8063:2,15	8080:21	8167:10,16
8017:4,14	material	8070:15	8082:14	8172:24
8031:17	7952:12	8082:21,22	8086:14	8175:13
8032:21	7953:1	,25	8088:3	8178:18
8062:22,25	8104:24	8084:12	8089:14,15	8179:8
8063:18	8113:15	8088:24	,16,18	measure-by
8081:14,15	materials	8093:17	8096:14	8167:9
8144:14	7936:2	8094:22	8097:5	measured
8196:9	7949:3,6	8097:20	8098:11	8189:18,19
Marla 7919:6	math 7928:2	8098:14	8099:17	measurement
7921:24	7929:3	8126:25	8100:24	8189:19
7922:3	8109:13,15	8129:20	8101:7	measures
7948:5	mathematical	8144:4	8113:1,5	7945:8
7949:25	ly 8109:7	8145:20,21	8121:12	7957:9
7950:2,12	matter	8146:20	8122:8,9	7966:25
7962:5	7947:16	8172:23,24	8134:11	7967:22
8000:5,10	7948:2	,25 8179:9	8140:9	8038:1,2
8133:10,11	7956:19	8182:7	8156:19,25	8057:17
,18,21,23	7966:19	maybe	8159:24	8077:8
8134:4,12,19	8001:6	7928:6,18	8160:9	8086:18
8135:3,10,16,22	8066:1	7943:22	8165:25	8121:15,20
8136:7,17	8095:4	7968:24	8171:11	8125:8
8137:3,8,12,16,20,24	8158:10	7977:2	8175:8,20	8158:22,24
8138:4,14,18,24	matters	7989:3	8180:11	8159:16,17
8139:15,20	7950:14	8003:7	8187:4,10	8160:15
,23 8140:1	8198:10	8007:24	8188:8	8165:7
8190:20,23	maximize	8010:22	meaning	8167:1,14
,24	7940:6	8022:2	7965:24	8169:8
8191:8,17	8112:3	8023:2	8008:3,5	8170:1
8193:17,24	may 7927:2	8053:18	means 7971:8	8171:8,13,14
8194:6	7943:18,20	8070:17	7972:9	8175:9,23
Marr 8046:9	7944:17	8092:24	7982:11	8177:9
8056:10	7945:20	8122:14	8003:8	measuring
8060:7	7948:14,23	8146:22	8013:12	8148:8
Massachusetts	7952:25	8161:4	8019:3	mechanism
s 7939:10	7966:15	8180:22	8050:11	8088:8
7957:5	7967:14,17	8181:11	8076:17	8089:18
7978:11,23	7995:4	mean 7943:13	8082:15	8093:20
7983:4	7997:23,24	7944:11,13	8085:21	8097:12
7991:16	7999:12	7949:16	8101:4,5,8	8123:16
8040:9	8018:9	7957:7	8125:7	mechanisms
	8020:12	7964:22	8139:5	8087:25
	8021:25	7966:23	8155:11	8089:12
		7972:24	8165:6,18	8152:20
		7975:25	8166:19	
		7984:25		

8190:15	8060:13,25	8106:18	microwaves	8145:6
meet 7941:16	8061:2	8119:14	8007:3	minimize
7971:12	memory	8199:3	mid 8070:19	8112:1
8084:9	8079:6	mercury	8071:2	mining
8103:24	mention	8048:1	8180:13	8001:15
8116:19	7966:22	merits	8182:4	Minnesota
8141:12	7977:22	8073:7	mid-'60s	7924:12
8154:20	7979:25	mess 8049:2	7976:11	7983:17,18
8156:14	7988:5	message	mid-demand	8032:22
8171:4,15	8011:6	8023:1	8071:2	8100:25
8179:9	8017:8	met 7932:9	mid-DSM	8101:1,2,9
meeting	8053:1	7937:15	8070:10	8103:7,23
7995:8	8072:21	7941:14,16	midst 7964:1	8107:22
8052:9	8084:18	7942:3	midterm	8108:8
8181:5,6	8086:8	7972:17,18	8187:24	8188:8,17
meets	8116:7	8149:2,3,4	Miller	minor
8107:12	mentioned	8168:4	7919:16	7964:11
megaproject	7944:6	meter 8025:4	million	8006:20
7928:21	7960:19	meters	7928:3	minus
megaprojects	7962:16	7979:16	8044:18	8013:15
7928:22	7964:22	methodology	8045:12	8015:1,2
megawatt	7965:20	7984:11	8046:13	minute
8131:11,14	7983:2,4	Metis	8052:10	7946:22
8172:15	8003:22	7961:18	8055:2,14	8046:1
8173:2	8010:10	8130:12	8059:22	8094:9
megawatts	8016:24	metric	8061:15,16	8151:6
8029:17,18	8018:2	7982:5	,17,18,19,	8174:18
,21	8026:22	8162:3	20,21,24	minutes
8033:6,11,	8033:5	8184:10	8146:10	7930:8
14 8089:7	8034:2	MH	8184:9,12,	7999:16
8117:18,20	8084:23	8077:18,19	14,24	8060:12
8131:22	8098:7	8078:16	8185:6	8105:5
8172:21,22	8101:2	M-hm 8178:21	millions	MIPUG
Meghan	8140:15	Michael	8060:15,17	7919:18
7919:13	8143:19	7919:21	,20	7961:9
member	8171:2	7920:7	8061:21	8108:19
7918:14,15	8180:11	7961:24	8062:13	MIPUG/CAC/
,16,17	8185:1	8133:4	8064:25	GAC-1
7947:19	8192:16	microphone	8065:6	7952:1
8067:15	mentioning	7947:6	mind 7983:25	misaligned
8132:14	8001:4	7950:15	8034:12	8092:12
members	Menzies	8068:5	8094:4	Misconstruct
7925:7	7919:13	8105:4	8159:21	ion
7950:18	merchant	8106:15	8179:5	8059:18,23
8042:23	8082:19,20	8119:15	8180:14	misheard
8044:10	8083:11	8140:4	8182:25	8153:21
8052:17	8084:16	8151:17	mine 7968:7	misinterpret
8054:5	8100:14		minimal	
8056:9	merci			
	7961:12			
	7963:11			

ed 7928:19	8102:3	7920:6	8134:20	myself
mismanagemen	8107:6	month	mortgage	7955:14
t 8065:13	8185:25	7932:17	7940:2	7963:20
MISO 8036:16	8186:2,4	7937:5,15	motivated	7964:2,20
8069:3	modelling	7941:9	8096:3,10	7968:9
8100:19	8013:19	8045:19	8130:3	7969:5
misreading	8070:2	8148:13,14	motivating	7989:2
8144:6	8186:21	monthly	8192:13	7991:23
miss 8172:22	models	8148:7	motivation	8060:18
missing	8013:3,8	months	8096:2,13	8065:17
8126:18	8092:18	7980:3	8129:24	8075:14
8177:5	8153:6	8091:11	8132:16,19	8078:9
misspoke	moderate	8140:12	8143:9	8088:14
7947:10	7992:18	Montreal	8147:17	8091:2,4
mistake	modified	7966:14	mouldy	8097:25
7928:7,18	8157:4	moot 8057:13	7946:4	<hr/>
8191:15	modify	morning	move 8008:17	N
mistaken	7947:20	7925:3,7,1	8027:24	NAFTA 8049:3
8180:13	8008:9	6,19	8035:18	nail
mistakes	modules	7931:12	8076:15	7969:3,7
7965:11	8027:16	7947:4	8130:18	naive
8043:25	8029:3	7948:3,6,9	8138:8	8007:15
8055:9	moment	7950:1,16	moved 8047:3	narrower
mistrust	7995:6	7951:18	8079:9	8162:5
8054:21	7997:1	7972:22	movement	nasty
misunderstan	8091:8	7976:23,24	8029:9	8100:10
ding	8104:18	8003:22	moving	Nation
8180:23	8158:13	8010:11	7979:21,23	8043:21
mitigation	8167:7	8023:21	8035:1	8044:7
8055:21	moments	8084:23	8072:7	8055:15,17
8057:24	7995:5	8148:18	8079:8	,23
mix 8157:19	monetary	8151:23	8081:4	8056:15
mixture	8143:13	8161:14	8132:10	8057:15,20
8163:18	8192:11	8173:9,11	multiple	8058:5,9,1
MKO 7919:20	money	8175:2	8088:9	5,16,21
7961:13	7929:18	8183:17	8192:2,3	8059:14,24
8119:16	7945:17	8188:9	multiples	8060:3
MMF 7920:3	8044:5,17	8194:11	8092:10	National
7961:20	8065:3	8195:7	multiplying	7924:15
MMF/CAC/	8189:20,22	8198:12,19	8111:2	7957:13
GAC-1	8192:6,10	,22	Municipal	8192:17
7952:2	monies	8199:10,11	8195:4	8193:22
model	8061:10	morning's	murky 8047:5	8194:3
8004:20,21	monitor	7947:6	music	Nations
8024:16	7938:18	Morningstar	8024:18	7930:22
8094:12	monitors	8034:6	mys 7955:13	7931:8
	7936:24	Moroz 7919:9		7943:9
	Monnin	Morrison		8047:21
				8053:2,9
				8054:17,19

,22	neglecting	generation	nonetheless	Northeast
8055:8,11	8093:8	7960:16	8014:13	7978:12
8062:6	negotiated	NFAT 7925:5	8136:24	northern
8063:23	8059:19	7970:19	8138:7	7930:22
8065:1	negotiating	7980:1	non-existent	7931:8
8124:12	8135:23	8163:1	8139:16	7945:4,15
8126:7	8186:24	nice 8011:13	non-IRP	7946:2
8127:7	negotiation	8013:1	8157:9	8054:16
Native	8044:19	8018:24	non-	8057:24
8125:2	8055:21	8085:20	participan	8059:17
natural	8057:16,23	8145:20	ts 8111:20	8061:18
7928:22,24	negotiations	8148:16	non-	8062:9
7932:1	8049:15	nicer 7968:7	participat	8063:9
7938:3	8058:2	niche	ing 8111:7	8120:23
7973:19	8062:13	8027:24	nook 8143:15	Northwest
7974:8,9,2	negotiators	night	noon 7954:11	7941:20,21
5 7979:3	8048:13	7943:18	noone 8073:6	8015:17
8006:17	8055:3	nine 7928:16	normally	8073:14,16
8063:4	neighbour	8045:17	8123:2	,20 8074:3
8083:14	8020:19	8091:3	north	Norway
naturally	8021:3	8185:5	7924:12	8021:12
8181:19	neighbouring	8198:13	7926:22	Notably
nature	8107:11	8199:11	7943:10	8083:12
8169:2	neighbours	nineteen	7945:25	note 7949:11
8176:13	8082:6	7928:10,15	7956:16	8004:19,23
NB 7954:21	8103:2,13	7930:14	7965:4,7	8011:24
nearly	Nelson	ninety-five	7973:15	8014:25
7955:24	8050:13	8046:14	7983:10	8017:25
7981:17	8060:24	Nisichawayas	7985:7	8019:8
necessarily	nervous	ihk	7991:16	8071:12
8091:22	7938:19	8050:14	7994:10	8074:16
8098:11	7996:14	nobody	8016:23	8084:17
8155:6	8128:24	8051:25	8021:9	8086:17
8172:3	Nest 8022:5	8052:12	8027:5	8152:8
8175:13	net	Nobody's	8032:11	8182:10
necessary	7937:12,17	7934:6	8036:5	noted 8073:2
7947:24	,18	8051:3	8061:18	notes 8016:5
7948:25	7943:12,15	no-brainer	8073:5,16	nothing
8155:7	,21,22	8092:14	8078:4	8002:14
8171:3	7944:2,3	non 8139:18	8092:25	8037:7
8198:20,21	8038:18	8192:25	8099:25	8053:20
necessity	neutral	non-band	8102:11	8072:14
8039:23	8129:20	8044:10	8107:22	8168:16
8085:21	newer 7985:4	none 7978:22	8108:8	8191:20
needle	news 7932:12	non-energy	8120:16	8194:6
8148:11,13	8051:1,3	8162:21	8122:4	8197:2
negative	next-		8131:21	notice
8063:11			8169:25	7963:18
			8184:5	8021:7

8022:23,24	objection	8105:19	8137:6	8197:3,8
noticed	7961:14,21	8106:12	8140:13	old
7940:12	,25 7962:5	odd 7930:5	8149:19	8038:3,23
7960:18	objections	8027:18	8170:7	8079:15
7963:18	7961:9	8148:24	8180:22	8083:23
7966:21	obligation	oddly 8094:1	oil 7931:23	8190:3
8011:21	8059:1,5	Odette	7932:1	old-
8105:12	obstacles	7919:10	7938:2	fashioned
notion	8066:22	offer 8022:8	8001:15,18	8009:17
8038:16	obtain	8024:3,4,2	,19	OLED 7935:20
notwithstand	8062:17	0	8094:20	ombudsman
ing 8122:1	obtained	8027:9,10	okay 7933:12	8045:8
Nova	8111:2	8085:22	7941:2	One-half
7995:21,22	obvious	8088:25	7944:4	7932:20
8071:14,16	8004:18	8118:15	7950:10	one-off
8072:12	obviously	8140:22	7961:4	8099:23
8100:16	7972:8	offering	8000:3,12	ones 8022:4
8122:11	7974:7,22	8086:4	8044:2	8038:3
nowhere	7980:2,6	8121:19	8045:1	8097:7
8164:19	7982:19	offers	8046:3,4	8156:5,6
np	7983:22	7930:1	8052:15	8157:19
7919:2,7,8	7984:1	8024:15	8067:5,21	one's 7934:7
,9,10,13,2	7988:22	office	8074:18	8023:16
1 7920:3,6	7989:13	8013:7	8087:4	8122:25
NRCan	7990:11	8055:25	8089:2	one-third
8006:18	7997:14	8060:7	8094:8	7932:21
NSPI 8072:2	8010:7	8105:23	8095:8	8025:15
nuance	8029:1	officials	8102:8,12	ongoing
8138:23	8069:2	7937:16	8103:7	8183:4
8139:1	8086:10,16	offset	8111:6	Ontario
8163:13	8101:7	7935:15	8113:23	7924:12
occasions	occasions	offsets	8119:13	8072:20
7959:13	7959:13	7933:7	8122:13,24	8103:6,16
7940:20,22	7982:18	8141:2	8124:10,14	8107:22
,24 7973:1	7995:13	8169:1	8125:12	8108:8
nudges	occurred	offsetting	8130:7	8131:19
8022:21	7933:20	8141:4	8131:12	on-the
numerous	7934:6	8184:18	8132:24	7967:6
7930:23	occurring	oftentimes	8136:15	onto 7964:16
7956:22	8108:15	7973:6	8137:15,16	8138:10
7959:13	8115:8	8122:10	8138:4,25	onward
8196:21	o'clock	8147:14	8140:13,24	8011:3
nuts 8151:18	7947:21	8149:6	8146:14	8188:16
	8198:13,17	8179:14	8159:11	OPA 8103:2
	,22	oh 7958:17	8167:6	open 8041:25
	8199:11	8007:21	8178:6,7,8	8083:24
Obama	October	8074:18	8179:4	8090:14
8005:23	7923:5	8118:6	8183:10	
8007:12			8188:2	
			8191:18	

8189:6	8117:9	8160:6,8	7989:7,10	8186:3
operate	8118:16	options	8077:24	otherwise
8147:22	8155:13,14	7932:10,22	8079:12,14	8022:15
8149:9	8160:22	7971:4	8105:1	8026:15
operated	8195:12	8073:12,24	8159:22	8087:21
8020:9	opportunity	8074:13	8162:25	8109:12
operating	7925:20	8098:9	8163:1	8130:4
8021:8	7955:8	8116:14,24	originally	8180:19
8026:3	7998:1	8117:3	7997:2	Ottawa
operation	8010:22	8121:12	8170:21	7926:11
7930:2	8012:5,25	8139:5	origination	ought 8008:7
8036:22	8021:18	8154:19	8143:2	8081:8
8050:22	8025:20	8155:10,11	Orle 7919:20	8160:12
8135:5	8031:21	,17	7921:22	ours 7989:17
operational	8054:6,8	8156:4,10,	7961:13,14	ourselves
8026:1	8058:6	11,14,16	,17	8032:9
operations	8086:4	8157:22	8119:15,18	8147:1
8061:4	8093:3,9	8158:2,3	,19,22	ousted
operators	8096:18,19	8184:25	8120:8,13,	8048:9
8186:25	8097:4	oral 8170:22	25 8121:7	outbreak
opinion	8100:8	orange	8122:3,24	8101:19
7942:5	8103:24	7989:11	8124:2,10,	outcome
opinions	8104:10	8031:1	14 8125:12	8009:25
8067:15	8138:8	order 8006:3	8126:2,5,2	8155:20
8124:4	8152:15	8011:3,5	0	outdated
Opower	8156:15	8021:6	8127:12,18	8079:15
8020:13	8166:7,25	8023:7	,23	outdoor
8021:17	8167:12	8064:17,20	8128:15,18	8014:3
opportunitie	8170:15,16	8091:16	,22	8023:9
s	8177:17	8127:20	8129:2,7	outlined
7935:11,18	8182:13	8136:1	8130:7,11	8022:24
7960:17	opposed	organic	8196:8	out-of-town
7969:23	8026:1	7935:21	ostracized	8023:1
7970:14	8101:16	organization	8065:19	output
7984:9	8102:10	8049:3	others	8011:5
7993:13	8129:19	8096:6,24	7953:19	8149:10
8002:21	opposite	8142:17,18	7965:12	outset
8020:6	7947:25	8143:15	7979:17	8155:11
8025:23	7981:24	8148:1,5,2	7984:22	outside
8035:3,7	optimal	1	7985:7	8013:15
8038:3	8009:25	organization	8003:11	8020:4
8056:13	8092:13	s 7930:12	8017:11	8158:5
8070:3,17,	optimistic	8143:16	8036:3	
18 8077:10	8028:7	8147:12,16	8048:17	
8080:18	8168:3	,22	8066:18	
8082:14	option	8149:5,14	8078:4	
8083:7,24	8032:19,23	oriented	8079:5	
8085:22	8121:19	7940:6	8092:20	
8101:8,9	8135:19	original	8099:10	
	8156:13	7977:20	8103:16	
	8157:16,22		8172:16	

outso	8056:11	7995:4	8107:9,20	8112:13
8013:15	8058:24	7999:12	8108:6	8120:17
outward		8034:24	8132:1	8125:2
8080:7	<u>P</u>	8035:16	8152:16	8127:8
outweighed	p.m 7925:1	8041:19	8187:4	8132:11
8007:18	8042:13	8042:4,10,	8188:7	8141:10,13
overall	8067:25	17,19,23	Park 7938:17	8142:19
7926:4	8068:1	8054:5	8134:20	8180:5
8014:13	8105:7,8	8062:11	partial	8187:13
8112:7,8	8199:16	8064:3,19	8119:25	particularly
8177:4	pace 7992:19	8068:10	partic	7925:25
overcome	8097:1	8075:20	7984:23	7945:4
8066:22	Pacific	8085:6	partici	7966:24
overcoming	7941:19,20	8090:3	participi	parties
8196:16	pacing	8099:23,24	8077:6	7946:22
overestimate	8155:10	8154:4	participants	8042:4
d 7940:10	package	8159:15	8111:19	8056:21
overflow	7948:18	8189:5	8126:7	8064:24
8198:19	page 7921:2	8198:12,16	8191:21	8065:14
overhaul	7922:2	,21	8192:1	8088:9
8005:25	7923:2	panels	participate	8198:18
overlook	7924:2	7938:17	8085:22	partly
8047:23	7949:12	8099:18,21	8086:5	7948:17
overlooked	7966:8	8152:10	8087:1	7988:10
7973:6	8005:5	8188:9	8110:19	8008:23
overly	8106:24	panel's	8192:4	8012:10,13
8028:7	8116:8	7999:24	participated	,14
overnight	8137:25	8000:1	8056:2	8102:14
7993:2	8158:13,23	paper	participates	partner
oversight	8164:24	7931:22	8086:25	8050:15
8036:23	8165:3,4	8051:19	8110:23	8053:20
8087:25	8177:18	8123:16	participatin	8058:11,21
8088:8	8178:3,23	8151:6	g 8085:25	,23
8096:12	Pages	8178:2	8110:20	8059:1,24
8147:20,22	7918:25	parallel	8111:19	8060:5
8189:25	paid 7940:15	8010:23	8112:8	8061:1,5
8190:9	8057:3	8143:23	participatio	partners
overstated	pamphlet	8144:3	n	8045:5
7993:5	8128:19	pardon	8057:15,20	8051:11
7998:18	pan 8122:11	8041:18	8121:2	8055:11,15
overstatemen	panel	8055:24	8127:9	,17,23
t 7968:25	7921:8,17	parity	8192:3	8056:16
overview	7925:7	7924:10	particular	8057:15
8152:11	7938:10	8030:19,20	7960:8	8058:5,9,1
owe 8045:5	7946:10	8031:6,9,1	8012:15	3,21
owned 8022:5	7947:19,23	2,14	8015:17	8059:14,25
	7951:13	8102:3,11,	8026:17	8060:3
	7962:13,18	13,19	8075:21	8061:20
	7963:6	8103:4,7,8	8096:23	partnership
		,9 8106:23	8110:23	8051:5
				8058:3,5,1

0,11,14,16	8090:25	penetration	8002:10	8047:7
,19,22,24	8094:9	8010:19	8006:3	8051:5,17
8059:3,8,1	8104:21	peop 8146:5	8017:2	8058:7
3,15,16,25	8129:5	people	8031:8	8060:23,24
8060:4,5	8151:9	7927:2,11	8041:9	8063:14,16
8061:1	8158:16	7930:22	8072:15	8070:19
8063:25	8165:1	7934:7	8082:1	8071:8,24
party	8173:6	7937:3,9	8095:3,7	8072:15
7957:24	8177:14,21	7938:2,11	8109:9,25	8074:8,12
Pas 7945:21	8193:15	7942:12,16	8110:25	8078:17
pass 8084:21	pavement	7943:9	8111:3,14	8092:24
	8147:5	7944:16,21	8157:20	8095:5,14
passed	pay 7940:2	7952:8	8158:25	8097:10
8160:2	8024:7	7971:9	8184:9,12,	8101:3
past 7932:6	8045:13	8047:25	14,24	8102:9,10
7973:15	8134:23	8049:21,24	8185:6	8103:21
7980:3	8191:11	8053:3,13	perceive	8167:17,24
7998:12	payable	8062:6	8189:7	8168:8
8001:23	8056:18	8065:13	percent	8173:12
8005:21,23	payback	8066:11	7931:2	8174:8
8006:1	7936:22	8069:4	7935:8	percentages
8011:10	8123:4	8074:21	7936:14	8169:22
8021:7,21	payment	8085:24	7939:9,10	percolate
8022:25	8065:8,9	8091:2	7941:15,17	7993:18
8027:17	payments	8096:16	7972:4,5,1	percolated
8028:11,17	8056:18,20	8097:14	6,18	7993:9
8033:5	8097:15	8105:10,24	7981:14	perfect
8045:20	PDF 8164:24	8125:5,19	7982:4,9,1	8107:24
8055:10	PDP 8132:5	8126:13	0,13,15	8123:16
8070:18	PDP's	8127:14	7983:1,5,7	perfectly
8092:1	8132:14	8128:2,6,7	,9,12,19,2	8032:14
8103:8	peak 7996:2	8146:5	0 7984:1,3	8101:25
8123:19	8024:14	8147:3,13	7985:22	8166:20
8126:1	8089:10	8154:22	7986:3,11,	8169:15
8148:24,25	8116:13	8174:16,22	15,17	perform
path 7943:10	peaks	8186:23	7988:21	7949:14
8156:15	8173:17	8187:1	7989:23,25	7999:25
patience	peaky 8169:2	8188:11	7991:14,18	8000:2
8091:2	pegged	8192:4	,21	8059:19
patterns	7984:3	8196:22	7996:2,5	8088:16
8022:20,21	penalties	peoples	7997:5	performance
8098:23	8149:7	7930:22	8002:10	7926:7
8125:7	pending	per 7927:9	8006:4	7936:10
Patti 7919:5	7984:3	7928:3,16,	8011:4,9	7944:18,20
pause	penetrate	17 7929:5	8013:10,18	8014:17,21
7933:10	8126:23	7930:16	8014:22	8017:10,13
7958:20		7932:20	8018:3	,16
7962:11		7982:9	8021:6	8023:13,17
7984:14		7983:1,5,7	8028:20	8027:2
8044:23		,9,19	8029:4	8147:24
		7984:3	8031:8	8149:8
		7985:1,22	8038:15	
			8041:9	

8189:13,18	persons	7925:9	8090:10	8142:4,10,
performing	8123:2	7947:10	8091:20,25	20,23
7937:1	8196:15	7950:20	8092:19	8144:7
8088:17	perspective	7951:5,14,	8095:16	8145:15
8189:22	7925:22	22	8097:22	8146:14,17
performs	7927:1	7952:4,10,	8098:18,21	8149:19
8023:23	7980:15	14,22	,24	8150:5
perhaps	7991:10,24	7953:4,8,1	8100:11,23	8152:2,13
7926:19	8007:19	3	8107:4,24	8153:4,17,
7931:6	8070:5	7954:1,6,1	8108:25	20,23
7969:5	8073:15,25	3,17	8109:11,19	8154:8,15
8168:11,12	8082:19,23	7955:7,13	8110:4,11,	8155:25
8170:23	8084:8	7956:4,7,2	15,21	8156:24
8194:10	8085:14	4 7957:22	8111:5,11,	8157:9,11
8197:15	8092:14	7958:11,15	17 8112:25	8159:4,11,
period	8101:13	7959:2,14,	8113:4,16	19 8160:18
7934:14	8115:4,22	20,25	8114:6,9	8161:16
7972:12	8136:8,20	7960:7,25	8115:16	8163:2,5,9
7991:17	8144:10,12	7963:9	8116:15	,13,16
8006:10	8154:7	7966:10	8118:6,22	8164:1,3,7
8071:15	8155:24	7967:4	8119:8,13,	,11,15
8092:5	8161:19,20	7971:24	21	8165:11,15
8102:24	8162:6,14,	7975:20	8120:6,12,	,20,23
8114:14	16 8183:17	7976:7	19	8166:4,8,1
8141:5	8191:24	7979:23	8121:6,11	5,20
8164:18	8193:11,12	7986:18,22	8122:7	8167:3,18
8180:18	perspectives	7987:8	8123:7	8168:1,5
8188:21,24	8043:11	7990:22	8124:7,13,	8170:3,7,1
permanent	8140:22	7992:15,23	17 8125:14	2
7927:15	persuaded	7995:12	8126:3,8	8171:10,16
7929:10,13	8094:9	7997:7,13	8127:5,17,	8172:2
,17,20	pertaining	7998:19	22,25	8173:13,18
7943:7	8055:19	8000:19	8128:17,21	,25
permeant	pertinent	8005:7,10	,23	8174:4,12,
7930:3	8147:11	8007:21	8129:22	19,23
person	perversely	8008:1,5	8130:10	8175:6,15
7927:9	8092:12	8009:3,20	8131:5,8,1	8177:7,10
7928:1,4,5	Peter	8010:2	3,18	8178:7,10,
,10,17	7919:16	8015:12	8132:9,22	21
7929:6	Peters	8016:10,21	8133:13,17	8179:4,11
7990:4	7919:2	8026:9,16,	,20,22	8180:7,10,
8184:8,9,1	8066:5	19 8034:1	8134:2,9,1	22
2	phase	8035:21	4	8181:4,8
personal	8089:17	8039:3,6,1	8135:1,8,1	8182:15,24
8067:13	phased	4	2,21	8183:6,9,2
8073:15	8169:7	8068:11,16	8136:6,14,	2 8187:14
8097:13	Philippe	8071:7,10	18	8189:9
personality	7921:9,18	8075:11,18	8137:6,10,	8191:3,13,
8096:5	7923:3	8076:1	15,19,23	18 8193:21
		8085:17	8138:3,12,	8194:23
		8086:15	17,21,25	8195:5,9,1
		8087:7	8139:17,21	6,20,23
		8088:2	,25	8196:2,11,
		8089:2	8140:11,24	18,24

8197:7	8130:17	7997:10,12	7991:7,9,1	8127:19
phone	pilot	,15,23	0,24	8143:12
8022:23	8015:18,19	8000:1,3,2	7993:19	8150:13,15
8125:24	8168:12	4 8002:20	7994:5,11	,22 8151:3
8126:6,15,	pipeline	8024:23	7998:11	8167:2
16,19	7948:16,19	8035:23,25	8002:23	plant
phonetic	,20	8064:5,11	8031:12	7929:19
8059:17	8182:18,21	8065:16	8036:12,25	7973:1,20,
photograph	pissed	8073:21,22	8037:14,19	22
8067:2,5	8051:23	8079:14	8038:20	7974:6,8,1
photographs	pitched	8082:25	8040:4,9,1	1 8039:24
8062:3,4	7945:16	8089:23	7	8082:19
8067:6	places	8103:18	8041:7,11,	8149:9,10
photos	8026:21	8124:16	12	plants
8024:17	8039:22	8127:2,4	8056:2,5	7929:2,16
photovoltaic	8040:25	8132:6	8068:22,25	7934:19
7938:14	8100:25	8138:15,20	8070:8,22	7938:16
8090:6	8102:4	8141:25	8072:4	7940:20,24
8152:10	8122:18	8142:17	8073:18,20	7972:11
photovoltaic	8125:3	8150:10	,24,25	7974:4
s 8004:2	plait 7961:7	8153:16,18	8076:14	8028:24,25
8026:10	8106:15	8154:7,14	8078:4	8038:23
pick 7965:15	plan 7918:10	8157:14	8084:11	8082:20
8091:10	7925:23	8166:7,10,	8114:13,15	8083:23
picture	7927:7,10,	11,13	,17	8084:16
7969:3	16 7930:17	8169:16	8119:25	8099:16
8009:5	7933:14,16	8170:25	8120:3,8	8101:6,10,
8044:15	,24	8171:6	8121:9	14,15
8188:6	7934:4,5,1	8173:23	8124:12	8104:1,13
8189:4	3,14,15,24	8177:17	8144:13	play
8190:12	7935:6	8178:1,19	8154:16	7980:14,18
8193:6	7941:6,7	8194:20,21	8155:6	8017:12
pie 7995:19	7942:5,7,1	8195:2,7,8	8161:1	8084:10
piece	3 7943:4	planned	8164:18	8118:4,7
7950:11	7948:11,18	7923:11	8170:13,15	playing
7971:17	,19,20,22,	8041:4	8180:17	7962:22
8005:3	24,25	8141:23	8191:2	playoffs
pieces	7949:4,15	8150:14,25	plans	7962:21
7965:15	7950:5	8179:19	7923:9,13	plays
7994:16	7955:9	planners	7933:13	8094:6,7
8139:2,3	7959:9	8040:16	7945:11	please
8140:25	7980:4,5	8076:7	7954:12,20	7925:5
pike	7981:12	planner's	7955:3,5	7947:7
7970:10,11	7982:6,25	7970:8	7960:14	7961:13,23
piled	7985:11	planning	7969:1	7962:4
8048:22	7988:12	7940:4	7986:24	7967:3
pillar	7989:11	7951:19	8003:1,3	7981:8
	7990:14	7958:24	8035:8	8000:10
	7993:3,24	7959:3	8037:6	8041:21
	7995:8	7969:21	8038:13	8043:7,8,2
	7996:8	7970:12	8069:9	5 8054:1,9
		7980:15,19	8100:15	
			8124:4,6	

8068:8	8097:21	8120:14,15	post-2018	7943:19,20
8130:13	8098:7	,23 8122:6	7990:25	7953:16
8133:3,8	8109:9	8123:17,25	postgraduate	7954:21
8149:18	8124:3	8126:19	7959:21	7955:9
8158:13	8126:20	populations	posting	7972:6,11,
8173:9	8127:13	8125:3,17,	8016:22	18 7973:16
8177:19	8139:10	19 8126:15	potential	7974:13,16
8193:20	8140:9,10	8127:7	7927:19	7976:9,13
8197:12	8153:8,9	Portage	7932:24	7981:12
pleasure	8158:25	7918:22	7933:15	7986:14
8100:11	8159:13	portfolio	7944:6	7989:10
8139:25	8160:6	7966:1	7956:23	8003:1
plethora	8164:9,17,	8139:1,5	7957:1	8019:24
8089:11	22 8166:12	8160:5	7960:15	8026:21
plot 7990:9	8168:9,10	portion	7964:4	8027:11,13
plus 8025:17	8170:1	8045:7	7984:3,6	8028:15,16
8093:13	8175:24	8120:15	8024:22	,21,24
plus-plus	8176:17	portray	8030:9,12	8030:2
7987:18	8198:20	7992:9	8033:22,25	8031:20,24
point	points	position	8037:22	,25
7928:19	8017:22	7925:4	8057:19	8032:19,20
7932:20	8106:20	7946:23	8064:12	,25
7964:6,14	poisoning	7947:5	8069:21	8033:12,16
7967:6	8048:1	7948:9	8070:2,11,	,19,20
7968:15	pole 8123:18	7949:1	13,20	8036:22,25
7972:21	policy	8044:8	8071:6,18,	8038:23
7973:5	7926:15,24	8055:8	19,20	8039:24
7985:11	7994:15,23	8057:9	8076:3,9	8040:16
7986:14,20	,25	8064:15	8103:16	8057:5
7987:4,6,7	8005:20	8068:4	8117:5,7,1	8058:4,14,
,23,24	8006:13	8105:11	0 8138:19	19,24
7988:1,2	8056:15	8154:11	8140:7	8071:16
7992:3	8080:17,25	8180:3	8171:18,19	8073:17,20
7995:16	8081:5	8183:20	,21 8181:1	8074:2
7998:8	8082:9	positive	potentially	8079:13,14
8004:12	8088:4	7936:17	8083:4,8	8083:9
8006:9	8170:17	possibilitie	potentials	8091:19
8009:14	8180:15	s 8112:23	8117:5	8098:17
8014:22	8187:9,12	possible	pound 8147:5	8099:16
8019:1	political	8017:18	poverty	8100:18,22
8024:19	8090:17	8069:1	8060:18	8101:16
8030:20	Polo 7938:17	8106:25	8062:12	8102:16
8033:14	pool 8007:4	8174:18	8063:24	8103:5
8037:24	poor 7963:18	8179:12,17	power	8104:1
8044:3	poorly	possibly	7923:8,13	8107:9
8046:6,14	8095:24	7968:6	7933:14,16	8109:8
8051:5	8096:1	7970:2	,24 7934:4	8130:25
8052:13,14	population	post 7990:25	7940:20,23	8131:3
8078:19,20	7933:5		,24	8132:19
8087:24			7941:23	8133:15
8094:14,25				8135:19,24
				8136:5
				8137:5,7,1
				3 8143:24

8144:2	8077:6	premier's	7970:25	8020:20
8149:9,10	precise	8055:8	7974:2	8021:24
8150:10,21	8122:9	premise	7980:16,17	8051:23
8151:2	8160:23	8146:20,21	7981:8	8053:2
8166:7	precisely	premium	7982:2	8072:8
8169:15	8078:19	8074:13	7989:16	8077:25
8171:6	8192:18	prepa	8000:21	8078:5
8173:23	precision	8163:11	8043:15	8080:2
8177:17	8023:13	preparation	8047:10	8083:22
8178:1,19	predict	7952:6	8050:10	8093:1
8185:3	8182:11	8153:15	8054:3,6,1	8094:7
8188:21	predicting	8163:24	0,12	8101:1
8195:3	7940:13	prepared	8057:1	8103:17
powerful	prediction	7947:23	8065:25	8109:20
8021:16	8080:22,24	8048:14	8076:16	8113:19
powerlines	predictive	8064:24	8084:24	8114:16
8047:13	8023:18	8108:20	8130:19	8117:16
PowerPoint	prefer	8117:23	8173:9	8123:22
7924:21	7927:10	8162:24	presentation	8132:13
7963:4	7998:4	8163:24	s	8145:5
8079:4	8028:8	8167:8	7947:17,18	8146:23
8197:23	8115:17	8180:21	,22 8042:5	8148:9
8198:7	8159:17	8197:4	presented	8158:9
practical	preferable	8198:17	7997:2	8161:25
7943:21	8115:18	preparing	8114:2	8177:3
8028:10	preference	8127:1	8132:7	8186:1
8155:20	8087:14	8163:7	presenter	8189:4
practically	preferential	pre-rinse	7925:13	prevalence
8047:2	8008:10	8007:4	presenters	8017:6
practice	8083:1	present	7926:19	prevalent
7923:4	preferred	7925:20	8042:6	8004:12
7960:14	7918:10	7992:17	presenting	preventing
8052:3	7925:23	8019:10	7926:3	8092:16
8105:19,23	7927:6,10,	8101:25	7950:20	previous
8106:11	16 7930:17	8136:24	8042:18	7935:5
8148:17	7942:5	presentation	President	7940:9
practices	7943:4	7921:6,13,	7953:23	7977:25
7956:8,13,	8076:17	14,15	7961:9	7985:3
14 8027:4	8082:25	7923:3	8106:19	7988:11,12
practise	8132:6	7925:11,15	press 8023:2	7994:12
8056:23,24	8141:25	,18,21	8055:5	7998:14
practised	8156:13	7946:14,18	pretty	8025:24
8073:4	pre-filed	7950:24	7926:14	8037:23
prairieHOUSE	7924:9	7951:1,5	7955:15	8155:5
8016:2	8108:4	7961:10	7964:23	8169:16
pre 8054:12	premiere	7963:4,19	7966:3	previously
8107:19	8148:21	7968:3,4,1	7967:23	7921:18
precipitous		0	7976:16	7954:22
		7969:12,13	7994:22	7969:2,11
		,15	8010:19	8004:21
				8021:19
				8028:4

8068:11,19	8074:19	problem	processes	7957:23,24
8069:8	prior 7924:5	7945:24	8096:17	7960:4,14,
8076:21	7975:7,17	7969:21	produce	17 7965:25
8197:6	7986:23	7984:21	7974:9	7986:6
price 8019:1	8054:19	8000:22	8017:10	8007:13
8033:14	8154:17,18	8037:12	8098:15	8022:2,14
8145:10	priorities	8090:11	8130:24	8026:24
priced	7948:6	8129:15	8149:10,11	8027:1,8,9
8009:19	7999:23	8144:20	8195:25	8109:23,24
prices	priority	8193:9	produced	,25
7933:1,8	7948:11,12	problems	7987:13	8110:7,23,
8030:16,17	7977:5	7936:12,18	producer	24 8111:15
8033:12	7999:25	7972:22	8033:16	8119:6
8044:14	8000:2	8123:19	produces	8120:9,18,
8064:25	8142:13	8128:9	8089:5	20
8083:14,17	private	8129:14	producing	8121:1,5,2
8187:8	7926:12	Procedures	8010:15	2 8124:8
pricing	8008:4	7960:3	8015:3	8125:11
8057:5,10	8033:19	proceed	8021:5	8127:9,10
8065:5	8063:12	8062:18	8092:4	8128:25
8090:8	pro 7957:19	8109:6	product	8129:19,20
8092:18	7963:12	proceeding	7926:15	8145:22
8099:21	prob 7939:19	8062:21	7942:6	8146:2,3,7
primarily	probability	8108:18	8095:21	8149:22
7926:1	7939:20	proceedings	8147:6	8152:4
7955:22	probably	7925:4	production	8157:24
7965:1	7955:24	7947:6	7935:3	8167:16,24
7977:6	7959:3	8041:20	8143:21	8168:7
7981:24	7968:20	8042:17	productive	8169:1
7988:17	7970:4	8105:12	7977:10	8172:8
8026:1	7980:21	8114:4	products	8179:15
8036:14	7993:9	8151:12	7936:4,5	programmable
8082:13	8031:10	8199:2	7937:7	8022:11
8084:16	8041:16	process	7955:18	programmed
8096:24	8042:25	7929:12	8008:6,19	8014:9
8100:14	8051:16,20	7942:6	8029:12	programming
8137:10	8071:20	7951:20	8095:23,24	7924:20
8165:15	8073:16,23	7957:3	profile	7979:17
8173:19	8077:19	7958:7	8073:11	8022:9,18
8184:16	8092:24	7959:7	8074:4,25	8085:11,13
primary	8105:2	7983:8	profound	8196:15,23
7977:13	8118:24	7993:22	7937:19	8197:22
7980:12	8132:8	8009:12	7942:16	8198:5
7981:21	8134:20	8027:2	prog 8192:4	programs
principle	8162:1	8036:25	program	7930:25
8002:13	8181:17	8043:7	7926:16	7935:9
print	8183:15	8055:21	7955:11	7955:15,17
8106:25	8188:18	8057:23	7956:15	,19
8119:9	8189:23	8154:16		7957:9,20
printed	8195:9	8158:6		7958:1
		8170:13		7967:13,25
				7978:24

7987:23	8194:4	8046:20	protecting	8198:3
8002:21,25	projected	8049:6,20	8046:23	provider
8011:25	7923:6	8052:7	protest	7995:11
8017:12	7939:3	8062:8	8060:13,25	provides
8025:25	8137:22	promote	8061:3	7931:19
8086:16,17	8150:12,19	8077:10	proud 7965:3	8014:11,14
,22,23	8167:1,9	8152:20	prov 8064:9	8093:14
8087:2	8171:25	promotes	provide	8189:3
8088:7	8173:17	8011:25	7924:3,7,1	providing
8110:19,20	8174:3	promoting	4,17	7954:3
8116:9	8178:24,25	7926:6	7967:7,12,	8011:18
8117:21	projecting	8031:25	23	8013:14
8118:5,19,	8159:2	8127:19	7975:8,14	8021:2
21 8119:5	8168:19	pronounced	8014:1	8025:21
8120:4	8171:14	8042:20	8020:18	province
8121:1	8182:18	proper	8022:17,21	7926:9
8122:8	projection	7970:19	8023:17	7931:2,3
8123:1	7939:6	7980:19	8057:1	7942:12
8124:8,12	8080:24	properly	8058:17	7955:24
8127:14	8144:25	8045:1	8059:12	7965:9
8129:9	projections	8171:18	8064:9,19	7974:8,14
8138:19	7935:3	proportion	8085:2	7976:10,15
8148:3	7938:4	8122:16	8107:8,18	,17
8149:25	7984:16,17	proposal	8108:1	7978:6,9
8154:12,13	8132:12	8057:20	8120:2	7979:3
8167:21	8137:11	8073:1	8122:18	7981:2
8168:18	8171:15	propose	8124:3	8030:12
8171:4,5	8173:17	8150:15	8140:18	8072:3
8184:17	8180:2	proposed	8151:25	8081:11
8192:2,4,5	projects	8006:24	8152:11	8120:13,22
,12 8197:1	7957:24	8057:16	8183:21	8154:1
progress	7958:24	8171:5	8192:20	provinces
7936:9	7972:6	proposes	8193:18,22	7974:21
project	7973:14	8171:8	8194:1	8004:22
7924:16	7976:14,16	proposing	8197:4	8005:13
7929:16	,18 8033:8	8118:18	8198:1	8021:9
7957:13,14	8055:20	prorate	provided	8107:11
7973:1	8058:1	7929:18	7924:18	8162:2
7976:3,10	8060:11	prospects	7927:24	8185:12,13
8015:18,20	8061:8	8180:3,9	7950:25	,18,23
8053:16	8062:22	prosperity	7953:2	8186:5
8056:6,13	8063:8	8044:11	8000:16	8195:19
8057:25	8072:2	protect	8061:8,25	provincial
8061:22	8131:17	8054:22	8064:16	7976:17
8063:15	8167:24	protected	8114:3	7986:8
8064:1	8179:18	8107:7	8133:24	8047:15
8066:8	8185:5		8135:4	8061:10
8094:3,4	promise		8186:17	provisions
8130:23	7963:12		8189:3	8059:20
8131:11,14	promises		8192:21	prudence
,19 8152:9	8044:11		8197:6,21	
8192:18,22				
8193:23				

8116:2	pump 7936:10	7994:23,24	1	7944:5
prudent	8012:22	8066:23		7980:18
8069:1	8014:18	push	<u>Q</u>	7987:14
8082:11	8016:4,19	8046:19,24	Qual	8006:17
8084:12	8047:10	8053:7,15	7921:9,18	8007:15
8087:9	pumping	8141:10	7951:14	8022:19
8180:6	8023:24	8176:10	8068:11	8034:13
PUB 8087:6,8	pumps 7936:8	8183:5	qualificatio	8035:22
8150:15	8003:23	pushes	n 7921:10	8047:24
8151:22	8012:9,12,	7982:24	7951:16	8052:12
8166:3	13,16,24	pushing	7961:15,21	8062:20
8167:7,8	8013:1,17,	8051:25	qualificatio	8064:18
PUB/CAC/	23 8014:2	8053:8,11	ns 7950:22	8075:5,8
GAC-1	8016:8,12,	8144:16	7951:10	8087:5
7952:2	14,16,18	puts 7994:6	7952:17	8088:23
PUB-67	8017:7,9,1	8094:10	7961:10,25	8090:14
7923:6	8018:1,15,	8188:9	7964:19	8097:3
8150:18	24,25	8190:7	7966:16	8098:25
PUB-68	8019:9	putting	qualified	8099:4
7923:10	purchase	7940:22,24	7952:19	8101:19,20
8150:24	8008:25	7944:21	7959:11	8103:1
public	8009:22	7946:13	7960:11	8108:13
7918:3,21	pure 8186:21	8012:21	qualify	8111:21
7924:5,19	purely	8034:5	7961:11	8131:2
7933:17	8179:21	8115:1	quality	8136:16,18
7952:17	purpose	8121:18	7930:20	,24
7958:4	7966:9	8128:19	8010:16	8142:12
7975:7,17	8054:10	8139:12,13	8013:25	8143:2
8044:4	8153:3,5	8179:23	quantity	8145:22
8057:7	purposes	7971:17	7930:20	8146:8
8063:20	8068:22	puzzle	quarter	8147:10
8065:24	8076:14	PV	8057:22	8155:8
8066:1	8084:11	7938:10,13	Quebec	8156:20
8069:14	8114:17	,14,17,18,	7965:9	8159:23
8093:16,18	8161:1	24	7974:14,17	8166:23
8185:15	pursuant	7939:2,9,1	,18	8176:15
8195:22	8058:10	3,20	7976:10,11	8180:23,24
8196:20	pursue	7940:1,3	7977:1	8187:20
8197:2,6,2	8080:17	7942:24	8081:11	8195:12
2 8198:4	8180:15	8026:25	8083:3	questioning
publicly	pursuing	8027:15,20	8143:20	8048:12
7974:24	8018:15	8028:19	8144:22	questions
published	8080:17	8030:17	8185:1,14	7946:10
7959:24	8081:5	8031:2	Queen's	7963:6
8092:3	8088:4	8083:16	8061:3	7966:17
8192:21	8093:7,11	8090:11	question	7998:8
pull 8085:1	8180:16	8102:9	7935:10	8048:4
pulled	pursuit	8106:23	7939:13,16	8050:2
8052:10		8131:3	7941:4	8085:6,7
		8152:16,21		8090:2
		8153:1,5,1		8091:4
				8119:12,23
				8130:8,19

8132:25	8100:15	7998:3	8131:4	7944:3
8133:5,14	8104:9		8160:3	8016:6
8140:2,5	8156:18	ran 8186:16	8162:10	8042:16
8151:18	8165:25	range	rates	8101:25
8152:16	quote 8034:6	7973:11,17	8062:24	8151:11
8183:11,13	8064:5	,18 7974:4	8063:1,10,	reaffirmed
,14,15	quoted	7977:2	13,18	7932:17
8190:19	8044:18	7983:13,21	8089:18,19	real 7973:14
8194:14	8045:7	7985:22	8102:19	7981:7
quick	8048:5	8013:21	8116:10	7998:20
7936:22	8186:17	8018:16	8118:14	7999:8
7963:16		8073:24	8119:5,10	8008:13
7970:22		8081:23	8165:8,13	8028:10
7977:22	<hr/> R <hr/>	8092:8	8166:2	8033:1,22
7998:13	R&D 7934:25	8103:21	8178:17	8034:12,13
8033:2	radiation	8159:6	rather	8035:10
8034:6	8030:8,12,	8184:13,20	7941:5	8051:18
8197:11	14	8186:17,19	7964:8	8082:6
quickly	radically	ranges	7980:7	8083:24
7935:19	7934:16	8014:24,25	7984:7	8084:1
7964:17,21	rainfall	rapid	7986:2	8085:18
7968:12,14	8136:25	7990:25	8003:17	8093:10
,24 7971:3	8137:11	7991:13	8073:10	8113:9
7994:12	raised	7997:22	8076:8	8144:17,19
7998:22,23	8004:16	8017:4	8077:5	8146:12,25
8003:21	8072:24	8027:23	8087:11	8181:20
8004:4	raises	8028:15	8116:1	8182:5
8006:15,19	7935:10	8031:16	8143:5	realistic
8010:9	7941:4	rapidly	ratings	8115:21
8031:15	Ramage	8029:1	7978:1	reality
8035:18	7919:5	Rapids	rationality	8138:11
8048:4	ramp 7985:22	8057:19	8008:24	realization
8071:12	7990:24	rate 7981:14	RCMP 8065:15	8093:7
8072:8,14	7998:22	7982:10	Re 7918:7	realize
8081:4	8023:11	7984:23	reach 8128:7	7938:20
8083:15	8027:2	8051:17	8129:18	8037:11
8101:13	8031:16	8072:17	reached	realized
8102:20	8148:15	8077:11	8051:4	7991:1,3
8114:19	8173:11	8101:10	reaching	8063:9
8122:15	ramped	8109:9	8085:23	8137:22
8169:19	8072:14	8110:13,14	8174:6	8154:22
8177:1	8169:19	8134:22	8196:9	really
quite 7929:6	ramping	8157:5	reading	7927:18
7934:9	8023:22	8165:14	8075:9,17	7930:5
7946:20	ramp-up	ratepayer	8106:1	7931:17
7983:8	7985:21	8047:14	8187:2	7938:24
7989:21	7991:14	ratepayers	ready 7940:3	7943:7
7990:16	7992:18	8057:2	7942:25	7963:16
7998:18	7997:22	8059:6,11	7943:22	7964:6
8030:10		8063:7,22		7969:25
8070:16		8065:3		
8076:9				

7972:24	reasonably	8015:19	recollection	n 7922:3
7978:16	7983:16	8017:20,22	7957:18	8190:23
7979:7	7985:20	8033:9	recommences	red 7943:25
7984:18	8002:22	8035:2	8041:20	7984:20
7988:4,5	8117:15	8072:2	recommend	7985:13
7990:16	8186:13	8075:24	8027:1	7997:10
8001:14	reasoning	8191:5,6	8088:1	8071:1
8005:3	8132:14	8193:22	8106:1	8076:23
8010:3	reasons	8194:2,21	8115:25	8077:16,17
8012:4,25	7965:5	8196:20	8160:19	8079:18
8017:12	7977:14	recently	recommendati	redirect
8019:22	8004:18	7929:1	on 8087:7	8183:14
8025:25	8016:24	7957:2	8112:18	re-direct
8030:3	8092:22	7958:5	recommendati	7922:4
8031:20	8114:11	7974:20	ons 8154:3	8190:19
8035:7,10	rebuttal	7994:16	8174:7	8194:16
8074:21	7986:24	8004:19	recommended	reduce
8080:23	7988:9	8015:19	7996:8	7935:23
8081:8	8002:13,17	8033:4	8152:25	7936:13
8083:12	8018:15	8036:6,9	recommending	7953:15
8087:8	8164:6,23	8097:7	7996:10	7977:17
8090:14	recall	8117:4	8087:6	7996:9
8095:23	7963:13,25	8191:5	8118:20	8031:23
8101:8	8075:14,17	8192:20,21	recommission	8123:6
8111:18,25	8076:23	8194:25	record	reduced
8113:22	8077:2	recess	7944:18	8110:24
8125:14	8142:9	8041:18	recessing	8139:14
8126:4	8184:1	recessing	7947:1	reducing
8129:23	8194:17,22	7999:18	7940:13	7937:20
8130:5	8196:7,13,	8042:12	7950:8	7977:6,9
8131:10,22	17	8067:25	7964:14	8089:10
8149:13	receive	8105:7	7986:13	reduction
8157:20	8021:7	reck 8049:5	8042:3	8011:3
8158:7,10	8055:13	recognition	8047:16	8074:8
8169:6	8056:12	7993:15	8104:25	8083:19,21
realtime	8060:10	8002:14	8105:14,15	8090:7
8025:4,9	8066:2	8070:12	,21	8112:8
8148:9	received	8192:22	8113:14	8155:23
reason	7950:5	recognize	8114:2	reductions
7977:14	7977:25	7943:3	8193:20	7935:9
8012:12	8046:5,13	8040:20	8197:15	8027:23
8096:24	8055:1	recognized	recording	8089:5
8109:12	8154:4	8040:11	8043:2	8102:9,10
8188:10	receiving	8093:2	records	re-evaluated
reasonable	8134:23	recognizes	8045:4,11	8170:25
7980:22	8136:3	8002:19	8048:6	reference
7984:19	recent	recognizing	recovery	7966:8
7985:18	7924:14	7997:9	7936:21	8000:17
8023:12	8003:19		Re-cross-	8140:14
8064:10			examinatio	
8087:16				
8115:9				

8142:3	8152:22	reimburse	release	8096:22
referred	regional	8057:15	8044:17	remarkable
7953:7	8036:23	reimbursed	8195:14	8028:22
8107:1	regionally	8055:14	released	remarkably
referring	8037:16	8057:22	7932:18	7942:22
7959:4	regions	reimbursemen	7974:23	remember
7967:9	7965:16	t	7975:1	7971:1
8130:6	7983:4,11,	8056:14,15	7980:6	8010:14
8141:23	14 7998:21	,21	relevant	8022:15
8195:8	8036:5,8	reinforcing	7931:7	8033:4
refers	8039:25	7972:21	7938:10	8073:19
7953:7	8072:22	relate	7945:15	8094:20
7994:19	8092:25	8117:25	7968:21	8131:20
8182:17	8117:8	8119:23	8064:16	8148:19
refine	8141:14,16	related	reli 8063:17	8159:20
8197:1	8143:5	7933:3	reliability	remembers
reflected	8162:1	7954:24	7940:9	8000:17
8063:5	8169:19	7960:12	8038:7	REMI 8185:25
refused	8190:14	7964:23	8039:11	remind
8057:1	8193:1,3	7965:24	8069:5	8042:3
8058:17	Regis	8136:2	8083:8	8194:10
refusing	7918:13	8149:25	reliable	reminded
8059:11	registered	8152:25	8036:19	7995:21
8064:19	8060:7	8178:19	8188:21	renegotiatin
reg 8062:17	regroup	8181:21	relied	g 8050:24
regained	7947:21	8187:11	8136:13	8052:21
8066:19	8042:4	8189:11	8174:8	renegotiatio
regard	8198:21	relates	relying	n 8051:13
7941:21	regularly	8108:14	8063:18	renewable
regarding	8075:10	8112:13	8064:8	7954:4
7954:4	regulations	relating	8179:8	7964:25
7961:6	8104:12	7924:10	remain	8046:25
8045:6	regulator	8107:20	7931:3	8047:24
8133:14	8143:4,6	8108:5	7980:11	renewables
regards	regulators	8151:20	7994:25	7926:2
8050:17	7958:25	8152:10	8074:1	7937:23
8051:20	8090:8,18	relation	8110:8	7938:8,9
8113:24	8093:15	8141:24	8160:12	7953:18
region	8187:1	relationship	remainder	7984:10
7941:22	regulatory	s 7979:6	8185:22	renews
7965:9	7959:13	relative	remaining	8076:9
8020:24	8001:12	8078:18	7969:20	repeat
8036:20	8003:18	relatively	7972:18	7942:18
8038:19	8062:14,17	7939:18	remains	7965:2
8073:16	8071:19	8179:8	7931:2	7991:22
8074:2	8092:17	8186:10	7980:12	repeating
8118:9	8093:11,12	relaxed	8062:20	7968:9,16
8140:12	8136:3	8043:7	8080:25	

repercussion s 8065:16	8198:3	8135:25 8143:7	8094:23 8095:19 8102:16,18 8104:8 8116:14 8133:16 8134:1,7 8135:19 8136:25 8144:13 8153:16,18 8154:7,14,16 8157:3,4 8158:21 8160:16,21 8161:1,11 8170:14 8175:17 8176:4,6,14 8190:25 8191:2,10,19 8192:8 8194:20	respond 7948:6
replace 7935:14 8008:20 8011:16 8024:15 8038:3,23 8101:15 8175:3	reporting 8087:25 8088:11,12 8147:18,23 8149:6 8189:24,25 8190:8	requirements 8083:19,21 8088:11 8124:15 8147:23,24 8149:6		responded 8152:3
replaced 7938:1	reports 7931:13 8117:23	research 7926:16 7936:4 7938:6 7939:7 7945:18 7946:8 8020:21 8154:4		response 7953:19 7959:6 8089:1,14,19,21,23 8116:9 8117:5,7,9,19 8118:15 8132:14 8195:11
replacement 8135:6 8146:3	represent 7994:4 7999:7 8062:4 8144:4	resemble 8015:15		responses 7951:24 7952:7
replacements 8008:19	represented 8055:18,21 8056:1	resentment 8054:21		responsible 7951:18,24 7967:13 8038:7 8039:11,13 8056:20 8069:4
replacing 8026:2	represents 7942:14 8070:11 8071:2	reserve 8047:7	resources 7974:25 8006:17 8040:3 8061:14 8154:24 8157:19 8175:19,21 8176:10 8184:18	rest 8199:9
replenishes 8037:22 8069:22	request 8105:18	reserved 7925:9 7947:9 8198:12,15,19		restate 8180:24 8197:16
report 7924:15,18 7934:23 7935:6 7940:21 7950:23 7951:18 7952:6 7960:2 7975:7,9 8034:6 8053:10 8095:1 8106:24 8116:7,8 8140:10,15,16 8142:3,6 8147:19 8148:20 8151:19 8162:25 8163:8,16 8192:20 8193:18,19,22 8194:2 8196:1 8197:5,14,17,19,21	requested 8105:20	residential 7955:17 7965:22 7972:13 8020:8 8027:12,25 8103:9		restricted 7946:11
	requesting 8060:14	resounding 7935:12	respect 7932:13 7948:10,24 7949:4 7950:3,21 7952:7,19 7955:5 7957:20 7985:1 8112:16 8117:1 8135:18 8137:16 8143:20 8180:18 8183:18	result 8030:24 8037:14 8038:17 8040:9,18 8041:4 8070:21 8072:2 8073:14 8074:6 8076:12 8141:10 8156:12 8192:13
	Requests 7951:25 7952:8	resource 7941:13,22 7951:19 7973:3,7,25 7974:1 7980:19 8001:5,6 8028:21 8029:2 8076:11 8090:22 8091:22 8092:9		resulting 8079:18
	require 7943:19 7949:17 8012:17,20,16 8121:2 8189:13		respective 8129:24	results 7967:21
	required 7983:5 8121:9 8133:25 8182:19			
	requirement 8109:4 8110:1			

7984:19	retrofitting	8080:12	8189:14	8027:25
8015:15,16	7930:14	rice 7936:6	8190:3	room 8007:2
8077:23	7931:7	Richard	risks	8011:17
8087:12	7944:7	7918:16	7942:15	8018:22
8111:15	return	8090:4	8073:2	8091:2
8115:14	8058:23	rift 8164:20	River	8169:11
8147:19	8060:2,6	right-hand	7943:25	rooted
8163:19	revenue	7992:21	road 8083:7	8070:4
8186:7,12,	8109:4	8015:8	8190:5	8084:7
18	8110:1,16	rights	Robert	rosy 8189:4
resume	8136:22	8054:23	8046:4	8190:12
7925:4	revenues	RIM 8157:5	8048:10	roughly
8105:11	8062:23	ripe 7997:25	8056:5,19	7983:7
8151:12	8063:6,22	rise	Robson	7984:3
resuming	reversion	8063:2,11	8016:2	7989:23,24
7947:2	7998:13	rises	Roddick	8006:7
7999:19	revert	8116:18	8046:5	8015:2
8042:13	7994:12	rising	Roderick	8037:23
8068:1	reverting	7989:21	8048:10	8072:8,15
8105:8	8193:10	risk 7941:7	8055:3	8092:1
retained	review	7964:12	8056:5,19,	8117:19
7958:5	7918:9	7973:25	22	8162:1
7978:3	7933:17	8015:25	Rodney	route 8049:2
8152:4	7955:8	8035:8,9,1	7932:13	routes
8154:5	7960:15	0 8065:14	Rogers	8111:25
retainer	7970:19	8072:23	8174:10	row 8088:22
8151:24	8054:9	8073:7,10,	8188:15	rule 7983:22
8152:12	8064:23	11,23	Rogers's	8122:1,10
retention	8152:3	8074:4,8,1	8004:10	rules
8130:4	8166:7,18,	3,14,22,23	role 7951:18	7983:23,25
retire	22 8167:13	,25	7967:10	8053:15
8101:14	8177:18	8076:11	7980:18	run 7935:19
retired	8184:3	8081:1,7	8094:7	7957:7
8101:15	reviewed	8082:7	8118:4,7	7995:17,18
retirement	8108:22	8083:12	rolling	7996:11
8083:23	8162:25	8084:1	8077:12	8041:7,11,
8101:10	8164:6	8090:15	roof 8034:24	12 8115:23
RETIRES	8180:1	8101:12	8099:24	running
8042:10	reviewing	8103:14	8103:5	7935:11
retiring	7957:14,15	8136:9,19,	roofs 7939:3	runs 7992:11
8101:6	reviews	21,22,24,2	8027:11	8069:6
retrofit	7956:2	5 8137:4	8188:10	8188:9
7944:14,15	revised	8139:4,6,8	rooftop	
7955:17,19	7927:22	,9,12,14,1	8100:1	<hr/> S <hr/>
8122:8	RFP 8033:11	8 8146:24	8103:9	sacrifices
8192:5	8152:3	8155:17	rooftops	8062:7
retrofits	Rhode	8175:12		8066:18
8121:16	8040:13	8177:5		
		8179:6,7		

safe 8117:16	8081:6	savvy	7987:21	8178:4
sake 7988:6	8095:14	8048:25	7988:15	8182:8
8116:3	8098:17	saw 7978:4	7989:12,14	screening
sale	savings	7980:4	,17,24	7924:16
8136:5,8	7923:7	7989:15	7991:9	7957:11,13
sales 8147:6	7945:3	8028:4	7992:18,20	8192:17
8148:15	7960:3	8047:10	7997:2	8193:23
salvageable	7978:14	8076:21	8030:18	8194:4
8175:5	7980:8	8081:18	8076:22,25	screens
sand 8114:16	7981:14	8142:9	8077:24	8079:24
sands	7982:4,10,	scale	8078:1,2	scroll
7931:23	15,23	8027:24,25	8079:10	8167:21
Saskatchewan	7983:1,5	8028:1	8080:19	8178:23
7924:13	7984:6,22	8032:19,20	8087:15,16	se 7985:2
8026:22,24	7986:6,7	,23	8089:7	8017:2
8030:11	7987:19	8085:25	8113:8	8157:20
8100:19	7990:13,16	8098:8,14	8114:2	second
8102:15	7998:1	8099:13,15	8152:24	7969:19
8104:4,5	8000:23	,20	8161:2,7	7970:2
8107:23	8001:1	8131:6,9,1	8186:16	7971:17
8108:9	8002:8	5,17	schedule	7981:15
Saskatoon	8006:2,13	8134:15	7925:8	7985:25
8026:14	8009:9	8148:7	7946:12	7987:9
8027:8	8011:12	scaling	7947:20	7991:2
8152:9,14	8014:10,15	8025:19	8083:23	8000:20
SaskPower	8020:7	scan 8197:11	8194:10	8015:7
8102:13	8021:5,18	scant	scheduled	8032:6
satellite	8024:20,24	8189:25	7947:17	8034:25
8025:11	8025:22,24	scattered	scheduling	8036:23
sati 8197:23	8026:1,5	8120:16	8198:10	8075:4
satisfaction	8031:22	scenario	science	8099:21
7977:16	8032:5	7986:15	8020:21	8129:10
satisfactory	8038:2,15,	7987:13	scope 7984:6	8150:13
7963:8	16	7992:10,13	7985:6	8173:20
8197:24	8070:3,6	8070:10,19	8171:20	8188:24
Saturday	8071:3	8071:2	scoping	8199:6
8194:11	8076:22	8078:20	8171:20	secondary
8198:19,22	8077:7,11	8079:23	Scotia	8125:16,18
,25	8078:13,18	8080:3	7995:21,22	secrecy
Saunders	8089:10,13	8102:11	8071:14,16	8066:15
7920:3	8092:4	8113:1,6,7	8072:12	secret
sav 8048:25	8101:3	,12 8114:1	8100:16	8053:21
save 8192:6	8115:22	8115:6,13	8122:12	8057:6
saving	8137:17,21	8173:11	screen	section
7932:24	8149:23,25	scenarios	8024:18	7934:23
7935:18	8150:12,19	7968:12	8079:5	7937:22
	8167:9	7969:10,14	8106:21	7969:15,19
	8169:16,20	7970:21	8158:14	7970:2,7
	8171:14,25	7985:17,18	8167:7	7994:3
	8172:1	7986:2		8000:20
	8189:23			

8034:25	7988:18	8156:7	setting	8016:25
8076:7,16	8002:2		7994:5	8019:5
sections	8114:24	sensitivity	8023:18	8028:20
7968:11	8158:19	8070:9	8169:4	8053:23
sector	8184:20,21	sent 8022:25	settlement	8102:1
7937:21	8186:20	separate	8055:4	8130:2
7955:16	8190:13	8135:15	setup	8145:12
7972:13	sees 8091:9	separation	8035:19	8185:4,16
8020:8	segments	8129:16	seven 7972:2	8186:8,12
8117:24	8123:17,21	series	8033:18	8189:7
sectors	8175:24	7962:23	8046:11	sharing
7965:20,22	self 7943:13	8156:13	8097:22	8067:14
8011:12	self-	serious	8109:9,17	sharp 8168:3
8118:4	government	7996:19	8111:1,9	shed 8057:3
secure	8053:7	7998:1	8144:1	Shefman
8004:8	sell	8065:16	8160:7	7920:4
8083:5	8095:22,24	8074:21	seven-and-a-	7921:23
secured	8096:1	seriously	half	7961:20
8001:1	8100:18	7983:15	7973:18	8130:13,15
seeing	8123:22	serve	seven-o-one	,16
7936:9	8147:4,7,1	7978:19,21	8047:17	8131:7,12,
7975:22	7	,22	seventy	16,24
7982:19	selling	8012:15	8045:16	8132:10,24
7987:4	8008:10	8082:14	seventy-five	8133:2
7993:3,10,	8059:7	service	7929:17	shelf
18 8001:22	8081:16,23	7944:23	8063:14	8008:11
8002:3	8100:22	7966:5	several	shelves
8031:16	8143:24	7978:17	7973:14,15	8017:15
8076:9	semantics	8034:22	7978:1,2	She's
8102:5	8016:13	8116:21	7980:3,6	8105:20
8110:6	send 8048:11	8119:24	7982:18	shine
8187:4,5,6	seniors	8121:19	7984:4	8133:18
seek 7980:17	8122:17	8126:16	7995:13,22	shining
8001:8	sense	8136:12,15	8005:21	8076:8
seeks	7966:13	services	8006:1	ships 7993:1
8006:19	7975:25	7931:19	8010:12	shooting
seem 8095:4	7982:6	7932:1,9,1	8017:4,14	7964:12
8132:12	8001:16	6 7944:16	8020:15	short 7935:4
8146:15	8009:16	7967:11	8021:7	7967:5
8197:11	8040:6	7971:12	8141:14	7996:11
seems 7976:4	8081:18	7972:5,17	8157:2	8052:14
8109:13	8107:8	7973:4	8161:2	8069:6
8144:2	8109:13	7976:15	sewer	8094:13
seen 7935:17	8121:8	7979:9	8061:22	8112:11
7937:25	8139:14	8011:19	sexy 8094:2	8114:14
7938:15	8188:3	8020:21	shape	8164:18
7946:1	sensitive	8120:1	7926:25	8175:14
7971:1	8057:8	session	share	8177:6
7976:24		8198:12,13		
		,14,15,20		

8188:1	8019:13	8187:6,23	8141:15	8195:8,22
shortest	sideswiped	Signusset	8166:22	8196:20
8076:16	8034:23	8059:17	8179:14	sit 7947:24
shortly	sidewalk	s'il 7961:7	Simpson	8053:18
7975:1	8091:7,9	8106:15	8198:16,23	8198:17,24
7978:3	8108:16	silence	simultaneous	site 7973:17
8043:1	8128:4	8048:4	ly 8172:3	8178:19
8152:7	sign 8045:12	similar	Singh 8066:5	sitting
short-run	8051:8	7941:3	single	7925:12
7980:8,14	8188:22	7988:24	7935:25	8052:13,20
short-term	signed	8015:22	7941:22	situation
7993:3,8,2	8048:20	8020:23,25	7973:7	8039:21
3 8069:8	8050:21	8025:2	8018:22	8040:14
8144:10	8052:25	8040:14	8102:15	8049:23
8145:10	8152:6	8068:23	8175:17	8068:23
8146:11	significant	8069:3,23	8179:24	8081:2,10,
8179:7,8	7958:9	8071:13	single-most	12 8092:23
should've	7972:8	8077:23	7931:25	8097:16,17
8092:14	7980:7	8131:4,6	singularly	8099:7
showed	7988:11	similarly	8113:19	8143:19
7940:22	7992:12	8068:24	sir	8144:4,6,2
7981:13	8006:11	8069:19		2 8160:24
8095:1	8025:22,23	8070:22	7951:21,23	situations
8114:5	8034:17	8103:17	7952:3,13	7996:24
showerhead	8035:8	Simonsen	7953:1,7,2	8067:13
8109:22	8037:12	7951:3,9,1	3	six 7941:15
8112:4,6	8065:24	0 7966:6	7954:9,16	8023:7
showing	8083:15	7971:21	7956:3,22	8029:22
8027:15	8090:9	8066:5	7957:17	8036:20
shown 8045:6	8102:14	8106:3,6	7958:23	8040:20
8106:20	8104:7	simple	7959:11,17	8046:6,13
shows	8117:8	7928:2	,24	8047:4,17,
8045:10	8126:14	7936:21	7960:6,23	18,19
8080:8	8130:24	8020:18,22	7986:17	8140:12
8109:8	8132:20	8021:4,10	7992:14,22	8159:9
8158:21,23	8144:18	8124:25	7997:9	8160:7
8167:8	8167:14	8160:12	7998:10	sixteen
8173:11	8178:25	simpler	8015:11	8052:14
8183:1	8188:20	8162:6	8016:7	sixth
shrinking	significantl	simplicity	8026:11,12	7985:23
7939:8	y 7949:3	7988:6	8033:24	sixty-two
sic 7939:6	7974:7	simplify	8039:9	7970:23
8042:20	7998:15	7991:5	8075:10,17	size 7938:17
8046:10	8073:11	simply	,25	8013:21
8049:3	8078:21	7968:15	8107:1,25	skew 8115:13
sick 8053:2	8083:17	8004:23	8108:14,21	skews
sides	8130:25	8005:17	8109:1	8162:18
	8159:13	8076:20	8111:4,13	skill
	8162:3	8124:24	8112:18	
	signing		8114:5	
	8186:24		8116:4,11	
			8117:23	

7943:24	8079:5,8,1	8003:1	8067:19,23	8090:23
8096:2	1 8080:6	8021:20,22	solar 7932:2	solutions
skills	8082:25	8022:23	7940:6	8155:7
7931:6	8095:11	8079:13,14	8004:2	solve
8047:13	8100:12	8132:19	8026:10,12	7936:17
8061:14	8129:7	8150:10,21	,16,20,25	somebody
skip 7966:16	8132:11	8151:3	8027:4,7,1	8091:11
8158:22	8173:8	8166:7	1,13,23	8127:4
sky 7995:19	8182:8,10,	8169:15	8028:1,7,1	8175:3
sli 8082:24	11,14	8171:6	0,15,16,19	somehow
slice 8019:6	slides	8173:23	,23	7964:4
slide	7968:7	8177:17	8029:10	8074:17
7963:19	7970:22,23	8178:1,19	8030:2,8,9	8098:4
7966:3,4,7	7977:19	8187:1	,11,14	someone
,11,12	slight	smarter	8031:2,20,	7965:7
7967:9	8027:21	8022:13	25	8010:24
7968:2	8040:10	soar 8063:13	8033:6,12,	8030:2
7971:22	8072:9	social	20 8034:4	someone's
7973:10,13	8087:14	7927:2	8083:16	8112:5,6,7
7977:21	slightly	8001:11	8090:11,22	somewhat
7979:22,24	7947:20	8007:19	8099:4,14,	8070:12,13
7981:13,16	7987:4	8008:4	15,25	8075:18
7986:14	7988:22	8020:21	8100:3,4,7	8079:23
7987:18	8014:12	8162:14	8101:16,19	8187:10
7990:20,21	slip 8145:14	socially	8102:15,23	8198:11
,22	slipped	8092:13	8103:5	somewhere
7991:3,11	7928:18	8126:25	8107:9	7983:12
7992:1,8	slogan	societal	8130:22,24	8103:20
7993:16	8047:23	8161:20,21	8131:3	8104:25
7995:6	slow 7985:21	,22,24	8132:7	8117:17
7998:7	slowing	8162:3,16,	8133:14,15	8159:5
8000:17,20	7939:7	17	,24 8134:6	8180:12
8002:17	slum 8047:7	sociodemogra	8135:4	sophisticate
8005:5	small	phics	8152:10,16	d 8186:1
8011:7	8086:11	8123:25	,21	sore 8050:12
8015:7	8149:4	8124:23	8153:1,5,1	sorry 7966:6
8019:13	smaller	socioeconomi	1 8188:7,9	7977:21
8026:7	8086:22	c 7925:25	sold 8017:17	7991:2
8027:14	8136:23	Solange	Soldier	8000:6,11
8028:3,10	8137:2	7921:13	7918:15	8004:24
8030:7	smart	7947:18	8066:4	8050:6
8031:1	7923:8,13	8042:19	solely	8066:3
8033:24,25	7933:14,16	8043:15,16	7965:8	8067:3
8035:12	,24 7934:4	,19	7980:23	8077:1,16
8039:10	7936:24	8044:25	solid	8078:9
8070:25	7955:9	8046:2	8076:23,24	8088:21
8074:17	7981:12	8049:14	8147:18	8095:5
8075:4,6	7989:10	8050:18	solid-state	8101:23,24
8076:20		8060:21	7935:20	8102:5
8077:15		8066:9	solution	
8078:8,10				

8104:23	7983:16	8175:12	25 8062:2	standards
8113:20	8007:12	8178:3	spoke 7950:7	7926:16
8122:9	8082:6	8179:18	7969:2	7934:20,24
8127:16	8120:15	specifically	8151:23	7986:8,16
8130:17	southern	8007:10	8161:13	7988:1,14
8132:2	7945:14	8012:11	8174:9	8003:20
8149:16,19	8030:13,15	8016:14	8180:5	8004:5,6
8155:1	space	8087:13	8195:6	8005:3,11,
8158:23	8008:11	8116:24	SPOKEN	12,13,15,1
8165:3	8021:24	8120:21	7963:11	8,25
8180:25	8094:15	8140:6	spot 8019:14	8006:11,21
sort 7944:22	span 7942:8	8149:25	8126:21	,22,24
8007:16	8072:15	8185:17,18	spray 8007:4	8007:6,9,1
8009:15	spanning	8186:4	spreadsheet	3 8010:4
8095:13	7926:13	specifics	8109:2	8174:9
8098:2	speak 7945:7	8118:8	spreadsheets	standpoint
8127:4	7947:16	8122:2	8106:21	8086:11
8168:21	7948:9	8170:19	spring	8090:17
8186:23	8050:8	spectrum	7963:22	STANDS
sorts 8004:3	8067:12	7983:6	squares	8199:14
8121:8	8113:22	speculate	8071:1	start 7927:2
8176:19	8154:5,11	8132:23	staff	7943:1
8188:4	8180:3	spend	7967:21	7947:5
sought	speaking	7929:19	stage 8152:6	7963:15,18
7990:2	7953:11	7984:11	stages	7966:21
8031:7	7993:11	8110:13	8063:3	7981:11
8061:1	8065:20,23	8111:14	stakeholders	7999:21
8085:3	8081:9	spending	7933:20	8001:3
sound 8063:4	8130:21	7929:18	8037:17	8003:14,16
8064:6	speaks	8044:5	8069:18	,25 8004:4
sounding	7947:11	8063:12	stance	8008:10
8003:25	7987:14	8111:16	8065:23	8022:8
sounds	specific	spent	8067:13	8023:18,21
8013:11	7956:9	7926:8,20	stand	8024:1
8019:16	7965:25	7930:8	7946:22	8043:12
8021:4	7976:9,21	7965:7	8045:4	8076:20
8148:16	7987:16	spike	8151:6	8077:4
source	8003:8	7995:25	stances	8081:4
7931:25	8004:18	spiral	8067:13	8084:8,19
7932:16	8013:19	8187:18	standard	8091:5
7973:10	8037:9	SPLASH	7941:13	8094:16
8012:10	8103:10	7948:25	8004:15	8096:20
8016:4,15	8113:24	Split	8006:18	8100:25
8089:16	8119:10	8043:20	8009:24	8104:13
8137:13	8121:3	8055:1	8086:22	8105:11
8188:21	8124:15,20	8059:24	8174:21,25	8125:15
sources	8142:6	8060:18,19	8175:2,8	8130:21
7937:25	8147:19	,24		8133:14
8032:25	8171:8,13,	8061:4,22,		8188:15
south	14 8172:14			8198:13
				started
				7927:8

7938:1	8041:5	7963:14	7942:21	strong
7946:12	8062:17		7953:15	7939:19
7947:15	8063:5	step 7980:12	7960:16	7977:23,25
7948:2	8074:11	8066:20	8096:18	8003:19
7982:25	8091:18	8093:16	8152:25	8006:12
8020:15	8095:2	steps	strategy	8016:23
8073:19	8107:11	7980:12	7946:7	8017:3
8146:18	8148:24	8004:14	8137:25	8019:11
8150:8	8162:2	stickiness	8138:2	8020:20
starting	8176:18	7945:7	stratify	8030:11
7925:11	state-wide	sticking	8123:8	8093:20
7970:25	8069:17	8034:23	8126:11	8095:6
7979:18	static	stimulates	stream	8099:12
8036:14	7969:22	7931:4	8009:1	8124:3
8077:12	8091:5	stole	street	strongly
8080:11,13	8094:11	7970:24	8091:9	7993:6,17
8168:9,10	8176:3	stolen	strength	7996:8
starts	statically	7977:19	7979:4,18	8096:7,10
7989:21	8012:2	stop 7981:14	8083:6	struck
8023:24	station	7986:12	8129:12	7930:5
8145:5	8055:12	8001:20	strengthen	8037:14
state	8057:19	8100:9	7943:7	structure
7941:15	8058:8	storage	8001:21	7974:17
7945:17	stations	7973:22	strengths	7976:13
7954:23,24	8054:15,17	8104:14	7978:5,6	8059:4
7978:23	,20,25	8134:5,9,1	8129:8	8134:22
8036:20	statistics	1,17	strenuously	8145:20
8041:8	8025:12	story	8193:10	8172:14
8069:13	status	8027:14	stress	8185:2
8074:10	8152:1	8091:8	7985:9,10,	8186:14
stated	stay 7946:16	8104:5	13 8164:13	studies
7994:15	7997:1	straight	stretched	7930:6,10,
8062:11	8037:1	7966:18	8009:1	11 7957:1
8080:25	8040:8	straightforw	stricken	7960:22,24
statement	8043:7	ard	8062:12	8015:16,23
7994:22	8098:17	8109:20	strictly	8117:7,10
statements	stayed	8159:25	8001:6	8140:7
8058:18	7997:3	strat	8156:10	8162:21
states	steady	8126:11	strides	8163:25
7932:19	7936:9	strata	7980:3	8183:24
7935:24	7985:24	8126:14	stringent	8184:4
7939:6	7992:19	stratas	8004:20	8193:3
7941:11,12	steady-as-	8126:18	stripped	studying
,14,20	she-goes	strategic	8048:15	8146:1
7945:14	7998:5	8142:14,17	strive	stuff
7955:25	stems	8143:12	7944:1	7931:24
7956:11	8183:20	strategies		7995:18
7971:25	stenography			8035:18
8021:8				8098:11
8040:6,11,				stumbled
18,20				

7940:21	successes	8191:21	8155:1,3,1	8026:4,19
stunned	7965:11	summarize	1,22	8034:15
7927:7	successful	7994:2	8156:3,4,1	8036:25
subject	7995:18	summary	0,11,13	8043:12
7999:11	8020:17	7949:6	8157:22	8045:10
8016:3	8100:21	7954:10	8158:2	8067:15
8058:20	successfully	8089:21	8184:25	8076:3
8075:20	8180:17	8115:15	8185:9	8079:24
8076:1	sucked	8191:7	8191:12	8089:2
8085:9	8013:14	summer	supplying	8093:21
8092:7	sudden	8014:12	7971:15	8098:21,24
8112:11	7995:23	sun 8133:18	8185:4	8100:23
8131:22	8006:10	superior	supply-side	8104:24
8138:6	8052:11	8064:12	7932:10,21	8107:4
submission	suddenly	suppled	8155:14	8110:15
8054:7	7934:14	7972:3	support	8116:15
submitted	sue 8048:23	supplement	7934:19,20	8124:17
8140:15	suffering	8012:22,23	7945:11,17	8136:6,17
submitting	8048:19	supplemental	7946:7	8140:25
8051:20	sufficient	7935:6	7967:8,10,	8141:20
8067:19	7943:14	supplied	11,12,23	8142:1
subqualifica	8037:22	7972:6	7975:2	8143:1
tions	8069:20	8018:10	8063:22	8144:7
7962:16	8182:2	8031:24	8066:20	8147:5
subsidies	suggest	8033:15	8081:13	8148:14
7939:21	7925:14	supplier	8134:6,24	8149:15
subsidizing	7976:4	8033:19	supporting	8152:2,13
8063:7	7992:19	supplies	7924:7,21	8153:4,10
subsidy	7997:3	7972:19	7944:23	8154:15
7938:25	8003:4	7973:3	7949:6	8156:24
8082:5	8035:15	supply	8107:18	8159:19
substantial	8111:13	7941:24	8108:2	8170:7
7990:1,12	suggested	7949:7	8197:23	8173:2,21
7991:23	8006:5	7971:6	8198:6	8180:18
8014:15	8100:13	7976:15	supposed	8183:22
substantiall	suggesting	8013:17	8046:22	8185:24
y 7989:22	8113:25	8018:2,9	supposedly	8189:5,9
succeed	8114:10	8032:19,20	8045:5	8191:17
8132:16	8160:13	8036:19	suppression	8193:21
succeeded	8194:25	8073:12	8032:7	8196:2
8122:21	suggestions	8074:6,13,	sure 7954:17	surplus
success	8181:3,5	14 8082:13	7958:15	7943:20
8121:25	8183:4	8084:15	7960:7	8081:2,7,1
8127:11	suggests	8092:10	7967:4	6,20,22
8132:15	8084:15	8094:4	7976:24	8083:9
8143:17	8132:8	8100:13	7992:8	8104:3
8189:19	sum 8034:25	8116:21	7995:12	8143:20
		8154:25	8015:12	8144:21,22
			8017:16	,24
			8022:10	8145:1,9
				surprised
				7934:9
				7989:15

8018:17	8158:12,18	8165:9,17,	8072:6	8103:15
8047:22	8159:8,12	18 8178:17	tables	8116:9
surprising	8160:13	sworn	7924:8	8129:8
7984:2	8161:12	7925:14	7928:19	8184:7,8
surprisingly	8162:24	system	7949:6,7	8185:17
8002:15	8163:3,6,1	8012:21	8107:18	8188:6
surveys	1,15,23	8018:7	8108:2	talked
8125:25	8164:2,5,8	8024:19	8191:7	7936:11
8126:16,19	,12,21	8030:21	tail 8165:14	7944:5
suspect	8165:3,12,	8036:22	taking	7976:23
7964:4	17,21	8038:7	7937:10	8068:19
7993:6,17	8166:1,6,1	8039:11	7950:23	8089:16
7998:23	2,17,24	8069:5	7956:10	8100:15
8018:18	8167:6,20	8072:4	7983:14	8101:11
8032:15	8168:2	8076:7	7985:21	8127:13
8162:19	8169:23	8106:23	8033:13	8157:13
8197:18	8170:4,8	8110:9	8035:9	talking
sustain	8171:2,12,	8134:5,10,	8049:22	7926:23
8063:24	24	13,16	8065:22	7969:4
8077:11	8173:8,14,	systematic	8090:6,22	7974:7,9,1
sustainable	22	8170:13,17	8146:6	0,13
8070:6	8174:2,6,1	8177:3	8154:1	7988:17
8087:10	4,20	8190:14	8178:3	8005:2
sustained	8175:1,11	systematical	8193:7,8	8008:19
7996:17	8177:4,8,1	ly 7973:24	talk 7925:24	8009:7
7997:11	1,16	8021:5	7926:1	8018:18
7999:9	8178:2,12,	8076:10	7928:12	8031:13
8002:8	13,22	8092:5	7931:12,16	8071:6
8032:5	8179:5,25	8097:1	7933:23	8076:2
8041:10	8180:8,20,	8128:2	7938:12	8099:15,16
8077:7	25 8181:7	8147:25	7940:8	8121:15
8173:12	8182:7,16,	8190:16	7943:12	8123:1
8174:7	25	8193:3	7959:3	8128:16
Sven 7919:3	8183:7,10	systems	7964:17,20	8129:1,23
7921:25	8197:13,25	8016:17	7969:16	8136:20
7925:6	8198:9	8027:20	7980:25	8137:4
7946:21	swallowed	8047:10	7982:9	8158:5
7947:8	8099:11	8103:10	8003:7,9,2	8166:9
7962:9	swears		1,22,23	8170:21
8042:2	7951:10		8004:1	8172:12
8045:25	sweat		8005:3	8174:24,25
8150:2,7	8122:14,18	T	8010:9	8175:22
8151:5,14,	Swiss	T5s 8011:16	8012:9,11,	8181:13
15 8152:8	7978:18	T8s 8011:16	12,14	8187:25
8153:2,13,	switch	table 7921:1	8028:2	8188:5
19,21	7937:8	7922:1	8032:13	talks
8154:2,10	8019:12	7987:15	8034:3	7928:12
8155:19	8147:21	7988:10	8036:16	7934:23
8156:18	switching	7990:6	8084:18	8185:18
8157:1,10	7984:9	7991:19	8095:9	Tansi
	8138:16	8051:15	8099:14	8050:11
		8052:20	8100:20,24	tantalizing

8140:18	8048:13,22	tempered	7983:13	8080:1,13,
tapering	8049:1	8096:11	8001:20	19 8084:6
8114:5,11	8055:17	ten 7926:12	8022:12	8087:5
8115:11,20	8056:1,16	7936:2	8127:10	8094:2
target	8058:12	7940:13,16	tens 8029:20	8099:20,21
7941:7,16,	8060:9,13,	7946:3	terawatt	8100:17
17 7994:5	25 8061:2	7970:10	8006:3,8	8101:18
8148:25	TCN's 8044:9	7974:5,12,	8072:7,8	8103:14
8172:20,23	teaser	21	8081:20	8105:25
8173:2,15	8088:23,25	7987:22,25	term 7935:3	8113:9
8177:3,5	technical	7988:2,5,7	7953:6	8115:20
targeting	7944:22	,13,20	7994:3	8119:2
7948:14	7967:17	7991:5,17,	8009:22	8122:8
targets	8040:22	20 7994:6	8035:8	8124:15
7934:15,17	techniques	7999:16	8047:14	8144:13
7941:14	7945:13	8002:9	8077:20	8153:24
7995:24	technologica	8008:22	8094:13	8181:5,6
8002:20	1 8001:10	8011:1	8098:8	8195:12
8093:22	8094:22	8013:2	8135:2	8196:9
8097:15,18	technologies	8032:24	8164:18	terrible
8143:14	7934:22	8034:14	8174:10	8049:24
8148:2,15	7935:16	8036:1	8177:6	territorial
8149:2,21	7937:10	8039:19	8188:23	7978:8
8171:4,23	7960:4	8040:1,3	termed	territories
8172:5,9,1	7964:25	8078:6	8187:18	7978:21,22
5,16	8002:4	8081:23	terminal	8124:12
8190:1,7,1	8034:4	8105:4	7929:22	territory
0,15	8098:3,23	8109:3,8,2	terminated	7971:11
tasked	technology	4,25	8048:7	7978:17
8076:7	7926:11	8111:14,16	terms	8015:14
tasks	7938:21	8136:1,9	7933:13,14	8053:4
8036:21	7943:25	8159:6	7949:18	8120:11
Tataskweyak	8003:17	8176:18	7957:6	8124:22
8043:21	8007:16	8187:21	7964:19	test 7985:10
8044:7	8009:9	8188:1,18	7967:24	8031:7
tax 8033:17	8016:4,6,7	8198:22	7969:1	8096:18
Taxpay	8174:9,21,	tenacity	7980:3	8156:20
8045:8	24 8175:13	8066:10	7982:22	8157:3,5,2
Taxpayer	temper	tend 7976:16	7988:13,19	0,21,23,25
8045:9	8115:19	7977:13,15	7997:5	8158:1,9
taxpayers	8118:12	7998:4,14,	8004:17	8159:24
8044:5	temperature	16,22	8009:7	8162:4
TC 8056:11	8014:9,24,	8005:17	8011:4	8164:13
TCN	25	8086:17	8017:19	testified
8045:13,16	8023:3,9,1	8090:18	8019:20	7928:9
8046:8,10,	1,18,20,22	8121:16	8032:21	7930:1
12,16,21	,25 8024:9	8147:16,17	8033:24	7940:12
8047:3,4	temperatures	,20 8156:2	8035:11	7996:9
	8013:10	8184:19	8068:21	8151:21
		tends	8069:5,10,	8169:23
		7973:11,25	25 8073:23	8196:14

testify	7966:11	8199:3	8041:13,25	8164:19
7942:16	7971:24		8049:10,15	8165:10,13
7964:5	7975:11	that'll	8050:5	8167:8
	7979:25	8008:22	8051:18	8168:12,20
testimony	7990:23	8106:3	8053:23	8172:4
7942:21	7999:10,14	8114:21	8071:11	8173:10
7947:10	,16	8118:12	8073:3	8174:5,14
7968:16	8000:5,7,1	that's	8074:18,19	8175:7
7983:2	9 8016:20	7926:18	8078:22	8176:13
7985:17	8039:5	7930:24	8079:1	8178:6,7,8
8062:6	8042:8	7935:15	8080:11,15	8181:21
8072:24,25	8044:1	7944:1	8082:2	8182:21
8077:1	8049:10,11	7945:24	8083:6	8185:15,23
8079:17	8050:7	7946:10	8084:24	,24,25
8089:6	8053:24,25	7950:7	8085:2,3	8186:20
8116:5	8054:5	7958:1	8086:18	8190:7,16,
8127:13	8066:6,24,	7960:9	8089:8,25	17 8191:24
8129:13	25	7965:17	8090:13,14	8193:9
8161:13	8067:7,14,	7966:3	8091:21	8197:2
8170:22,23	17,21,23	7970:1,6	8094:11	theme
testing	8068:16	7971:6	8097:19	8132:12
8015:21	8085:4	7972:8,20	8098:25	themes
8158:10	8090:1	7974:5	8100:2,8	8112:12
tests	8106:5,7	7978:25	8101:11,17	themselves
7985:9,10,	8107:16,25	7981:2,9	,18,20	8036:10
13 8156:23	8112:10	7982:4,24	8102:14,20	8099:11
8157:2,7,1	8115:3	7983:6,21	8103:14	8156:5
2,14,15	8116:4	7986:22,23	8104:8	theoretical
Texas	8119:11,19	7988:2	8105:13,22	8073:1
8033:10	8130:7,8,1	7990:3	8107:24	theory
8130:24	0,11,16	7991:18	8113:14	8148:16
thank	8132:10,25	7993:24	8114:7	thereafter
7925:6,20	8133:1,5,6	7994:14	8115:12	7949:8
7943:10	,7,11	7997:15,22	8121:25	7987:20
7944:4,25	8135:16	8001:2,21	8127:22	7988:8
7946:9,13,	8139:20,23	8002:12	8130:2	7998:23
20 7947:8	8150:2,6	8003:24	8132:21,22	8037:7,9
7948:5	8151:16	8005:2,20	8137:1	8072:10
7950:9,17	8178:9	8008:2	8138:13	there'd
7951:8	8183:10	8009:4	8140:13	8137:20
7953:22	8186:22	8010:3	8141:14,20	therefore
7954:8	8193:24	8018:11,12	8143:9,19	8074:5
7955:10	8194:7,8	,23	8144:8	8113:11
7958:14	8197:10,25	8019:4,13,	8145:18	thereof
7959:17,23	8199:1,4	17,20	8146:7,8,2	8075:11
7961:4,16,	thankfully	8021:8	4 8148:2	8152:17
17,22	7979:1	8022:2,14	8149:5	there's
7962:1,2,6	Thanks	8027:8,17	8153:23,25	7928:23
,7	7943:11	8028:18	8154:1	7930:5,23,
7963:10,17	7950:12	8034:19	8155:4	
,23	8100:10	8035:8	8156:20	
7964:15	8149:17	8037:2,24	8158:4,19,	
		8038:20	20	
		8039:22	8162:19,20	

25 7931:10	8098:20	8003:12	7927:17	7929:5,17,
7934:18,22	8099:12	8005:14,15	7942:2	20 7930:16
7935:13	8105:12	8006:7,23	7957:23	7973:14
7937:3,12	8113:9	8009:12	7970:7	8015:20
7938:19	8114:14,20	8011:13,14	7977:21	8033:6
7939:19	8117:24	,17	8032:18	8045:14,17
7940:3,6	8123:9,10,	8016:14	8036:24	8046:5,11,
7942:15	12 8125:4	8017:14	8076:6	14
7943:10	8127:1	8018:25	8092:24	8047:17,18
7944:13,15	8131:19	8026:4	third-party	,19
,16,17,22	8134:3	8038:20	7979:13	8136:1,9
7949:10	8135:18	8039:12	8088:13	thousands
7950:14	8138:19	8040:2,24	thirteen	7957:8
7969:25	8143:2	8044:5	7929:20	8029:20
7971:8	8144:3	8046:22	thirty	8175:21
7977:14	8145:11,13	8047:24	7940:15	threat
7984:4	,14,18	8053:11	7972:1	8032:8
7985:5,25	8157:2,3,4	8072:4	8027:17,18	8033:1
7993:14	,5 8165:4	8074:6	8034:15,22	threatened
7997:16,17	8167:14	8081:15	8035:23	8065:22
8001:23	8168:11	8087:15	8046:8,16	threefold
8003:11	8170:12	8092:4,21	8137:1	8029:24
8005:24	8178:24	8097:13	8187:21	8031:20
8008:13,14	8188:14	8102:19	thirty-five	three-
8009:3	8191:19	8103:3	7927:25	quarters
8010:18	8195:18	8123:1	7928:1	7972:7
8017:21	8197:12	8128:9	7930:11	threw
8027:20	thermo	8135:14	8041:10	8072:19
8029:8	8021:22	8142:24	8184:13,23	thrilled
8032:10,13	thermostat	8148:1	thirty-four	8094:19
,16,17	8022:1,16	8184:18	7942:2	throat
8034:3,16	8023:3,8	8186:10	thirty-seven	8050:12
8035:9,17	8024:12	8187:2,4,5	8045:17,18	throughout
8038:15	thermostats	they've	thirty-two	7943:8
8039:20	7936:24	7928:9	8006:24	7956:16
8043:25	8007:5	7934:10	Thompson	7957:9
8048:25	8021:21,23	7942:2	7945:21	7965:4,6,1
8050:16	8022:4,11	8015:18	thorough	4 7982:2
8051:16	8024:20	8034:21	8130:20	8014:8
8053:5,20	they'll	8072:17	thoroughly	8021:9
8060:23	8022:25	8076:12	8064:6	8027:5
8068:6	8100:10	8092:4	Thorton	8032:11
8069:20	8146:22	8093:6	7928:25	8040:8
8070:16	they're	8097:5	thoughts	8074:11
8074:16	7929:17	8123:18	8196:16	8078:4
8080:23	7934:22	thick	thousand	8099:1
8081:1	7936:5,12	7940:22	7928:10,15	8120:16
8082:6	7938:7	7989:8	,16	8122:4
8084:1	7946:4,5	thing's		
8088:5,19	7979:18	7927:11		
8091:23	7983:8	third		
8093:22	7984:19			
8094:12,15				
,22				

8184:5	8032:23	8104:6	7967:20	8068:21
throw	8034:10,14	8117:19	trades	8074:23
8003:16	,19,20	8163:20	7943:24	treats
8083:13	8035:1	top-down	traditional	8053:2
throwing	8037:1	8163:7,18	7959:5	tremendous
7990:5	8042:19	topic	7974:3	8031:21
thumb	8053:24	8196:25	8025:16	8093:9
7983:25	8054:10,13	toss 7942:23	8089:4	triangles
ticket	8055:7	total 7982:4	training	8014:19
8086:23	8062:10,20	8006:4	7926:17	tribe 8061:9
tidal	,21 8063:4	8048:18	8061:7,11,	trickles
7937:12	8064:3,18,	8055:14	13,20	8006:14
tied 8149:8	8067:10	8061:21	trajectory	tried
time-to-time	8072:4	8079:21,22	7941:2,3	8046:24
8172:13	8073:23	8091:21	transaction	8122:12
tired	8092:23	8092:9	8009:6	8131:17
8053:2,22	8096:22	8109:4	transcript	triple
title 7960:2	8099:8	8112:9	7922:6	8169:21
8003:25	8102:16	8157:3,4	7949:11,12	tripling
titles	8103:3	8160:15,16	7966:9	8168:20
7960:9	8135:23	,21	8043:3	trouble
today 7925:7	8144:14,16	8161:10	8075:10	7996:19
7935:18	8182:1	8191:19	transcripts	true 8044:15
7940:1	8187:3	8192:8	7988:18	8045:10
7946:10,17	8188:8,11	touch	transformed	8088:14
,18,19,20	today's	8123:17	8010:17	8091:10
7947:9,16,	8042:16	touched	transition	8116:22
20 7949:1	8199:2	8112:11	7996:13	8127:6,22
7950:18	tomorrow	touchless	translates	8128:5
7951:1	8105:17	8023:4	8009:10	8135:9
7965:18	8188:8	8025:14	transmission	8171:24
7969:4,8,1	8194:10	tough	8140:16,20	8183:8
4 7970:24	8198:11,17	8186:25	,22	trust
7972:3	8199:10,11	8187:1	8141:8,12,	7954:22
7973:23	Tomorrow's	toward	17,23	8054:8
7981:10	8198:11	7981:3	8142:3	8056:19
7984:12	tonnes	8001:24	transmittal	8176:22
7999:6	7930:5	8014:19	8065:9	truth
8002:3	tool 7979:14	8029:9	transparenci	7931:24
8003:13	8130:4	8186:19	es 8044:16	7933:1
8005:16	tools	towards	transparent	7935:13
8008:21	7967:19,20	8123:2	8059:10	8036:4
8011:6,10	top 7941:24	town 8022:25	8064:23	try 7956:17
8012:3,4	7989:6,8	track	TRC 8160:21	7964:18
8016:23	7991:15	7940:13	8192:23	7966:10
8019:10,18	7992:9,17	7967:21	treat	7970:1
8021:13,16	8008:18	8047:16		8048:6
8023:6	8014:20	tracking		8049:18
8029:10	8027:18			
	8079:12			

8052:18	8046:6,12	8140:12	8170:10	8190:9
8112:3	8047:20	8143:3	undermine	undertake
8121:24	8097:6	8161:1	7995:1	7975:8
8122:19	8111:1	8184:6,11	7998:16	8192:19,25
8125:10	8136:25		underneath	8193:18
8126:21	8148:23	<hr/> U <hr/>	8001:17	8197:20
8127:8	8177:25	ubiquitous	understand	undertaken
8142:25	8181:16,20	8011:14	7934:16	7956:13
8166:22	twenty-five	ugly 8047:5	7942:10	8095:3
8192:8	8024:7	8097:25	7956:21	8116:25
trying	8168:15	ultimate	7957:17	8184:5
7945:2	twenty-four	7998:8	7958:8	8195:19
8053:6	8047:18	ultimately	7959:18	undertaking
8095:4	8133:19	7988:13	7990:2	7975:14
8097:24	twenty-seven	7995:14	7991:25	8095:7
8131:20	8051:5	7996:20	7993:12	8107:3,18
8143:23	8052:13	8001:12	7998:9	8108:1
8145:8	8109:17	8037:17	8008:16	8194:1
8191:20	8111:10	8069:18	8009:8	8196:5
turn 7925:14	twenty-three	8076:18	8014:1	8197:16
7947:6	7954:6	8080:15	8072:25	8198:1
7950:15	7957:19	8082:12,18	8091:12	Undertakings
7993:11	7995:15	8084:2	8094:21	7921:4
7995:6	8093:25	8085:19	8112:17	7924:1
8013:6	twenty-two	8095:21	8129:23	undertook
8015:25	8117:17	8104:11	8168:24	8069:11
8068:5,15	twice	8152:24	8169:11	unemployed
8084:14	7949:17,20	8153:9	8172:8	8047:7
8085:6	7952:18	8156:9	8173:19	unemployment
8105:3	two-seven	8158:9	8187:22,24	7929:1
8106:14	8109:9	8162:11	understandab	8051:17
8119:15	type 7973:22	8175:8	le 8037:8	8060:23
8140:4	8098:11	8189:10	understandin	8125:4,5
turning	8116:8,13	un 8192:14	g 7981:1	unfortunate
7938:17	8124:6	unbelievably	8075:25	8081:12
7993:1	types 7958:3	7943:4,6	8151:21	unfortunatel
8135:16	8022:7	uncertainty	8159:5	y 7946:11
turnkey	8147:11	7942:17	8165:6,10,	7974:23
8121:22	typical	8135:17,18	22 8166:2	8048:20
tweaks	8004:10	8136:2	8180:11	8067:8
8122:19	8014:17	8137:21	understate	8072:5
twelve	8017:24	8181:20,21	7994:13	8121:13
8091:17	8018:3,12	unclear	7998:14	8123:22
8092:1	typically	8190:1	understated	8168:20
8140:12	7973:9	underestimat	7979:7	Union
twenty	7977:4	e 7984:21	understateme	7937:17
7930:11	7998:21	undergo	nt 7978:15	unique
7932:19	8005:12,14	8062:14	7994:25	8039:21
8002:11	8124:8	underlying	understood	8120:23
8034:22				
8035:23				

8129:8	8141:12	7983:18	8169:15	7960:24
8181:23	upon 7925:1	8024:5	utility-	8060:11
unit 8014:3	7947:1,2	8032:11,13	scale	8112:13
8015:4	7999:5,18,	8033:3	8099:14,25	8116:7
United	19 8036:10	8034:5,9,2	8100:4	8124:6
7935:23	8042:12,13	0 8057:5	8130:22	vast 7960:25
7939:6	8064:8	8069:14	utility-	7972:15
7941:11,12	8067:25	8093:15,20	scaled	7973:3
,20	8068:1	8099:8	8033:8	8007:8
7945:14	8105:7,8	8100:4		8012:7
7956:10	8112:11	8101:2	<hr/>	8149:1
7971:25	8124:5	8129:24,25	V	venture
8062:16	8136:13	8142:13	Vacuum	8059:16,18
8063:5	8190:8	8143:11	7936:1	verify
units 8014:4	8199:16	8154:18	vague 8159:5	8149:24
8015:3,20,	upstairs	8195:4	Vaguely	Vermont
21	7950:3	8197:6,22	8196:18	7954:24
unity	uptake	8198:4	valuable	7958:10,12
8054:22	8090:5	utility	7979:11	,13,16
university	upwards	7933:17	value	7983:7
7959:21	8184:13	7937:5	7951:19	7991:16
8048:24	urban 8128:8	7938:18,19	8012:16	8040:19
unless	urge 8014:19	7942:18	8081:6	8041:2
8068:6	8148:18	7979:12	8083:11	8074:10
8129:23	8162:15	8020:10	8088:5,19	8080:12
8176:23	usage	8024:4,6	8118:12	8095:10,16
unlike	7935:23	8028:1	8144:18,19	8096:3,5
8117:9	7937:20	8032:19,20	8145:1,3,5	8122:21
unprecedented	8020:23	,23	8157:17	8132:19
d 7935:15	8109:23	8033:10	values	8172:12,13
8001:23	8110:24	8034:18,19	7987:16	Vermont's
8005:24	8125:7	8089:24	7988:14	8095:13
unusual	US-based	8091:22	8186:18	8132:15
8097:16	7957:14	8092:7	valves	version
8103:2	users 8118:1	8098:8,12,	8007:4	7973:20
unwitting	US's 8005:17	14	Van 7919:8	7974:12
8192:14	usually	8099:2,14	variability	8016:19
updated	8157:12	8130:1,2	8134:6	versions
7949:2,15	utilities	8134:15,22	variation	8017:13,17
8000:1,3	7918:3,21	8152:19	7930:12	8077:21
updating	7924:19	8157:25	varies	versus
7999:23	7952:18	8158:24	7974:7	8074:14,15
upfront	7955:22	8159:2,18,	variety	8176:17,18
8083:5	7957:19	24	8026:21	video 8179:3
upgrade	7958:5,6,2	8160:1,15,	8152:19	view 7938:23
8174:22	5	17,20	8175:24	7969:17
upgrades	7977:15,16	8161:8,10	8186:2	7972:2
	7978:12,13	8162:6,8,9	various	7991:6
		,23		7993:8
		8187:18		
		8191:1,22		
		8193:11		
		Utility's		

7995:17	8000:18	,13,14,18,	weeks 7980:6	7979:21
8001:4	wait 7949:22	20 8007:16	8148:10	7982:8,12,
8078:7,11,	8146:22	8009:17,19	Weinstein	14 7986:13
25	8174:17	8047:5	7920:7	7987:4
8082:16,23	waiting	8061:22	7961:23,24	7988:16,23
8088:10	8005:19	8089:17	7962:3	7989:2
8112:19	8152:6	8109:23	8133:2,4,7	7990:23
8113:13	wake 8023:20	8118:11	welcome	7993:19
8116:13	waking	8137:14	7946:20	8001:21
8162:6	8096:16	watt	7962:19	8002:9
8166:18	walk 7966:8	8028:12,13	7994:22	8005:5
8180:8	8148:5	wave 7937:12	8042:18,22	8006:9
8181:1	walked	Waverley	8150:3	8008:19
8183:8	8170:23	7940:5	we'll 7944:3	8009:7
views 7961:6	8173:10	waving	7947:19,20	8012:4
8116:11	walking	7966:5	,21,22	8017:4
visible	8091:8	ways 7944:19	7948:13	8018:18
7972:23	War 8055:17	8001:19	7949:21,24	8022:3
vision	8056:2,11,	8009:23	7961:5	8027:22
8091:5	16 8058:12	8022:18	7963:5	8028:14
visited	8060:10	8049:8	8032:7	8029:15,20
8099:17	warm 7931:20	8098:4	8039:16	,23
visual	warned	8139:10	8041:18	8031:11,13
7990:4	8048:7	8142:24	8052:18,19	,16
visuals	warning	8161:17,18	8067:9	8033:21
7990:5	7963:4	8181:13	8105:11	8036:8
vitae	warranted	8192:2,24	8125:15,17	8041:12
7952:24	8064:7	weather	,24 8161:1	8042:16
voice	wary 8114:10	7964:3	8178:8	8049:18
8022:18	8115:1	8025:12	8196:3	8051:9,11
voided	washed	web 7936:23	8197:8	8052:14,20
8157:16,17	8047:6	website	8199:9,10	,21,24
voir 7950:21	wasn't	7939:24	we're	8053:14,16
vois 8106:15	7942:7	8047:11	7925:11	,21 8068:4
voltage	8018:15	8152:9	7934:12	8079:10,21
8007:4	8051:1	we'd 7940:19	7936:9	8080:1,7
voluntarily	8094:19	8041:18	7938:2,7,8	8081:17,23
8007:20	8095:5	8097:8	7939:23	8089:22
8024:11	8126:20	8105:25	7940:4	8092:23
voluntary	8140:17	8110:1,25	7941:2	8099:8,9,1
8004:11	8142:1	weeds	7944:1,2	6
vous 7961:7	watch	7979:10	7946:2,11	8102:5,20
	7932:12	week	7948:14	8109:3
	8182:5	7948:14,23	7950:20	8110:6
wager 8012:7	water	7949:5,9	7954:20	8112:21
wagon	7936:10,12	8051:20	7956:9	8115:5
		8052:10	7957:1,2	8120:19,20
		8134:20	7959:2,7	8121:15
			7965:3,5	8125:5
			7969:4	8128:15
			7971:22	8131:13
			7974:7	8138:22
				8144:9,23
				8146:9,24,

25	8125:16	wide 7930:12	8035:15	8199:4
8148:8,14	8158:7	8005:13	8039:8,9	winter
8151:11	whenever	8112:14,20	8068:6,7,1	8013:25
8152:5	8143:4	,24	3,14	wintertime
8153:24	whereas	wife 7990:7	8075:2,3,1	7931:20
8164:3	7989:24	8022:12	3,19	wireless
8168:18	8155:22	wild 8083:15	8085:5	8020:1
8181:13	wherein	William	8086:13	wisdom
8187:3,25	8081:12	7919:15	8087:4,23	7999:11
8188:5	where's	7950:17	8088:21	wise 8125:21
8198:14	7935:2	7951:8,17,	8090:1	wish 7979:14
West 7940:5	whether	23	8104:17,23	8127:25
Western	7950:3	7952:5,11,	8105:12,16	8142:4
8061:17	7983:9	16,23	8106:2,4,5	withstanding
we've	8006:19	7953:6,10,	,8	7956:18
7932:14	8026:14	22	8107:15,17	witness
7934:11	8064:4,11	7954:2,8,1	8111:22	7921:10
7937:25	8083:17	4	8177:23	7925:14
7938:15	8097:15	7955:4,10	8178:5,9	7946:17
7949:19	8137:21	7956:1,5,2	8194:12,16	7947:13
7954:17,21	8191:11	1 7957:17	,17,24	7951:16
,22	8194:19	7958:8,13,	8195:6,11,	7961:6,11,
7955:1,21,	whistle	22	17,21,24	15,21
22,23	8065:18	7959:10,16	8196:3,12,	7962:1,15
7956:19,25	whole	,23	19	8133:5
7957:4,7,1	7944:12	7960:1,10,	8197:3,8,1	witnessed
0 7958:16	7966:1,12	20 7975:4	3,18	8048:17
7978:2	8009:5	Williams	willing	8066:14
8005:19	8018:6	7919:12	8162:13	witnesses
8026:20	8093:8	7921:11,20	8188:22	7962:14
8041:5	8096:20	7922:4	win 8111:19	8112:13
8051:4,6,2	8101:20	7950:22	wind 7932:2	8198:16,23
4 8072:13	8175:23	7962:23,25	7938:10,11	wonder
8108:18	8187:15	7963:1	,12	7995:5
8110:7,18	wholesale	7971:19,20	7942:22	8047:21
8119:5	8036:24	7979:20,21	7973:19	8050:8
8124:9	wholly	7986:12,19	8028:24	wondering
8125:25	8058:24	7990:18,19	window	7966:7
8129:13	whom 8072:13	7992:7,16	7991:5	8098:9
8152:23	who's	7994:19	windows	8151:24
8189:2	7934:1,2	7995:3	7937:6	wood 7938:1
8196:24	8043:12	7996:25	winners	wor 8077:19
whatever	8111:19	7997:8	8112:2	work
7930:23	whose	7998:6	Winnipeg	7926:21,22
7967:24	8189:17	7999:10,15	7918:23	,23 7930:9
7982:12	who've	8000:13,15	7945:20	7945:20
7994:20	8076:7	,16	7962:19	7954:9
8024:1		8005:4,9	7989:3	
8044:14		8015:6,24	8013:20	
8049:17		8016:20	8055:5	
8053:4		8026:6,11,	8150:4	
8123:5		18 8033:23		

7956:18	7965:8	8159:22	8097:25	7995:15
7957:10,23	8010:24	written	yet 7942:25	8022:23,24
7958:6	8017:4	7924:9,18	7974:23	8026:13
7961:1	8041:6	7968:16	8010:18	8049:13
7963:2	8045:1,24	7969:11	8046:7	8054:8
7965:13,14	8072:13	7983:2	8051:9	8060:21
,19,23,24	8102:20,22	7984:13	8052:7,24	8067:10,11
7966:23	8153:15	7985:17	8062:15,23	,13 8075:9
7967:1	8194:20	8054:7,12	8129:1	8095:10
7974:20,22	8196:25	8076:25	8187:6	8112:11
7978:1,3,1	works	8107:20	York 8058:15	8124:6
0,11	7945:20	8108:4	you'll	8140:23
7985:3	7956:17	8170:21	7963:17,18	8145:4
7995:21	8019:19	8197:21	7964:10	8151:21
7996:22	world 7933:7	8198:3	7967:9	8158:19
8006:16	7938:20	wrong 7976:6	7981:12	8164:5
8007:22	7982:6,8	8024:24	7982:16	8174:16,17
8011:15	7999:8	8045:23	7985:13	8177:17
8022:14	8020:13	8048:5	7987:17,22	8180:1
8026:14,20	8028:10	8070:15	7988:1	8196:21
8045:21	8034:18	8122:25	7989:11	8199:4
8052:5	8092:11	8187:25	7990:3,4,2	Yukon
8053:19	8095:19,20	8191:20	1 8014:1	8015:9,14
8059:23	8098:10	Wus 8061:7	8030:25	
8097:14	8101:6	Wuskwatim	8033:4	<u>Z</u>
8121:21	8138:22,23	7927:23	8040:6	zero
8124:11	8182:6	8050:21,23	8051:19	7937:12,17
8125:1	worldwide	8053:16	8070:10,25	,19
8126:24	8029:23	8055:19	8072:6	7943:12,15
8127:1	worry	8057:25	8075:14,19	,21,22
8140:23	8106:19	8061:7,12	8077:15,16	7944:2,3
8147:25	worse		8088:17	7992:21
8152:5,7,1	7980:21	<u>Y</u>	8104:1	8014:22
8	worst	yay 7940:22	8196:7	8037:20
8185:11,14	8125:20,21	year-by-year	yours	8038:18
,21 8190:6	worth 8034:5	8137:18	8093:17	8113:6
8199:4,5	8035:9	year-round	8113:14	zonal 8014:2
worked	would've	8014:13	yourself	zone 8190:17
7940:20	8092:20	yellow	8064:18	
7955:1	wow 7928:6	8079:16	8108:15	
7958:16	wrap 8094:3	Yep 8110:11	8147:8	
8026:22,23	8176:21	8178:10	8180:2,21	
8046:7,12,	wrapping	yes/no	you've	
16 8069:16	7941:18	8138:22	7952:18	
8096:14	wreaking	yesterday	7954:15	
8110:7	8049:5	7948:7	7955:5,10	
8147:11,12	writ 8004:7	8016:1	7956:1,22	
8169:18	writeoffs	8052:17	7958:9	
8183:23		8085:9	7959:11,23	
8189:16			7960:23	
working			7971:1	
7926:10				
7952:7				