

#### INTEREST CENTRE PUBLIC LAW

Writer's direct line: (204) 985-8533

Email: bwilliams@pilc.mb.ca

July 9, 2013

JURIDIQUE L'INTÉRÊT CENTRE PUBLIC U

The Public Utilities Board of Manitoba

AN INDEPENDENT SERVICE OF LEGAL AID MANITOBA

L'AIDE JURIDIQUE DU MANITOBA

LEGAL AID MANITOBA SUPPORTED BY THE

ASSOCIATION

MANITOBA LAW AND MEMBERS FOUNDATION MANITOBA OF THE

> Winnipeg, MB R3C 0C4 400 - 330 Portage Avenue Attention: Mr. Hollis Singh, Executive Director and Board Secretary RE: DSM Potential Study and Manitoba Hydro Alternatives Analysis Dear Mr. Singh:

### Overview

CAC MB is writing to express its concerns:

- with the status of the DSM potential study; and
- expressing a reluctance to assist in any post August 16, 2013 alternative analysis desired by the Board, Independent Experts or with commentary by Manitoba Hydro in its June 19, 2013 letter Intervenors;

Through this letter, CAC MB seeks direction from the PUB that:

- the DSM potential study should be filed no later than August 16, 2013;
- additional alternative analysis is open to the Board, Independent Experts and Interveners after August 16, 2013

# The DSM Potential Study

study. The energy efficiency experts retained by CAC MB have advised it that the delay associated with the DSM potential study is unusual and cause for surprised to see that Manitoba Hydro still has not completed its DSM potential concern in terms of their ability to properly conduct their duties When CAC MB attended the first NFAT pre-hearing conference, it was

300 – 287 BROADWAY WINNIPEG, MANITOBA R3C OR9

TEL:

204.985.8544 204.985.8540

CAC MB understands that DSM potential studies, depending on their scope

E-MAIL: centre@pilc.mb.ca

prior end-use baseline studies developed using primary research, this timeframe may be elongated. However, as a matter of practice, the conduct of a DSM potential study should not typically exceed one full year. may commonly take between 4-6 months to complete. To the extent the study scope includes

ability of the Board to fulfill its mandate and the ability of Interveners to do their job. It is our understanding that the RFP for Manitoba Hydro's potential study was released over two years ago. Its filing has been long awaited and long delayed. CAC MB is gravely concerned that any further delay in the release of the DSM potential study may impair the review and appreciate Hydro's assessment of this resource's potential. to be considered as an alternative resource, the Board and Interveners must have the time to

to the terms and conditions laid out by the PUB. that receipt of this information in a timely fashion is essential to its ability to properly respond basic economic assumptions including avoided energy and capacity costs, discount rate, and others. Given the complexity involved in analyzing DSM potential studies, CAC MB believes energy benefits, and assumed market adoption rates with and without programs; as well as consumption, utility and participant costs, energy and capacity savings, useful lives, nonmeasure and for each bundle or category of measures, baseline consumption, measure developed, characterized and screened; all key measure inputs used including, for each thorough description of the methodology employed including how individual measures were Given this perspective, it is our client's view that the DSM potential study, *including all of its* supporting information, should be released no later than at the same time as the NFAT filing in August. This filing should include the study's results; the results of any scenarios examined; a

potential study and all supporting information must be supplied prior to or by August 16, 2013 Accordingly, CAC MB seeks directive from the PUB to Manitoba Hydro indicating that the DSM

## **Alternatives Analysis**

in reviewing the Manitoba Hydro letter regarding *Order* 67/13 dated June 19, 2013, CAC MB was surprised to see the following statement from Manitoba Hydro:

for selecting the Preferred Development Plan but also out of necessity given the time it takes to prepare these studies. The analytical work associated with the Plans and be included in its August 16, 2013 filing will provide ample opportunities for consideration of the Plans. As such Manitoba Hydro does not anticipate a need for the outset was not only to provide numerous comparisons demonstrating the basis additional studies. Manitoba Hydro's decision to include a broad range of studies at of the hearing schedule. Manitoba Hydro has repeatedly indicated that the Plans and Scenarios is extremely time consuming and cannot be completed within the time frames Manitoba Hydro is of the view that the wide range of Plans & Scenarios intended to

Scenarios must be completed at the outset of the NFAT process so as to accommodate their detailed review during the time allotted for the NFAT process. The utility is very once the process is underway. (emphasis added) concerned with the suggestion that it may be expected to develop additional studies

more robust in its alternatives analysis.1 the CEC during the Wuskwatim proceeding concerning the need for Manitoba Hydro to be the credibility of the NFAT process. This is especially the case given the recommendation by alternatives other than the ones it chooses to present on August 16, 2013 will reflect poorly on CAC MB is concerned that Hydro's apparent unwillingness to undertake analysis

not be consistent with the PUB terms of reference and may materially impair the ability of the Just as importantly, efforts to constrain any post August 16, 2013 alternatives analysis would Alternatives to the Hydro Preferred Plan. PUB, Independent Witnesses and Interveners to properly evaluate the Need for and

stakeholders' ability to assess the value of Manitoba Hydro's proposal. efficiency or DSM portfolio as part of their analysis.<sup>2</sup> This approach would appear to be plans evaluated in Hydro's NFAT submission appear to present an alternative energy an equal footing with supply-side alternatives. It is noteworthy that none of the 7 alternative cost planning process, it is imperative that demand-side management options be examined on inconsistent with least-cost integrated resource planning and severely diminishes For example, as outlined in the NFAT Terms of Reference and as is appropriate for any least-

alternatives might be equally deserving of additional analysis. The approach hinted at by Manitoba Hydro in its letter of June 19, 2013 would appear to give Manitoba Hydro an undue While energy efficiency is of particular concern to interveners such as CAC MB,3 other role in dictating the terms of the alternatives analysis.

Given these concerns, CAC MB is seeking direction from the Board that additional alternatives

During the Wuskwatim NFAT proceeding, the Clean Environment Commission identified the importance of developing a more modern portfolio analysis for the purpose of considering the Alternatives to major projects It stated that:

Improving technical and end use efficiency should also be considerations in the initial screening stage. Such considerations would give added emphasis and priority to the development of SSE, DSM and NUG

S.8.2 Alternatives To Wuskwatim In MANITOBA HYDRO AND NISICHAWAYASIHK CREE NATIO WUSKWATIM PROJECTS HEARING BEFORE THE MANITOBA CLEAN ENVIRONMENT COMMISSION REPORT ON REVIEW OF THE NEED FOR AND ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECTS ECONALYSIS CONULTING SERVICES FEBRUARY 10, 2004.

Hydro presentation to the Pre-hearing Conference, p 4.

WN The issue of energy efficiency also is clearly important to other Intervernors such as the Green Action Centre

may be considered after August 16, 2013. CAC MB would be pleased to engage in discussions with the PUB, Hydro and other Interveners regarding a process to determine how such alternatives could be considered.

### Conclusion

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Yours truly,

BYRON WILLIAMS DIRECTOR

BW/sk

cc. CAC Manitoba Interveners Manitoba Hydro