MANITOBA PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD

Re:

PUBLIC HEARING RE: MANITOBA PUBLIC INSURANCE GENERAL RATE APPLICATION FOR THE 2013/14 INSURANCE YEAR

Before Board Panel:

Regis	Gosselin	_	Board	Chairman
Karen	Botting	_	Board	Member
Anita	Neville	_	Board	Member

HELD AT:

Public Utilities Board 400, 330 Portage Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba September 25, 2012 Pages 1 to 307

2	4
1 APPEARANCES	1 TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)
2 Candace Grammond )Board Counsel	2 PAGE NO.
3	3
4 Kathy Kalinowsky )MPI	4 Continued Examination-in-Chief
5	5 by Ms. Kathy Kalinowsky 232
6 Byron Williams )CAC	6
7 8 Raymond Oakes )CMMG	7 8
9	9 Certificate of Transcript 307
10 Liz Peters )CAA	10
11	11
12	12
13	13
14	14
15	15
16 17	16
18	17 18
19	19
20	20
21	21
22	22
23	23
24	24
25	25
3	5
1 TABLE OF CONTENTS	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS
2 Page No.	2 No. Description Page No.
3 List of Exhibits 5	3 PUB-1 Notice of Public Hearing and
4	4 PHC dated June 15, 2012 - filed
5 Opening Remarks by the Chairperson 92	5 at Pre-Hearing conference
6 Opening Remarks by Ms. Candace Grammond957 Opening Remarks by Mr. Byron Williams97	6 PUB-2 The Public Utilities Board's Rules 7 of Practice and Procedure - filed at
8 Opening Remarks by Mr. Raymond Oakes 98	8 Pre-Hearing Conference
9 Opening Remarks by Ms. Liz Peters 99	9 PUB-3 Proposed Timetable filed at
10 Introductory remarks by Ms. Candace Grammond 100	10 Pre-Hearing Conference
11	11 PUB-4 Reminder Notice of Public Hearing
12 MPI PANEL:	12 dated August 14, 2012 12 DLP/MDL 5 1 The Public Litilities Directory
<ul><li>13 MARILYN MCLAREN, Sworn</li><li>14 HEATHER REICHERT, Sworn</li></ul>	13 PUB/MPI-5-1 The Public Utilities Directors 14 Board's Information and Audit
14 HEATHER REICHERT, Sworn 15 LUKE JOHNSTON, Sworn	15 Requests and Manitoba & Committee
16	16 Public Insurance's Minutes
17 Examination-in-Chief by Ms. Kathy Kalinowsky 137	17 Responses - 1st Round
18	18 PUB/MPI-5-2 The Public Utilities Refile of
19 Public Presentations:	19 Board's Information T1.15,
100 Ma Tara MaMaha / Jasar Osatan 100	20 Requests and Manitoba T1.15A
20   Mr. Tom McMahn/Jason Carter   189     21   Mr. Doug Houghton   205	21 Public Insurance's
21 Mr. Doug Houghton 205	21Public Insurance's22Responses - 1st Round
21Mr. Doug Houghton20522Mr. Robyn Gray217	21Public Insurance's22Responses - 1st Round23
21 Mr. Doug Houghton 205	22 Responses - 1st Round
21Mr. Doug Houghton20522Mr. Robyn Gray21723Mr. Alcid Delaurier225	22Responses - 1st Round23

6	8
1 LIST OF EXHIBITS	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS
2 No. Description Page No.	2 No. Description Page No.
3 PUB/MPI-5-3 The Public Utilities Refile of	3 PUB/MPI-5-11 The Public Utilities Held for
4 Board's Information T1.14, T1.15,	4 Board's Information trading
5 Requests and Manitoba T1.15A	5 Requests and Manitoba investments
6 Public Insurance's	6 Public Insurance's
7 Responses - 1st Round 8 PUB/MPI-5-4 The Public Utilities Annual Report	7 Responses - 1st Round 8 PUB/MPI-5-12 The Public Utilities Investment
8 PUB/MPI-5-4 The Public Utilities Annual Report 9 Board's Information	9 Board's Information Unrealized
10 Requests and Manitoba	10 Requests and Manitoba gains
11 Public Insurance's	11 Public Insurance's
12 Responses - 1st Round	12 Responses - 1st Round
13 PUB/MPI-5-5 The Public Utilities IFRS, IT	13 PUB/MPI-5-13 The Public Utilities Investment
14 Board's Information projects	14 Board's Information Allocation
15 Requests and Manitoba	15 Requests and Manitoba Formula
16 Public Insurance's	16 Public Insurance's
17 Responses - 1st Round	17 Responses - 1st Round
18 PUB/MPI-5-6 The Public Utilities Investment	18 PUB/MPI-5-14 The Public Utilities Investment
19 Board's Information Management	19 Board's Information policy
<ul><li>20 Requests and Manitoba</li><li>21 Public Insurance's</li></ul>	<ul><li>20 Requests and Manitoba statement</li><li>21 Public Insurance's</li></ul>
22 Responses - 1st Round	22 Responses - 1st Round
23	23
24	24
25	25
7	9
1 LIST OF EXHIBITS	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2 No.DescriptionPage No.	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS 2 No. Description Page No.
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS 2 No. Description Page No. 3 PUB/MPI-5-15 The Public Utilities Impact of
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2 No.DescriptionPage No.3 PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2 No.DescriptionPage No.3 PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-15       The Public Utilities       Impact of         4       Board's Information       change in         5       Requests and Manitoba       yield curve         6       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 1st Round         8       PUB/MPI-5-16       The Public Utilities         9       Board's Information       policy         10       Requests and Manitoba       liabilities -
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff12Responses - 1st Round
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public UtilitiesA1.9Schedule	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-17The Public Utilities13PUB/MPI-5-17The Public Utilities
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-17The Public Utilities14Board's InformationDiscounting &
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-17The Public Utilities14Board's InformationDiscounting &15Requests and ManitobaPFAD
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-17The Public Utilities14Board's InformationDiscounting &15Requests and ManitobaPFAD16Public Insurance's
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-17The Public Utilities14Board's InformationDiscounting &15Requests and ManitobaPFAD16Public Insurance's
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-17The Public Utilities14Board's InformationDiscounting &15Requests and ManitobaPFAD16Public Insurance'sT17Responses - 1st Round
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-10The Public Utilities18PUB/MPI-5-10The Public Utilities	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-17The Public Utilities14Board's InformationDiscounting &15Requests and ManitobaPFAD16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-18The Public Utilities18PUB/MPI-5-18The Public Utilities
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-1019Board's Information10Requests and Manitoba10Public Insurance's11Public Insurance's12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-1014Board's Information15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-1019Board's Information10Requests and Manitoba11Requests and Manitoba12Requests and Manitoba13Public Insurance's	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-15       The Public Utilities       Impact of         4       Board's Information       change in         5       Requests and Manitoba       yield curve         6       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 1st Round         8       PUB/MPI-5-16       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         9       Board's Information       policy         10       Requests and Manitoba       liabilities -         11       Public Insurance's       runoff         12       Responses - 1st Round         13       PUB/MPI-5-17       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         14       Board's Information       Discounting &         15       Requests and Manitoba       PFAD         16       Public Insurance's       PAD         17       Responses - 1st Round       PUB/MPI-5-18         18       PUB/MPI-5-18       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         19       Board's Information       related work       PU         20       Requests and Manitoba       Public Insurance's       Public Insurance's
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-1019Board's Information10Requests and Manitoba11Public Insurance's12Requests and Manitoba13PUB/MPI-5-1014Public Utilities15Forecast19Board's Information10Requests and Manitoba11Public Insurance's12Responses - 1st Round13Public Insurance's14Public Insurance's15Responses - 1st Round	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-15The Public UtilitiesImpact of4Board's Informationchange in5Requests and Manitobayield curve6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-16The Public Utilities9Board's Informationpolicy10Requests and Manitobaliabilities -11Public Insurance'srunoff12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-17The Public Utilities14Board's InformationDiscounting &15Requests and ManitobaPFAD16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-18The Public Utilities19Board's Informationrelated work20Requests and Manitoba21Public Insurance's22Responses - 1st Round
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-1019Board's Information10Requests and Manitoba11Public Insurance's12Requests and Manitoba13PUB/MPI-5-1014Public Utilities15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Requests and Manitoba18PUB/MPI-5-1019Board's Information10Requests and Manitoba21Public Insurance's22Responses - 1st Round2323	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-15       The Public Utilities       Impact of         4       Board's Information       change in         5       Requests and Manitoba       yield curve         6       Public Insurance's       7         7       Responses - 1st Round       8         8       PUB/MPI-5-16       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         9       Board's Information policy       10       Requests and Manitoba liabilities -         11       Public Insurance's runoff       12       Responses - 1st Round         13       PUB/MPI-5-17       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         13       PUB/MPI-5-17       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         14       Board's Information       Discounting &         15       Requests and Manitoba       PFAD         16       Public Insurance's       17         17       Responses - 1st Round       18         18       PUB/MPI-5-18       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         19       Board's Information       related work       20         10       Requests and Manitoba <td< td=""></td<>
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-1019Board's Information10Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-1019Board's Information20Requests and Manitoba21Public Insurance's22Responses - 1st Round2324	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-15       The Public Utilities       Impact of         4       Board's Information       change in         5       Requests and Manitoba       yield curve         6       Public Insurance's       7         7       Responses - 1st Round       8         8       PUB/MPI-5-16       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         9       Board's Information policy       10       Requests and Manitoba liabilities -         11       Public Insurance's runoff       12       Responses - 1st Round         13       PUB/MPI-5-17       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         14       Board's Information       Discounting &         15       Requests and Manitoba       PFAD         16       Public Insurance's       17         17       Responses - 1st Round       18         18       PUB/MPI-5-18       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         19       Board's Information       related work       20         20       Requests and Manitoba       11       Public Insurance's         21       Public Insurance's       2
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-7The Public UtilitiesReal Estate &4Board's InformationInfrastructure5Requests and ManitobaInvestment6Public Insurance's77Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-8The Public Utilities9Board's InformationInvestment10Requests and ManitobaPortfolio11Public Insurance'sComposition12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-9The Public Utilities14Board's Information6 Investments15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-1019Board's Information10Requests and Manitoba11Public Insurance's12Requests and Manitoba13PUB/MPI-5-1014Public Utilities15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Requests and Manitoba18PUB/MPI-5-1019Board's Information20Requests and Manitoba21Public Insurance's22Responses - 1st Round2323	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-15       The Public Utilities       Impact of         4       Board's Information       change in         5       Requests and Manitoba       yield curve         6       Public Insurance's       7         7       Responses - 1st Round       8         8       PUB/MPI-5-16       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         9       Board's Information policy       10       Requests and Manitoba liabilities -         11       Public Insurance's runoff       12       Responses - 1st Round         13       PUB/MPI-5-17       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         13       PUB/MPI-5-17       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         14       Board's Information       Discounting &         15       Requests and Manitoba       PFAD         16       Public Insurance's       17         17       Responses - 1st Round       18         18       PUB/MPI-5-18       The Public Utilities       Valuation of         19       Board's Information       related work       20         10       Requests and Manitoba <td< td=""></td<>

Г

	10			12
1		1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	LIST OF EXHIBITS	2	No. Description Page No.	
3	No. Description Page No.	3	PUB/MPI-5-27 The Public Utilities Changes in	
4	PUB/MPI-5-19 The Public Utilities Valuation of	4	Board's Information methodology and	
5	Board's Information policy	5	Requests and Manitoba assumptions in	
6	Requests and Manitoba liabilities	6	Public Insurance's GRA filing	
7	Public Insurance's	7	Responses - 1st Round	
8	Responses - 1st Round		PUB/MPI-5-28 The Public Utilities CLEAR, Benefit	
9	PUB/MPI-5-20 The Public Utilities T1.17	9	Board's Information ratings	
10	Board's Information reconciliations	11	Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's	
12	Requests and Manitoba pg 52 Public Insurance's	12	Responses - 1st Round	
13	Responses - 1st Round		PUB/MPI-5-29 The Public Utilities Claims incurred	
14	PUB/MPI-5-21 The Public Utilities T1.17 exhibits	14	Board's Information	
15	Board's Information - graphs	15	Requests and Manitoba	
16	Requests and Manitoba	16	Public Insurance's	
17	Public Insurance's	17	Responses - 1st Round	
18	Responses - 1st Round	18	PUB/MPI-5-30 The Public Utilities PIPP costs	
19	PUB/MPI-5-22 The Public Utilities T1.17 models -	19	Board's Information	
20	Board's Information attributes	20	Requests and Manitoba	
21	Requests and Manitoba	21	Public Insurance's	
22	Public Insurance's	22	Responses - 1st Round	
23	Responses - 1st Round	23		
24		24		
25		25		
	11			13
1	11 LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	13
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	· ·	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No.	13
	LIST OF EXHIBITS	2		13
2	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information	2	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information	13
2 3 4 5	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba	13
2 3 4 5 6	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's	2 3 4 5 6	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's	13
2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information Requests and Manitoba	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-33 The Public Utilities Operational and	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-33 The Public Utilities Operational and Board's Information Claims history	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and Requests and Manitoba loss costs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-33 The Public Utilities Operational and Board's Information Claims history Requests and Manitoba	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and Requests and Manitoba loss costs Public Insurance's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-33 The Public Utilities Operational and Board's Information Claims history Requests and Manitoba PUB/MPI-5-33 The Public Utilities Operational and Board's Information Claims history Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and Requests and Manitoba loss costs Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-26 The Public Utilities Revenue Board's Information requirements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-31 The Public Utilities PIPP costs Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-32 The Public Utilities Trend analysis Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-33 The Public Utilities Operational and Board's Information Claims history Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-33 The Public Utilities Operational and Board's Information Claims history Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-34 The Public Utilities Expense Board's Information allocation	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and Requests and Manitoba loss costs Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-26 The Public Utilities Revenue Board's Information requirements Requests and Manitoba T1.2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	No.DescriptionPage No.PUB/MPI-5-31The Public UtilitiesPIPP costsBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundTrend analysisPUB/MPI-5-32The Public UtilitiesTrend analysisBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sTrend analysisBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesPublic Insurance'sOperational andBoard's InformationClaims historyRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPublic Insurance'sPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPublic InformationallocationRequests and ManitobaFormulas	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and Requests and Manitoba loss costs Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-26 The Public Utilities Revenue Board's Information requirements Requests and Manitoba T1.2 Public Insurance's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	No.DescriptionPage No.PUB/MPI-5-31The Public UtilitiesPIPP costsBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-32The Public UtilitiesTrend analysisBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesOperational andBoard's InformationClaims historyRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPublic Insurance'sRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sInformationBoard's InformationallocationRequests and ManitobaFurphensePublic Insurance'sInformationPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance's	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and Requests and Manitoba loss costs Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-26 The Public Utilities Revenue Board's Information requirements Requests and Manitoba T1.2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	No.DescriptionPage No.PUB/MPI-5-31The Public UtilitiesPIPP costsBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundTrend analysisPUB/MPI-5-32The Public UtilitiesTrend analysisBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sTrend analysisBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesPublic Insurance'sOperational andBoard's InformationClaims historyRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPublic Insurance'sPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPublic InformationallocationRequests and ManitobaFormulas	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and Requests and Manitoba loss costs Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-26 The Public Utilities Revenue Board's Information requirements Requests and Manitoba T1.2 Public Insurance's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	No.DescriptionPage No.PUB/MPI-5-31The Public UtilitiesPIPP costsBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-32The Public UtilitiesTrend analysisBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesOperational andBoard's InformationClaims historyRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPublic Insurance'sRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sInformationBoard's InformationallocationRequests and ManitobaFurphensePublic Insurance'sInformationPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance's	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and Requests and Manitoba loss costs Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-26 The Public Utilities Revenue Board's Information requirements Requests and Manitoba T1.2 Public Insurance's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	No.DescriptionPage No.PUB/MPI-5-31The Public UtilitiesPIPP costsBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-32The Public UtilitiesTrend analysisBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesOperational andBoard's InformationClaims historyRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPublic Insurance'sRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sInformationBoard's InformationallocationRequests and ManitobaFurphensePublic Insurance'sInformationPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance's	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-23 The Public Utilities T1.4., T1.17 Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-24 The Public Utilities Claims Board's Information forecasting Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-25 The Public Utilities Frequencies, Board's Information severities and Requests and Manitoba loss costs Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-26 The Public Utilities Revenue Board's Information requirements Requests and Manitoba T1.2 Public Insurance's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	No.DescriptionPage No.PUB/MPI-5-31The Public UtilitiesPIPP costsBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-32The Public UtilitiesTrend analysisBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sBoard's InformationRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-33The Public UtilitiesOperational andBoard's InformationClaims historyRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sResponses - 1st RoundPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPUB/MPI-5-34The Public UtilitiesPublic Insurance'sRequests and ManitobaPublic Insurance'sInformationBoard's InformationallocationRequests and ManitobaFurphensePublic Insurance'sInformationPublic Insurance'sPublic Insurance's	13

	14		16
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-5-35 The Public Utilities Expense Board's Information allocation Requests and Manitoba formulas Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-36 The Public Utilities Expense Board's Information allocation Requests and Manitoba Improvements Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-37 The Public Utilities Allocation of Board's Information expenses to Requests and Manitoba basic Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-38 The Public Utilities Corporate Board's Information Expenses Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-43       The Public Utilities       benchmarking         4       Board's Information       5       Requests and Manitoba         6       Public Insurance's       7       Responses - 1st Round         8       PUB/MPI-5-44       The Public Utilities       benchmarking         9       Board's Information       10       Requests and Manitoba         11       Public Insurance's       12       Responses - 1st Round         13       PUB/MPI-5-45       The Public Utilities       benchmarking         14       Board's Information       - return of         15       Requests and Manitoba       premium         16       Public Insurance's       17         17       Responses - 1st Round       18         18       PUB/MPI-5-46       The Public Utilities       compensation         19       Board's Information       increases         20       Requests and Manitoba         21       Public Insurance's       12         22       Responses - 1st Round       13         23       24       25	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Board's Information Initiatives Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-47       The Public Utilities       Staffing levels         4       Board's Information       5       Requests and Manitoba         6       Public Insurance's       7       Responses - 1st Round         8       PUB/MPI-5-48       The Public Utilities       Average salary         9       Board's Information       levels         10       Requests and Manitoba         11       Public Insurance's         12       Responses - 1st Round         13       PUB/MPI-5-49       The Public Utilities         14       Board's Information       expenditures         15       Requests and Manitoba         16       Public Insurance's         17       Responses - 1st Round         18       PUB/MPI-5-50         19       Board's Information       expenses         20       Requests and Manitoba         11       Public Insurance's         12       Responses - 1st Round         13       PUB/MPI-5-50         14       Board's Information       expenses         20       Requests and Manitoba	17

18	20
1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-51       The Public Utilities       IT         4       Board's Information       optimization         5       Requests and Manitoba         6       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 1st Round         8       PUB/MPI-5-52         9       Board's Information       optimization         10       Requests and Manitoba       budget         11       Public Insurance's       It         12       Responses - 1st Round       Business         14       Board's Information       process review         15       Requests and Manitoba       budget         11       Public Insurance's       It         12       Responses - 1st Round       It         13       PUB/MPI-5-53       The Public Utilities       Business         14       Board's Information       process review         15       Requests and Manitoba       It         16       Public Insurance's       It         17       Responses - 1st Round       It         18       PUB/MPI-5-54       The Public Utilities       D	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2No.DescriptionPage No.3PUB/MPI-5-59The Public UtilitiesRisk analysis4Board's InformationRequests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's7Responses - 1st Round8PUB/MPI-5-60The Public UtilitiesDCAT, driver9Board's Informationsafety rating10Requests and Manitoba11Public Insurance's12Responses - 1st Round13PUB/MPI-5-61The Public Utilities14Board's Information15Requests and Manitoba16Public Insurance's17Responses - 1st Round18PUB/MPI-5-6219Board's Information20Requests and Manitoba21Public Insurance's22Responses - 1st Round23242525
1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-55       The Public Utilities       Information         4       Board's Information       technology         5       Requests and Manitoba         6       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 1st Round         8       PUB/MPI-5-56       The Public Utilities         9       Board's Information       replacement         10       Requests and Manitoba         11       Public Insurance's         12       Responses - 1st Round         13       PUB/MPI-5-57         14       Board's Information         15       Requests and Manitoba         16       Public Insurance's         17       Responses - 1st Round         18       PUB/MPI-5-58         17       Responses - 1st Round         18       PUB/MPI-5-58         19       Board's Information         20       Requests and Manitoba         21       Public Insurance's         22       Responses - 1st Round         23       4         25	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-5-63       The Public Utilities       Anti theft         4       Board's Information       initiative         5       Requests and Manitoba         6       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 1st Round         8       PUB/MPI-5-64         10       Requests and Manitoba         11       Public Insurance's         12       Responses - 1st Round         13       PUB/MPI-5-65         14       Board's Information         15       Requests and Manitoba         16       Public Insurance's         17       Responses - 1st Round         18       PUB/MPI-5-66         19       Board's Information         18       PUB/MPI-5-66         19       Board's Information         19       Board's Information         19       Board's Information         20       Requests and Manitoba         21       Public Insurance's         22       Responses - 1st Round         23       24         25       Public Insurance's

	22			24
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	No. Description Page No.	2	No. Description Page No.	
3	PUB/MPI-5-67 The Public Utilities Wildlife	3	PUB/MPI-5-75 The Public Utilities Claim buybacks	
4	Board's Information project	4	Board's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's	6	Public Insurance's	
7	Responses - 1st Round	7	Responses - 1st Round	
8	PUB/MPI-5-68 The Public Utilities Distracted	8	PUB/MPI-5-76 The Public Utilities PAYD	
9	Board's Information driving	9	Board's Information	
10	Requests and Manitoba	10	Requests and Manitoba	
11	Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	11	Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	
13	PUB/MPI-5-69 The Public Utilities Impaired		-	
14	Board's Information Driving	14	Board's Information	
15	Requests and Manitoba	15	Requests and Manitoba	
16	Public Insurance's	16	Public Insurance's	
17	Responses - 1st Round	17	Responses - 1st Round	
18	PUB/MPI-5-70 The Public Utilities Light cameras		PUB/MPI-6-1 The Public Utilities Audit Committee	
19	Board's Information and photo	19	Board's Information Meeting	
20	Requests and Manitoba radar	20	Requests and Manitoba	
21	Public Insurance's	21	Public Insurance's	
22	Responses - 1st Round	22	Responses - 2nd Round	
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
	23			25
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	No. Description Page No.		No. Description Page No.	
3	PUB/MPI-5-71 The Public Utilities Infrastructure	3	PUB/MPI-6-2 The Public Utilities Volume factor	
4	Board's Information funding	4	Board's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's	6	Public Insurance's	
7	Responses - 1st Round	7	Responses - 2nd Round	
8	PUB/MPI-5-72 The Public Utilities Graduated	8	PUB/MPI-6-3 The Public Utilities Investment	
9	Board's Information licensing	9	Board's Information Committee	
10	Requests and Manitoba	10	Requests and Manitoba	
11	Public Insurance's	11	Public Insurance's	
12	Responses - 1st Round	12	Responses - 2nd Round	
	PUB/MPI-5-73 The Public Utilities Insurance	-	PUB/MPI-6-4 The Public Utilities Investment	
14	Board's Information coverage	14	Board's Information Committee	
15	Requests and Manitoba comparison	15	Requests and Manitoba	
16	Public Insurance's	16	Public Insurance's	
17	Responses - 1st Round PUB/MPI-5-74 The Public Utilities Family		Responses - 2nd Round PUB/MPI-6-5 The Public Utilities Investment	
10	Board's Information transfers	10	Board's Information Committee	
20	Requests and Manitoba	20	Requests and Manitoba	
21	Public Insurance's	21	Public Insurance's	
22	Responses - 1st Round	22	Responses - 2nd Round	
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
120				

		26	28
2         No.         D           3         PUB/MPI-6-6           4         Box           5         Re           6         Pull           7         Re           8         PUB/MPI-6-7           9         Box           10         Re           11         Pull           12         Re           13         PUB/MPI-6-7           14         Box           15         Re           16         Pull           17         Re           18         PUB/MPI-6-5           19         Box           20         Re           21         Pull	IST OF EXHIBITS pescription Page No. 5 The Public Utilities Real Estate ard's Information Fees quests and Manitoba blic Insurance's sponses - 2nd Round 7 The Public Utilities Investments ard's Information quests and Manitoba blic Insurance's sponses - 2nd Round 3 The Public Utilities Claims ard's Information quests and Manitoba blic Insurance's sponses - 2nd Round 9 The Public Utilities PIPP ard's Information indexation quests and Manitoba blic Insurance's sponses - 2nd Round 9 The Public Utilities PIPP ard's Information indexation quests and Manitoba blic Insurance's sponses - 2nd Round	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	2 No.       Description       Page No.         3 PUB/MPI-6-14       The Public Utilities       Claims         4       Board's Information       reduction 2011         5       Requests and Manitoba       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 2nd Round         8       PUB/MPI-6-15       The Public Utilities         9       Board's Information       expenses         9       Requests and Manitoba       Public Insurance's         9       Requests and Manitoba       Public Insurance's         9       Responses - 2nd Round       Public Insurance's         9       Responses - 2nd Round       Public Insurance's         9       Responses - 2nd Round       Public Insurance's         9       Requests and Manitoba       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 2nd Round       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 2nd Round       Public Insurance's         8       Public Insurance's       Board's Information         9       Requests and Manitoba       Public Insurance's         9       Board's Information       Requests and Manitoba         9       Responses - 2nd Round       Public Insurance's         9       Responses - 2nd Round       Resp
2         No.         D           3         PUB/MPI-6-7           4         Box           5         Re           6         Pull           7         Re           8         PUB/MPI-6-7           9         Box           10         Re           11         Pull           12         Re	IST OF EXHIBITS Pescription Page No. 10 The Public Utilities Inflation rates ard's Information quests and Manitoba blic Insurance's sponses - 2nd Round 11 The Public Utilities Loss ratios ard's Information quests and Manitoba blic Insurance's sponses - 2nd Round	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>2 No. Description Page No.</li> <li>3 PUB/MPI-6-18 The Public Utilities Comparison ICBC</li> <li>4 Board's Information &amp; SGI (PUB43c)</li> <li>5 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>5 Public Insurance's</li> <li>7 Responses - 2nd Round</li> <li>8 PUB/MPI-6-19 The Public Utilities Comparable</li> <li>9 Board's Information covers</li> <li>0 Requests and Manitoba (PUB 44a)</li> <li>1 Public Insurance's</li> <li>2 Responses - 2nd Round</li> </ul>
14         Box           15         Re           16         Pul           17         Re           18         PUB/MPI-6           19         Box           20         Re           21         Pul	12 The Public Utilities Drift ard's Information Assumptions quests and Manitoba blic Insurance's sponses - 2nd Round 13 The Public Utilities MRI RG ard's Information quests and Manitoba blic Insurance's sponses - 2nd Round	13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 24 25	<ul> <li>Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>Public Insurance's</li> <li>Responses - 2nd Round</li> <li>PUB/MPI-6-21 The Public Utilities Capital expense</li> <li>Board's Information (CAC121d)</li> <li>Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>Public Insurance's</li> <li>Responses - 2nd Round</li> </ul>

	30	32
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LIST OF EXHIBITS No. Description Page No. PUB/MPI-6-22 The Public Utilities Wages and Board's Information benefits Requests and Manitoba (PUB48b) Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round PUB/MPI-6-23 The Public Utilities IT spending Board's Information (PUB51) Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round PUB/MPI-6-24 The Public Utilities IT spending Board's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round PUB/MPI-6-25 The Public Utilities Savings Board's Information (PUB52a) Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-6-29       The Public Utilities       Risk analysis         4       Board's Information       (PUB59)         5       Requests and Manitoba         6       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 2nd Round         8       PUB/MPI-6-30       The Public Utilities         9       Board's Information       (PUB60)         10       Requests and Manitoba         11       Public Insurance's         12       Responses - 2nd Round         13       PUB/MPI-6-31       The Public Utilities         14       Board's Information         15       Requests and Manitoba         16       Public Insurance's         17       Responses - 2nd Round         18       PUB/MPI-6-32       The Public Utilities         19       Board's Information       amended (CAC4)         20       Requests and Manitoba         21       Public Insurance's       22         22       Responses - 2nd Round       23         24       25       22       Responses - 2nd Round
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Board's Information (PUB52a) Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round PUB/MPI-6-26 The Public Utilities IT Board's Information Optimization Requests and Manitoba (PUB55b) Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       No.       Description       Page No.         3       PUB/MPI-6-33       The Public Utilities       Road safety         4       Board's Information (PUB64)       Frequests and Manitoba         6       Public Insurance's       Public Insurance's         7       Responses - 2nd Round         8       PUB/MPI-6-34       The Public Utilities         9       Board's Information (PUB65)         10       Requests and Manitoba         11       Public Insurance's         12       Responses - 2nd Round         13       PUB/MPI-6-35         14       Board's Information Canada Standard         15       Requests and Manitoba (PUB65f)         16       Public Insurance's         17       Responses - 2nd Round         18       PUB/MPI-6-36       The Public Utilities Claims Costs         19       Board's Information (PUB70b)       Public Insurance's         21       Public Insurance's       Public Insurance's         22       Responses - 2nd Round       Public Insurance's         23       Public Insurance's       Public Insurance's         22       Responses - 2nd Round       Public Insurance's      <

		34			36
1		LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
	No.	Description Page No.	2	CAC/MPI-1-1 Consumers' Association DCAT	
3		I-6-37 The Public Utilities All perils	3	of Canada (Manitoba) methodology	
4		Board's Information coverage	4	Branch's Information	
5		Requests and Manitoba (PUB73)	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6		Public Insurance's	6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7		Responses - 2nd Round	7	- 1st Round	
8	PUB/MP	I-6-38 The Public Utilities Road safety	8	CAC/MPI-1-2 Consumers' Association DCAT	
9		Board's Information	9	of Canada (Manitoba) methodology	
10		Requests and Manitoba	10	Branch's Information & RSR	
11		Public Insurance's	11	Requests and Manitoba	
12		Responses - 2nd Round	12	Public Insurance's Responses	
_	MPI-1	Spreadsheet of costs to MPI	13	- 1st Round	
14		associated with past GRAs - filed	14	CAC/MPI-1-3 Consumers' Association DCAT	
15		at Pre-Hearing conference	15	of Canada (Manitoba) methodology	
16	MPI-2	Chart showing the number of Information Requests from 1990 GRA to 2012 GRA -	16	Branch's Information & RSR	
18		filed at Pre-hearing Conference	18	Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	
_	MPI-3-1	-	19	- 1st Round	
20		July 16, 2012, with attached exhibits	20	CAC/MPI-1-4 Consumers' Association DCAT	
21		stating that all interested parties	21	of Canada (Manitoba) methodology	
22		were served Notice of Public Hearing	22	Branch's Information & RSR	
23		and Pre-Hearing Conference and rate	23	Requests and Manitoba	
24		application.	24	Public Insurance's Responses	
25			25	- 1st Round	
		35			37
1		LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	No.	Description Page No.	2	CAC/MPI-1-5 Consumers' Association Annual Report	
3	MPI-3-2	Affidavit of Kate Fenske sworn	3	of Canada (Manitoba) pg 31 RSR	
4		August 29, 2012, with attached exhibits	4	Branch's Information	
5		of Notice of Public Hearing	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6		and Pre-Hearing Conference in the	6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7		required newspapers.		- 1st Round	
8	MPI-3-3	Affidavit of Kate Fenske sworn	8	CAC/MPI-1-6 Consumers' Association RSR	
9 10		August 39, 2012 with attached exhibits of Reminder Notice of Public Hearing	9	of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information	
11		dated August 14, 2012 published in the	11	Requests and Manitoba	
12		required newspapers.	12	Public Insurance's Responses	
	MPI-4	MPI 2013 Rate Application - Volume ,	13	- 1st Round	
14		filed June 15, 2012 - filed at Pre-	14	CAC/MPI-1-7 Consumers' Association DCAT report	
15		Hearing Conference	15	of Canada (Manitoba)	
16	MPI-5	MPI 2013 Rate Application - Volume II,	16	Branch's Information	
17		Part 1 and 2 filed June 15, 2012 -	17	Requests and Manitoba	
18		filed at Pre-Hearing Conference	18	Public Insurance's Responses	
	MPI-6	MPI 2013 Rate Application - Volume III,	19	- 1st Round	
20		filed June 15, 2012 - filed at Pre-	20	CAC/MPI-1-8 Consumers' Association MCT & DCAT	
			1.71	of Canada (Manitoba)	
21		Hearing Conference	21		
21 22		Hearing Conference	22	Branch's Information	
21 22 23		Hearing Conference	22 23	Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	
21 22		Hearing Conference	22	Branch's Information	

\_

	38			40
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	CAC/MPI-1-9 Consumers' Association T1.14	· ·	CAC/MPI-1-54 Consumers' Association Basic claim	
3	of Canada (Manitoba)	3	of Canada (Manitoba) incurred	
4	Branch's Information	4	Branch's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7	- 1st Round	7	- 1st Round	
8	CAC/MPI-1-10 Consumers' Association Decline in	8	CAC/MPI-1-55 Consumers' Association Forecast	
9	of Canada (Manitoba) equity markets	9	of Canada (Manitoba) initial vs.	
10	Branch's Information	10	Branch's Information actual	
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba	
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses	
13	- 1st Round	13	- 1st Round	
14	CAC/MPI-1-11 Consumers' Association DCAT reports		CAC/MPI-1-56 Consumers' Association Efficacy of	
15	to 1-18 of Canada (Manitoba)	15	of Canada (Manitoba) forecasting	
16	Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information methodology	
17	Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba	
18	Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses	
19	- 1st Round	19	- 1st Round	
20	CAC/MPI-1-19 Consumers' Association Claims increase	20	CAC/MPI-1-57 Consumers' Association Policy	
21	of Canada (Manitoba)		to 1-58 of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities	
22	Branch's Information	22	Branch's Information	
23	Requests and Manitoba	23	Requests and Manitoba	
24	Public Insurance's Responses	24	Public Insurance's Responses	
25	- 1st Round	25	- 1st Round	
	20			
				411
	39			41
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHBIITS	41
1 2	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims		CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime	41
	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred	3	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants	41
2	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information		CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information	41
2 3	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	3	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	41
2 3 4	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	41
2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	3 4 5	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report	3 4 5 6 7	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Comparative	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association Salvage	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Comparative of Canada (Manitoba) claims forecast	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association Salvage of Canada (Manitoba) vehicles	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-53 Consumers' Association Comparative of Canada (Manitoba) claims forecast Branch's Information	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association Salvage of Canada (Manitoba) vehicles Branch's Information	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-53 Consumers' Association Comparative of Canada (Manitoba) claims forecast Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association Salvage of Canada (Manitoba) vehicles	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-53 Consumers' Association Comparative of Canada (Manitoba) claims forecast Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-62 Consumers' Association Salvage of Canada (Manitoba) vehicles Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-62 Consumers' Association Salvage of Canada (Manitoba) vehicles Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) incurred Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-20 Consumers' Association Annual Report to 1-50 of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-51 Consumers' Association Basic to 1-52 of Canada (Manitoba) ratemaking Branch's Information methodology Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-53 Consumers' Association Comparative of Canada (Manitoba) claims forecast Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CAC/MPI-1-59 Consumers' Association Lifetime of Canada (Manitoba) claimants Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-60 Consumers' Association Decrease in of Canada (Manitoba) liabilities Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-61 Consumers' Association PIPP benefit of Canada (Manitoba) enhancements Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-62 Consumers' Association Salvage of Canada (Manitoba) vehicles Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	41

	42	2		44
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	CAC/MPI-1-63 Consumers' Association Injury claims	2	CAC/MPI-1-71 Consumers' Association PIPP indexing	
3	of Canada (Manitoba)	3	of Canada (Manitoba)	
4	Branch's Information	4	Branch's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7	- 1st Round	7	- 1st Round	
8	CAC/MPI-1-64 Consumers' Association Claims reserve	8	CAC/MPI-1-72 Consumers' Association Repair rates	
9	of Canada (Manitoba) estimate	9	of Canada (Manitoba) growth factors	
10	Branch's Information	10	Branch's Information	
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba	
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses	
13	- 1st Round	13	- 1st Round	
14	CAC/MPI-1-65 Consumers' Association Deferred policy	14	CAC/MPI-1-73 Consumers' Association eGlass Claims	
15	of Canada (Manitoba) writedown	15	of Canada (Manitoba)	
16	Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information	
17	Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba	
18	Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses	
19	- 1st Round	19	- 1st Round	
20	CAC/MPI-1-66 Consumers' Association Internal loss		CAC/MPI-1-74 Consumers' Association Claims paid	
21	of Canada (Manitoba)	21	of Canada (Manitoba) expenses	
22	Branch's Information	22	Branch's Information	
23	Requests and Manitoba	23	Requests and Manitoba	
24	Public Insurance's Responses	24	Public Insurance's Responses	
25	- 1st Round	25	- 1st Round	
	43	:		45
	43			45
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1		45
2	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from	1 2	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated	45
23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes	1 2 3	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses	45
2 3 4	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information	1 2 3 4	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information	45
2 3 4 5	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	1 2 3 4 5	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	45
2 3 4	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	1 2 3 4 5 6	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	45
2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system Requests and Manitoba	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-70 Consumers' Association Direct PIPP	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-78 Consumers' Association Actuarial	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-70 Consumers' Association Direct PIPP of Canada (Manitoba) claims	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-78 Consumers' Association Actuarial of Canada (Manitoba) principles	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-70 Consumers' Association Direct PIPP of Canada (Manitoba) claims Branch's Information	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-78 Consumers' Association Actuarial of Canada (Manitoba) principles Branch's Information	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-70 Consumers' Association Direct PIPP of Canada (Manitoba) claims Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-78 Consumers' Association Actuarial of Canada (Manitoba) principles Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-70 Consumers' Association Direct PIPP of Canada (Manitoba) claims Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-78 Consumers' Association Actuarial of Canada (Manitoba) principles Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-67 Consumers' Association Losses from of Canada (Manitoba) catastrophes Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-68 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) frequency Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-69 Consumers' Association PIPP covers of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-70 Consumers' Association Direct PIPP of Canada (Manitoba) claims Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CAC/MPI-1-75 Consumers' Association Unallocated of Canada (Manitoba) loss expenses Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-76 Consumers' Association Administration of Canada (Manitoba) of PIPP Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-77 Consumers' Association Claims of Canada (Manitoba) management Branch's Information system Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-78 Consumers' Association Actuarial of Canada (Manitoba) principles Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	45

...

		46			48
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS		1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	CAC/MPI-1-79 Consumers' Association Investment		2	CAC/MPI-1-88 Consumers' Association Parking lot	
3	to 1-80 of Canada (Manitoba) income		3	of Canada (Manitoba) development	
4	Branch's Information		4	Branch's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba		5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's Responses		6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7	- 1st Round		7	- 1st Round	
8	CAC/MPI-1-81 Consumers' Association Properties		8	CAC/MPI-1-89 Consumers' Association Investment	
9	of Canada (Manitoba) sold		9	of Canada (Manitoba) Policy	
10	Branch's Information		10	Branch's Information Statement	
11	Requests and Manitoba		11	Requests and Manitoba	
12	Public Insurance's Responses		12	Public Insurance's Responses	
13	- 1st Round		13	- 1st Round	
14	CAC/MPI-1-82 Consumers' Association Investment		14	CAC/MPI-1-90 Consumers' Association Expense	
15	of Canada (Manitoba) performance		15	of Canada (Manitoba) alocation	
16	Branch's Information		16	Branch's Information	
17	Requests and Manitoba		17	Requests and Manitoba	
18	Public Insurance's Responses		18	Public Insurance's Responses	
19	- 1st Round		19	- 1st Round	
20	CAC/MPI-1-83 Consumers' Association Investment		20	CAC/MPI-1-91 Consumers' Association Road safety	
21	of Canada (Manitoba) writedown		21	of Canada (Manitoba) expense	
22	Branch's Information		22	Branch's Information	
23	Requests and Manitoba		23	Requests and Manitoba	
24	Public Insurance's Responses		24	Public Insurance's Responses	
25	- 1st Round		25	- 1st Round	
		47			49
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS		1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	CAC/MPI-1-84 Consumers' Association Investment		2	CAC/MPI-1-92 Consumers' Association Improvement	
3	of Canada (Manitoba) management fees			to 1-93 of Canada (Manitoba) initiatives	
4	Branch's Information		4	Branch's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba		5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's Responses		6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7	- 1st Round		7	- 1st Round	
8	CAC/MPI-1-85 Consumers' Association Fair value of		8	CAC/MPI-1-94 Consumers' Association Cost allocation	
9	of Canada (Manitoba) assets			to 1-98 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology	
10	Branch's Information		10	Branch's Information	
11	Requests and Manitoba		11	Requests and Manitoba	
12	Public Insurance's Responses		12	Public Insurance's Responses	
13	- 1st Round		13	- 1st Round	
	CAC/MPI-1-86 Consumers' Association Real Estate			CAC/MPI-1-99 Consumers' Association Net income/	
15	of Canada (Manitoba) income		15	of Canada (Manitoba) operations	
16	Branch's Information		16	Branch's Information	
17	Requests and Manitoba		17	Requests and Manitoba	
18	Public Insurance's Responses		18	Public Insurance's Responses	
19	- 1st Round		19	- 1st Round	
20	CAC/MPI-1-87 Consumers' Association Investment			CAC/MPI-1-100 Consumers' Association Road safety	
21	of Canada (Manitoba) rebalancing		21	to 103 of Canada (Manitoba)	
22	Branch's Information		22	Branch's Information	
23	Requests and Manitoba		23	Requests and Manitoba	
24	Public Insurance's Responses		24	Public Insurance's Responses	
25	- 1st Round		25	- 1st Round	

	50		52
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS
2	CAC/MPI-1-104 Consumers' Association Causality rates	2	CAC/MPI-1-113 Consumers' Association Volume &
3	of Canada (Manitoba)	3	of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade
4	Branch's Information	4	Branch's Information factors
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses
7	- 1st Round	7	- 1st Round
8	CAC/MPI-1-105 Consumers' Association Road safety	8	CAC/MPI-1-114 Consumers' Association Basic earned
9	of Canada (Manitoba) expenses	9	of Canada (Manitoba) vehicle units
10	Branch's Information	10	Branch's Information
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses
13	- 1st Round	13	- 1st Round
14	CAC/MPI-1-106 Consumers' Association IFRS	14	CAC/MPI-1-115 Consumers' Association Insurance rate
15	of Canada (Manitoba)	15	of Canada (Manitoba) costs growth
16	Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information
17	Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba
18	Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses
19	- 1st Round	19	- 1st Round
20	CAC/MPI-1-107 Consumers' Association Inter-	20	CAC/MPI-1-116 Consumers' Association Gross unearned
21	of Canada (Manitoba) jurisdictional	21	of Canada (Manitoba) premiums
22	Branch's Information rates	22	Branch's Information
23	Requests and Manitoba	23	Requests and Manitoba
24	Public Insurance's Responses	24	Public Insurance's Responses
25	- 1st Round	25	- 1st Round
	F1		
	51		53
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS
2	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker	2	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/
	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services	2 3	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits
2 3 4	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information	2 3 4	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information
2 3 4 5	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba
2 3 4 5 6	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	2 3 4 5 6	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses
2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Experience	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-120 Consumers' Association DDVI projects/
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Experience to 112 of Canada (Manitoba) rate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-120 Consumers' Association DDVI projects/ of Canada (Manitoba) costs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Experience to 112 of Canada (Manitoba) rate Branch's Information adjustments	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-120 Consumers' Association DDVI projects/ of Canada (Manitoba) costs Branch's Information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Experience to 112 of Canada (Manitoba) rate Branch's Information adjustments Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-120 Consumers' Association DDVI projects/ of Canada (Manitoba) costs Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Experience to 112 of Canada (Manitoba) rate Branch's Information adjustments Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association DPV Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-120 Consumers' Association DDVI projects/ of Canada (Manitoba) costs Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-108 Consumers' Association Broker of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-109 Consumers' Association Payments of Canada (Manitoba) benefits per Branch's Information claim Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Driver of Canada (Manitoba) premiums/DSR Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-110 Consumers' Association Experience to 112 of Canada (Manitoba) rate Branch's Information adjustments Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-117 Consumers' Association Initiatives/ of Canada (Manitoba) cost benefits Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-118 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO of Canada (Manitoba) report Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-119 Consumers' Association BPR Reports of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-120 Consumers' Association DDVI projects/ of Canada (Manitoba) costs Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba

\_ . |

	54			56
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	CAC/MPI-1-121 Consumers' Association Data Centre	2	CAC/MPI-1-129 Consumers' Association IBM statement	
3	of Canada (Manitoba) Optimization	3	of Canada (Manitoba) of work	
4	Branch's Information	4	Branch's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7	- 1st Round	7	- 1st Round	
8	CAC/MPI-1-122 Consumers' Association Business	8	CAC/MPI-1-130 Consumers' Association Transfer of	
9	of Canada (Manitoba) changes in	9	of Canada (Manitoba) \$65M to basic	
10	Branch's Information basic	10	Branch's Information Retained	
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba earnings	
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses	
13	- 1st Round	13	- 1st Round	
14	CAC/MPI-1-123 Consumers' Association Gartner/IT risk	14	CAC/MPI-1-131 Consumers' Association IT spending	
15	of Canada (Manitoba) and value	15	of Canada (Manitoba)	
16	Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information	
17	Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba	
18	Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses	
19	- 1st Round	19	- 1st Round	
20	CAC/MPI-1-124 Consumers' Association Gartner team	20	CAC/MPI-1-132 Consumers' Association IT disaster	
21	of Canada (Manitoba) player	21	of Canada (Manitoba) recovery budget	
22	Branch's Information organization	22	Branch's Information	
23	Requests and Manitoba	23	Requests and Manitoba	
24	Public Insurance's Responses	24	Public Insurance's Responses	
25	- 1st Round	25	- 1st Round	
	55			57
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	57
1		1		57
	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1 2 3		57
2	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report		CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization	57
2 3	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba)	3	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07	57
2 3 4	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information	3 4	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information	57
2 3 4 5	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	57
2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	57
2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	3 4 5 6 7	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner	3 4 5 6 7 8	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Quarterly	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) time chart	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Quarterly Branch's Information Report	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) time chart Branch's Information	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Quarterly Branch's Information Report Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) time chart Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Quarterly Branch's Information Report Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) time chart Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Quarterly Branch's Information Report Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) time chart Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Quarterly Branch's Information Report Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association IBM/MPI master	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) time chart Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-136 Consumers' Association Bodily injury	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Quarterly Branch's Information Report Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-128 Consumers' Association IBM/MPI master of Canada (Manitoba) Services	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) time chart Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-136 Consumers' Association Bodily injury of Canada (Manitoba) initiative	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Quarterly Branch's Information Report Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association IBM/MPI master of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Agreement	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) time chart Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-136 Consumers' Association Bodily injury of Canada (Manitoba) initiative Branch's Information	57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-125 Consumers' Association Gartner report of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-126 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Scorecard Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-127 Consumers' Association Gartner of Canada (Manitoba) Quarterly Branch's Information Report Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-128 Consumers' Association IBM/MPI master of Canada (Manitoba) Services Branch's Information Agreement Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CAC/MPI-1-133 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) Charter V07 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-134 Consumers' Association IT \$45M of Canada (Manitoba) projects Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-135 Consumers' Association IT optimization of Canada (Manitoba) time chart Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-136 Consumers' Association Bodily injury of Canada (Manitoba) initiative Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	57

	58		60
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS
2	CAC/MPI-1-137 Consumers' Association Cost controls	2	CAC/MPI-1-145 Consumers' Association Compensation
3	of Canada (Manitoba)	3	of Canada (Manitoba) management
4	Branch's Information	4	Branch's Information
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses
7	- 1st Round	7	- 1st Round
8	CAC/MPI-1-138 Consumers' Association Claims expenses	8	CAC/MPI-1-146 Consumers' Association Increases in
9	of Canada (Manitoba) /increases	9	of Canada (Manitoba) unit costs for
10	Branch's Information	10	Branch's Information claims
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses
13	- 1st Round	13	- 1st Round
14	CAC/MPI-1-139 Consumers' Association Cost restraint	14	CAC/MPI-1-147 Consumers' Association Expenses/claims
15	of Canada (Manitoba)	15	of Canada (Manitoba) /operation
16	Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information
17	Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba
18	Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses
19	- 1st Round	19	- 1st Round
20	CAC/MPI-1-140 Consumers' Association Operating cost/	20	CAC/MPI-1-148 Consumers' Association Net Claims
21	of Canada (Manitoba) 50 percent	21	of Canada (Manitoba) incurred
22	Branch's Information industry	22	Branch's Information
23	Requests and Manitoba Average	23	Requests and Manitoba
24	Public Insurance's Responses	24	Public Insurance's Responses
25	- 1st Round	25	- 1st Round
	59		61
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS
2	CAC/MPI-1-141 Consumers' Association Taxes or	2	CAC/MPI-1-149 Consumers' Association T1.7B expenses
3	of Canada (Manitoba) premium rebates	3	of Canada (Manitoba) and stats
4	Branch's Information	4	Branch's Information
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses
7	- 1st Round	7	- 1st Round
8	CAC/MPI-1-142 Consumers' Association Depreciation	8	
9	of Canada (Manitoba) rates	-	to 1-151 of Canada (Manitoba)
10	Branch's Information	10	Branch's Information
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses
13	- 1st Round	13	- 1st Round
14	CAC/MPI-1-143 Consumers' Association Pension Benefit		CAC/MPI-1-152 Consumers' Association Compensation
15	of Canada (Manitoba) Plan	15	of Canada (Manitoba) expenses
16	Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information
17	Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba
18	Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses
19	- 1st Round	19	- 1st Round
20 21	CAC/MPI-1-144 Consumers' Association Costs/daycare/	20	
21	of Canada (Manitoba) parkage Branch's Information	21 22	of Canada (Manitoba) reports Branch's Information
22	Requests and Manitoba	22	Requests and Manitoba
23	Public Insurance's Responses	23	Public Insurance's Responses
24	- 1st Round	24	- 1st Round
1-0		<sup>-</sup>	

	62			64
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	CAC/MPI-1-154 Consumers' Association Staffing	2	CAC/MPI-1-162 Consumers' Association Trend	
3	of Canada (Manitoba) changes	3	of Canada (Manitoba) analysis	
4	Branch's Information	4	Branch's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7	- 1st Round	7	- 1st Round	
8	CAC/MPI-1-155 Consumers' Association Consultants	8	CAC/MPI-1-163 Consumers' Association Donations	
9	of Canada (Manitoba)	9	of Canada (Manitoba) and	
10	Branch's Information	10	Branch's Information sponsorships	
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba	
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses	
13	- 1st Round	13	- 1st Round	
14	CAC/MPI-1-156 Consumers' Association External audit	14	CAC/MPI-1-164 Consumers' Association MPI	
15	of Canada (Manitoba) & actuary fees	15	of Canada (Manitoba) Indicators	
16	Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information	
17	Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba	
18	Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses	
19	- 1st Round	19	- 1st Round	
20	CAC/MPI-1-157 Consumers' Association expenses	20	CAC/MPI-1-165 Consumers' Association Claims and	
21	of Canada (Manitoba)	21	of Canada (Manitoba) operating	
22	Branch's Information	22	Branch's Information stats	
23	Requests and Manitoba	23	Requests and Manitoba	
24	Public Insurance's Responses	24	Public Insurance's Responses	
25	- 1st Round	25	- 1st Round	
	63			65
1	63 LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	65
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	· ·	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk	65
		· ·		65
2	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating	2	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk	65
2 3	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses	2 3	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation	65
2 3 4	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions	2 3 4	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy	65
2 3 4 5	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba	65
2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	2 3 4 5 6 7	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	65
2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Muman resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-161 Consumers' Association MPI internal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Road safety,	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-161 Consumers' Association MPI internal of Canada (Manitoba) indicators	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-169 Consumers' Association Road safety, of Canada (Manitoba) customer	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-161 Consumers' Association MPI internal of Canada (Manitoba) indicators Branch's Information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Road safety, of Canada (Manitoba) customer Branch's Information service and	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-161 Consumers' Association MPI internal of Canada (Manitoba) indicators Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-169 Consumers' Association Road safety, of Canada (Manitoba) customer Branch's Information service and Requests and Manitoba physical damage	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-161 Consumers' Association MPI internal of Canada (Manitoba) indicators Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Road safety, of Canada (Manitoba) customer Branch's Information service and Requests and Manitoba physical damage Public Insurance's claims	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-158 Consumers' Association Operating of Canada (Manitoba) expenses Branch's Information reductions Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-159 Consumers' Association Lawson of Canada (Manitoba) upgrade Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-160 Consumers' Association Human resource of Canada (Manitoba) system Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-161 Consumers' Association MPI internal of Canada (Manitoba) indicators Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CAC/MPI-1-166 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) mitigation Branch's Information strategy Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-167 Consumers' Association Joint of Canada (Manitoba) technical Branch's Information risk mgmt Requests and Manitoba strategy Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-168 Consumers' Association Risk of Canada (Manitoba) Management Branch's Information methods Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-169 Consumers' Association Road safety, of Canada (Manitoba) customer Branch's Information service and Requests and Manitoba physical damage	65

	66		68
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS
2	CAC/MPI-1-170 Consumers' Association Business	2	CAC/MPI-1-178 Consumers' Association Financial
3	of Canada (Manitoba) continuity/	3	of Canada (Manitoba) transactions
4	Branch's Information disaster	4	Branch's Information under
5	Requests and Manitoba recovery	5	Requests and Manitoba consideration
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses
7	- 1st Round	7	- 1st Round
8	CAC/MPI-1-171 Consumers' Association Documents	8	CAC/MPI-1-179 Consumers' Association Crown
9	of Canada (Manitoba) risk mgmt	9	of Canada (Manitoba) Corporation
10	Branch's Information policies	10	Branch's Information Council
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba Quarterly
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Reports
13	- 1st Round	13	Responses - 1st Round
14	CAC/MPI-1-172 Consumers' Association reinsurance	14	
15	of Canada (Manitoba)	15	of Canada (Manitoba) legislation/
16	Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information audit report
17	Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba
18	Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses
19	- 1st Round	19	- 1st Round
20	CAC/MPI-1-173 Consumers' Association asset/	20	CAC/MPI-1-181 Consumers' Association Risk profile
21	of Canada (Manitoba) liability	21	of Canada (Manitoba)
22	Branch's Information duration	22	Branch's Information
23	Requests and Manitoba matching	23	Requests and Manitoba
24	Public Insurance's Responses	24	Public Insurance's Responses
25	- 1st Round	25	- 1st Round
	67		
			69
1			
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS
2	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee		LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT
	LIST OF EXHIBITS		LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and
2 3 4	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information	3 4	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR
2 3	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	3	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba
2 3 4 5	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information	3 4 5	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR
2 3 4 5 6	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses
2 3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	3 4 5 6 7	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for	3 4 5 6 7 8	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest Branch's Information rate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest Branch's Information rate Requests and Manitoba
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest Branch's Information rate Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest Branch's Information rate Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-5 Consumers' Association Equity asset
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-177 Consumers' Association Constraints for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest Branch's Information rate Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-5 Consumers' Association Equity asset
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-177 Consumers' Association Constraints for of Canada (Manitoba) PUB	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest Branch's Information rate Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-5 Consumers' Association Equity asset to 2-8 of Canada (Manitoba) value decline
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-177 Consumers' Association Constraints for of Canada (Manitoba) PUB Branch's Information consideration	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest Branch's Information rate Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-5 Consumers' Association Equity asset to 2-8 of Canada (Manitoba) value decline Branch's Information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-1-174 Consumers' Association Audit committee of Canada (Manitoba) minutes 2011/12 Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-175 Consumers' Association Budgeting for of Canada (Manitoba) less Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-176 Consumers' Association Corporate of Canada (Manitoba) strategic plan Branch's Information changes Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CAC/MPI-1-177 Consumers' Association Constraints for of Canada (Manitoba) PUB Branch's Information consideration Requests and Manitoba	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LIST OF EXHIBITS CAC/MPI-2-1 Consumers' Association DCAT and 2-2 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology and Branch's Information RSR Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-3 Consumers' Association DCAT of Canada (Manitoba) methodology Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest Branch's Information rate Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-4 Consumers' Association Minimum capital of Canada (Manitoba) test/interest Branch's Information rate Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CAC/MPI-2-5 Consumers' Association Equity asset to 2-8 of Canada (Manitoba) value decline Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba

	70 72
1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       CAC/MPI-2-9       Consumers' Association         3       of Canada (Manitoba)       markets         4       Branch's Information         5       Requests and Manitoba         6       Public Insurance's Responses         7       - 2nd Round         8       CAC/MPI-2-10         8       CAC/MPI-2-10         9       to 2-11         9       to 2-11         10       Branch's Information         11       Requests and Manitoba         12       Public Insurance's Responses         13       - 2nd Round         14       CAC/MPI-2-12         15       to 2-16         16       of Canada (Manitoba)         17       Requests' Association         18       - 2nd Round         14       CAC/MPI-2-12         15       to 2-16       of Canada (Manitoba)         16       Pranab'a Information	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-253of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-268consumers' Association9to 2-289to 2-2810Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-2915of Canada (Manitoba)16Branch's Information
16Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses19- 2nd Round20CAC/MPI-2-1721of Canada (Manitoba)22Branch's Information23Requests and Manitoba24Public Insurance's Responses25- 2nd Round	<ul> <li>Branch's Information</li> <li>Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>- 2nd Round</li> <li>CAC/MPI-2-30 Consumers' Association RSR range</li> <li>of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>Branch's Information</li> <li>Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>- 2nd Round</li> </ul>
	71 73
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-183of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-313of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round
<ul> <li>8 CAC/MPI-2-19 Consumers' Association MCT/RSR</li> <li>9 of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>10 Branch's Information</li> <li>11 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>12 Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>13 - 2nd Round</li> <li>14 CAC/MPI-2-20 Consumers' Association MCT/DCAT</li> <li>15 to 2-23 of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>16 Branch's Information</li> <li>17 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>18 Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>19 - 2nd Round</li> <li>20 CAC/MPI-2-24 Consumers' Association Economic</li> <li>21 of Canada (Manitoba) Capital</li> <li>22 Branch's Information</li> <li>23 Requests and Manitoba</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 CAC/MPI-2-32 Consumers' Association RSR</li> <li>9 of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>10 Branch's Information</li> <li>11 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>12 Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>13 - 2nd Round</li> <li>14 CAC/MPI-2-33 Consumers' Association DCAT</li> <li>15 of Canada (Manitoba) methodology</li> <li>16 Branch's Information</li> <li>17 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>18 Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>19 - 2nd Round</li> <li>20 CAC/MPI-2-34 Consumers' Association RSR/exposure</li> <li>21 of Canada (Manitoba) /equity assets</li> <li>22 Branch's Information</li> <li>23 Requests and Manitoba</li> </ul>
24Public Insurance's Responses25- 2nd Round	24Public Insurance's Responses25- 2nd Round

	74			76
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	CAC/MPI-2-35 Consumers' Association OFRS	2	CAC/MPI-2-43 Consumers' Association IT FTE	
3	of Canada (Manitoba)	3	of Canada (Manitoba)	
4	Branch's Information	4	Branch's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7	- 2nd Round	7	- 2nd Round	
8	CAC/MPI-2-36 Consumers' Association Gartner CIO	8	CAC/MPI-2-44 Consumers' Association Loss ratios	
9	of Canada (Manitoba) score card	9	of Canada (Manitoba)	
10	Branch's Information	10	Branch's Information	
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba	
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses	
13	- 2nd Round	13	- 2nd Round	
14 15 16 17 18 19	CAC/MPI-2-37 Consumers' Association Network of Canada (Manitoba) Equipment Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round	14 15 16 17 18 19	of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round	
20 21 22 23 24 25	CAC/MPI-2-38 Consumers' Association DART of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round	20 21 22 23 24 25	to 2-47 of Canada (Manitoba)	
	75			77
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	CAC/MPI-2-39 Consumers' Association Broker refresh	2	CAC/MPI-2-48 Consumers' Association IT spending	
3	of Canada (Manitoba) initiative	3	of Canada (Manitoba)	
4	Branch's Information	4	Branch's Information	
5	Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba	
6	Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses	
7	- 2nd Round	7	- 2nd Round	
8	CAC/MPI-2-40 Consumers' Association MPI/HP proces	8	CAC/MPI-2-49 Consumers' Association Actuarial	
9	of Canada (Manitoba) transition	9	to 2-52 of Canada (Manitoba) Report	
10	Branch's Information	10	Branch's Information	
11	Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba	
12	Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses	
13	- 2nd Round	13	- 2nd Round	
14	CAC/MPI-2-41 Consumers' Association IT/business	14	CAC/MPI-2-53 Consumers' Association Bodily injury	
15	of Canada (Manitoba) efficiency	15	of Canada (Manitoba) coverage	
16	Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information	
17	Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba	
18	Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<ul> <li>2nd Round</li> <li>CAC/MPI-2-42 Consumers' Association Revenue/company of Canada (Manitoba) employee</li> <li>Branch's Information</li> <li>Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>2nd Round</li> </ul>	19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	

	78	80
1 LIST OF EXHIBITS		LIST OF EXHIBITS
2 CAC/MPI-2-55 Consumers' Association Orga	nization 2 C/	AC/MPI-2-63 Consumers' Association Family
3 of Canada (Manitoba) Chart	3	of Canada (Manitoba) transfers
4 Branch's Information		Branch's Information
5 Requests and Manitoba	5	Requests and Manitoba
6 Public Insurance's Responses	6	Public Insurance's Responses
7 - 2nd Round		- 2nd Round
8 CAC/MPI-2-56 Consumers' Association Clain		AC/MPI-2-64 Consumers' Association Old vs. new
9 of Canada (Manitoba) bodily injury	9	of Canada (Manitoba) allocation
10 Branch's Information property	10	Branch's Information methodology
11 Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba
12 Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses
13 - 2nd Round	13	- 2nd Round
14 CAC/MPI-2-57 Consumers' Association Write	-	AC/MPI-2-65 Consumers' Association Cost allocation
15 of Canada (Manitoba)	15	of Canada (Manitoba) methodology
16 Branch's Information	16	Branch's Information
17 Requests and Manitoba	17	Requests and Manitoba
18 Public Insurance's Responses	18	Public Insurance's Responses
19 - 2nd Round	19	- 2nd Round
20 CAC/MPI-2-58 Consumers' Association Clain	-	AC/MPI-2-66 Consumers' Association Unearned
21 of Canada (Manitoba) expenses	21	of Canada (Manitoba) premiums
22 Branch's Information	22	Branch's Information
23 Requests and Manitoba	23	Requests and Manitoba
24 Public Insurance's Responses	24	Public Insurance's Responses
25 - 2nd Round	25	- 2nd Round
	79	81
	75	
1 LIST OF EXHIBITS		LIST OF EXHIBITS
2 CAC/MPI-2-59 Consumers' Association PIPF		AC/MPI-2-67 Consumers' Association Data centre
<ul><li>3 of Canada (Manitoba)</li><li>4 Branch's Information</li></ul>	3	of Canada (Manitoba) outsourcing
	· ·	Branch's Information
5 Requests and Manitoba 6 Public Insurance's Responses	5	Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses
7 - 2nd Round		- 2nd Round
8 CAC/MPI-2-60 Consumers' Association Clain		AC/MPI-2-68 Consumers' Association Investment/
9 of Canada (Manitoba) increases	is expense 8 C/	of Canada (Manitoba) people and
10 Branch's Information	10	Branch's Information process
11 Requests and Manitoba	11	Requests and Manitoba
12 Public Insurance's Responses	12	Public Insurance's Responses
13 - 2nd Round	13	- 2nd Round
		AC/MPI-2-69 Consumers' Association IT initiative
14 CAC/MPI-2-61 Consumers' Association Rate	· ·	
14 CAC/MPI-2-61 Consumers' Association Rate 15 of Canada (Manitoba)	I 15	of Canada (Manitoba)
15 of Canada (Manitoba)	15	of Canada (Manitoba) Branch's Information
<ol> <li>of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>Branch's Information</li> </ol>	16	Branch's Information
<ol> <li>of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>Branch's Information</li> <li>Requests and Manitoba</li> </ol>		Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba
<ol> <li>of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>Branch's Information</li> <li>Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>Public Insurance's Responses</li> </ol>	16 17 18	Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses
<ol> <li>of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>Branch's Information</li> <li>Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>- 2nd Round</li> </ol>	16 17 18 19	Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round
<ul> <li>15 of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>16 Branch's Information</li> <li>17 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>18 Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>19 - 2nd Round</li> <li>20 CAC/MPI-2-62 Consumers' Association Prem</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 ium dollars 20 C/	Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round AC/MPI-2-70 Consumers' Association Bodily injury
<ul> <li>15 of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>16 Branch's Information</li> <li>17 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>18 Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>19 - 2nd Round</li> <li>20 CAC/MPI-2-62 Consumers' Association Prementation</li> <li>21 of Canada (Manitoba) to motorists</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 ium dollars 20 C/ 21	Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round AC/MPI-2-70 Consumers' Association Bodily injury of Canada (Manitoba) improvement
<ul> <li>15 of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>16 Branch's Information</li> <li>17 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>18 Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>19 - 2nd Round</li> <li>20 CAC/MPI-2-62 Consumers' Association Premily</li> <li>21 of Canada (Manitoba) to motorists</li> <li>22 Branch's Information</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 ium dollars 20 C/	Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round AC/MPI-2-70 Consumers' Association Bodily injury of Canada (Manitoba) improvement Branch's Information initiative
<ul> <li>15 of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>16 Branch's Information</li> <li>17 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>18 Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>19 - 2nd Round</li> <li>20 CAC/MPI-2-62 Consumers' Association Prem</li> <li>21 of Canada (Manitoba) to motorists</li> <li>22 Branch's Information</li> <li>23 Requests and Manitoba</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 C/ 21 22	Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round AC/MPI-2-70 Consumers' Association Bodily injury of Canada (Manitoba) improvement Branch's Information initiative Requests and Manitoba
<ul> <li>15 of Canada (Manitoba)</li> <li>16 Branch's Information</li> <li>17 Requests and Manitoba</li> <li>18 Public Insurance's Responses</li> <li>19 - 2nd Round</li> <li>20 CAC/MPI-2-62 Consumers' Association Prementation</li> <li>21 of Canada (Manitoba) to motorists</li> <li>22 Branch's Information</li> <li>23 Requests and Manitoba</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 C/ 21 22 23	Branch's Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round AC/MPI-2-70 Consumers' Association Bodily injury of Canada (Manitoba) improvement Branch's Information initiative

82	84
1 LIST OF EXHIBITS	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS 2 CAC-3 CAC Evidence Prof. Wayne
2 CAC/MPI-2-71 Consumers' Association Actuarial	5
3 of Canada (Manitoba) report 4 Branch's Information	3 Simpson - Sept.
	4 14, 2012 5 CMMC/MPL 1.1 Condition of Manitaba – Drainated va
5 Requests and Manitoba	5 CMMG/MPI-1-1 Coalition of Manitoba Projected vs. 6 Motorcvcle Groups' actual loss
6 Public Insurance's Responses 7 - 2nd Round	<ol> <li>Motorcycle Groups' actual loss</li> <li>Information Requests data for</li> </ol>
8 CAC/MPI-2-72 Consumers' Association Basic expenses	·
9 of Canada (Manitoba)	<ul> <li>8 and Manitoba Public motorcycles</li> <li>9 Insurance's Responses</li> </ul>
10 Branch's Information	10 - 1st Round
11 Requests and Manitoba	11 CMMG/MPI-1-2 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle
12 Public Insurance's Responses	12 Motorcycle Groups' specific road
13 - 2nd Round	13 Information Requests safety programs
14 CAC/MPI-2-73 Consumers' Association Actuary	14 and Manitoba Public
15 of Canada (Manitoba) valuation fees	15 Insurance's Responses
16 Branch's Information	16 - 1st Round
17 Requests and Manitoba	17 CMMG/MPI-1-3 Coalition of Manitoba Wildlife
18 Public Insurance's Responses	18 Motorcycle Groups' collision
19 - 2nd Round	19 Information Requests claims
20 CAC/MPI-2-74 Consumers' Association Unpaid claims	20 and Manitoba Public initiative
21 of Canada (Manitoba)	21 Insurance's Responses
22 Branch's Information	22 - 1st Round
23 Requests and Manitoba	23
24 Public Insurance's Responses	24
25 - 2nd Round	25
83	85
83 1 LIST OF EXHIBITS	85 1 LIST OF EXHIBITS
	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS
1 LIST OF EXHIBITS	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS
1 LIST OF EXHIBITS 2 CAC/MPI-2-75 Consumers' Association Corporate	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS 2 CMMG/MPI-1-4 Coalition of Manitoba Changes in
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-75Consumers' AssociationCorporate3of Canada (Manitoba)Strategic Plan	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS 2 CMMG/MPI-1-4 Coalition of Manitoba Changes in 3 Motorcycle Groups' initiatives
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-75Consumers' AssociationCorporate3of Canada (Manitoba)Strategic Plan4Branch's Information	<ol> <li>LIST OF EXHIBITS</li> <li>CMMG/MPI-1-4 Coalition of Manitoba Changes in</li> <li>Motorcycle Groups' initiatives</li> <li>Information Requests</li> </ol>
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-75Consumers' AssociationCorporate3of Canada (Manitoba)Strategic Plan4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba	<ol> <li>LIST OF EXHIBITS</li> <li>CMMG/MPI-1-4 Coalition of Manitoba Changes in</li> <li>Motorcycle Groups' initiatives</li> <li>Information Requests</li> <li>and Manitoba Public</li> </ol>
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses	<ol> <li>LIST OF EXHIBITS</li> <li>CMMG/MPI-1-4 Coalition of Manitoba Changes in</li> <li>Motorcycle Groups' initiatives</li> <li>Information Requests</li> <li>and Manitoba Public</li> <li>Insurance's Responses</li> </ol>
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round	<ol> <li>LIST OF EXHIBITS</li> <li>CMMG/MPI-1-4 Coalition of Manitoba Changes in</li> <li>Motorcycle Groups' initiatives</li> <li>Information Requests</li> <li>and Manitoba Public</li> <li>Insurance's Responses</li> <li>- 1st Round</li> </ol>
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-76Consumers' AssociationClaims and	<ol> <li>LIST OF EXHIBITS</li> <li>CMMG/MPI-1-4 Coalition of Manitoba Changes in</li> <li>Motorcycle Groups' initiatives</li> <li>Information Requests</li> <li>and Manitoba Public</li> <li>Insurance's Responses</li> <li>- 1st Round</li> <li>CMMG/MPI-1-5 Coalition of Manitoba Fenced</li> </ol>
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-769of Canada (Manitoba)9operating stats	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-5Coalition of Manitoba9Motorcycle Groups' corridor/
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-769of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)10Branch's Information	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-5Coalition of Manitoba9Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-75Consumers' AssociationCorporate3of Canada (Manitoba)Strategic Plan4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-76Consumers' Association9of Canada (Manitoba)operating stats10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-5Coalition of Manitoba9Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell11and Manitoba Public
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-769of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-59Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell11and Manitoba Public12Insurance's Responses
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-769of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-59Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell11and Manitoba Public12Insurance's Responses13- 1st Round
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-769of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-7714CAC/MPI-2-7714CAC/MPI-2-7715Consumers' Association16Performance	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-59Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell11and Manitoba Public12Insurance's Responses13- 1st Round14CMMG/MPI-1-614CMMG/MPI-1-6
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-769of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-7715of Canada (Manitoba)16Indicators	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-5Coalition of Manitoba9Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell11and Manitoba Public12Insurance's Responses13- 1st Round14CMMG/MPI-1-615Motorcycle Groups' /motorcycles
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-769of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-7715of Canada (Manitoba)16Branch's Information	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-5Coalition of Manitoba9Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell11and Manitoba Public12Insurance's Responses13- 1st Round14CMMG/MPI-1-615Motorcycle Groups' /motorcycles16Information Requests
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-75Consumers' AssociationCorporate3of Canada (Manitoba)Strategic Plan4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-76Consumers' Association9of Canada (Manitoba)operating stats10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-7715of Canada (Manitoba)16Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-43Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-59Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell11and Manitoba Public12Insurance's Responses13- 1st Round14CMMG/MPI-1-615Motorcycle Groups' /motorcycles16Information Requests17and Manitoba Public
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-753of Canada (Manitoba)4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-769of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-7715of Canada (Manitoba)16Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-59Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell11and Manitoba Public12Insurance's Responses13- 1st Round14CMMG/MPI-1-615Motorcycle Groups' /motorcycles16Information Requests17and Manitoba Public18Insurance's Responses
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-75Consumers' AssociationCorporate3of Canada (Manitoba)Strategic Plan4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-76Consumers' Association9of Canada (Manitoba)operating stats10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-7715of Canada (Manitoba)16Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses19- 2nd Round10Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses19- 2nd Round20CAC/MPI-2-7821of Canada (Manitoba)21of Canada (Manitoba)21Leverage	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       CMMG/MPI-1-4       Coalition of Manitoba       Changes in         3       Motorcycle Groups' initiatives         4       Information Requests         5       and Manitoba Public         6       Insurance's Responses         7       - 1st Round         8       CMMG/MPI-1-5         9       Motorcycle Groups' corridor/         10       Information Requests whiteshell         11       and Manitoba Public         12       Insurance's Responses         13       - 1st Round         14       CMMG/MPI-1-6         15       Motorcycle Groups' / motorcycles         16       Information Requests         13       - 1st Round         14       CMMG/MPI-1-6         15       Motorcycle Groups' / motorcycles         16       Information Requests         17       and Manitoba Public         18       Insurance's Responses         19       - 1st Round
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-75Consumers' AssociationCorporate3of Canada (Manitoba)Strategic Plan4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-76Consumers' Association9of Canada (Manitoba)operating stats10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-7715of Canada (Manitoba)16Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses19- 2nd Round14Public Insurance's Responses15of Canada (Manitoba)16Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses19- 2nd Round20CAC/MPI-2-7820CAC/MPI-2-7820CAC/MPI-2-78	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       CMMG/MPI-1-4       Coalition of Manitoba       Changes in         3       Motorcycle Groups' initiatives         4       Information Requests         5       and Manitoba Public         6       Insurance's Responses         7       - 1st Round         8       CMMG/MPI-1-5         9       Motorcycle Groups' corridor/         10       Information Requests whiteshell         11       and Manitoba Public         12       Insurance's Responses         13       - 1st Round         14       CMMG/MPI-1-6         15       Motorcycle Groups' /motorcycles         16       Information Requests         13       - 1st Round         14       CMMG/MPI-1-6         15       Motorcycle Groups' /motorcycles         16       Information Requests         17       and Manitoba Public         18       Insurance's Responses         19       - 1st Round         20       CMMG/MPI-1-7         20       CMMG/MPI-1-7
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-75Consumers' AssociationCorporate3of Canada (Manitoba)Strategic Plan4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-76Consumers' Association9of Canada (Manitoba)operating stats10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-7715of Canada (Manitoba)16Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses19- 2nd Round10Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses19- 2nd Round20CAC/MPI-2-7821of Canada (Manitoba)21of Canada (Manitoba)21Leverage	1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CMMG/MPI-1-4Coalition of ManitobaChanges in3Motorcycle Groups' initiatives4Information Requests5and Manitoba Public6Insurance's Responses7- 1st Round8CMMG/MPI-1-59Motorcycle Groups' corridor/10Information Requests whiteshell11and Manitoba Public12Insurance's Responses13- 1st Round14CMMG/MPI-1-615Motorcycle Groups' /motorcycles16Information Requests17and Manitoba Public18Insurance's Responses19- 1st Round20CMMG/MPI-1-720CMMG/MPI-1-721to 1-821to 1-821to 1-821to 1-8
1LIST OF EXHIBITS2CAC/MPI-2-75Consumers' AssociationCorporate3of Canada (Manitoba)Strategic Plan4Branch's Information5Requests and Manitoba6Public Insurance's Responses7- 2nd Round8CAC/MPI-2-76Consumers' Association9of Canada (Manitoba)9of Canada (Manitoba)10Branch's Information11Requests and Manitoba12Public Insurance's Responses13- 2nd Round14CAC/MPI-2-7715of Canada (Manitoba)16Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses19- 2nd Round10CAC/MPI-2-7716Branch's Information17Requests and Manitoba18Public Insurance's Responses19- 2nd Round20CAC/MPI-2-7821of Canada (Manitoba)22Branch's Information23Requests and Manitoba24Public Insurance's Responses	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       CMMG/MPI-1-4       Coalition of Manitoba       Changes in         3       Motorcycle Groups' initiatives         4       Information Requests         5       and Manitoba Public         6       Insurance's Responses         7       - 1st Round         8       CMMG/MPI-1-5         9       Motorcycle Groups' corridor/         10       Information Requests whiteshell         11       and Manitoba Public         12       Insurance's Responses         13       - 1st Round         14       CMMG/MPI-1-6         15       Motorcycle Groups' / motorcycles         16       Information Requests         17       and Manitoba Public         18       Insurance's Responses         19       - 1st Round         20       CMMG/MPI-1-7         21       to 1-8         22       Information Requests         3       - 1st Round         20       CMMG/MPI-1-7         21       to 1-8         Motorcycle Groups'       provincial         23       and Manitoba Public         24       Insurance's Responses
1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       CAC/MPI-2-75       Consumers' Association       Corporate         3       of Canada (Manitoba)       Strategic Plan         4       Branch's Information         5       Requests and Manitoba         6       Public Insurance's Responses         7       - 2nd Round         8       CAC/MPI-2-76         8       CAC/MPI-2-76         9       of Canada (Manitoba)         9       of Canada (Manitoba)         9       of Canada (Manitoba)         10       Branch's Information         11       Requests and Manitoba         12       Public Insurance's Responses         13       - 2nd Round         14       CAC/MPI-2-77         15       of Canada (Manitoba)         16       Branch's Information         17       Requests and Manitoba         18       Public Insurance's Responses         19       - 2nd Round         20       CAC/MPI-2-78         21       of Canada (Manitoba)         22       Branch's Information         23       Requests and Manitoba	1       LIST OF EXHIBITS         2       CMMG/MPI-1-4       Coalition of Manitoba       Changes in         3       Motorcycle Groups' initiatives         4       Information Requests         5       and Manitoba Public         6       Insurance's Responses         7       - 1st Round         8       CMMG/MPI-1-5         9       Motorcycle Groups' corridor/         10       Information Requests whiteshell         11       and Manitoba Public         12       Insurance's Responses         13       - 1st Round         14       CMMG/MPI-1-6         15       Motorcycle Groups' / motorcycles         16       Information Requests         17       and Manitoba Public         18       Insurance's Responses         19       - 1st Round         14       CMMG/MPI-1-6         15       Motorcycle Groups' / motorcycles         16       Information Requests         17       and Manitoba Public         18       Insurance's Responses         19       - 1st Round         20       CMMG/MPI-1-7       Coalition of Manitoba         21       to 1-8       Motorcycle Gr

	8	6		88
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 24 25	LIST OF EXHIBITS CMMG/MPI-1-9 Coalition of Manitoba Repair rates Motorcycle Groups' motorcycles Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-10 Coalition of Manitoba Payout Motorcycle Groups' differences/ Information Requests motorcycles and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-11 Coalition of Manitoba Claims costs/ Motorcycle Groups' rural/urban Information Requests wildlife and Manitoba Public collisions Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-12 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Motorcycle Groups' Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-3 Coalition of Manitoba Rural collision Motorcycle Groups' /prevention Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-4 Coalition of Manitoba Cost of Motorcycle Groups' Whiteshell Information Requests corridor and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-5 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' Iosses 2011 Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round	
25	- 1st Round	25	- 2nd Round	
	8	7		89
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle	1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
1 2 3	LIST OF EXHIBITS CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives		CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour	
2 3 4	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety	2 3 4	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests	
2 3 4 5	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public	2 3 4 5	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public	
2 3 4 5 6	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	2 3 4 5 6	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	
2 3 4 5	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round	
2 3 4 5 6 7	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate Motorcycle Groups' requirements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar Motorcycle Groups difference	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar Motorcycle Groups difference Information Requests	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate Motorcycle Groups' requirements Information Requests motorcycles	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar Motorcycle Groups difference Information Requests and Manitoba Public	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate Motorcycle Groups' requirements Information Requests motorcycles and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate Motorcycle Groups' requirements Information Requests motorcycles and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar Motorcycle Groups difference Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate Motorcycle Groups' requirements Information Requests motorcycles and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-2-1 Coalition of Manitoba Loss rates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar Motorcycle Groups difference Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-9 Coalition of Manitoba Insurance	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate Motorcycle Groups' requirements Information Requests motorcycles and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-2-1 Coalition of Manitoba Loss rates Motorcycle Groups'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar Motorcycle Groups difference Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-9 Coalition of Manitoba Insurance Motorcycle Groups' allocation in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate Motorcycle Groups' requirements Information Requests motorcycles and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-2-1 Coalition of Manitoba Loss rates Motorcycle Groups' Information Requests	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar Motorcycle Groups difference Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-9 Coalition of Manitoba Insurance Motorcycle Groups' allocation in Information Requests license fee	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate Motorcycle Groups' requirements Information Requests motorcycles and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-2-1 Coalition of Manitoba Loss rates Motorcycle Groups' Information Requests and Manitoba Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar Motorcycle Groups difference Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-9 Coalition of Manitoba Insurance Motorcycle Groups' allocation in Information Requests Information Requests Iicense fee and Manitoba Public	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CMMG/MPI-1-13 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' initiatives Information Requests safety and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-14 Coalition of Manitoba Motorcycle Motorcycle Groups' safety Information Requests training and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-1-15 Coalition of Manitoba Rate Motorcycle Groups' requirements Information Requests motorcycles and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 1st Round CMMG/MPI-2-1 Coalition of Manitoba Loss rates Motorcycle Groups' Information Requests	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CMMG/MPI-2-6 Coalition of Manitoba Current labour Motorcycle Groups' rates Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-7 Coalition of Manitoba Estimating Motorcycle Groups' bulletins/ Information Requests guidelines and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-8 Coalition of Manitoba Premium dollar Motorcycle Groups difference Information Requests and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round CMMG/MPI-2-9 Coalition of Manitoba Insurance Motorcycle Groups' allocation in Information Requests Information Requests license fee and Manitoba Public Insurance's Responses	

	90			92
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	1	Upon commencing at 9:30 a.m.	
2	CMMG/MPI-2-10 Coalition of Manitoba Non-paying PIPP	2		
3	Motorcycle Groups' vehicles	3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning,	
4	Information Requests	4	everyone. My name is Regis Gosselin. I'm the chair of	
5	and Manitoba Public		the Public Utilities Board. And I now call this 2013	
6	Insurance's Responses	6	Manitoba Public Insurance Corporation General Rate	
7	- 2nd Round	7	Application hearing to order.	
8	CMMG/MPI-2-11 Coalition of Manitoba Manitobans with	8	MPI has applied to PUB for approval of	
9	Motorcycle Groups' PIPP benefits	9	its premiums to take effect on March 1st, 2013. This	
10	Information Requests	10	hearing will be conducted in accordance with the	
11	and Manitoba Public	11	provisions of the Crown Corporation Public Review and	
12	Insurance's Responses	12	Accountability Act and the Public Utilities Board Act	
13	- 2nd Round	13	and the Board's own rules of practice and procedure.	
14	CMMG/MPI-2-12 Coalition of Manitoba PIPP claims for	14	These rules are available on the Board's website for	
15	Motorcycle Groups' non-vehicle	-	review.	
16	Information Requests owners	16	In its application, MPI is seeking	
17	and Manitoba Public		approval of rates charged for compulsory vehicle	
18	Insurance's Responses		insurance and driver premiums for the 2013/'14	
19	- 2nd Round		insurance year based on an overall zero percent rate	
20	CMMG/MPI-2-13 Coalition of Manitoba PIPP claims for		application.	
21	Motorcycle Groups' unlicensed non-	21	I am joined by two (2) other Board	
22	Information Requests vehicle owners		members at this hearing: Ms. Anita Neville and Ms.	
23	and Manitoba Public		Karen Botting. Also with us today is Kurt Simonsen, an	
24 25	Insurance's Responses - 2nd Round		associate and acting secretary of the Board. Hollis	
25		25	Singh, executive director and secretary to the Board,	
	91			93
			will also be here from time to time over the source of	
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS CMMG/MPI-2-14 Coalition of Manitoba PIPP benefits/		will also be here from time to time over the course of	
2	to 2-15 Motorcycle Groups' bicyces/ATV/		the hearing. Transcripts of this hearing will be	
4	Information Requests snowmobiles	-	recorded by Digi-Tran and made available on our	
5	and Manitoba Public		website. We will begin the hearing on each morning day	
6	Insurance's Responses		at 9:30 a.m. and adjourn at approximately 4:00 p.m.	
7	- 2nd Round		Each day will include a midmorning lunch and	
	CMMG/MPI-2-16 Coalition of Manitoba Uniform PIPP		midafternoon break.	
	to 2-17 Motorcycle Groups' costs	9	Following closing arguments, the panel	
10	Information Requests allocation	10	will sequester itself and deliberate to make our final	
11	and Manitoba Public	11	determinations on the matters before us. In the end,	
12	Insurance's Responses	12	we may accept, deny, or vary MPI's Application.	
13	- 2nd Round	13	In reaching our decision, we will be	
14	CMMG-3 Pre-Ask Questions and September 18,	14	guided by the evidence, written and oral, in our	
15	MPI's responses 2012		determination of what represents the public interest.	
	CAC-4 Outline of Byron Williams'		The Board takes its obligation and mandate to protect	
17	opening comments 129		the public interest very seriously.	
18		18	We are concerned not only with the	
19			short-term economic impact of MPI's operations on both	
20		20	ratepayers and MPI itself, but also on the fairness of	
104		۰ م I		
21			the impact on MPI's long-term fiscal and operational	
22		22	well-being. In addition, the Board views this process	
22 23		22 23	well-being. In addition, the Board views this process as one which should ensure transparency in terms of the	
22		22	well-being. In addition, the Board views this process	

\_ |

94	96
<ul> <li>94</li> <li>1 to hearing evidence and submissions from the parties</li> <li>2 with respect to the following issues before the Board:</li> <li>3 the rate order itself sought by MPI, namely, no overall</li> <li>4 rate change; the appropriate methodology to be used for</li> <li>5 the setting the rate stabilization reserve range or</li> <li>6 target; MPI's investment portfolio and investment</li> <li>7 income; MPI's efforts with respect to road safety and</li> <li>8 loss prevention; the changes to the driver safety</li> <li>9 rating scale proposed by MPI; benchmarking that has</li> <li>10 been conducted by MPI with respect to its operating</li> <li>11 expenses and insurance premiums in other jurisdictions;</li> <li>12 the cost allocation methodology proposed by MPI; MPI's</li> <li>13 claims liabilities and reserving; MPI's operating and</li> <li>14 capital expenditures, including those related to IT</li> <li>15 optimization; family transfers; and, finally, claim buy</li> <li>16 backs.</li> <li>17 We trust that the participants at this</li> <li>18 hearing will be mindful of cost effectiveness and will</li> <li>19 employ a cooperative approach, the common goal being to</li> <li>20 put forward useful evidence to assist the Panel in</li> <li>21 reaching a sound decision on the matters before it.</li> <li>22 We have significant and complex issues</li> <li>23 before us, and I am confident that we will all approach</li> </ul>	96 1 for introductions of MPI. 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Kalinowsky, 3 please. 4 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Good morning. 5 My name is Kathy Kalinowsky. I'm the general counsel 6 and corporate secretary of Manitoba Public Insurance. 7 To my immediate right is Ms. Marilyn McLaren, the 8 president and chief executive officer of Manitoba 9 Public Insurance. She's been testifying in these 10 hearings since 1997. 11 To her right is Ms. Heather Reichert, 12 the vice president of finance and chief financial 13 officer. This is her debut at the PUB in general. She 14 joined Manitoba Public Insurance in January of this 15 year. 16 To her right is Mr. Luke Johnston. He's 17 the chief actuary and director of pricing and economics 18 at Manitoba Public Insurance. And it's his debut in 19 the front row. And he has many years in the from 20 experience with the PUB sitting in the back back 21 row. So welcome to both of them. And, of course, 22 welcome to the two (2) new Board members that are here 23 today.
<ul><li>23 before us, and I am confident that we will all approach</li><li>24 this process with a view to benefiting the public</li></ul>	<ul><li>23 today.</li><li>24 Also in the back row for these hearings,</li></ul>
25 interest.	25 immediately me is Mr. Mike Triggs, the director of
95	97
1       I now call on Ms. Grammond for         2       introductions followed by the introductions by MPI and         3       the Intervenors. Ms. Grammond will then give her         4       introductory remarks after which I will call on MPI and         5       the Intervenors to provide theirs. We will proceed         6       with the swearing in of MPI witnesses and commence the         7       evidentiary portion of the hearing.         8       9       (BRIEF PAUSE)         10       11       THE CHAIRPERSON: Over to you, Ms.         12       Grammond, please.         13       MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: Thank you, Mr.         14       Chairman. For anyone in the room that doesn't know me,         15       I'm Candace Grammond. I'm the lawyer for the Board         16       with respect to MPI rate applications. I'm with the         17       law firm of Pitblado Law.         18       To my left is Roger Cathcart. He's with         19       Cathcart Advisors and is the accounting advisor to the         20       Board with respect to MPI. And in the empty chair to         21       my right you will see on Thursday Brian Pelly, who's         22       the actuarial advisor to the Board. And he's with the         23       firm of Eckler Limited in Toronto. So those are the <td><ol> <li>legal services. And to his right is Darlene Porter,</li> <li>the manager of regulatory affairs.</li> <li>I also want to take just a moment to</li> <li>pass the the passing of Mr. Jerry Kruk from the CAA.</li> <li>Mr. Jerry Kruk attended, I believe, most, if not all,</li> <li>PUB/MPI hearings since 1988 and was a very valid</li> <li>advocate on behalf of his clients. So I just want to</li> <li>say that we will be missing, of course, his usual</li> <li>comments that he was always providing and his guidance.</li> <li>I also want to say that I will be</li> <li>providing and undertaking a fairly extensive direct</li> <li>examination of the three (3) witnesses today. But I'll</li> <li>wait; looking at the procedure outline, that will</li> <li>commence after the witnesses are sworn. So at that</li> <li>point, I'll hand it over to, I believe, Mr. Williams.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms.</li> <li>Kalinowsky. Mr. Williams?</li> <li>MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Yes. Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Chairman, and welcome to Vice Chair Botting and to</li> <li>Board member Neville. My name is Byron Williams. I'm</li> <li>director of the Public Interest Law Centre, and I'm</li> <li>honoured to be representing CAC/Manitoba in this</li> <li>proceeding. I I think I've been hanging around the</li> <li>hearing rooms not quite as long as Ms. Mclaren, but</li> <li>I've been around for for a fair well.</li> </ol></td>	<ol> <li>legal services. And to his right is Darlene Porter,</li> <li>the manager of regulatory affairs.</li> <li>I also want to take just a moment to</li> <li>pass the the passing of Mr. Jerry Kruk from the CAA.</li> <li>Mr. Jerry Kruk attended, I believe, most, if not all,</li> <li>PUB/MPI hearings since 1988 and was a very valid</li> <li>advocate on behalf of his clients. So I just want to</li> <li>say that we will be missing, of course, his usual</li> <li>comments that he was always providing and his guidance.</li> <li>I also want to say that I will be</li> <li>providing and undertaking a fairly extensive direct</li> <li>examination of the three (3) witnesses today. But I'll</li> <li>wait; looking at the procedure outline, that will</li> <li>commence after the witnesses are sworn. So at that</li> <li>point, I'll hand it over to, I believe, Mr. Williams.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms.</li> <li>Kalinowsky. Mr. Williams?</li> <li>MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Yes. Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Chairman, and welcome to Vice Chair Botting and to</li> <li>Board member Neville. My name is Byron Williams. I'm</li> <li>director of the Public Interest Law Centre, and I'm</li> <li>honoured to be representing CAC/Manitoba in this</li> <li>proceeding. I I think I've been hanging around the</li> <li>hearing rooms not quite as long as Ms. Mclaren, but</li> <li>I've been around for for a fair well.</li> </ol>

	98			100
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Williams. I believe, Mr. Oakes, you're next. MR. RAYMOND OAKES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. Good Morning. My name is Raymond Oakes. I'm a lawyer with Booth Dennehy. I've been representing the CMMG in intervention before this board since 1991 and look forward to the commencement of the hearings and opening statements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	record of the registered owner, insurance use, territory, and vehicle rate group. MPI is also seeking approval for new driver licence premiums on the DSR, or driver safety rating, scale. Those are at the demerit side of the scale, which are levels 2 through 20, to a maximum of twenty-five hundred dollars (\$2,500). MPI is not proposing any change to the vehicle premium discounts, fleet rebates, fleet	
	99			101
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms. Peters. And now Mr. Schioler, please. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I don't think Mr. Schioler is present, Mr. Chairman. We do have the Insurance Brokers Association of Manitoba as an Intervenor in this proceeding. I would imagine Mr. Schioler will show up at some point; if not today, then perhaps later, so we'll we'll just carry on without him at this point. THE CHAIRPERSON: Then I'll turn things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hearing and pre-hearing conference, which was dated June 15th, 2012; Exhibit 2, the Board's rules of practice and procedure that, Mr. Chairman, you referred to in your opening; Exhibit 3, the hearing timetable for the GRA. And for the record, just because there have been some changes, the twelve (12) scheduled hearing dates for this proceeding are as follows: today; Thursday and Friday of this week, which are September 27th and 28th; October 1st through 3rd; October 16th through 18th, and October 25th through 27th. And whether or not all of those days will be needed, we'll learn as we go. I would also like to enter on the record some additional exhibits at this time. Exhibit 4 for PUB would be the reminder notice of this public hearing, which is dated August 14th, 2012.	

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

102	104
1       MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: Board Exhibits         2       5-1 through 5-77 would be the Board's First Round         3       Information Requests served on MPI and MPI's responses         4       to those requests.         5       EXHIBIT NO. PUB-5-1 TO PUB-5-77:         7       First Round Information         8       Requests served on MPI and         9       MPI's responses         10       1         11       MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: Exhibits of the         12       Board 6-1 through 6-38 are the Board's Second Round         13       Information Requests and MPI's responses to those         14       requests.         15       EXHIBIT NO. PUB-6-1 TO PUB-6-38:         17       Second Round Information         18       Requests and MPI's         19       Responses         20       Image: Second Round Information         18       Requests and MPI's         19       Responses         20       Image: Second Round Information         18       Requests and MPI's         19       Responses         20       Image: Second Round Information         21       MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: Exhibit 7 would         22       be th	<ul> <li>1 for; MPI's investment portfolio and investment income;</li> <li>2 actuarial factors relevant to claims reserves; previous</li> <li>3 Board directives and recommendations; road safety;</li> <li>4 efficiency benchmarks; cost allocation methodology;</li> <li>5 capital expenditures, including IT optimization; claims</li> <li>6 expenses and operating expenses; and perhaps other</li> <li>7 topics that may arise.</li> <li>8 I also distributed this morning a copy</li> <li>9 of the procedural outline. On the second page, we've</li> <li>10 reflected the order in which matters will be heard. I</li> <li>11 do note that in addition to the three (3) witnesses</li> <li>12 that comprise the MPI panel, we will be hearing from</li> <li>13 Gary Gibson, of the Department of Finance for the</li> <li>14 province, on a date to be determined. But it's</li> <li>15 expected that it'll be during the third week that we're</li> <li>16 sitting, so it would be either the 16th, 17th, or 18th.</li> <li>17 And we'll sort that out as we go.</li> <li>18 We also expect to hear from Professor</li> <li>19 Wayne Simpson, who is anticipated to be called by CAC</li> <li>20 and Mr. Williams. In addition, we do have a few</li> <li>21 members of the public that have scheduled to come and</li> <li>21 make presentations to the Board. So it's not the same</li> <li>23 as sworn evidence, but we will hear comments from some</li> <li>24 individuals this afternoon, starting at 1:15.</li> </ul>
25 EXHIBIT NO. PUB-7: Transcript of prehearing	25 So those are my comments and the
103	105
1       conference, dated June         2       29th, 2012         3       4       MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: Exhibit 8, the         5       procedural order issued by the Board after the         6       prehearing conference, which was Order 79/'12.         7       * EXHIBIT NO. PUB-8: Order 79/'12         9       10       MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: And Exhibit 9         11       would be the book of documents that I circulated this         12       morning. Everybody should have one, and if anyone who         13       doesn't have one needs one, let me know.         14       EXHIBIT NO. PUB-9: Book of Documents         16       MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: As you know, Mr.         18       Chairman and and panel members, I will be conducting         19       cross-examination of the MPI panel over the next couple         20       of days. And I will address the following issues: the         21       background to the rate that's being applied for; the         22       revenue requirement with reference to net income; MPI's	<ul> <li>housekeeping matters, and I I thank you.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms.</li> <li>Grammond. Ms. Kalinowsky, would would you offer</li> <li>opening remarks for MPI, please?</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: No, I do not</li> <li>have any opening remarks, other than to merely put in</li> <li>the exhibits. I'll let my clients do the opening</li> <li>comments through the direct examination instead.</li> <li>So I would like to put in the exhibits</li> <li>anyways. They are listed in the draft exhibit list</li> <li>that the Board secretary helpfully circulated last</li> <li>week. But MPI Exhibit number 1 is the spreadsheet of</li> <li>costs to MPI associated with past GRAs.</li> <li> EXHIBIT NO. MPI-1: Spreadsheet of costs to MPI</li> <li>associated with past GRAs</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: MPI Exhibit</li> <li>number 2 is a chart showing the number of Information</li> <li>Requests from the 1990 GRA to 2012 GRA, also filed at</li> <li>the prehearing conference.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>rate stabilization reserve, or RSR, and the methodology</li> <li>by which the RSR range or target ought to be set; the</li> <li>DSR scale, including the driver premiums being applied</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>23 EXHIBIT NO. MPI-2: Chart showing the number of</li> <li>24 Information Requests from</li> <li>25 the 1990 GRA to 2012 GRA</li> </ul>

	106			108
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Exhibit number 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3 are affidavits of Darlene Porter and Kate Fenski (phonetic), sworn July 16th, 20 August 29th, and August 29th, with respect to publication of notice. EXHIBIT NO. MPI-3-1 TO MPI-3-3: Affidavits of Darlene Porter and Kate Fenski, dated July 16th, August 29th, and August 29th, with respect to publication of notice MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: MPI Exhibit number 4 is the MPI 2013 Rate Application, Volume 1. EXHIBIT NO. MPI-4: MPI 2013 Rate Application, Volume 1 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Exhibit number 5 is the 2013 Rate Application, Volume 2. And that has parts 2 and two (2) parts to it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for a lawyer to understand. So I've I've chosen	
25		_		400
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Volume 3 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: We have no further exhibits at this point, but as you're aware, we'll have quite a number of exhibits that go through the hearing, usually in response to undertakings. Thank you very much. THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms Ms. Kalinowsky. Mr. Williams, please? OPENING COMMENTS BY CAC: MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: Yes, again, thank you, Mr. Chair. We did provide to the Board and interested parties and and MPI an outline of our opening comments. Mr. Chair, it should be on your your table. It's it's at your discretion whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we wish them well in their position. Just for a couple seconds, Mr. Chair, our clients do want to take some time to remember Jerry Kruk. My client has asked me to to share their condolences with CAA. Mr. Kruk was purportedly at the Public Utilities Board on a watching brief. If it was a watching brief, it was the most extensive watching brief in the in the history of Manitoba law. I think it extended over twenty (20) years. And Jerry was often a active participant in the hearings, with thoughtful and extensive closing arguments and, from time to time, some pretty feisty cross-examination as well. Certainly from our clients' perspective, Jerry served as the conscience and corporate memory, to a large degree, of this process as it relates to MPI. An essential reality check for a new position taken by CAC/Manitoba, Ms. Desourcy tells me was, I wonder what Jerry would say. So from our clients' perspective, Jerry brought stability, consistency, and passion to this process. It will be a very different hearing without him, and certainly personally he will be missed. Because two (2) of the Board members may	

11	0 112
<ul> <li>1 not be as familiar with CAC/Manitoba, very quickly we</li> <li>2 just do want to let you know a little bit about them.</li> <li>3 They've been around for over sixty (60) years, not</li> <li>4 before these hearings for sixty (60) years. But since</li> <li>5 the onset of rate regulation for Manitoba Public</li> <li>6 Insurance, CAC has been here really working to to</li> <li>7 make accurate, verifiable consumer information</li> <li>8 available to the public; to empower consumers to make</li> <li>9 good choices; and to represent the consumer interest in</li> <li>10 Manitoba.</li> <li>11 In developing their position,</li> <li>12 CAC/Manitoba is guided by eight (8) internationally</li> <li>13 recognized consumer rights. Two (2) that are most</li> <li>14 important for this hearing are the right to be informed</li> <li>15 and the right to be heard on decisions that impact</li> <li>16 consumers.</li> <li>17 And the positions you will hear from</li> <li>18 CAC, articulated through legal counsel, are based</li> <li>19 through their perception of those consumer rights,</li> <li>20 their more than sixty (60) years of experience, and</li> <li>21 their interaction on an annual basis with many thousand</li> <li>22 Manitoba consumers.</li> <li>23 For the purposes of this specific</li> <li>24 hearing, CAC/Manitoba has conducted a focus group with</li> <li>25 Manitoba consumers, looking at itch issues, such as</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 appear, is an actuary. She currently works with a</li> <li>2 major private insurer, property and casualty insurer.</li> <li>3 She also has worked both for MPI and more recently for</li> <li>4 Saskatchewan Government Insurance.</li> <li>5 And Wayne Professor Wayne Simpson,</li> <li>6 who will be giving evidence, has expertise in both</li> <li>7 microeconomics, and my favourite word of all time,</li> <li>8 econometrics. He brings that expertise, particularly</li> <li>9 to his evidence on risk analysis, and certainly has</li> <li>10 appeared as an expert witness before this Board both on</li> <li>11 payday lending hearings and with regard to MPI.</li> <li>12 On the on the first page of our</li> <li>13 outline, the Board will see what our clients have</li> <li>14 described as the legal test. And I know legal tests</li> <li>15 are boring, but they certainly guide our clients'</li> <li>16 intervention in this proceeding. And and we've</li> <li>17 drawn this test, both from our review of the statute</li> <li>18 and also PUB decisions 5-12, which we cite on the next</li> <li>19 page, and also the PUB's most recent decision.</li> <li>20 SO analytically, when our client looks</li> <li>21 at this application, these are the questions they will</li> <li>22 be asking themselves. We will look at forecasts and</li> <li>23 of revenues and costs and are they reliable. We'll</li> <li>24 look at what Manitoba Public Insurance is spending</li> <li>25 today and what they're forecasting to spend. And we</li> </ul>
14	1
11 1 road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate 2 money should be going towards; driver education;	1 will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> <li>The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> <li>The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> <li>The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>from other consumer groups, from other service</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> <li>The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> <li>The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> <li>three (3) experts. It's an interdisciplinary team</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> <li>The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> <li>discussion with My Friend, Mr. Oakes, and and MPI</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> <li>three (3) experts. It's an interdisciplinary team</li> <li>involving the accounting, actuarial, and economic skill</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> <li>The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> <li>discussion with My Friend, Mr. Oakes, and and MPI</li> <li>and it goes to fairness as well. Is each class of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> <li>three (3) experts. It's an interdisciplinary team</li> <li>involving the accounting, actuarial, and economic skill</li> <li>sets, with a lot of experience in public insurance and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> <li>The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> <li>discussion with My Friend, Mr. Oakes, and and MPI</li> <li>and it goes to fairness as well. Is each class of</li> <li>ratepayer bearing a fair and reasonable share of the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> <li>three (3) experts. It's an interdisciplinary team</li> <li>involving the accounting, actuarial, and economic skill</li> <li>sets, with a lot of experience in public insurance and</li> <li>with private insurance, property and casualty insurers.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>2 those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>3 An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>4 between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>5 in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>6 revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>7 or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>8 other lines of business?</li> <li>9 The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>10 as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>11 into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>12 managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>13 in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>14 The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> <li>15 discussion with My Friend, Mr. Oakes, and and MPI</li> <li>16 and it goes to fairness as well. Is each class of</li> <li>17 ratepayer bearing a fair and reasonable share of the</li> <li>18 costs they bring to the system?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> <li>three (3) experts. It's an interdisciplinary team</li> <li>involving the accounting, actuarial, and economic skill</li> <li>sets, with a lot of experience in public insurance and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>other lines of business?</li> <li>The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> <li>discussion with My Friend, Mr. Oakes, and and MPI</li> <li>and it goes to fairness as well. Is each class of</li> <li>ratepayer bearing a fair and reasonable share of the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>competitive side.</li> <li>Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> <li>three (3) experts. It's an interdisciplinary team</li> <li>involving the accounting, actuarial, and economic skill</li> <li>sets, with a lot of experience in public insurance and</li> <li>with private insurance, property and casualty insurers.</li> <li>Mr. Peter Dyck, who will not appear, is</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>2 those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>3 An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>4 between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>5 in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>6 revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>7 or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>8 other lines of business?</li> <li>9 The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>10 as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>11 into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>12 managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>13 in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>14 The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> <li>15 discussion with My Friend, Mr. Oakes, and and MPI</li> <li>16 and it goes to fairness as well. Is each class of</li> <li>17 ratepayer bearing a fair and reasonable share of the</li> <li>18 costs they bring to the system?</li> <li>19 And the final question, the question set</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>2 money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>3 information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>4 as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>5 Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>6 awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>7 any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>8 competitive side.</li> <li>9 Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>10 with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>11 from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>12 providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>13 CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>14 hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> <li>15 three (3) experts. It's an interdisciplinary team</li> <li>16 involving the accounting, actuarial, and economic skill</li> <li>17 sets, with a lot of experience in public insurance and</li> <li>18 with private insurance, property and casualty insurers.</li> <li>19 Mr. Peter Dyck, who will not appear, is</li> <li>20 an accountant who is a former corporate comp</li> <li>21 controller and director of finance for MPI. And he was</li> <li>22 actually in the room for a lot of the time with Mr.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>2 those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>3 An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>4 between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>5 in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>6 revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>7 or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>8 other lines of business?</li> <li>9 The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>10 as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>11 into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>12 managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>13 in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>14 The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> <li>15 discussion with My Friend, Mr. Oakes, and and MPI</li> <li>16 and it goes to fairness as well. Is each class of</li> <li>17 ratepayer bearing a fair and reasonable share of the</li> <li>18 costs they bring to the system?</li> <li>19 And the final question, the question set</li> <li>20 out in the statute, is whether the proposed rates are</li> <li>21 just and reasonable.</li> <li>22 Moving to the second page of the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>2 money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>3 information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>4 as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>5 Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>6 awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>7 any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>8 competitive side.</li> <li>9 Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>10 with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>11 from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>12 providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>13 CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>14 hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> <li>15 three (3) experts. It's an interdisciplinary team</li> <li>16 involving the accounting, actuarial, and economic skill</li> <li>17 sets, with a lot of experience in public insurance and</li> <li>18 with private insurance, property and casualty insurers.</li> <li>19 Mr. Peter Dyck, who will not appear, is</li> <li>20 an accountant who is a former corporate comp</li> <li>21 controller and director of finance for MPI. And he was</li> <li>22 actually in the room for a lot of the time with Mr.</li> <li>23 Justice Kopstein, back in the day when the RSR was</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>2 those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>3 An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>4 between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>5 in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>6 revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>7 or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>8 other lines of business?</li> <li>9 The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>10 as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>11 into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>12 managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>13 in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>14 The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> <li>15 discussion with My Friend, Mr. Oakes, and and MPI</li> <li>16 and it goes to fairness as well. Is each class of</li> <li>17 ratepayer bearing a fair and reasonable share of the</li> <li>8 costs they bring to the system?</li> <li>19 And the final question, the question set</li> <li>20 out in the statute, is whether the proposed rates are</li> <li>21 just and reasonable.</li> <li>22 Moving to the second page of the</li> <li>23 outline, which is the longer sheet, please don't be</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 road safety priorities; what consumers think their rate</li> <li>2 money should be going towards; driver education;</li> <li>3 information related to information technolos nology</li> <li>4 as it affects their rates; the relationship between the</li> <li>5 Basic and competitive lines of business; and the</li> <li>6 awareness of Manitoba consumers, in terms of what, if</li> <li>7 any, competitive options they do have on the</li> <li>8 competitive side.</li> <li>9 Later in this hearing, CAC will meeting</li> <li>10 with a group of stakeholders from from business,</li> <li>11 from other consumer groups, from other service</li> <li>12 providers, to further inform their position.</li> <li>13 CAC/Manitoba's intervention in this</li> <li>14 hearing is also referring to the outline guided by</li> <li>15 three (3) experts. It's an interdisciplinary team</li> <li>16 involving the accounting, actuarial, and economic skill</li> <li>17 sets, with a lot of experience in public insurance and</li> <li>18 with private insurance, property and casualty insurers.</li> <li>19 Mr. Peter Dyck, who will not appear, is</li> <li>20 an accountant who is a former corporate comp</li> <li>21 controller and director of finance for MPI. And he was</li> <li>22 actually in the room for a lot of the time with Mr.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 will ask that long-hallowed regulatory question: Are</li> <li>2 those expenditures prudent and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>3 An issue that's been of some controversy</li> <li>4 between the Board and and Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>5 in recent years is the third bullet, which is: Are the</li> <li>6 revenues and costs of the Corporation properly assigned</li> <li>7 or allocated between the Basic lines of insurance and</li> <li>8 other lines of business?</li> <li>9 The fourth bullet relates to issues such</li> <li>10 as the RSR and speaks to the issue of whether, taking</li> <li>11 into account risk, is the Basic line of business</li> <li>12 managing its revenues, reserves, and retained earnings</li> <li>13 in a reasonable and prudent manner?</li> <li>14 The fifth issue is often the subject of</li> <li>15 discussion with My Friend, Mr. Oakes, and and MPI</li> <li>16 and it goes to fairness as well. Is each class of</li> <li>17 ratepayer bearing a fair and reasonable share of the</li> <li>18 costs they bring to the system?</li> <li>19 And the final question, the question set</li> <li>20 out in the statute, is whether the proposed rates are</li> <li>21 just and reasonable.</li> <li>22 Moving to the second page of the</li> </ul>

114

<ol> <li>Our client found it quite useful, and we do want to</li> <li>highlight aspects of this for the Board.</li> <li>And just to orientate the Board to the</li> <li>outline that we're presenting, on the left-hand side</li> <li>the first column is essentially the elements of the</li> <li>legal test that we've just dis discussed. The</li> <li>second column just sets out a bit of history. The</li> <li>third column, some pithy actions or statements by the</li> <li>Board in past decisions. The fourth column identifies</li> <li>what our client finds significant in this particular</li> <li>hearing, at least some of it.</li> <li>There's a brief commentary by CAC in the</li> <li>fifth column. And then our clients have framed issues</li> <li>for the Board's consideration that they will be</li> <li>addressing in this Hearing, whether through opening</li> <li>state whether through closing evi statement</li> <li>through evidence or cross-examination.</li> <li>Just on this first issue, really going</li> <li>to forecasting, our clients' primary emphasis will be</li> <li>on claims incurred and forecast variance. And the big</li> <li>issue, and this Board will be aware that there's a long</li> <li>history here, with over \$600 million in favourable</li> <li>runoffs dating back to '06/'07, culminating in the</li> <li>ange of \$286 million and, of course, the well-known</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 of the reserving aspects.</li> <li>2 Our clients do have particular concerns</li> <li>3 with one (1) coverage, which will continue in this</li> <li>4 hearing and will be the subject of cross-examination.</li> <li>5 And that coverage is Accident Benefit/Other, and on the</li> <li>6 right-hand side we've select set out some of the</li> <li>7 questions that our clients wish to ask in that.</li> <li>8 Does the judgmentally selected tail</li> <li>9 factor for Accident Bener Benefit/Other lead to an</li> <li>10 overstatement of the proposed IBNR for this coverage?</li> <li>11 And secondly, have the choices of MPI, in terms of its</li> <li>12 selection of IBNR methods by year, led to an</li> <li>13 overstatement of the proposed IBNR for this coverage?</li> <li>14 So that will be an issue our clients will pursue in</li> <li>15 cross-examination.</li> <li>16 Another issue that the Board has been</li> <li>17 pursuing, in terms of forecasting for a number of years</li> <li>18 and perhaps we're late to the bandwagon is just</li> <li>19 the question of whether the current method of</li> <li>20 projecting claims incurred for the application year,</li> <li>21 the financial method, is the best mechanism for</li> <li>22 Manitoba Public Insurance or whether there's something</li> <li>23 else out there that we we could look towards.</li> <li>24 We don't see that issue being resolved</li> <li>25 in this hearing, but it's a dialogue that our clients</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 rate rebate which followed of over \$300 million.</li> <li>2 Our client, in the last general rate</li> <li>3 application, raised the concern that even in the</li> <li>4 application that was presented last year, there was</li> <li>5 consistent continued consistent cumulative</li> <li>6 conservatism in how Manitoba Public Insurance was</li> <li>7 setting its reserves.</li> <li>8 In the middle in the middle column, I</li> <li>9 will just put a I note that we set out some of the</li> <li>10 PUB's findings in that regard. And also the fact that</li> <li>11 PUB has investigated this very actively over the fast -</li> <li>12 - the past few years.</li> <li>13 In this new developments in this</li> <li>14 proceeding, our clients note with appreciation that MPI</li> <li>15 has retained a new external actuary, and that the</li> <li>16 subject of that new report is the subject of some</li> <li>17 positive comment. And while our client often offers a</li> <li>18 critical approach to MPI, we certainly have been</li> <li>19 instructed to offer compliments when they are due.</li> <li>20 And from our clients' perspective, in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 will be exploring, at least to a small degree.</li> <li>2 On the the next page of the the</li> <li>3 large sheet, we set out the second-grade legal</li> <li>4 category. Are the actual and forecast forecast</li> <li>5 costs prudently and necessarily incurred?</li> <li>6 And this was a subject of considerable</li> <li>7 comment by the Board last year. And and if you see</li> <li>8 in the prior GRA history under, "Cost, Control, and</li> <li>9 Benchmarking," the Board had some pointed observations</li> <li>10 about growth and whether it's personal compensation</li> <li>11 expenses, claims expenses, or IT expenditures.</li> <li>12 And the Board expressed some concerns in</li> <li>13 last year's general rate application, in terms of the</li> <li>14 cost control measures. If we look at the new</li> <li>15 developments in this proceeding, we really have a</li> <li>16 from our clients' perspective, a preliminary</li> <li>17 perspective, a mixed bag; because certainly from our</li> <li>18 clients' perspective they've seen some progress in this</li> <li>19 regard, certainly in terms of salary increases, and</li> <li>20 also some moderation in claims expenses and operating</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>20 And non our clients perspective, in</li> <li>21 terms of the new actuarial information presented to the</li> <li>22 Board, they want to expend their extend their</li> <li>23 compliments both to the PUB for the hard work on this</li> <li>24 issue, and to MPI. Our clients have noted a marked</li> <li>25 improvement in their perspective, in terms of a number</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>20 also some moderation in claims expenses and operating</li> <li>21 expenses per earned vehicle unit.</li> <li>22 And certainly as well, in terms of</li> <li>23 overall corporate staffing letters levels, although</li> <li>24 they're our clients continue to have to have</li> <li>25 concerns about the level of consultants.</li> </ul>

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

118	120
1 If you but there are still	1 So from our clients' perspective,
2 outstanding concerns of our client in this regard. One	2 they're pleased to see this 36 percent reduction in
3 is when they look at the pattern between claims	3 capital expenditures for IT optimization, but certainly
4 incurred and claims expenses over the past five (5) or	4 they see more work being needing to be done. And
5 six (6) years, there's a sharp divergence between those	5 their question they pose is: As these expenditures
6 the growth in cost with those two (2) important cost	6 affect just and reasonable rates for service, are the
7 categories. Claims incurred have grown at a relatively	7 current and planned management activities related to
8 moderate pace, where claims expense have grown quite	8 the IT portfolio prudent and reasonable?
9 quite sharply. And that is of concern to our client.	9 One other big element of cost control,
10 And they note as well that overall	10 from our clients' perspective, appears on the the
11 operating expense and claims expense per earned vehicle	11 next page of the outline, under the heading, "Loss
12 appear to have risen sharply faster than inflation	12 mitigation." And that relates to driver safety rating
13 since the '07/'08 period. While they do see some	13 and road safety.
14 positive signs, in terms of modest moderation in the	14 And our clients have certainly, in
15 in the current Application, that is a matter of ongoing	15 terms of road safety, see that as a critical social
16 concern.	16 objective, but also as a critical objective to
17 So the questions in this this regard	17 ratepayers. And in terms of new developments relating
18 that our clients have asked are: Given trends in	18 to road safety, our clients note that there seems to be
19 expenditure control, can we reasonably conclude that	19 some impetus from MPI to revisioning its role in road
20 the proposed expenditures are prudent and reasonable?	20 safety and that it's planning to take some steps in
21 Benchmarking was ren referenced by	21 that regard, as we understand it, at least in driver's
22 the Chair in his comments, and our clients have a	22 education and infrastructure, some further exploratory
23 couple questions about benchmarking. Is additional	23 steps.
24 benchmarking information required in order to assist	And from our clients' perspective, they
25 the the Board in making its determinations in terms	25 have long been supportive of evidence-based road safety
119	121
<ol> <li>119</li> <li>1 of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> </ol>	121 1 investments which provide a positive payback for
1 of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are	1 investments which provide a positive payback for
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> <li>last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> <li>last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> <li>last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> <li>last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li> really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> <li>last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li> really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> <li>last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li> really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> <li>last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li> really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> <li>last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li> really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> <li>And it is encouraging in this hearing,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>- that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> <li>commend MPI for acknowledging the the important role</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>MPI in this process?</li> <li>And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>baseline for that.</li> <li>Another category of concern from the</li> <li>last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li> really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> <li>And it is encouraging in this hearing,</li> <li>at least to some degree, to see that that \$71 million</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> <li>commend MPI for acknowledging the the important role</li> <li>that the Public Utilities Board has played in in</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>2 prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>3 MPI in this process?</li> <li>4 And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>5 mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>6 expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>7 baseline for that.</li> <li>8 Another category of concern from the</li> <li>9 last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>10 expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>11 captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li>12 really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>13 71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>14 significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>15 again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> <li>16 And it is encouraging in this hearing,</li> <li>17 at least to some degree, to see that that \$71 million</li> <li>18 figure from MPI has been reduced significantly to \$45</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> <li>commend MPI for acknowledging the the important role</li> <li>that the Public Utilities Board has played in in</li> <li>pushing this dialogue forward.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>2 prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>3 MPI in this process?</li> <li>4 And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>5 mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>6 expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>7 baseline for that.</li> <li>8 Another category of concern from the</li> <li>9 last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>10 expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>11 captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li>12 really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>13 71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>14 significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>15 again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> <li>16 And it is encouraging in this hearing,</li> <li>17 at least to some degree, to see that that \$71 million</li> <li>18 figure from MPI has been reduced significantly to \$45</li> <li>19 million, and that appears on the next page. Our</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> <li>commend MPI for acknowledging the the important role</li> <li>that the Public Utilities Board has played in in</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>2 prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>3 MPI in this process?</li> <li>4 And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>5 mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>6 expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>7 baseline for that.</li> <li>8 Another category of concern from the</li> <li>9 last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>10 expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>11 captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li>12 really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>13 71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>14 significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>15 again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> <li>16 And it is encouraging in this hearing,</li> <li>17 at least to some degree, to see that that \$71 million</li> <li>18 figure from MPI has been reduced significantly to \$45</li> <li>19 million, and that appears on the next page. Our</li> <li>20 clients do note though that the Gartner Group, which</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> <li>commend MPI for acknowledging the the important role</li> <li>that the Public Utilities Board has played in in</li> <li>pushing this dialogue forward.</li> <li>Under the next page should have, on</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>2 prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>3 MPI in this process?</li> <li>4 And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>5 mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>6 expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>7 baseline for that.</li> <li>8 Another category of concern from the</li> <li>9 last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>10 expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>11 captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li>12 really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>13 71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>14 significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>15 again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> <li>16 And it is encouraging in this hearing,</li> <li>17 at least to some degree, to see that that \$71 million</li> <li>18 figure from MPI has been reduced significantly to \$45</li> <li>19 million, and that appears on the next page. Our</li> <li>20 clients do note though that the Gartner Group, which</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> <li>commend MPI for acknowledging the the important role</li> <li>that the Public Utilities Board has played in in</li> <li>pushing this dialogue forward.</li> <li>Under the next page should have, on</li> <li>the left-hand side:</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>2 prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>3 MPI in this process?</li> <li>4 And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>5 mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>6 expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>7 baseline for that.</li> <li>8 Another category of concern from the</li> <li>9 last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>10 expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>11 captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li>12 really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>13 71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>14 significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>15 again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> <li>16 And it is encouraging in this hearing,</li> <li>17 at least to some degree, to see that that \$71 million</li> <li>18 figure from MPI has been reduced significantly to \$45</li> <li>19 million, and that appears on the next page. Our</li> <li>20 clients do note though that the Gartner Group, which</li> <li>21 provides some independent oversight of MPI's IT</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> <li>commend MPI for acknowledging the the important role</li> <li>that the Public Utilities Board has played in in</li> <li>pushing this dialogue forward.</li> <li>Under the next page should have, on</li> <li>the left-hand side:</li> <li>"Are the revenues and costs of the</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>2 prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>3 MPI in this process?</li> <li>4 And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>5 mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>6 expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>7 baseline for that.</li> <li>8 Another category of concern from the</li> <li>9 last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>10 expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>11 captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li>12 really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>13 71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>14 significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>15 again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> <li>16 And it is encouraging in this hearing,</li> <li>17 at least to some degree, to see that that \$71 million</li> <li>18 figure from MPI has been reduced significantly to \$45</li> <li>19 million, and that appears on the next page. Our</li> <li>20 clients do note though that the Gartner Group, which</li> <li>21 provides some independent oversight of MPI's IT</li> <li>22 expenditures, is still expressing the need, in terms of</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time there has been some push back</li> <li>from MPI, in terms of the roads investigations in terms</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> <li>commend MPI for acknowledging the the important role</li> <li>that the Public Utilities Board has played in in</li> <li>pushing this dialogue forward.</li> <li>Under the next page should have, on</li> <li>the left-hand side:</li> <li>"Are the revenues and costs of the</li> <li>Corporation properly assigned or</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 of whether the actual and proposed expenditures are</li> <li>2 prudent and reasonable? If so, how can we best assist</li> <li>3 MPI in this process?</li> <li>4 And certainly, our client sees some</li> <li>5 mileage to be gained in looking at claims and operating</li> <li>6 expenses per earned vehicle unit and developing a</li> <li>7 baseline for that.</li> <li>8 Another category of concern from the</li> <li>9 last hearing under prudence and reasonableness of</li> <li>10 expenditures relates to IT optimization. And it's just</li> <li>11 captured at the bottom of this page. You can hopefully</li> <li>12 really, where we MPI presented projected costs of</li> <li>13 71 million, in terms of capital costs, and then</li> <li>14 significant operating costs as well. And the Board</li> <li>15 again had some pointed advice to MPI on that subject.</li> <li>16 And it is encouraging in this hearing,</li> <li>17 at least to some degree, to see that that \$71 million</li> <li>18 figure from MPI has been reduced significantly to \$45</li> <li>19 million, and that appears on the next page. Our</li> <li>20 clients do note though that the Gartner Group, which</li> <li>21 provides some independent oversight of MPI's IT</li> <li>22 expenditures, is still expressing the need, in terms of</li> <li>23 MPI, in terms of cost containment, en enhancing that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>investments which provide a positive payback for</li> <li>society as a whole and for ratepayers. And again, they</li> <li>will ask that question: Are are the Corporation's</li> <li>plans for road safety, as they affect just and</li> <li>reasonable rates, prudent and reasonable?</li> <li>There'll be a largely blank page, you'll</li> <li>see, on the next page. There is something I do wish to</li> <li>draw to your attention, because from time to time on</li> <li>that page you'll see the under, "New Developments,"</li> <li>MPI has acknowledged the support of the PUB PUBM, in</li> <li>terms of an active role in road safety.</li> <li>And the the Chair will be aware for -</li> <li>that from time to time to time to times</li> <li>of road safety. And our clients certainly want to</li> <li>commend MPI for acknowledging the the important role</li> <li>that the Public Utilities Board has played in in</li> <li>pushing this dialogue forward.</li> <li>Under the next page should have, on</li> <li>the left-hand side:</li> <li>are the revenues and costs of the</li> <li>Corporation properly assigned or</li> <li>allocated between Basic insurance and</li> </ul>

122	124
<ol> <li>extensive. We won't talk about the stated case here,</li> <li>but thi this has certainly been an an issue of</li> <li>some con controversy between the Public Utilities</li> <li>Board and Manitoba Public Insurance.</li> <li>And our clients do, in the new</li> <li>developments relating to this proceeding, do want to</li> <li>highlight some of their observations from the evidence,</li> <li>which do give them grounds for ongoing concern.</li> <li>As they understand it, Basic expense as</li> <li>a percentage of Corporate expenses appears to be</li> <li>growing by over 4 percent between 2012/'13 and</li> <li>2013/'14. So that is con cause of concern for our</li> <li>clients.</li> <li>Our clients also note a really</li> <li>interesting recommendation by the Gartner Group, which</li> <li>was rejected by MPI. The Gartner Group was</li> <li>recommending developing an IT business and operating</li> <li>model that is sim similar to that of an internal</li> <li>service provider using service unit costing.</li> <li>And our clients don't fully understand</li> <li>the Gartner Group proposal, but it does echo, at least</li> <li>on our clients' preliminary view, some of the concerns</li> </ol>	<ol> <li> proceeding are are fairly obvious.</li> <li>MPI has done an exten extensive</li> <li>analysis of the different methodologies. They have</li> <li>proposed the DCAT analysis and rejecting other</li> <li>mechanisms and and essentially discarding them.</li> <li>Professor Simpson of on has</li> <li>presented evidence, which really, in our clients'</li> <li>respectful view, carefully looks at the strengths and</li> <li>weaknesses of all these methodologies and also offers</li> <li>some considered advice about how to make the DCAT more</li> <li>credible, more transparent, and and and of more</li> <li>benefit to the board.</li> <li>Our preliminary view of the DCAT from</li> <li>our clients' perspective, it is that it shows some</li> <li>improvement. I'm certain we remember the implausible</li> <li>assumptions that from prior hearings. But the</li> <li>kind of the key scenario of of the DCAT, our clients</li> <li>continue to have concerns with. And it's well</li> <li>characterized in our preliminary commentary.</li> <li>The two (2) from our clients'</li> <li>perspective on a preliminary basis, the \$200 million</li> <li>scenario can best be described as designed to protect</li> </ol>
23 they have expressed between their concern that the	23 against the type of risks that MPI would face if modern
<ul><li>24 the the costs the contribution that the Basic</li><li>25 program makes to other lines of business, in terms of</li></ul>	<ul><li>24 governments and their economic advisors had learned</li><li>25 nothing from past history and had responded after 2008</li></ul>
123	125
<ul> <li>1 cost savings and in terms of revenue opportunities, is</li> <li>2 not fully represented in the current thinking of</li> <li>3 Manitoba Public Insurance.</li> <li>4 And our clients are concerned that the</li> <li>5 cost allocation proposal of Manitoba Public Insurance</li> <li>6 fails to recognize that essential reality.</li> <li>7 So in terms of their clients' issues in</li> <li>8 this hearing and many of them may be pursued largely</li> <li>9 in argument but perhaps a bit in cross has the</li> <li>10 Corporation fully explored whether Basic is proble</li> <li>11 properly compensated for the revenue-generating and</li> <li>12 cost-saving opportunities provided to other lines of</li> <li>13 business by the close integration of the business units</li> <li>14 and the Basic monopoly?</li> <li>15 In the absence of a full exploration of</li> <li>16 this issue, would it be appropriate to prove the new</li> <li>17 cost allocation formula proposed by MPI?</li> <li>18 In terms of that cost allocation</li> <li>19 formula, are there improvements that could be made that</li> <li>20 might better reflect the revenue-generating and cost-</li> <li>21 saving oppor opportunities provided to these other</li> <li>22 lines of business by the Basic monopoly?</li> <li>23 On the next page, our clients have</li> <li>24 addressed issues related to the rate stabilization</li> <li>25 reserve. And the new developments relating to this pro</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 in the same way governments did in the 1930s to a stock</li> <li>market crash.</li> <li>And from our clients' perspective, that</li> <li>scenario needs some work; and from our clients'</li> <li>perspective, it's not plausible.</li> <li>So the issues our clients raise in in</li> <li>this hearing with regard to the rate stabilization</li> <li>reserve and recognizing the great strides that have</li> <li>been taken, equity risk management is: Is it</li> <li>reasonable to expect that the biggest rate risk</li> <li>faced by Manitoba Public Insurance is equity risk</li> <li>related? Does this suggest that the risk management</li> <li>related? Does this suggest that the risk management</li> <li>costs associated with excessive level of reserves,</li> <li>other risk mitigation tools, the reality of the</li> <li>Corporation's status as a monopoly, and the strengths</li> <li>and witnesses of each approach, our client will also</li> <li>ask: What is the best approach to ensuring that the</li> <li>RSR is set in a manner that is credible, transparent,</li> <li>and understandable?</li> <li>On this issue of risk analysis, our</li> <li>client notes another significant issue which is with</li> <li>regard to investments. And there was a very under</li> </ul>

126	128
1 bottom of this page. Under new developments, there was	1 questions our clients will consider.
2 a very thoughtful question presented by the Public	2 Our clients, just in closing, would ask
3 Utilities Board asking to MPI to to provide a	3 the Board to introduce as exhibits Round 1 Information
4 further discussion of the issue of investment portfolio	4 Requests of CAC/Manitoba, Round 2 Information Requests
5 composition and risk. And MPI's response was basically	5 of CAC/Manitoba, and also the expert evidence of
6 to say that the Minister of Finance is responsible for	6 Professor Wayne Simpson.
7 analysing the composition and risk of the investment	7 I thank the Board for their patience and
8 portfolio. 9 From our clients' perspective, this is a	8 we're ready to proceed with the Hearing at the
<ul><li>9 From our clients' perspective, this is a</li><li>10 disturbing response, because the composition of this</li></ul>	9 appropriate time. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
11 portfolio is central to the to the risks and to the	11 Williams. In terms of numbering these exhibits, do we
12 development of the RSR. And so, with that in mind, our	12 could you give us some advice, Ms. Grammond?
13 clients have asked: Given its effect on the RSR and	13 MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: Yeah,
14 revenues has the investment portfolio been been	14 absolutely. What we'll do is mark CAC's First Round 1-
15 designed in a manner that optimally balances risk and	15 1 through 1-181, so those will be CAC Exhibit 1. CAC
16 res return.	16 Second Round questions will be CAC Exhibit 2, 2
17 And they also asked that to the extent	17 which would be 2-1 through 2-78. And then Professor
18 that MPI may deny the PUB assin information	18 Simpson's report from September 14, 2012, will be CAC
19 essential to understanding both the risks faced by the	19 Exhibit 3.
20 Corporations and mechanisms to mitigate risk, should an	20
21 adverse inference be drawn that may influence	21 EXHIBIT NO. CAC-1: CAC First Round Information
<ul><li>22 considerations of the appropriate magnitude of the RSR.</li><li>23 They'll probe that issue.</li></ul>	22 Requests, 1-1 through 1-181 23
24 Recognizing the time, Mr. Chairman, and	23 24 EXHIBIT NO. CAC-2: CAC Second Round
25 your admonition about efficiency, I'll just jump to my	25 Information Requests, 2-1
127	129
1 last point, which is point 6. And that goes: Is the	1 through 2-78
2 proposed rate just and reasonable?	2
3 Our clients do have one (1) additional	3 EXHIBIT NO. CAC-3: Professor Simpson's Report,
<ul><li>4 concern with this application. Since Kopstein, both</li><li>5 the Public Utilities Board and CAC/Manitoba have been</li></ul>	4 dated September 14, 2012 5
6 very resistant to the Corporation budgeting for a loss	5 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: And this new
7 in any particular year. Under new developments for	7 document will become CAC Exhibit number 4.
8 this proceeding we see that the Corporation is	8 By the way, Mr. Williams, I find this
9 projecting a loss for 2013 of roughly 5.6 million.	9 document to be excellent. So congratulations. I think
10 As MPI's argument, as our client	10 it encapsulates very well both where you've been and
11 understands it, is that, given the impact of staggered	11 where you'd like to go. So thank you very much for
12 renewals and positive modest positive net income for	12 that. I think it not wordy and I think it will be
13 the next year, that it is not budgeting for a loss.	13 useful.
14 Our client simply notes that the current year that	14
15 we're in, MPI is also projecting a modest loss as well.	15 EXHIBIT NO. CAC-4: Outline of Byron Williams'
<ul><li>So the the questions from our</li><li>clients' perspective that they will explore is really</li></ul>	16 opening comments
18 how do we determine whether the Corporation is	17
19 budgeting for a loss. Is considering whether the	18 MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: It beats the 19 report card.
20 Corporation is budgeting for a loss, should it be	19 report card. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Oakes,
21 considered only looking at the test year? Should	21 introductory remarks, please.
22 reference be also be given to the current year	22
23 forecast or to the year-beyond forecast? And if we're	23 OPENING COMMENTS BY CMMG:
24 looking at more than the test year do we weight these	24 MR. RAYMOND OAKES: Thank you, Mr.
25 years equally or not? So these are some of the the	25 Chairman, Members of the Board. As a preliminary

		130			132	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	matter, if I might acknowledge the presence of the President of CMMG, Mr. Doug Houghton sitted seated in the public area. Mr. Houghton has been on the executive for over a decade of CMMG and is very familiar with these hearings. With respect to the issues that CMMG will be cross-examining on, we have a new issue that we wish to present for the consideration of the Board and the Corporation, and that relates to a flat rate for PIPP coverage or moving PIPP the cost of PIPP coverage to the driver's licences. The contention of CMMG is it should be based on the driving record of the driver, not the severity of injuries of the victim. And just with some background with respect to that issue, PIPP costs represent about 80 percent of the motorcycle premium and about 30 to 40 percent of the automobile premium. Motorcycles are in a separate rating category, not because we have more accidents, but merely due to the severity of their injuries. PIPP coverage is only paid for by those who own motor vehicles with some exclusions. Not all and not by all of Manitobans who enjoy driver's licence and those who contribute to accident costs or those without either and receive accident benefits. Mr. Chairman, twelve thousand, nine		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 112\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 9\\ 20\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	dollars and sixty-three (\$52.63) cents per HDA unit, but that hardly goes to subsidize the costs of the PIPP injuries. The current system also encourages high- risk drivers to transfer ownership to other family members so as not to pay higher vehicle premiums and, therefore, not contributing fairly into the public insurance system. In fairness, this should be investigated with a possibility of amending so that there is a flat rate for PIPP or moving it to the driver's licence. And there will be discussion around that. It also assist with respect to the second issue that CCMG is quite concerned about, and that's the demonstrated conservatism in the Corporation's rate making and in their forecasts. It's especially important in the issue of motorcycle claims costs because for 2013 we may have 3 or \$4 million in PIPP costs, you know, or in claims costs in a very good year, or we might have 12 million, or even 15 million in the worst-case scenario. That kind of variability makes it very difficult for the Corporation to forecast. And their response has been to forecast very conservatively and prepare for bad years, and in good years, well, that's		
		131			133	
$     \begin{array}{r}       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\       11 \\       12 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       16 \\       17 \\       18 \\       19 \\       20 \\       21 \\     \end{array} $	hundred and fifty-four (12,954) vehicles, we've learned through the IR process, which represents 1.5 percent of vehicles registered in Manitoba, do not pay for PIPP coverage in those figures as of August 1st, 2012. That number is more than the total number of registered motorcycles. It includes interprovincial trucks, exempt vehicles, like government of Canada vehicles and fire department vehicles. In 2011, there were twelve thousand, six hundred and eighty-eight (12,688) PIPP claimants, for a total of almost \$140 million. Another table produced in the IRs show that drivers without a registered vehicle account for three thousand, one hundred and ninety-two (3,192) of the eleven thousand, seven hundred and eighty-four (11,784) total PIPP claims, about 27 percent. In addition, unlicensed, non-vehicle owners, account for six hundred and ninety-three (693) claims, or another 6 percent of the total vehicle claims. If one third (1/3) of all PIPP claims are for non-contributors, why should motorcyclists pay substantially more for this coverage when other vehicle		$     \begin{array}{r}       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\       11 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       16 \\       17 \\       18 \\       20 \\       21 \\     \end{array} $	too bad, the motorcyclists pay too much. Certainly moving it to a flat rate would avoid those kind of variability issues. The intervention of CMMG for the last two (2) or three (3) years has also inclo included an examination of the wildlife collision costs. We're very disappointed this year. It appears that the Corporation has no initiatives, has no plans, nothing that they can bring forward to deal with a situation that is extremely damaging to the Manitoba motoring public and to the claims costs associated with that. We have deaths that result from wildlife collisions. And we have millions of dollars of claims. The Corporation tried a small pilot program in an urban setting, which we suggest is not the appropriate place to concentrate, and came up with disappointing results, understandably, and has just decided to consider it but not provide any new initiatives this year. With respect to road safety, that's been a banner that the CMMG has attempted to get more visibility for for more than a decade. We suggest that the current expenditure by MPI, which is the same for		

- 22 substantially more for this coverage when other vehicle
- 23 owners and licensed drivers pay nothing?
- 24 The premiums collected for driver's
- 25 licence, of course, are an offset of some fifty-two
- 24 passenger automobiles, is totally inadequate.
- 25 They're obviously not demonstrating a

23 motorcyclists on a per unit basis as it is for

1	34 136
<ol> <li>priority for motorcycle loss claims. They've spent</li> <li>some \$50 million on immobilizers in the past. They've</li> <li>had extensive expenditures in seat-belt campaigns. But</li> <li>their expenditure for motorcycle-specific safety</li> <li>initiatives is a very poor track record indeed.</li> <li>As a closing matter, I'd like to have</li> <li>the Board, if you would, please, mark the CMMG</li> <li>exhibits. There's inte Interrogatory 1 and the</li> <li>second round of Interrogatories. And also, we have the</li> <li>secretary provided with answers that the Corporation</li> <li>produced this morning to Pre-asks 1 and 2. And I think</li> <li>the Board secretary can distribute copies to the Board.</li> <li>I believe most other people in the room</li> <li>have that document. And so I'd ask that that those</li> <li>three (3) exhibits be marked as CMMG exhibits this</li> <li>morning. Thank you.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Oakes.</li> <li>Are those documents being distributed now or? Okay.</li> <li>Ms. Peters Ms. Peters, please?</li> <li>OPENING COMMENTS BY CAA:</li> <li>MS. LIZ PETERS: Yes, thank you very</li> <li>much. CAA Manitoba definitely appreciates the</li> <li>opportunity to sit as an Intervenor. And as Mr.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 the room? He isn't there. It's 10:30. Would you be</li> <li>2 prepared to start the comments now?</li> <li>3 MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I think Ms.</li> <li>4 Kalinowsky will have direct, which would be the next</li> <li>5 step.</li> <li>6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.</li> <li>7 MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So we could</li> <li>8 either take the break now and she can start after, or</li> <li>9 we she can start now. It doesn't matter to me.</li> <li>10 THE CHAIRPERSON: I suggest we take the</li> <li>11 break now. And so we take ten (10) minutes. And then</li> <li>12 we can start with the comments.</li> <li>13</li> <li>14 Upon recessing at 10:30 a.m.</li> <li>15 Upon resuming at 10:50 a.m.</li> <li>16</li> <li>17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Before we start with</li> <li>18 Ms. Kalinowsky, I wonder if we could ask the Mr.</li> <li>19 Simonsen to swear in the witnesses from MPI, please.</li> <li>20 MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: Just while Mr.</li> <li>21 Simonsen is walking, I'll just indicate I made a</li> <li>22 mistake in my opening, which Ms. Kalinowsky brought to</li> <li>23 my attention, so I thank you. When I was reciting the</li> <li>24 hearing dates I had indicated that the last three (3)</li> </ul>
25 Williams referenced in his opening statements, we we	25 hearing dates were October 25th to 27th, which is wrong
1	35 137
<ul> <li>1 do do a watching brief. And I I definitely will</li> <li>2 miss my former partner in crime as he always did have</li> <li>3 some valuable input. But we will be here to to</li> <li>4 listen very carefully to to the PUB's cross-</li> <li>5 examination and my fellow Intervenors, the answers</li> <li>6 given by Manitoba Public Insurance.</li> <li>7 In particular, I mean, the the rates,</li> <li>8 whether they're fair and whether they're needing to go</li> <li>9 up or go down is something we're listening very closely</li> <li>10 to, the RSR. And then above and beyond everything,</li> <li>11 it's the road safety.</li> <li>12 We're an organization with two hundred</li> <li>13 thousand (200,000) members. It's more than a quarter of</li> <li>14 all drivers in Manitoba are a member of our</li> <li>15 organization. And that really, at the end of the day,</li> <li>16 is the main reason why we're here. They call us all</li> <li>17 the time to talk about different issues and to point</li> <li>18 out different issues. And we really just need to make</li> <li>19 sure that we're here to make sure we're all on the same</li> <li>20 page with where we're going and where we need to go</li> <li>21 with road safety.</li> <li>22 So with that, I say thank you very much</li> <li>23 once again for allowing us to be present once again.</li> <li>24 Thanks.</li> <li>25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is Mr. Schioler in</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>because the 27th is a Saturday. It should be the 23rd</li> <li>through 25th, so 23, 24, 25 are our three (3) dates</li> <li>that week. So I apologize for that.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.</li> <li>MPI PANEL:</li> <li>MARILYN MCLAREN, Sworn</li> <li>HEATHER REICHERT, Sworn</li> <li>LUKE JOHNSTON, Sworn</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.</li> <li>Simonsen. I will turn it over to you, Ms. Kalinowsky.</li> <li>EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY:</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Good morning</li> <li>once again. Pleased to be here and conduct some direct</li> <li>examination. The purpose of the direct examination is</li> <li>to assist all all board members and others, but in</li> <li>particular, two (2) of the newer Board members with</li> <li>some of the major issues that we'll be dealing with at</li> <li>this hearing.</li> <li>I'll first be asking Ms. Mclaren some</li> <li>general overall questions with respect to MPI, and then</li> <li>we'll delve into some specifics of the investment</li> <li>portfolio that is managed by the Minister of Finance.</li> </ol>

1:	8 140
1       I'll be then asking Ms. Reichert a         2       number of questions with respect to the cost         3       allocation. As you know, that's another aspect that         4       we've applied to have the methodology accepted by the         5       Public Utilities Board.         6       And I'll also be asking Mr. Johnston         7       quite a number of questions with respect to the         8       methodology to calculate the RSR. And he'll try and         9       bring down a lot of the technical knowledge into         10       something that is much more understandable and can         11       resonate with the board members and others.         12       So with that, I'll simply proceed by         13       embarking upon my direct examination of Ms. Mclaren.         14       Ms. Mclaren, recognizing that, of         15       course, there are two new panel members, did you want         16       to make a few preliminary comments about Manitoba         17       Public Insurance?         18       MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Thank you. Good         19       morning, Mr. Chairman, Ms. Botting, Ms. Neville, and         20       all other participants to the proceedings. We really         21       welcome the opportunity to have a chance to talk a         2	<ul> <li>1 to the strength of the legislated framework in which</li> <li>2 we're all operating and the benefits at the very</li> <li>3 heart of the benefits of public auto insurance.</li> <li>4 For all the reasons articulated in our</li> <li>5 Application and in response to many of the Information</li> <li>6 Requests in this application, it really is based on</li> <li>7 sound forecasts and best estimates. I'll talk a little</li> <li>8 bit more in detail about that towards the end of my</li> <li>9 comments, but it is really what we're trying to do</li> <li>10 is estimate the ultimate cost of providing the</li> <li>11 coverages for the compulsory program that are found in</li> <li>12 the Manitoba Public Insurance Corporation Act.</li> <li>13 Our processes and our techniques</li> <li>14 continue to improve through time. Mr. Williams gave us</li> <li>15 a little bit of credit for a couple of those earlier.</li> <li>16 But we really believe that this transparent, publicly</li> <li>17 accountable process of the Public Utilities Board has</li> <li>18 helped to make our forecasting and our rate making very</li> <li>19 strong and very robust. And this process has</li> <li>20 absolutely, since 1988 when we first started coming for</li> <li>21 approval of Basic Autopac rates, has really made the</li> <li>22 forecasting and rate-making processes at MPI much, much</li> <li>23 stronger than they used to be.</li> <li>24 We know more than anything else,</li> </ul>
<ul><li>24 background about the Corporation and the way we</li><li>25 operate.</li></ul>	<ul><li>We know more than anything else,</li><li>Manitobans value stability, rate stability. And we</li></ul>
1:	9 141
<ol> <li>You'll learn pretty quickly that I'm</li> <li>very passionate about public auto insurance. And I</li> <li>think as I said here, last year, left to my own</li> <li>devices, I could probably chew up a good part of our</li> <li>twelve (12) days together. But I promise not to do</li> <li>that. I promise, in fact, to be significantly more</li> <li>brief than Mr. Williams.</li> <li>I couldn't be more proud of working at</li> <li>Manitoba Public Insurance. As we move to the end of my</li> <li>opening comments, I will refer you to AI-7 in Volume 3</li> <li>of the application, which really contains our annual</li> <li>report, the Basic compulsory annual report, and the</li> <li>strategic plan. And I wanted to talk a fair bit about</li> <li>the Corporation as a whole and how we see that relating</li> <li>to the Basic compulsory program that is really the</li> <li>subject the rates for that program are the subject</li> <li>of of these hearings.</li> <li>But first, I think it bears mentioning</li> <li>that that again, this year, we are applying for no</li> <li>change in rates after a couple of years of rate</li> <li>decreases. This is actually the 14th time in 15 years</li> <li>that we have actually held the line on rates or reduced</li> <li>rates. That's a pretty unprecedented record in the</li> <li>automobile insurance business in most places, certainly</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>believe, again, that this process has really helped to</li> <li>achieve that.</li> <li>Pressures on auto insurers are not</li> <li>insignificant these days. Increasing vehicle</li> <li>complexity just in in think about what you've</li> <li>come to learn about, you know, vehicles and roadways</li> <li>and the construction of vehicles and the complexity of</li> <li>mult multiple airbags and stability systems and</li> <li>electronics in vehicles. None of those existed some</li> <li>time ago. That makes the repair of damaged vehicles</li> <li>that much more complex and that much more challenging.</li> <li>Manitobans fundamentally know that we</li> <li>fund automobile damage repair, they expect us to assure</li> <li>that those repairs are done safely as well as other</li> <li>kinds of quality, but that the safety of repair becomes</li> <li>more and more challenging the more vehicle complexity</li> <li>continues to grow. The cost of replacement parts is</li> <li>changing and becoming somewhat less predictable.</li> <li>The there's lots of uncertainty with</li> <li>respect to injury claims costs across the entire</li> <li>automobile insurance systems across the world. We're</li> <li>all expected to keep our operating expenses low and</li> <li>expectations to meet or exceed customer standards are</li> <li>something that all automobile insurance companies are</li> </ul>

	14	<u>  </u>		144
1	1 In Manitoba, the legislative monopoly	1	they don't need to and we don't need them to. But	
	2 and proactive management can really be relied on to		really dealing with that service framework is is the	
	3 mitigate the effect of many of those issues. We		fourth and very important part of that value equation	
	4 function the Corporation functions in a legislative		that is how we explain the various aspects of	
	5 and regulatory environment in which responsibility for	5		
	6 providing automobile insurance is really shared	6	When I talked to you earlier about the	
	7 fundamentally between the Government of Manitoba, the		documents in Al-7, the annual report the two (2)	
	<sup>8</sup> Corporation itself, and the Public Utilities Board.	8		
	9 The legislative scheme really involves a	9	becomes clear when you review that report that we do	
	careful balancing. The legislature is responsible for	10		
1		11		
12		12		
13		13		
14			We've always existed MPI would not exist if it was	
1		15		
16		16		
	7 working within the frameworks that we have.	17		
18			we've also operated two (2) other lines of insurance:	
	9 the public I talk about Manitoba Public Insurance	19		
20 2		20	insurance. Beginning in 2004 we assumed responsibility for administering the Drivers and Vehicles Act, a	
22		22		
23		23		
	C C	23		
24	4 pricing, understandable pricing, fair and equitable 5 pricing. And clearly this is where this this Board		to put those together with the registration pieces and	
2	pricing. And clearly this is where this this board	25	to put those together with the registration pieces and	
	14	3		145
.	1 has its fundamental rale with respect to enproving		the insurance that we were already administering. Co	
	1 has its fundamental role with respect to approving		the insurance that we were already administering. So	
	2 Basic compulsory rates.		four (4) different lines of business. The business is highly integrated and	
	3 The coverage is a big part of the value	3		
	4 equation as well. But the coverage that is part of the	4	has been right since 1971 because the government	
	5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs	45	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready	
! (	5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs 6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and	5	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of	
	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> </ul>	5 6 7	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section	
	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's	
	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really	
	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>0 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place.	
1( 1	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>0 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>1 Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle	
10 12	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>1 for the regulation of the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>1 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>1 Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>2 If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>3 this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba	
2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>1 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>1 Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>2 If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>3 this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>4 to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>10 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>11 Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>2 If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>3 this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>4 to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>5 that goes together with that registration. So the</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>0 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>1 Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>2 If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>3 this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>4 to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>5 that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>6 access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle	
2 2 3 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>1 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>1 Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>2 If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>3 this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>4 to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>5 that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>6 access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> <li>7 legislative framework.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle registration from insurance in Manitoba.	
10 10 11 12 12 14 14 14 17 17 18	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>1 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>1 Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>2 If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>3 this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>4 to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>5 that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>6 access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> <li>7 legislative framework.</li> <li>8 Where MPI has a little bit more primary</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle registration from insurance in Manitoba. Now, I've lived my whole life in	
<pre>     {     {         {         {</pre>	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>1 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>1 Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>2 If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>3 this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>4 to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>5 that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>6 access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> <li>7 legislative framework.</li> <li>8 Where MPI has a little bit more primary</li> <li>9 responsibility is the fourth aspect of that value</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle registration from insurance in Manitoba. Now, I've lived my whole life in Manitoba, but I've learned through this business that	
<pre>     {     {         {         {</pre>	<ul> <li>5 Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>6 is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>7 Regulations.</li> <li>8 Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>9 equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>1 features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>1 Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>2 If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>3 this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>4 to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>5 that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> <li>1 legislative framework.</li> <li>8 Where MPI has a little bit more primary</li> <li>9 responsibility is the fourth aspect of that value</li> <li>0 equation, which is service. It is really up to us,</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle registration from insurance in Manitoba. Now, I've lived my whole life in Manitoba, but I've learned through this business that that's simply not how it works other places. And right	
<pre>{     {         {         {         {</pre>	<ul> <li>Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>Regulations.</li> <li>Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> <li>legislative framework.</li> <li>Where MPI has a little bit more primary</li> <li>responsibility is the fourth aspect of that value</li> <li>equation, which is service. It is really up to us,</li> <li>within the context of the founding principles</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle registration from insurance in Manitoba. Now, I've lived my whole life in Manitoba, but I've learned through this business that that's simply not how it works other places. And right from 1971 that is one of the keys to this Basic	
222 222	<ul> <li>Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>Regulations.</li> <li>Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> <li>legislative framework.</li> <li>Where MPI has a little bit more primary</li> <li>responsibility is the fourth aspect of that value</li> <li>equation, which is service. It is really up to us,</li> <li>within the context of the founding principles</li> <li>established by the legislature, to figure out how best</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle registration from insurance in Manitoba. Now, I've lived my whole life in Manitoba, but I've learned through this business that that's simply not how it works other places. And right from 1971 that is one of the keys to this Basic compulsory program that provided that assurance that	
<pre>     {         {         {         {</pre>	<ul> <li>Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>Regulations.</li> <li>Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> <li>legislative framework.</li> <li>Where MPI has a little bit more primary</li> <li>responsibility is the fourth aspect of that value</li> <li>equation, which is service. It is really up to us,</li> <li>within the context of the founding principles</li> <li>established by the legislature, to figure out how best</li> <li>to meet Manitobans' needs for high-quality service</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle registration from insurance in Manitoba. Now, I've lived my whole life in Manitoba, but I've learned through this business that that's simply not how it works other places. And right from 1971 that is one of the keys to this Basic compulsory program that provided that assurance that there's simply far, far fewer opportunities to have	
<pre>     {         {         {         {</pre>	<ul> <li>Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>Regulations.</li> <li>Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> <li>legislative framework.</li> <li>Where MPI has a little bit more primary</li> <li>responsibility is the fourth aspect of that value</li> <li>equation, which is service. It is really up to us,</li> <li>within the context of the founding principles</li> <li>established by the legislature, to figure out how best</li> <li>to meet Manitobans' needs for high-quality service</li> <li>where they need it, when they need it, not to be</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle registration from insurance in Manitoba. Now, I've lived my whole life in Manitoba, but I've learned through this business that that's simply not how it works other places. And right from 1971 that is one of the keys to this Basic compulsory program that provided that assurance that there's simply far, far fewer opportunities to have unlicensed uninsured vehicles on the roads.	
<pre>     {         {         {         {</pre>	<ul> <li>Basic, and for that matter, extension Autopac programs</li> <li>is embedded in legislation in the Act, MPIC Act and</li> <li>Regulations.</li> <li>Access is a third part of the value</li> <li>equation. And the compulsory program, one of the key</li> <li>features of it, it provides guaranteed access.</li> <li>Manitoba Public Insurance does not turn anyone away.</li> <li>If you have the legal right to register a vehicle in</li> <li>this province you automatically, not only are obligated</li> <li>to, but have the legal right to purchase the insurance</li> <li>that goes together with that registration. So the</li> <li>access to the product is a fundamental part of the</li> <li>legislative framework.</li> <li>Where MPI has a little bit more primary</li> <li>responsibility is the fourth aspect of that value</li> <li>equation, which is service. It is really up to us,</li> <li>within the context of the founding principles</li> <li>established by the legislature, to figure out how best</li> <li>to meet Manitobans' needs for high-quality service</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	has been right since 1971 because the government believed that's the best way to provide value and ready access to Manitobans. On the PDF, page number 25 of the Corporate Annual Report you'll see a section entitled, The Corporation Service Delivery model. It's an important synopsis of how that integration really takes place. The fact that in Manitoba every vehicle registration transaction includes compulsory insurance is one of the significant ways that we believe Manitoba suffers from far fewer uninsured vehicles on the road as happened in many, many other jurisdictions. They're inextricably linked, you cannot separate vehicle registration from insurance in Manitoba. Now, I've lived my whole life in Manitoba, but I've learned through this business that that's simply not how it works other places. And right from 1971 that is one of the keys to this Basic compulsory program that provided that assurance that there's simply far, far fewer opportunities to have	

146	148
<ol> <li>every Manitoba driver does pay a compulsory premium on</li> <li>their driver's licence. That doesn't really happen</li> <li>anywhere else anymore. It used to in some</li> <li>jurisdictions. But we believe it's an important</li> <li>principle that was established in 1971 and really</li> <li>continues through to this day, that every driver does</li> <li>contribute to the insurance fund.</li> <li>So from the beginning of time in in</li> <li>my world, 1971, when MPI was established by</li> <li>legislation, registration and insurance were</li> <li>inextricably linked. Now that what we've done since</li> <li>amalgamating the driver and vehicle licensing functions</li> <li>in 2004, we've done that even more so. So now you're</li> <li>driver licence renewal is together with your vehicle</li> <li>registration and insurance renewal, it's all one (1)</li> <li>transaction.</li> <li>We believe that the goal for the merger</li> <li>in 2004 that the government established was to create a</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>statement solely for the Basic compulsory program. And</li> <li>we've done that ever since, they've always been part of</li> <li>the filing.</li> <li>And so that the auditors and therefore</li> <li>yourselves can also be assured that when you have an</li> <li>integrated system, you have to have a method of</li> <li>allocating costs between the different lines of</li> <li>business. The audited financial statements provide</li> <li>assurance that the approved allocation formulas were</li> <li>used in creating those audited financial statements for</li> <li>Basic.</li> <li>And I I don't want to go too much</li> <li>into cost allocation at this point, but I just want to</li> <li>make the point that, generally, as I understand it, one</li> <li>of the funding founding principles of allocation</li> <li>methodologies that every business needs some man</li> <li>manner to really assure that if they make anything more</li> <li>than one (1) product or service, they need to know</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>19 new licensing and insurance model for Manitobans to</li> <li>20 find efficiencies and to improve service. That's how</li> <li>21 we've managed to do it, is to to significantly</li> <li>22 enhance the integration that happened since '71 happens</li> <li>23 now today even more so. It's a transparent enhancement</li> <li>24 that that Manitobans are are appreciating and</li> <li>25 and enjoying.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>19 whether each individual product is profitable, is is</li> <li>20 standing on its own. So we all need some sort of a</li> <li>21 system to do that.</li> <li>22 For the most part, one of the principles</li> <li>23 is that, well, what did what generated the cost?</li> <li>24 What what generated this cost, and, therefore, how</li> <li>25 do you allocate them? But when you have such an</li> </ul>
147	149
1       So when you think about the role of the         2       Public Utilities Board to review and approve Basic         3       Autopac rates it's not that straight forward when you         4       understand the integrated service delivery model that         5       really is how the Corporation has operated in since         6       '71, and even more so since 2004.         7       Which takes us back to the very first         8       filing in Al-7 which are the audited financial         9       statements of the Basic insurance program. Many, many         10       years ago, probably close to twenty (20) years ago now,         11       the CFO of MPI at the time decided it was an         12       appropriate thing to do to ask the external auditors to         13       take on the additional work of signing off on the         14       statements for the Basic compulsory program.         15       The generally speaking the annual         16       audit of a corporation would not concern itself too         17       much with allocating costs between lines of business         18       because it's a corporate exercise. But we really         19       believed it was important to get this additional         20       resource, additional assurance to this Board, to the         21       <	<ul> <li>integrated service delivery model as MPI does, that</li> <li>doesn't necessarily work.</li> <li>And if you think about somebody who</li> <li>needs to go to MPI, to their broker, and report that</li> <li>they've moved, they need to do a change of address,</li> <li>well, every one of the four (4) lines of business,</li> <li>three (3) at least, we need to know that that</li> <li>information, that that customer has moved.</li> <li>The vehicle re registration, the</li> <li>driver licence, the Basic and the Extension all need an</li> <li>awareness of that. So what generated the cost of that</li> <li>broker to do the transaction and the cost to have the</li> <li>systems to process that transaction? You can't really</li> <li>go in terms of just, Oh, well, it's an address change,</li> <li>because all those, at least three (3) out of the four</li> <li>(4) lines of business, get a benefit from them. So we</li> <li>need a different approach to come and do that.</li> <li>So that's really what we've tried to do</li> <li>with this allocation methodology that we've put forward</li> <li>to this year. But I wanted to just make the point that</li> <li>when we're as integrated as our in our service</li> <li>delivery as we are, just what drove the cost doesn't</li> <li>necessarily work. So we've taken another approach of</li> <li>saying, Well, what who gets the benefit and how do</li> </ul>

15	152
1 one (1) line of business?	1 So next year, in 2013, we're trying to
2 In my pre-filed testimony for these	2 set rates through this proceeding for rates that will
3 proceedings, I've listed the founding principles that	3 start to take effect on the 1st of March, 2013. The
4 the Legislature established for the Manitoba Public	4 last policy will run out at the end of February in
5 Insurance Corporation back in 1970.	5 2015, so well into the future. But it's for every
6 You'll note that they bear a striking	6 claim that will happen in that period. Even if
7 resemblance to the Corporation's goals which are found	7 think about a four (4) year-old who is catastrophically
8 in the strategic plan. And I really believe that's one	8 injured next April. We may have that claim open for
9 of the reasons we've been as successful as we have over	9 sixty (60) or seventy (70) years.
10 many years, is because we've never, ever lost sight of:	10 So when we talk about the challenges
11 What were we established to do? What are we here to	11 we've had since 1994, when the pure no-fault system
12 do?	12 came in to really establish what is the expected cost
13And by aligning those founding	13 of those long-term claims, you can see why it gets a
14 principles with the corporate goals which become our	14 little more complicated.
15 actionable items, in terms of our progress going	15 The vehicle damage claims, I we pay
16 forward, we believe that we really have ensured that we	16 about \$450 million to mostly to body shops to repair
17 have not lost that initial foundation of of what it	17 vehicle damage claims every year. And I think often
18 is that the public, through the Legislature, has has	18 there is less than a 1 percent variance, in terms of
19 asked of us.	19 what we forecast those claims to cost and what they
20 This is an organization that believes it	20 actually do cost. And many of them are paid and
21 has to continue to change because Manitobans continue	<ul><li>21 settled within that rating year. They're very "short-</li><li>22 tail claims," is the language that we use.</li></ul>
<ul><li>22 to change. Needs change. Expectations change.</li><li>23 People's behaviour in their everyday lives changes.</li></ul>	23 So that's a fundamental difference for
24 And in order to be relevant, in order to meet those	24 injury claims. We are trying to figure out how much
25 needs, we have to change as well.	25 money we need from Manitobans to pay the cost of every
	page
15	
1 And we always try to understand that	1 claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>morning.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>morning.</li> <li>The new external actuary has talked</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>morning.</li> <li>The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>morning.</li> <li>The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>morning.</li> <li>The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>morning.</li> <li>The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>not know of another company whose outstanding</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>morning.</li> <li>The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>morning.</li> <li>The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> <li> to cov fully cover the cost of every claim that</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>morning.</li> <li>The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> <li>annual premium.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> <li> to cov fully cover the cost of every claim that</li> <li>will happen in that year; not everything that you pay</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>2 It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>3 to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>4 the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>5 significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>6 the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>7 morning.</li> <li>8 The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>9 about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>10 external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>11 fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>12 not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>13 liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> <li>14 annual premium.</li> <li>15 And again, that's because of the no-</li> <li>16 fault system, the fact that these claims are open for a</li> <li>17 very long time, and it's because we are just an</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> <li> to cov fully cover the cost of every claim that</li> <li>will happen in that year; not everything that you pay</li> <li>in that year, but every claim that will happen in that</li> <li>year.</li> <li>And if you think about Autopac, a big</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>2 It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>3 to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>4 the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>5 significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>6 the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>7 morning.</li> <li>8 The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>9 about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>10 external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>11 fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>12 not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>13 liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> <li>14 annual premium.</li> <li>15 And again, that's because of the no-</li> <li>16 fault system, the fact that these claims are open for a</li> <li>17 very long time, and it's because we are just an</li> <li>18 automobile insurer. And 90 percent of what we do at</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> <li> to cov fully cover the cost of every claim that</li> <li>will happen in that year; not everything that you pay</li> <li>in that year, but every claim that will happen in that</li> <li>year.</li> <li>And if you think about Autopac, a big</li> <li>part of our responsibility is compensating people from</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>2 It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>3 to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>4 the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>5 significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>6 the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>7 morning.</li> <li>8 The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>9 about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>10 external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>11 fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>12 not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>13 liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> <li>14 annual premium.</li> <li>15 And again, that's because of the no-</li> <li>16 fault system, the fact that these claims are open for a</li> <li>17 very long time, and it's because we are just an</li> <li>18 automobile insurer. And 90 percent of what we do at</li> <li>19 MPI is Basic compulsory Autopac, which is largely about</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> <li> to cov fully cover the cost of every claim that</li> <li>will happen in that year; not everything that you pay</li> <li>in that year, but every claim that will happen in that</li> <li>year.</li> <li>And if you think about Autopac, a big</li> <li>part of our responsibility is compensating people from</li> <li>injury for injuries. And if you think about the way</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>2 It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>3 to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>4 the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>5 significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>6 the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>7 morning.</li> <li>8 The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>9 about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>10 external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>11 fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>12 not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>13 liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> <li>14 annual premium.</li> <li>15 And again, that's because of the no-</li> <li>16 fault system, the fact that these claims are open for a</li> <li>17 very long time, and it's because we are just an</li> <li>18 automobile insurer. And 90 percent of what we do at</li> <li>19 MPI is Basic compulsory Autopac, which is largely about</li> <li>20 the pure no-fault system, as well as the physical</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> <li> to cov fully cover the cost of every claim that</li> <li>will happen in that year; not everything that you pay</li> <li>in that year, but every claim that will happen in that</li> <li>year.</li> <li>And if you think about Autopac, a big</li> <li>part of our responsibility is compensating people from</li> <li>injury for injuries. And if you think about the way</li> <li>the pure no-fault system works, where we don't settle</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>2 It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>3 to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>4 the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>5 significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>6 the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>7 morning.</li> <li>8 The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>9 about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>10 external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>11 fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>12 not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>13 liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> <li>14 annual premium.</li> <li>15 And again, that's because of the no-</li> <li>16 fault system, the fact that these claims are open for a</li> <li>17 very long time, and it's because we are just an</li> <li>18 automobile insurer. And 90 percent of what we do at</li> <li>19 MPI is Basic compulsory Autopac, which is largely about</li> <li>20 the pure no-fault system, as well as the physical</li> <li>21 damage repairs that we fund for cars.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> <li> to cov fully cover the cost of every claim that</li> <li>will happen in that year; not everything that you pay</li> <li>in that year, but every claim that will happen in that</li> <li>year.</li> <li>And if you think about Autopac, a big</li> <li>part of our responsibility is compensating people from</li> <li>injury for injuries. And if you think about the way</li> <li>the pure no-fault system works, where we don't settle</li> <li>claims, that we much more similar to something like</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>2 It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>3 to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>4 the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>5 significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>6 the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>7 morning.</li> <li>8 The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>9 about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>10 external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>11 fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>12 not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>13 liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> <li>14 annual premium.</li> <li>15 And again, that's because of the no-</li> <li>16 fault system, the fact that these claims are open for a</li> <li>17 very long time, and it's because we are just an</li> <li>18 automobile insurer. And 90 percent of what we do at</li> <li>19 MPI is Basic compulsory Autopac, which is largely about</li> <li>20 the pure no-fault system, as well as the physical</li> <li>21 damage repairs that we fund for cars.</li> <li>22 But it's very, very rare, if it happens</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> <li> to cov fully cover the cost of every claim that</li> <li>will happen in that year; not everything that you pay</li> <li>in that year, but every claim that will happen in that</li> <li>year.</li> <li>And if you think about Autopac, a big</li> <li>part of our responsibility is compensating people from</li> <li>injury for injuries. And if you think about the way</li> <li>the pure no-fault system works, where we don't settle</li> <li>claims, that we much more similar to something like</li> <li>a disability insurance plan or a worker's compensation</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>2 It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>3 to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>4 the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>5 significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>6 the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>7 morning.</li> <li>8 The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>9 about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>10 external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>11 fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>12 not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>13 liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> <li>14 annual premium.</li> <li>15 And again, that's because of the no-</li> <li>16 fault system, the fact that these claims are open for a</li> <li>17 very long time, and it's because we are just an</li> <li>18 automobile insurer. And 90 percent of what we do at</li> <li>19 MPI is Basic compulsory Autopac, which is largely about</li> <li>20 the pure no-fault system, as well as the physical</li> <li>21 damage repairs that we fund for cars.</li> <li>22 But it's very, very rare, if it happens</li> <li>23 at all, for an automobile insurance company to have</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>And we always try to understand that</li> <li>change and those expectations in the context of the</li> <li>founding principles. They're very relevant to us. We</li> <li>always keep them front and centre.</li> <li>I want to talk about a couple of aspects</li> <li>of rate-making just very, very briefly because they may</li> <li>not be self-evident. And if you think of other</li> <li>businesses and how they establish the cost for their</li> <li>their products, insurance is really quite different.</li> <li>Property casualty insurance is really the actuarial</li> <li>requirements.</li> <li>The principle is that you really have to</li> <li>find a way to set your price over a period of time for</li> <li> to cov fully cover the cost of every claim that</li> <li>will happen in that year; not everything that you pay</li> <li>in that year, but every claim that will happen in that</li> <li>year.</li> <li>And if you think about Autopac, a big</li> <li>part of our responsibility is compensating people from</li> <li>injury for injuries. And if you think about the way</li> <li>the pure no-fault system works, where we don't settle</li> <li>claims, that we much more similar to something like</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 claim that happens in this two (2) year moving window.</li> <li>2 It's very challenging, and there's not a lot of models</li> <li>3 to look to that are similar to us, which brings me to</li> <li>4 the second point. And it ties in a little bit</li> <li>5 significantly with the RSR and investments and some of</li> <li>6 the other comments that you've already heard about this</li> <li>7 morning.</li> <li>8 The new external actuary has talked</li> <li>9 about this with us, as did Mr. Christie, who is our</li> <li>10 external appointed actuary for, I think, more than</li> <li>11 fifteen (15) years. They don't either of them do</li> <li>12 not know of another company whose outstanding</li> <li>13 liabilities are so far in excess of the company's</li> <li>14 annual premium.</li> <li>15 And again, that's because of the no-</li> <li>16 fault system, the fact that these claims are open for a</li> <li>17 very long time, and it's because we are just an</li> <li>18 automobile insurer. And 90 percent of what we do at</li> <li>19 MPI is Basic compulsory Autopac, which is largely about</li> <li>20 the pure no-fault system, as well as the physical</li> <li>21 damage repairs that we fund for cars.</li> <li>22 But it's very, very rare, if it happens</li> </ul>

1	54	156
1 outstanding liabilities for that program are over \$2		1 demerit surcharges. We recommend the Board approve the
2 billion.		2 new cost allocation methodology for rate-making
3 Every year, the liabilities will get	;	3 purposes as proposed. We recommend the Board adopt the
4 bigger for probably another thirty (30) years or so,		DCAT methodology to determine the Basic Autopac RSR
5 until we actually get to a steady state, which is not		5 target, and for 2013 an RSR target of \$200 million.
6 expected to happen for as much as fifty (50) years		6 And we respectfully leave to the Board's
<ul> <li>7 after the no-fault program started back in 1994.</li> <li>8 So the liabilities continue to grow,</li> </ul>		7 discretion the matter as to whether a range around that 3 \$190 million ought to be established. Thank you.
<ul><li>9 which means our investments will continue to grow,</li></ul>		)
10 which means if you have any equity investments, for	1	
11 example, there will be more dollars invested in	1	
12 equities every year, even if we don't change the	1:	2 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: We are just to
13 percent of allocation that goes towards equities.	1:	3 repeat and clarify my second half of that, we are
14 So we have some challenges. We,	14	4 recommending the DCAT target of \$200 million and leave
15 everyone in this room, have some challenges dealing	1	
16 with and properly balancing the impact of such a large		6 should be a range around that \$200 million target.
17 pool of outstanding liabilities. The Minister of	1	<b>3</b>
<ul><li>18 Finance has responsibility to balance the investments</li><li>19 that offset those liabilities. We have no unfunded</li></ul>	18	
20 liabilities in this program.	19	,
21 So it is strong; it is fully funded.	2	-
22 But the balance and that's one of the points that	2	
23 you'll hear from Mr. Johnston later in these	2	
24 proceedings, when you look at methodologies to	24	4 (2) points related to the issue of budgeting for a loss
25 determine on what basis you establish an RSR, and the	2	5 and what constitutes a loss. As Mr. Williams
1	55	157
	55	157
1 Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,		1 mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> </ol>		1 mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long 2 service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> </ol>		<ol> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> </ol>		<ol> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> </ol>		<ol> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> </ol>		<ol> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> </ol>		<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> </ol>		<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> </ol>		<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> </ol>	2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> </ol>	10 11 11	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> </ol>	10 11 11	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> </ol>	10 11 11	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> <li>from us. They value that rate stability. And through</li> </ol>	10 11 12 14	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> <li>Mr. Williams of that.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> <li>from us. They value that rate stability. And through</li> <li>these proceedings, we will continue to learn from each</li> </ol>	10 11 12 14 11 12 14 14 14	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> <li>Mr. Williams of that.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> <li>from us. They value that rate stability. And through</li> <li>these proceedings, we will continue to learn from each</li> <li>other, find ways to deal with the challenges that</li> </ol>	10 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> <li>Mr. Williams of that.</li> <li>And I can tell you that we have had</li> <li>probably more conversations about whether or not you</li> <li>need to consider the two (2) year rating period. It</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> <li>from us. They value that rate stability. And through</li> <li>these proceedings, we will continue to learn from each</li> <li>other, find ways to deal with the challenges that</li> <li>that are our responsibility to deal with, and I think</li> <li>Manitobans will continue to be well served by the</li> <li>process.</li> </ol>	10 11 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> <li>Mr. Williams of that.</li> <li>And I can tell you that we have had</li> <li>probably more conversations about whether or not you</li> <li>need to consider the two (2) year rating period. It</li> <li>it's not discretionary. It's not something that you</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> <li>from us. They value that rate stability. And through</li> <li>these proceedings, we will continue to learn from each</li> <li>other, find ways to deal with the challenges that</li> <li>that are our responsibility to deal with, and I think</li> <li>Manitobans will continue to be well served by the</li> <li>process.</li> <li>And just in closing, I wanted to state,</li> </ol>	10 11 12 14 14 14 14 15 14 15 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> <li>Mr. Williams of that.</li> <li>And I can tell you that we have had</li> <li>probably more conversations about whether or not you</li> <li>need to consider the two (2) year rating period. It</li> <li>it's not discretionary. It's not something that you</li> <li>might want to look at the two (2) years.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> <li>from us. They value that rate stability. And through</li> <li>these proceedings, we will continue to learn from each</li> <li>other, find ways to deal with the challenges that</li> <li>that are our responsibility to deal with, and I think</li> <li>Manitobans will continue to be well served by the</li> <li>process.</li> <li>And just in closing, I wanted to state,</li> <li>as is in my pre-file testimony, exactly what it is that</li> </ol>	10 11 12 14 15 16 17 18 10 17 18 10 17 18 10 17 18 10 17 18 10 17 18 10 17 18 10 17 18 10 17 18 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> <li>Mr. Williams of that.</li> <li>And I can tell you that we have had</li> <li>probably more conversations about whether or not you</li> <li>need to consider the two (2) year rating period. It</li> <li>it's not discretionary. It's not something that you</li> <li>might want to look at the two (2) years.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> <li>from us. They value that rate stability. And through</li> <li>these proceedings, we will continue to learn from each</li> <li>other, find ways to deal with the challenges that</li> <li>that are our responsibility to deal with, and I think</li> <li>Manitobans will continue to be well served by the</li> <li>process.</li> <li>And just in closing, I wanted to state,</li> <li>as is in my pre-file testimony, exactly what it is that</li> <li>we are asking of the Board through these proceedings.</li> </ol>	10 11 12 14 15 16 17 16 17 18 20 22 22	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> <li>Mr. Williams of that.</li> <li>And I can tell you that we have had</li> <li>probably more conversations about whether or not you</li> <li>need to consider the two (2) year rating period. It</li> <li>it's not discretionary. It's not something that you</li> <li>might want to look at the two (2) years.</li> <li>The rates that we are applying for cover</li> <li>a two (2) fiscal year period. The midpoint of earning</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> <li>from us. They value that rate stability. And through</li> <li>these proceedings, we will continue to learn from each</li> <li>other, find ways to deal with the challenges that</li> <li>that are our responsibility to deal with, and I think</li> <li>Manitobans will continue to be well served by the</li> <li>process.</li> <li>And just in closing, I wanted to state,</li> <li>as is in my pre-file testimony, exactly what it is that</li> <li>we are asking of the Board through these proceedings.</li> </ol>	10 11 12 14 14 15 14 16 15 14 16 15 18 19 20 22 22 22 22	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> <li>Mr. Williams of that.</li> <li>And I can tell you that we have had</li> <li>probably more conversations about whether or not you</li> <li>need to consider the two (2) year rating period. It</li> <li>it's not discretionary. It's not something that you</li> <li>might want to look at the two (2) years.</li> <li>The rates that we are applying for cover</li> <li>a two (2) fiscal year period. The midpoint of earning</li> <li>the 2013/'14 rates that we're applying for today, the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Corporation says, Well, percent of premium is, like,</li> <li>completely irrelevant.</li> <li>If what you need to establish is a</li> <li>reserve to deal with unexpected future events, your</li> <li>risk is in your liabilities. Your risk is this</li> <li>continuing for a decade or two (2) to go, a continuing</li> <li>growing liability and growing investments to offset</li> <li>that liability that far, far exceeds our annual</li> <li>revenue.</li> <li>So it's a challenge. It keeps things</li> <li>interesting. We believe that we've gotten much, much</li> <li>better at truly understanding this and figuring out</li> <li>what to do. We absolutely know what Manitobans expect</li> <li>from us. They value that rate stability. And through</li> <li>these proceedings, we will continue to learn from each</li> <li>other, find ways to deal with the challenges that</li> <li>that are our responsibility to deal with, and I think</li> <li>Manitobans will continue to be well served by the</li> <li>process.</li> <li>And just in closing, I wanted to state,</li> <li>as is in my pre-file testimony, exactly what it is that</li> <li>we are asking of the Board through these proceedings.</li> </ol>	10 11 12 14 15 14 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 16 17 17 16 17 17 16 17 17 17 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	<ul> <li>mentioned, his accounting advisor was a very long</li> <li>service employee at MPI for many, many years. And I</li> <li>believe it was actually Mr. Dyck quite some time ago.</li> <li>And if necessary, we can pull out some</li> <li>of the reference, but there was conversation and actual</li> <li>acceptance of this Board that, for all intents and</li> <li>purposes this was a long time ago, I'd say more than</li> <li>ten (10) years ago now that for all intents and</li> <li>purposes, a \$10 million loss or a \$10 million profit in</li> <li>the context of an annual premium of seven (7), \$800</li> <li>million would really constitute break-even.</li> <li>Anywhere within that \$20 million range</li> <li>is what the Board had indicated, back a number of years</li> <li>ago, would constitute break-even. I would just remind</li> <li>Mr. Williams of that.</li> <li>And I can tell you that we have had</li> <li>probably more conversations about whether or not you</li> <li>need to consider the two (2) year rating period. It</li> <li>it's not discretionary. It's not something that you</li> <li>might want to look at the two (2) years.</li> <li>The rates that we are applying for cover</li> <li>a two (2) fiscal year period. The midpoint of earning</li> </ul>

	1:	58		160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the two (2) year rating window to determine the impact of the rates that we are here to apply for. So the fact that there is small loss projected for this year would be in the window of break-even. And when you look at '13/'14 and '14/'15 projections, it is a break-even application. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for that, Ms. McLaren. I'll now proceed to ask you a number of questions with respect to investments. The Corporation currently has an investment portfolio of 2.244 billion. Can you explain why the Corporation has an investment portfolio? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: To fund the liabilities that are also on our books for that basically, for that same amount. As I mentioned a few minutes ago, we don't have any unfunded liabilities. We have estimated the cost of every claim that is known to us, along with a pro provision for other claims that may very well come forward that are not known to us right now.		1       But in terms of the process, I can tell         2       you that excuse me until 1998 from 1971 till         3       1998, every dollar of the Corporation's investments         4       were invested in bonds. And there was appreciably         5       comparatively less dialogue and and involvement or         6       conversation on the part of the Corporation with the         7       Department of Finance when it was fully invested in         8       bonds. They managed it and they completely took care         9       of it.         0       Since since the Minister of Finance         1       made the decision to start, I guess you could say,         2       following a little bit more modern investment         3       practices, diversifying the portfolio somewhat, which         4       began in 1998, there was there has since then been         5       more collaboration and participation.         6       The Department of Finance has always         7       been very, very open to MPI participating and sitting         8       in on discussions about that. But at the end of the         9       day, the Assistant Deputy Minister and the Minister do         20       still have full authority. But there is more         10       participation than there would have	
25	And every dollar of every one of those	2	5 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't	
		59		161
	, , ,			
	held by the Corporation now.		<ol> <li>manage its investments; it has no role, no formal</li> <li>responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li>role in consulting collaborating discussing and it</li> </ol>	
345	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the		<ul><li>2 responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li><li>3 role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li><li>4 would really, for the most part, end there.</li></ul>	
3 4 5 6	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly,		<ul> <li>2 responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li>3 role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li>4 would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li>5 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li>6 question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily		<ul> <li>2 responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li>3 role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li>4 would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li>5 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li>6 question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li>7 its investments?</li> <li>8 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure	1	<ul> <li>2 responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li>3 role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li>4 would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li>5 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li>6 question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li>7 its investments?</li> <li>8 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li>9 control its investments.</li> <li>0 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some	1	<ul> <li>2 responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li>3 role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li>4 would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li>5 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li>6 question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li>7 its investments?</li> <li>8 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li>9 control its investments.</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure investment. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain to the Board who manages this investment portfolio on	1 1 1	<ul> <li>2 responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li>3 role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li>4 would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li>5 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li>6 question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li>7 its investments?</li> <li>8 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li>9 control its investments.</li> <li>0 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> <li>1 of directors has an investment committee. What are its</li> <li>2 roles and responsibilities?</li> <li>3 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: You will find</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure investment. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain to the Board who manages this investment portfolio on behalf of the Corporation? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: According to the	1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>2 responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li>3 role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li>4 would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li>5 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li>6 question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li>7 its investments?</li> <li>8 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li>9 control its investments.</li> <li>0 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> <li>1 of directors has an investment committee. What are its</li> <li>2 roles and responsibilities?</li> <li>3 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: You will find</li> <li>4 that in PUB-1-6. It identifies the terms of reference</li> <li>5 of the investment committee of the board of directors</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure investment. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain to the Board who manages this investment portfolio on behalf of the Corporation?	1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>2 responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li>3 role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li>4 would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li>5 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li>6 question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li>7 its investments?</li> <li>8 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li>9 control its investments.</li> <li>0 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> <li>1 of directors has an investment committee. What are its</li> <li>roles and responsibilities?</li> <li>3 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: You will find</li> <li>4 that in PUB-1-6. It identifies the terms of reference</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure investment. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain to the Board who manages this investment portfolio on behalf of the Corporation? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: According to the MPIC Act, the Minister of Finance is responsible for investing MPI funds. The active day-to-day management of that responsibility has been delegated by the	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li><sup>3</sup> role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li><sup>4</sup> would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li><sup>6</sup> question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li><sup>7</sup> its investments?</li> <li><sup>8</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li><sup>9</sup> control its investments.</li> <li><sup>0</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> <li><sup>1</sup> of directors has an investment committee. What are its</li> <li><sup>2</sup> roles and responsibilities?</li> <li><sup>3</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: You will find</li> <li><sup>4</sup> that in PUB-1-6. It identifies the terms of reference</li> <li><sup>5</sup> of the investment committee of the board of directors</li> <li><sup>6</sup> of Manitoba Public Insurance. And essentially, it does</li> <li><sup>7</sup> provide some oversight monitoring and does not really</li> <li><sup>8</sup> go much beyond that.</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure investment. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain to the Board who manages this investment portfolio on behalf of the Corporation? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: According to the MPIC Act, the Minister of Finance is responsible for investing MPI funds. The active day-to-day management of that responsibility has been delegated by the Minister to the Assistant Deputy Minister of Finance of	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li><sup>3</sup> role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li><sup>4</sup> would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li><sup>6</sup> question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li><sup>7</sup> its investments?</li> <li><sup>8</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li><sup>9</sup> control its investments.</li> <li><sup>0</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> <li><sup>1</sup> of directors has an investment committee. What are its</li> <li><sup>2</sup> roles and responsibilities?</li> <li><sup>3</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: You will find</li> <li><sup>4</sup> that in PUB-1-6. It identifies the terms of reference</li> <li><sup>5</sup> of the investment committee of the board of directors</li> <li><sup>6</sup> of Manitoba Public Insurance. And essentially, it does</li> <li><sup>7</sup> provide some oversight monitoring and does not really</li> <li><sup>8</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, there's</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure investment. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain to the Board who manages this investment portfolio on behalf of the Corporation? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: According to the MPIC Act, the Minister of Finance is responsible for investing MPI funds. The active day-to-day management of that responsibility has been delegated by the Minister to the Assistant Deputy Minister of Finance of the Treasury Branch, Gary Gibson.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li><sup>3</sup> role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li><sup>4</sup> would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li><sup>6</sup> question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li><sup>7</sup> its investments?</li> <li><sup>8</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li><sup>9</sup> control its investments.</li> <li><sup>0</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> <li><sup>1</sup> of directors has an investment committee. What are its</li> <li><sup>2</sup> roles and responsibilities?</li> <li><sup>3</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: You will find</li> <li><sup>4</sup> that in PUB-1-6. It identifies the terms of reference</li> <li><sup>5</sup> of the investment committee of the board of directors</li> <li><sup>6</sup> of Manitoba Public Insurance. And essentially, it does</li> <li><sup>7</sup> provide some oversight monitoring and does not really</li> <li><sup>8</sup> go much beyond that.</li> <li><sup>9</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, there's</li> <li><sup>9</sup> another body and it's referred to as the investment</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure investment. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain to the Board who manages this investment portfolio on behalf of the Corporation? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: According to the MPIC Act, the Minister of Finance is responsible for investing MPI funds. The active day-to-day management of that responsibility has been delegated by the Minister to the Assistant Deputy Minister of Finance of the Treasury Branch, Gary Gibson. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li><sup>3</sup> role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li><sup>4</sup> would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li><sup>6</sup> question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li><sup>7</sup> its investments?</li> <li><sup>8</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li><sup>9</sup> control its investments.</li> <li><sup>0</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> <li><sup>10</sup> of directors has an investment committee. What are its</li> <li><sup>12</sup> roles and responsibilities?</li> <li><sup>3</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: You will find</li> <li><sup>4</sup> that in PUB-1-6. It identifies the terms of reference</li> <li><sup>5</sup> of the investment committee of the board of directors</li> <li><sup>6</sup> of Manitoba Public Insurance. And essentially, it does</li> <li><sup>7</sup> provide some oversight monitoring and does not really</li> <li><sup>8</sup> go much beyond that.</li> <li><sup>9</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, there's</li> <li><sup>10</sup> another body and it's referred to as the investment</li> <li><sup>11</sup> committee working group.</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure investment. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain to the Board who manages this investment portfolio on behalf of the Corporation? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: According to the MPIC Act, the Minister of Finance is responsible for investing MPI funds. The active day-to-day management of that responsibility has been delegated by the Minister to the Assistant Deputy Minister of Finance of the Treasury Branch, Gary Gibson.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li><sup>3</sup> role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li><sup>4</sup> would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li><sup>6</sup> question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li><sup>7</sup> its investments?</li> <li><sup>8</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li><sup>9</sup> control its investments.</li> <li><sup>0</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> <li><sup>1</sup> of directors has an investment committee. What are its</li> <li><sup>2</sup> roles and responsibilities?</li> <li><sup>3</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: You will find</li> <li><sup>4</sup> that in PUB-1-6. It identifies the terms of reference</li> <li><sup>5</sup> of the investment committee of the board of directors</li> <li><sup>6</sup> of Manitoba Public Insurance. And essentially, it does</li> <li><sup>7</sup> provide some oversight monitoring and does not really</li> <li><sup>8</sup> go much beyond that.</li> <li><sup>9</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, there's</li> <li><sup>9</sup> another body and it's referred to as the investment</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain what asset classes or types of investments the investment portfolio currently consists of? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Predominantly, the investment portfolio continues to be made up of bonds and cash or other cash equivalents, but primarily bonds. There are Canadian equities, US equities, some real estate investments, and a small infrastructure investment. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain to the Board who manages this investment portfolio on behalf of the Corporation? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: According to the MPIC Act, the Minister of Finance is responsible for investing MPI funds. The active day-to-day management of that responsibility has been delegated by the Minister to the Assistant Deputy Minister of Finance of the Treasury Branch, Gary Gibson. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And can you explain to the Board, how does that actually work?		<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> responsibility, no role in managing them. It has a</li> <li><sup>3</sup> role in consulting, collaborating, discussing, and it</li> <li><sup>4</sup> would really, for the most part, end there.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So a similar</li> <li><sup>6</sup> question then: What kind of control does MPI have over</li> <li><sup>7</sup> its investments?</li> <li><sup>8</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: MPI doesn't</li> <li><sup>9</sup> control its investments.</li> <li><sup>0</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, MPI's board</li> <li><sup>10</sup> of directors has an investment committee. What are its</li> <li><sup>11</sup> roles and responsibilities?</li> <li><sup>3</sup> MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: You will find</li> <li><sup>4</sup> that in PUB-1-6. It identifies the terms of reference</li> <li><sup>5</sup> of the investment committee of the board of directors</li> <li><sup>6</sup> of Manitoba Public Insurance. And essentially, it does</li> <li><sup>7</sup> provide some oversight monitoring and does not really</li> <li><sup>8</sup> go much beyond that.</li> <li><sup>9</sup> MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, there's</li> <li><sup>10</sup> another body and it's referred to as the investment</li> <li><sup>11</sup> committee working group.</li> <li><sup>12</sup> Can you explain who's on the working</li> </ul>	

	162			164
2 Dep. 3 The 4 CFC 5 com 6 activ 7 othe 8 9 that 10 activ 11 they 12 Inve 13 an o 14 educ 15 I tolo 16 last 17 Assi 18 Minis 19 20 requ	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now the MPIC Act ires the Corporation to forward its monies to the ster of Finance for the investments of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	investments, let alone whether they are arm's length or not is that something that's within MPI's control? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: No, it isn't. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Earlier in your direct testimony, you outlined the various classes of investments. Does the Corporation control the investment allocation? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: No. And how that works is is maybe a good example of the collaboration that happens between Department of Finance and MPI. A few times, two (2) or three (3) times, there has been asset allocations studied for the MPI investment fund. And these are fairly maybe some would say completely academic processes with, I'm sure, all kind of econometricians and others involved in that. And they recommend an an allocation of funds, assets, that really speaks to optimum risk and return. I was a little confused earlier when when Mr. Williams talked about being concerned about the Corporation's response to sort of, you know, risk assessment, in terms of the investment portfolio. But everything everything that our internal investment department does and the investment committee working	
	163			165
2 the f 3 4 Assi 5 invol 6 resp 7 the g 8 trans 9 to hi 10 Fina 11 12 Boan 13 quot 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 resp 21 22 does 23 Fina 24	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: In the last PUB of Order 162/'11, the PUB recommended, and I'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	does committee does, the asset allocation studies determining the actual asset allocations is all related to balancing risk and return, just like any other. The the point is, at the end of the day, the Minister of Finance for the MPI fund truly locks down what they believe to be the appropriate approach. But all the analysis is there. All of the standard industry practices, in terms of figuring out what would be appropriate given, you know, the expected nature and payout of the liabilities themselves, it's really matching. Asset liability matching is really what an asset allocation study is. So together, the investment committee working group does this; the investment committee, the board of directors vets it. But at the end of the day, the asset allocation is determined by the Minster of Finance. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Let's talk now about equities. What about specific investments in equities? Does MPI make those decisions? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: No. The Minister of Finance wouldn't either. The through the Assistant Deputy Minister, investment managers are hired. They are assigned to contracts by the Assistant Deputy Minister. They make individual investment	ť

166			168
decisions. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And so would I be correct in saying, then, that the contracts with the individual investment managers is between the Minster of Finance and the investment manager? And MPI is not a party to those contracts, correct? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Yeah. MPI is not a party to the contracts. I believe the actual contracts would be between the Assistant Deputy Minister and the investment manager. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: You'd mentioned one of the assets that MPI has within its portfolio is that MPI's monies were invested in a real estate fund. Can you explain the mechanism under which this decision was made and who made that decision? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: The investment committee working group did some research, prepared information. But at the end of the day, the Assistant	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MPI's lack of control over its investment is because the PUB asked quite a number of information requests on investments this year. In your opinion, with respect to investments, Ms. McLaren, what does the PUB require knowledge about for the purposes of setting rates for Basic insurance? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: I believe the answer to that aligns really well with what MPI is responsible for when it comes to its investments. And that's really the investment forecast, the investment income forecast. That's not something that the Department of Finance plays much role in. It is our responsibility to understand the investments well enough to be able to build a reasonable forecast of investment income	
Deputy Minister, with the authority of the Minster of	21	This is a critical part of the revenues	
fund.	23	system designed to break even, because it is a system	
MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, again, that is not under the control of MPI?			
167			169
MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: No. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And MPI's monies were also invested into infrastructure. Again, can you explain the mechanism under which this decision was made and who made that decision? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: The process was the same and the decision-maker was the same. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And again, this was not under the control of MPI? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: That's right. MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: That's right. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And, of course, another asset class, the largest one, is bonds, correct? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Yes. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain how the Corporation's monies are used to purchase bonds and the mechanism used? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: The assistant deputy minister of the treasury branch is the bond manager. We don't have a third-party manager like we do for equities or real estate. So it happens under the direct authority, responsibility of the Assistant Deputy Minister. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And so again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and and vehicle premiums. So we need to have strong robust processes for for forecasting investment income. And, in turn, that would be what this Board would really I believe, would need to assure itself of, that our forecast investment income is is reasonable and actually constitutes a reasonable basis, as with the other forms of revenue and claims forecasting, of predicting the revenue that we need, the rates that we'll need to charge, and, therefore, be able to approve the rates that we submit to the Board. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you. In response to an information request from the Public Utilities Board the Corporation produced a twenty-seven (27) page schedule in very, very small font, of the unrealized gains by security for the second quarter of this fiscal year. What control does MPI have over the unrealized gains? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: None. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: What value, in your opinion, can that level of detail bring to the PUB	
	decisions. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And so would I be correct in saying, then, that the contracts with the individual investment managers is between the Minster of Finance and the investment manager? And MPI is not a party to those contracts, correct? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Yeah. MPI is not a party to the contracts. I believe the actual contracts would be between the Assistant Deputy Minister and the investment manager. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: You'd mentioned one of the assets that MPI has within its portfolio is that MPI's monies were invested in a real estate fund. Can you explain the mechanism under which this decision was made and who made that decision? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: The investment committee working group did some research, prepared information. But at the end of the day, the Assistant Deputy Minister, with the authority of the Minster of Finance, made the decision to invest in the real estate fund. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, again, that is not under the control of MPI? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: No. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And MPI's monies were also invested into infrastructure. Again, can you explain the mechanism under which this decision was made and who made that decision? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: The process was the same and the decision-maker was the same. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And again, this was not under the control of MPI? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: That's right. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And, of course, another asset class, the largest one, is bonds, correct? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: That's right. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain how the Corporation's monies are used to purchase bonds and the mechanism used? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: The assistant deputy minister of the treasury branch is the bond manager. We don't have a third-party manager like we do for equities or real estate. So it happens under the direct authority, responsibility of the Assistant Deputy Minister.	decisions. 1 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And so would 1 be correct in saying, then, that the contracts with the individual investment managers is between the Minster of Finance and the investment manager? And MPI is not a party to those contracts, correct? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Yeah. MPI is not a party to the contracts. I believe the actual contracts would be between the Assistant Deputy Minister and the investment manager. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: You'd mentioned one of the assets that MPI has within its portfolio is that MPI's monies were invested in a real estate fund. Can you explain the mechanism under which this decision was made and who made that decision? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: The investment committee working group did some research, prepared information. But at the end of the day, the Assistant Deputy Minister, with the authority of the Minster of Finance, made the decision to invest in the real estate fund. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, again, that is not under the control of MPI? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: No. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And MPI's monies were also invested into infrastructure. Again, can you explain the mechanism under which this decision was made and who made that decision? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: The process was the same and the decision-maker was the same. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And again, this was not under the control of MPI? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: They rocess was the same and the decision-maker was the same. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And, of course, another asset class, the largest one, is bonds, correct? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: That's right. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain how the Corporation's monies are used to purchase bonds and the mechanism used? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: The assistant deputy minister of the treasury branch is the bond manager. We don't have a third-party manager like we do for equities or real estate. So it happens under the direct authority, responsibility of the Assistant 20	<ul> <li>decisions.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And so would 1</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Could mentioned to firewetments in the jaces of control over its investment is because of the avestment manager?</li> <li>MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Yeah. MPI is not a party to the contracts. Ibelieve the actual contracts would be between the Assistant Deputy Minister and the investment manager.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: You'd mentioned contracts would be between the Assistant Deputy Minister and the investment manager.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: You'd mentioned catagories were invested in a real estate fund.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: You'd mentioned formation. But at the end of the day, the Assistant Deputy Minister, with the authority of the Minister of Finance, made the decision to invest in the real estate fund.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, again, that is not under the control of MPI?</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And MPI's monies were also invested into infrastructure. Again, can you explain the mechanism under which this decision was made and who made that decision?</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And MPI's monies were also invested into infrastructure. Again, can you explain the mechanism under which this decision was made and who made that decision?</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And MPI's monies were also invested into infrastructure. Again, can you explain the mechanism under which this be accision?</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And MPI's monies were also invested into infrastructure. Again, can you explain the mechanism under which this decision was made and who made that decision?</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain the mechanism under the control of MPI?</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Can you explain the mechanism under the co</li></ul>

	170		1	172
1	my opinion.	1	MCC; and the dynamic capital adequacy test, or the	
2	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: To finish up my		DCAT.	
3	direct examination on the topic of investments, would I	3	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: That's a lot of	
4	be correct in saying that it is uncategorically true	4	acronyms for the Board members to remember, but can yo	ou
5	that the Minister of Finance and his senior staff,	5	explain what the DCAT is?	
6	particularly the Assistant Deputy Minister, not only	6	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: As previously	
7	are responsible for but actually control, make	7	stated, DCAT stands for dynamic capital adequacy	
8	decisions on, and actually invest the investment monies	8	testing. And the DCAT report is provided in AI-11 part	
9	of the Corporation as prescribed by the MPIC Act?	9	2. The DCAT identifies, analyzes, and assesses all of	
10	MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Yes, that's true.	10	the key financial risks faced by MPI.	
11	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you. With	11	Once the risk assessment is complete a	
12	that, I'd like to move over and begin my direct		series of adverse, but plausible scenarios are	
	examination of Mr. Luke Johnston. And that will be		developed for each key risk factor or combination of	
	with respect to the methodology to calculate the RSR.		risk factors. It's important to note that these	
15	So I'm going to start at very basics		scenarios are not simply arbitrary judgments by the	
	here because this is a fairly complicated area. And no	· -		
	doubt the Board members have been briefed by the Board	17	·····	
	advisors and Board counsel on on this. But we			
19	thought we'd take the opportunity to get some	19	The adverse scenarios must also be	
20	information that's fairly basic on the record and move	20	5	
21	through some of the evidence with some more	21	regulatory actions must be considered. Once the	
22	sophistication.		scenarios are designed this they are run through the	
	But, Mr. Johnston, can you explain what	23	Corporation's financial model, which produces pro forma	
24	is the RSR and what is its purpose? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Good morning. The	24	financial statements.	
25	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON. GOOD MORNING. THE	25	These statements show the expected	
	171		1	173
				175
1	RSR stands for the rate stabilization reserve. And the		impacts on net income and RSR in each fiscal year. The	
	stated purpose of the RSR is, quote:			
3		2	results are then used to determine the adequacy of the	
	"To protect motorists from rate	3	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This	
4	increases made necessary by	3 4	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million.	
5	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising	3 4 5	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a	
567	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or	3 4 5 6	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the	
5 6 7	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors."	3 4 5 6 7	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries.	
5 6 7 8	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current	3 4 5 6 7 8	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and	
5 6 7 8 9	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI?	
5 6 7 8 9 10	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings"	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings" interchangeably. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Would I be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my professional opinion. This opinion in informs the	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings" interchangeably. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Would I be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my professional opinion. This opinion in informs the	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings" interchangeably. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Would I be correct in saying that there are several different	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my professional opinion. This opinion in informs the Corporation and the Board that the DCAT analysis was prepared at the highest professional standard in	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings" interchangeably. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Would I be correct in saying that there are several different methodologies in calculating the RSR? Can you list	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my professional opinion. This opinion in informs the Corporation and the Board that the DCAT analysis was prepared at the highest professional standard in	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings" interchangeably. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Would I be correct in saying that there are several different methodologies in calculating the RSR? Can you list them? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, that's correct. The RSR methodologies that have been	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my professional opinion. This opinion in informs the Corporation and the Board that the DCAT analysis was prepared at the highest professional standard in accordance with accepted actuarial practice. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Let's walk through some of the other methodologies for calculating	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings" interchangeably. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Would I be correct in saying that there are several different methodologies in calculating the RSR? Can you list them? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, that's correct. The RSR methodologies that have been presented to to this Board in past years include the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my professional opinion. This opinion in informs the Corporation and the Board that the DCAT analysis was prepared at the highest professional standard in accordance with accepted actuarial practice. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Let's walk through some of the other methodologies for calculating the RSR target before we delve into the DCAT, but can	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings" interchangeably. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Would I be correct in saying that there are several different methodologies in calculating the RSR? Can you list them? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, that's correct. The RSR methodologies that have been presented to to this Board in past years include the percentage of premium method, or the Kopstein method;	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my professional opinion. This opinion in informs the Corporation and the Board that the DCAT analysis was prepared at the highest professional standard in accordance with accepted actuarial practice. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Let's walk through some of the other methodologies for calculating the RSR target before we delve into the DCAT, but can you explain to the Board the percentage of premium	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings" interchangeably. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Would I be correct in saying that there are several different methodologies in calculating the RSR? Can you list them? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, that's correct. The RSR methodologies that have been presented to to this Board in past years include the percentage of premium method, or the Kopstein method; the operational and investment risk analysis, or simply	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my professional opinion. This opinion in informs the Corporation and the Board that the DCAT analysis was prepared at the highest professional standard in accordance with accepted actuarial practice. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Let's walk through some of the other methodologies for calculating the RSR target before we delve into the DCAT, but can you explain to the Board the percentage of premium method?	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	increases made necessary by unexpected events and losses arising from non-reoccurring events or factors." End quote. For MPI the the current level of the rate stabilization reserve for Basic insurance is essentially equivalent to retained earnings or capital, which is the portion of that income that is retained by the Corporation rather than distributed to MPI policyholders. I will use the terms "RSR", "capital", and "retained earnings" interchangeably. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Would I be correct in saying that there are several different methodologies in calculating the RSR? Can you list them? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, that's correct. The RSR methodologies that have been presented to to this Board in past years include the percentage of premium method, or the Kopstein method;	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Corporation's actual and maximum RSR targets. This year's DCAT is indicating a minimum RSR of 200 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The DCAT is a tool in the Professional Standards of Practice of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries. Just how important is that for you and an insurance company like MPI? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Very important. As a fellow of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, or the CIA, I am guided by the profession's Actuarial Standards of Practice. This would be similar to GAAP for accountants. In the DCAT I've provided my professional opinion. This opinion in informs the Corporation and the Board that the DCAT analysis was prepared at the highest professional standard in accordance with accepted actuarial practice. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Let's walk through some of the other methodologies for calculating the RSR target before we delve into the DCAT, but can you explain to the Board the percentage of premium	

	174		176
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	premium method is the method currently used by the Board to set the Corporation's RSR targets. The minimum and maximum RSR in a given fiscal year is calculated by taking 10 percent and 20 percent respectively of net written premiums. For example, if the Corporation is expecting 800 million in net written premiums in a given year the minimum RSR would be 80 million, or 10 percent of that, and the maximum would be 160 million. The percentage of premium method assumes that the Corporation's overall risk level is directly related to overall annual premium. The method does not attempt to identify, analyze, assess any of the underlying risks of the Corporation. In other words, it is not a risk-based method. The percentage of premium method currently indicates a 2012/'13 RSR range of 77 million to 153 million. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And what is the risk analysis and value at risk? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: The risk analysis is included in Al-11 part 4, and it includes an operational and investment component. The operational component looks at the historical variability between the Corporation's forecast and the actual results for revenues, loss cost, and expenses. It then assumes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	her historical knowledge of Manitoba Public Insurance. But when did MPI put forward the risk analysis, and how was it received by the Public Utilities Board? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: We first brought the risk analysis forward in the year 2000. It was really the Corporation's first attempt to put a little bit of science around this issue, to really use some economic principles to tackle the issue of: What is the expected variability in the Corporation's annual results? I think it's worth mentioning that back when Kopstein first proposed the percent of premium method or suggested that was one approach to come at it for the Basic Autopac program, that really was best practice in the indust industry at that time. All insurers really established regulators expected them to establish reserves based on a percent of premium approach. By 2000, that had moved significantly. And this was our effort to really come come forward with something. It was done in house. The PUB, how was it received? I in a phrase, probably not well. They poked a number of holes in it pretty quickly, had some other ideas as to how they might want to come at it. Through time,
1	175 that this historical variability is predictive of the	1	177 eventually some version of it really was kind of
	Corporation's future variability.		adopted by the PUB for RSR purposes.
3	The second component of the risk	3	But again, since that time, you know,
	analysis measures investment risk using a method called value at risk. This is described in Appendix B. Value		the industry has evolved significantly to a risk-based approach, like Mr. Johnston talked about. And you can
	at risk attempts to calculate the maximum amount that		see some of the extreme results the risk analysis is
	the Corporation's investment portfolio could lose over		creating these days, given some of the Corporation's
8	a given time frame at a given probability level.		historic experience since that time.
9	The risk margins determined from the two	9	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And to you, Mr.
1	(2) methods or, from the two (2) sources		Johnston, can you explain to the Board Members what the MCT is?
11	operational and investment risk are then combined, recognizing the historical relationship between the two	11	MCT IS? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: As previously
13			stated, the MCT stands for the Minimum Capital Test.
	produced by this method are assumed to protect the	14	The MCT, which first came into effect in 2003, is a
	Corporation from adverse events in 95 percent to 97.5		risk-based approach that calculates capital
	percent of cases or, alternatively, from one (1) in		requirements by applying a series of predetermined risk
18	twenty (20) year, to one (1) in forty (40) year events. Although more complicated than the		factors to the Corporation's assets, liabilities, and other exposures.
	percentage of premium method, the margins produced from	19	It appri applies these factors at a
	the risk analysis are bake basically a calculation		relatively detailed level. For example, there's
	exercise with minimum or no judgment required. The	21	different factors by asset type or by type of claim
	indicated RSR based on the latest risk analysis is 193		liability. Because the risk factors are predetermined
	million to 291 million.		or essentially the same for all companies, the
24	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: I'll ask the		methodology can be used to compare Canadian insurers on a consistent basis.
20	next question to Ms. McLaren, because I'll draw upon	20	

17	78 180
1The MCT is the basis used by the Office2of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions, or the3federal regulator of property and casualty insurance4companies in Canada. It's used to determine the5minimum capital requirements for all insurers that they6regulate.7MPI is, of course, not federally8regulated. SGI and ICBC, although federat federally9regulated, use the MCC MCT to set their capital10targets.11To maintain 100 percent of the capital12required under the Minimum Capital Test, the13Corporation will require an RSR minimum of \$28314million. As a comparison, SGI maintains a range of 7515percent to 150 percent MCT for their RSR targets. A16methodology similar to SGI would result in a RSR range17of 212 million to \$425 million for MPI Basic. The18Corporation is not requesting an RSR range at this19level.20MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Ms. McLaren,21again, to draw upon your historical knowledge, when did22MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: In 2005, the	<ol> <li>level with the plausible scenarios that form the basis</li> <li>of the methodology.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So that leads us</li> <li>to the eventual question of: Why are we here today,</li> <li>then, talking about DCAT, MCT, and the risk analysis?</li> <li>MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: We are we</li> <li>really, first of all, welcome the opportunity to</li> <li>revisit this issue, but it was because of the Board's</li> <li>comments in Order 162/'11, where they asked MPI to</li> <li>revisit the issue of the RSR. And on that basis, we</li> <li>have refiled the DCAT with revised plausible scenarios</li> <li>as developed by Mr. Johnston, and we are recommending</li> <li>it as the method for establishing the Rate</li> <li>Stabilization Reserve.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for</li> <li>that, Ms. Mclaren. Mr. Johnston, I have a question to</li> <li>you, but what is the PUB's method to calculate the RSR</li> <li>right now?</li> <li>MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: The Board currently</li> <li>uses the percentage of premium method to establish the</li> <li>RSR.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And, Mr.</li> <li>Johnston, the next question is in relation to Al-11(c),</li> <li>and I would ask the Board members to pull that out, and</li> </ol>
17	79 181
<ol> <li>Corporation adopted a policy to base the RSR on the</li> <li>MCT. But certainly, we never anticipated that the PUB</li> <li>would consider a level of MCT like private insurers</li> <li>have. And rather than the 150 percent that is the</li> <li>minimum required of private insurers, we proposed an</li> <li>RSR range of 50 percent to 100 percent of the MCT</li> <li>score.</li> <li>The Board rejected this, basically</li> <li>citing that the MCT was a private sector test. We knew</li> <li>that and really thought we were responding to that by</li> <li>significantly dropping the lower end that we thought</li> <li>would be appropriate for the Basic program.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So the MCT,</li> <li>then, was never used by the PUB to calculate the RSR?</li> <li>MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Yes, that's</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Ms. Mclaren,</li> <li>when did MPI put forward the DCAT? And again, how was</li> <li>it received by the Public Utilities Board?</li> <li>MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: As part of the</li> <li>2010 GRA, so three (3) years ago, the Corporation</li> <li>recommended the DCAT as an improvement over the</li> <li>previous RSR methodologies. That approach wasn't well</li> <li>treceived by the Board either, again, at that point, I</li> <li>think largely not not having any sort of comfort</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 11(c), which is the actual DCAT.</li> <li>(BRIEF PAUSE)</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So if you do</li> <li>actually just look to the Al-11, mine has a big stamp</li> <li>on it that says, "Revised." Just check if the Board</li> <li>members' front of Al-11 also has "Revised" on it.</li> <li>(BRIEF PAUSE)</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: "Amended"?</li> <li>CONTINUED BY MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY:</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: "Amended" works;</li> <li>"Revised" is on mine. Close enough.</li> <li>Mr. Johnston, you've spent a lot of time</li> <li>preparing this evidence, and you've set out the pros</li> <li>and cons of each of the four (4) methodologies in Al-</li> <li>11(c), which starts on page 3 or, page 4 of Al-11.</li> <li>Can you spend some time with the Board</li> <li>outlining in detail each of the pros and cons listed</li> <li>here and address some of the issues that arose in the</li> <li>IRs with respect to the pros and cons of each of the</li> </ul>

182	18-	4
1 methodologies, please?	1 6. Pros, if if you consider these pros. It's easy	
2 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes. As you can	2 to calculate. And since it's basically just a	
3 see, there there are many pros and cons here, so	3 calculation exercise, the calculations are transparent.	
4 I'll I'll focus on only the the key points that I	4 On the con side, there's there's many	
5 would like to make. The other points are there for	5 there, but I'll just highlight the key ones. Firstly,	
6 your information.	6 the operational risk calculation is based solely on an	
7 Starting with the DCAT pros on page 4,	7 analysis of actual MPI financial outcomes in the last	
8 most importantly, the DCAT assists management and this	8 eighteen (18) years. This is basically a collection of	
9 Board in the identification and measurement of the key	9 eighteen (18) data points.	
10 risks of the Corporation. It also uses company-	10 Second, it's not clear if the historical	
11 specific assumptions and creates a clear link between	11 data is even relevant to the Corporation's current	
12 the required RSR and the amount of risk faced by the	12 operating environment. For example, you've heard about	
13 Corporation.	13 some significant changes the Corporation's made to its	
14 Going to point 6, it's a recognized	14 PIPP forecast since 2005. And since that time, we've	
15 method by the Canadian Institute of Actuaries and the	15 had very significant reductions in our forecast. These	
16 federal regulator, even though the Corporation is not	16 changes are simply not reflective at all of our future	
17 re federally regulated. Also, given that this	17 variability.	
18 method is performed in-house, it improves transparency	18 Finally, the the risk margins	
19 and understanding for the Board.	19 produced do not provide the Board with a clear	
20 DCAT cons. First, it's definitely true	20 understanding of the actual risks faced by the	
21 that certain risk factors may be difficult to quantify,	21 Corporation and the justification for the RSR targets	
22 but, of course, that doesn't mean we should ignore	22 produced.	
23 them. The Corporation's done an analysis of all key	23 Finally, moving to the percentage of	
24 risk factors and, in some cases, note that there's a	24 premium method on page 8. The key pros are that the	
25 lack of evidence to, you know, put a really strong	25 RSR range is easy to calculate and easy to understand.	
183	18	5
183 1 plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're	18: 1 However, I I would challenge that the that the	5
		5
1 plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're	1 However, I I would challenge that the that the	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>Assets are currently two point five</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> <li>fair comparisons of capital levels across different</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> <li>substantially over time as the Corporation has built up</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> <li>fair comparisons of capital levels across different</li> <li>Canadian insurers.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> <li>substantially over time as the Corporation has built up</li> <li>its pool of PIPP claimants.</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> <li>fair comparisons of capital levels across different</li> <li>Canadian insurers.</li> <li>Some cons of the MCT approach. It is a</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> <li>substantially over time as the Corporation has built up</li> <li>its pool of PIPP claimants.</li> <li>When the percentage of premium method</li> </ol>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> <li>fair comparisons of capital levels across different</li> <li>Canadian insurers.</li> <li>Some cons of the MCT approach. It is a</li> <li>private sector test. And it definitely is not clear if</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> <li>substantially over time as the Corporation has built up</li> <li>its pool of PIPP claimants.</li> <li>When the percentage of premium method</li> <li>was first used to set the RSR targets the Corporation</li> </ol>	55
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> <li>fair comparisons of capital levels across different</li> <li>Canadian insurers.</li> <li>Some cons of the MCT approach. It is a</li> <li>private sector test. And it definitely is not clear if</li> <li>the risk factors used are appropriate for MPI or</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> <li>substantially over time as the Corporation has built up</li> <li>its pool of PIPP claimants.</li> <li>When the percentage of premium method</li> <li>was first used to set the RSR targets the Corporation</li> <li>did not even invest in equities. And this is what</li> </ul>	55
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> <li>fair comparisons of capital levels across different</li> <li>Canadian insurers.</li> <li>Some cons of the MCT approach. It is a</li> <li>private sector test. And it definitely is not clear if</li> <li>the risk factors used are appropriate for MPI or</li> <li>exactly how these underlying risk factors were</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> <li>substantially over time as the Corporation has built up</li> <li>its pool of PIPP claimants.</li> <li>When the percentage of premium method</li> <li>was first used to set the RSR targets the Corporation</li> <li>did not even invest in equities. And this is what</li> <li>we're identifying in the DCAT as the main risk factor.</li> </ul>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> <li>fair comparisons of capital levels across different</li> <li>Canadian insurers.</li> <li>Some cons of the MCT approach. It is a</li> <li>private sector test. And it definitely is not clear if</li> <li>the risk factors used are appropriate for MPI or</li> <li>exactly how these underlying risk factors were</li> <li>developed. As a result, it requires the Board to make</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>2 RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>3 calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>4 has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>5 percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>6 In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>7 that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>8 of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>9 The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>10 from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>11 significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>12 Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>13 (2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>14 liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>15 premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> <li>16 substantially over time as the Corporation has built up</li> <li>17 its pool of PIPP claimants.</li> <li>18 When the percentage of premium method</li> <li>19 was first used to set the RSR targets the Corporation</li> <li>20 did not even invest in equities. And this is what</li> <li>21 we're identifying in the DCAT as the main risk factor.</li> <li>22 Finally the another key point is that</li> </ul>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> <li>fair comparisons of capital levels across different</li> <li>Canadian insurers.</li> <li>Some cons of the MCT approach. It is a</li> <li>private sector test. And it definitely is not clear if</li> <li>the risk factors used are appropriate for MPI or</li> <li>exactly how these underlying risk factors were</li> <li>developed. As a result, it requires the Board to make</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>(2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> <li>substantially over time as the Corporation has built up</li> <li>its pool of PIPP claimants.</li> <li>When the percentage of premium method</li> <li>was first used to set the RSR targets the Corporation</li> <li>did not even invest in equities. And this is what</li> <li>we're identifying in the DCAT as the main risk factor.</li> <li>Finally the another key point is that</li> </ul>	5
<ol> <li>plausibility to it. But, nonetheless, they're</li> <li>they're provided.</li> <li>Secondly, I note that different</li> <li>actuaries will have different judgments. And, however,</li> <li>all forecasts, of course, require judgment. And if you</li> <li>look at something like the percentage of premium</li> <li>method, the percentages selected are judgments.</li> <li>However, the DCAT judgments, I believe, are much more</li> <li>strongly supported by evidence and analysis of the risk</li> <li>factors.</li> <li>Moving to the MCT on page 5. Some pros</li> <li>of the MCT are are that it is a risk-based method</li> <li>and it's relatively easy to calculate. Another benefit</li> <li>is it's used by other insurers, including SGI and ICBC,</li> <li>and this allows the Board and the Corporation to make</li> <li>fair comparisons of capital levels across different</li> <li>Canadian insurers.</li> <li>Some cons of the MCT approach. It is a</li> <li>private sector test. And it definitely is not clear if</li> <li>the risk factors used are appropriate for MPI or</li> <li>exactly how these underlying risk factors were</li> <li>developed. As a result, it requires the Board to make</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 However, I I would challenge that the that the</li> <li>2 RSR targets are easy to understand. They are easy to</li> <li>3 calculate. However, I don't I don't think anyone</li> <li>4 has an understanding of why 10 percent or why 20</li> <li>5 percent or why the the given range that's produced.</li> <li>6 In terms of cons, the method assumes</li> <li>7 that the Corporation's risk level is again a function</li> <li>8 of its annual premium level. This assumption is false.</li> <li>9 The Corporation's main risk, as as noted here, are</li> <li>10 from changes to assets and liabilities, which are</li> <li>11 significantly larger than annual premiums.</li> <li>12 Assets are currently two point five</li> <li>13 (2.5) times greater than annual premiums. Claims</li> <li>14 liabilities are one point eight (1.8) times annual</li> <li>15 premiums. And these ratios have increased</li> <li>16 substantially over time as the Corporation has built up</li> <li>17 its pool of PIPP claimants.</li> <li>18 When the percentage of premium method</li> <li>19 was first used to set the RSR targets the Corporation</li> <li>20 did not even invest in equities. And this is what</li> <li>21 we're identifying in the DCAT as the main risk factor.</li> <li>22 Finally the another key point is that</li> </ul>	5

	186		18	88
1	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr.	1	upon the presenters to to offer their comments to	
	Johnston. The next for the next set of questions		the panel. It's the practice of the Board to have	
	I'll have ask the Board members to move forward	3	presenters provide their comments to the Board. And	
	beyond page 13 of the amended AI-11. And the following	4	the Board will hear those comments and will consider	
			them as part of the their deliberations. And we'll	
	members have that?		consider them, as well, when making a decision with	
7			respect to the matters before us.	
8	(BRIEF PAUSE)	8	Now, in the interests of efficiency, I	
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9		
10	MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: This is the	10	about fifteen (15) minutes. In other words, that you	
11	document that's in colour?	11	provide your comments and then if we have some	
12	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, that's	12	questions we can address them as part of that time	
13	correct. Now I'm going to ask Mr. Johnston to walk	13	frame.	
14	through it. But I was going to ask Mr. Johnston to	14	So again, in the interests of	
15	walk through it with you in some detail. It's going to	15	efficiency, I may intervene if I feel that, you know,	
16	take a little bit of time.	16	we are spending too much time with a particular	
17	Looking at the the clock behind me I	17	presenter, not to cut you off, but I'll but simply	
18	recognize that it's a couple of minutes before twelve	18	from the perspective of ensuring that the proceedings	
19	o'clock. Is it the Board's intention to have lunch at	19	continue, because this is only one (1) part of the	
20	12:00 or 12:15, 12:30? We're at your discretion.		events that will occur over the next four (4) weeks.	
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think that I	21	And I remind you to be mindful of the	
22	was aiming for 12:00, but you know, we could go longer.		fact that this is these are expensive proceedings,	
	But given that if you believe this an opportune		and the costs are being borne by the by ratepayers.	
	time, let's break now. And we'll resume after lunch		And so we're mindful of, throughout the deliberations,	
25	with the presenters and then we can we can return to	25	of making sure that the proceedings are done are	
	187		18	39
1	187 Mr. Johnston after after the presenters.	1	18 carried out efficiently and effectively.	39
1		1		39
	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters.	2 3	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom	39
2 3	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan.	2 3	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their	39
2 3 4 5	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break	2 3 4 5	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just	39
2 3 4 5	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that	2 3 4 5	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their	39
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the	2 3 4 5 6 7	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams.	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS:	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN:	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good.	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn,	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future.	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association.	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that either the Manitoba Cycling Association or the Bike to	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone. Upon recessing at 11:58 a.m. Upon resuming at 1:19 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that either the Manitoba Cycling Association or the Bike to the Future have come before the Public Utilities Board.	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone. Upon recessing at 11:58 a.m. Upon resuming at 1:19 p.m. THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that either the Manitoba Cycling Association or the Bike to the Future have come before the Public Utilities Board. I have to tell you that I haven't done any careful	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone. Upon recessing at 11:58 a.m. Upon resuming at 1:19 p.m. THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon, everyone. I would like to start the proceedings again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that either the Manitoba Cycling Association or the Bike to the Future have come before the Public Utilities Board. I have to tell you that I haven't done any careful research as to what the scope of your jurisdiction is	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone. Upon recessing at 11:58 a.m. Upon recessing at 11:19 p.m. THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon, everyone. I would like to start the proceedings again. Is there any business that we need to attend to before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that either the Manitoba Cycling Association or the Bike to the Future have come before the Public Utilities Board. I have to tell you that I haven't done any careful	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone. Upon recessing at 11:58 a.m. Upon recessing at 11:19 p.m. THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon, everyone. I would like to start the proceedings again. Is there any business that we need to attend to before we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that either the Manitoba Cycling Association or the Bike to the Future have come before the Public Utilities Board. I have to tell you that I haven't done any careful research as to what the scope of your jurisdiction is or what the scope of your powers are. We are here because we want to talk	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone. Upon recessing at 11:58 a.m. Upon recessing at 11:19 p.m. THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon, everyone. I would like to start the proceedings again. Is there any business that we need to attend to before we MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that either the Manitoba Cycling Association or the Bike to the Future have come before the Public Utilities Board. I have to tell you that I haven't done any careful research as to what the scope of your jurisdiction is or what the scope of your powers are. We are here because we want to talk about what we consider to be a life or death issue.	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone. Upon recessing at 11:58 a.m. Upon recessing at 11:59 a.m. THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon, everyone. I would like to start the proceedings again. Is there any business that we need to attend to before we MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I don't think so, Mr. Chairman. I think we can go with the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that either the Manitoba Cycling Association or the Bike to the Future have come before the Public Utilities Board. I have to tell you that I haven't done any careful research as to what the scope of your jurisdiction is or what the scope of your powers are. We are here because we want to talk	39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Johnston after after the presenters. So I would MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, sounds like a good plan. THE CHAIRPERSON: suggest we break for an hour. Would that MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I believe the first presenter will speak at 1:15. THE CHAIRPERSON: 1:15. MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So it would be about an hour and fifteen (15) minutes from now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's adjourn until 1:15 then. Thank you very much everyone. Upon recessing at 11:58 a.m. Upon recessing at 11:19 p.m. THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon, everyone. I would like to start the proceedings again. Is there any business that we need to attend to before we MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	carried out efficiently and effectively. So I would call upon the presenters. We'll first start by two (2) individuals, Mr. Tom McMahn and Mr. Charles Fever, to come and address their comments to the panel. Just over here is fine, just beside Mr. Williams. PUBLIC COMMENTS: PRESENTATION BY MR. TOM MCMAHN: MR. TOM MCMAHN: Is that on? Good. Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Tom McMahn, and I am the current co-chair of Bike to the Future. With me is Jason Carter. And he's the president of the Manitoba Cycling Association. This is the first time, we think, that either the Manitoba Cycling Association or the Bike to the Future have come before the Public Utilities Board. I have to tell you that I haven't done any careful research as to what the scope of your jurisdiction is or what the scope of your powers are. We are here because we want to talk about what we consider to be a life or death issue. And that issue is the safety of cyclists on our roads.	39

	190	192
<ul> <li>I don't want to read it to you. I am</li> <li>hopeful that you will take the time to read it. We put</li> <li>a lot of effort into it. And and it says what we</li> <li>want, the points that we want to make.</li> <li>The main point in the presentation is</li> <li>that we think that, in different ways, implicitly, with</li> <li>diagrams, through omission, MPI teaches drivers to</li> <li>enter the lane with a cyclist, the same lane, not</li> <li>giving us enough space, creating dangerous situations.</li> <li>So what this presentation does is it</li> <li>shows you in the driver's handbook that MPI produces</li> <li>different ways that MPI effectively teaches driving</li> <li>into a lane with a bicycle. We show you in the</li> <li>presentation the driver's handbook for motorcyclists,</li> <li>and how different it is and how much emphasis there is</li> <li>for motorcyclists. Don't let automobiles crowd you.</li> <li>Don't move too far to the right because you'll tempt</li> <li>them into the lane, and telling drivers to move aside.</li> <li>We include examples here from ICBC, British Columbia</li> <li>Corporation. And it shows how clear their language is</li> <li>in their driver's materials, change lanes.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>1 Iane of traffic. It shows a car in a lane, the same</li> <li>2 Iane as the bicycle. It has the words, "1-metre rule."</li> <li>3 And we're very happy we're very happy that MPI talks</li> <li>4 about a 1-metre rule. They don't explain it anywhere</li> <li>5 and we don't think that they actually show it in the</li> <li>6 diagram accurately, and we would like for them to</li> <li>7 explain it, but we're very happy that they've started</li> <li>8 using the language.</li> <li>9 So when you look at this diagram and you</li> <li>10 see I call it a "cursor," you see a little line</li> <li>11 that shows a distance between the car and the bicycle</li> <li>12 and there's the words "1 metre" beside that. Then you</li> <li>13 see another line between the bicycle and the curb and</li> <li>14 they look to be the same distance, it's probably</li> <li>15 another metre just visually looking at this.</li> <li>16 So you've got a metre between the curb</li> <li>17 and the bicycle. The bicyclist himself or herself is</li> <li>18 probably 2 feet wide, you've got another metre, then</li> <li>19 you've got a car in a standard 12-foot lane. Not</li> <li>20 possible not possible. So the diagram is showing a</li> <li>21 physical impossibility. And it is showing a car and</li> </ul>
22 So that's what the point of this		22 and giving the message this is fine, drive on by and
<ul><li>23 presentation is. What is it that the PUB can do about</li><li>24 this? We think, we hope that the PUB can tell MPI to</li></ul>		<ul><li>23 don't worry about it, you'll be able to make your 1</li><li>24 metre.</li></ul>
25 improve and increase its efforts in teaching and		And the 1 metre is right up against the
	191	193
<ul> <li>telling drivers how to get around cyclists more safely.</li> <li>Bottom line, we think that MPI can do a</li> <li>better job in encouraging drivers, change lanes.</li> <li>You're coming up to a cyclist, the cyclist is there,</li> <li>change lanes.</li> <li>Now MPI has and the presentation</li> <li>shows you, has literature, both public communications</li> <li>ads and in its driver handbook that says, Change lanes</li> <li>if possible.</li> <li>What does that mean, "If possible"?</li> <li>When is it not possible to change a lane? We think</li> <li>it's always possible to change a lane. Is there a car</li> <li>beside you? Well, slow down and wait until there's an</li> <li>opening. What does it mean, "Change lanes if</li> <li>possible"?</li> <li>We think what they're saying is, if</li> <li>there's a car beside you, guess it's not possible to</li> <li>change lanes, just carry on, squeeze the bike over.</li> <li>That's what we mean by an "implicit" message.</li> <li>There's diagrams that they use in their</li> <li>driver education handbook, and I'll take you to one of</li> <li>those diagrams now, page 7. So here under the heading</li> <li>"Passing a cyclist" this is from the driver's</li> <li>handbook, and you can see the diagram.</li> <li>So what the diagram shows is one (1)</li> </ul>	ng,	<ul> <li>bicycle tire, never mind the bicyclist's elbows and</li> <li>whatnot. So this is again how they use diagrams and</li> <li>implicit language that effectively convey a message</li> <li>that it's okay to occupy the lane as you come up from</li> <li>behind.</li> <li>In the diagram on the preceding page,</li> <li>page 6, again you can see car and bicycle in the same</li> <li>lane. And I don't know if you can see it on the copy,</li> <li>it's a bit small, but there's the checkmark, right?</li> <li>Can you see the checkmark in that diagram? And what's</li> <li>the message? This is okay. It's not okay.</li> <li>We've had at least four (4) Manitobans</li> <li>die on bicycles on Manitoba roads this year. Nobody</li> <li>phones us up and says, There's been another death,</li> <li>there's been another accident. Nobody phones us up and</li> <li>says, And here are the circumstances. We don't know.</li> <li>We read the newspapers.</li> <li>Some of us have personal knowledge of</li> <li>the individuals or have some access to some other</li> <li>additional information that might not be in the</li> <li>newspaper reports. But we know that there are safety</li> <li>issues, serious, serious safety issues that need to be</li> <li>addressed in Manitoba and can be improved.</li> <li>So on page 8, what does MPI say about</li> </ul>

	194			196
1	"Occupy your lane in a position which	1	pass safely. It doesn't define "pass safely".	
2		2	Twenty-one (21) jurisdictions in North	
3	alongside you. Ride a reasonable	3	American have now passed laws to say that passing	
4	distance, 1.3 to 1.8 metres from the	4	safely means at least 1 metre with respect to bicycles.	
5	parked cars. If you move to the	5	I've shown you the motorcyclist handbook. I've shown	
6	right you will encourage motorists to	6	you ICBC material. It is okay, it is perfectly okay	
7	share your lane."	7	for MPI to say to drivers, Here's the safe,	
8	On page 10 we talk about what ICBC says.	8	responsible, courteous, respectful way to pass a	
9	So ICBC, a comparison to Manitoba's MPI, says:	9	cyclist, change lanes, give at least a metre.	
10	"On a multi-lane road change lanes	10	MPI is not limited by some minimalist	
11	rather than risk crowding the	11	interpretation of vaguely worded Highway Traffic Act.	
12	cyclist."	12	They can give information and advice and	
13	That's what we want MPI to say, Change	13	recommendations that go beyond narrow interpretations	
14	lanes. And if there's a car beside you wait, change	14	of the Highway Traffic Act, just like they do with	
15	lanes when it's open, signal, shoulder check, make sure	15	respect to motorcycles, just like ICBC does. Manitoba	
16	that you have gone sufficiently far ahead of the	16	can do it too.	
17	cyclist before you pull back in, just like you would	17	Now I'll just take a moment to just	
18	, ,		review if there's anything else I wanted to carry to	
19		19		
20		20	······································	
21	fifty (150) to two hundred (200) questions that are	21	the diagram on the left, the shows 1 metre of space,	
	asked of drivers on their written test.	22	···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·	
23			into the next lane. Not completely into the next lane,	
	one (1). MPI can do more and can do better to teach		but into the next lane, about halfway into the next	
25	drivers how to drive in proximity to cyclists. It's	25	lane. That's important.	
		1		

197

	1 life or death. It's our children. It's our spouses.	1	The other one we show you is from
	2 It's ourselves. It's our parents. It's a serious	2	France. Notice how the diagram is created. Notice the
	3 issue and we think that PUB, Public Utilities Board, we	3	arrows showing space. Notice the 1.5 metres, which is
	4 think you do have an ability to play a role in this.	4	about 5 feet of space. Remember, a standard lane, 12
	5 Some of this started some months ago, a	5	feet. Automobiles, 5, 6, 7 feet wide.
	6 year ago or more. There's a committee, I can't	6	How do you give 5 feet of space? Well,
	7 remember if it's the Safety Committee on Active	7	you've got to move into the next lane, there's no other
	8 Transportation, or the Senior Committee on Active	8	way. Now, down below we see what's in the MPI Driver
	9 Transportation Safety Committee. And we had a Bike	9	Handbook at the bottom of page 3. And at the top of
1	10 to the Future representative ask and and so this	10	page 4 we see the same thing on our streets all over
1	11 committee was preparing a document of some kind on how	11	the place, this "Share the road" sign. What on earth
1	12 to safely how how to cycle safely and and	12	does it mean? Who is it addressing?
1	13 talking about cycling and safety. And we had a Bike to	13	Is it telling the cyclist to move over
1	14 the Future representative make the request, Can you put	14	so that a car can get into your lane? Is it telling
1	15 in that you should give at least 1 metre of space when	15	drivers something in particular? Is it telling drivers
1	16 you pass a cyclist.	16	or cyclists or anybody else how much distance is needed
1	17 And the Safety Committee on Active	17	to go beside each other safely? It's it's almost a
1	18 Transportation primarily made of public servants, City	18	silly sign. It's to me, when I see it, it seems to
1	19 of Winnipeg police service, MPI, Province of Manitoba,	19	say, This is addressed to cyclists. Cyclists, here
2	20 City of Winnipeg, said, No, we're not going to do that.	20	they come. Move to your right.
2	21 We're not going to put in this particular document that	21	I I get frightened when I see this.
2	22 you should give at least 1 metre of space.	22	And we have these conversations in our cycling groups.
2	23 And their rationale is: because the	23	What does "share the road" mean? We're afraid to use
2	24 Highway Traffic Act doesn't expressly say it. So the	24	the phrase "share the road" because of signs like this.
2	25 Highway Traffic Act says you must give enough space to	25	Similarly with the sharrows markings.
L			

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

19	3	200
<ul> <li>1 What do they mean? I was riding I was driving on</li> <li>2 Dunkirk the other day, and I was just noticing, just</li> <li>3 I think it was yesterday just noticing the sharrows</li> <li>4 markings. And there's all kinds of sewer grates and</li> <li>5 debris and potholes where the sharrows markings were.</li> <li>6 And this is where we're supposed to ride our bikes?</li> <li>7 I I don't know what the sharrow</li> <li>8 markings mean. To me, they seem to signal and MPI</li> <li>9 says clearly, this is not to be treated it's at the</li> <li>10 top of page 5 should not be treated as such. MPI</li> <li>11 says clearly that sharrows do not designate a bicycle</li> <li>12 lane and should not be treated as such. What does that</li> <li>13 mean? Does that mean it's okay to drive your car in</li> <li>14 the same lane as a bicycle? Why is MPI sending these</li> <li>15 various messages?</li> <li>16 So that's our major point. I do ask</li> <li>17 that you read the presentation in full. It covers off</li> <li>18 a few other points. We have had good conversations</li> <li>19 with MPI on different items.</li> <li>20 MPI has produced a very good bicycling</li> <li>21 safety booklet. MPI has invested a couple thousand</li> <li>22 dollars to work with Bike to the Future to train I</li> <li>23 think we've trained about twelve (12) people in the</li> <li>24 Can-Bike system. MPI is doing some good things. MPI</li> <li>25 is putting these advertisements in the newspaper. Wish</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 transportation coordinator. We are the precursors to</li> <li>2 Bikes to the Future, and we have people very much</li> <li>3 interested in what happens on our roads and creating</li> <li>4 that linkage between population who commute to work,</li> <li>5 who ride for pleasure, and who become very active in</li> <li>6 the athletic endeavours. And so we're concerned with</li> <li>7 that linkage.</li> <li>8 We are also concerned because the roads,</li> <li>9 for us, are basically our playing field. They are our</li> <li>10 field of competition. We have to hold events at Birds</li> <li>11 Hill Park. We have to hold events in Holland,</li> <li>12 Manitoba, along some of those roads. We have to hold</li> <li>13 events in mountain bike communities and and that</li> <li>14 sort of thing. And our people have to ride 200, 300,</li> <li>15 400, 600 kilometre rides for certain events to go</li> <li>16 international and that.</li> <li>17 So in all those cases, we are very, very</li> <li>18 concerned with the safety factor on the road. How do</li> <li>19 we communicate? How do we coordinate things with</li> <li>20 with the with the motorist traffic? Do we trust</li> <li>21 them to take into consideration what we can nothing</li> <li>22 about fault. Fault is out. We don't consider fault in</li> <li>23 our relationships with this. We think fault is and</li> <li>24 all the tort analysis is gone.</li> <li>25 It's simply a matter of mass and weight</li> </ul>	
19	,	201
<ol> <li>they were worded differently, but it's a good thing.</li> <li>MPI is I think they have good faith.</li> <li>I think that they are coming to a new understanding of</li> <li>cycling and cycling safety, and we're pleased. That</li> <li>being said, more needs to be done. We think more needs</li> <li>to be done urgently, and we think you have a role to</li> <li>play in that.</li> <li>Now, I'd just like to ask if Jason</li> <li>Carter from the Manitoba Cycling Association has</li> <li>anything he'd like to add.</li> <li>MR. JASON CARTER: Thank you. Well, my</li> <li>name's Jason Carter (phonetic), from Manitoba Cycling</li> <li>Association.</li> <li>The Cycling Association represents about</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>and presence and physicality physic physics of</li> <li>riding a bike. And we will not succeed if we are ever</li> <li>involved in an accident with a car. We will not</li> <li>survive. So we have a tremendous self-interest to do -</li> <li>to things.</li> <li>If we take the lane, go in the middle of</li> <li>the lane, that is because we are trying to signal to</li> <li>cars that there is something going wrong with the road</li> <li>or with our physics of keeping the bike upright, that</li> <li>we have to have that space and we have to be in the</li> <li>middle of the road. And then when things are going</li> <li>right, we move over to the right and wave the car</li> <li>through. Safety is our primary consideration.</li> <li>When we look at at our relationship</li> </ol>	

- 15 eight hundred and fifty (850) to nine hundred (900)
- 16 paid up members; parents, kids. We range from --
- 17 anything from international racing cyclists -- Leah
- 18 Kirchmann who just finished 21st in the Road World
- 19 National Championships. We have a junior men's
- 20 national champion. And we are -- the people who set up
- 21 the coaching and programming circumstances that allowed22 Clara Hughes to change her life around and become such
- 23 a great athlete that she is.
- 24 We have historically been connected with
- 25 Bikes to the Future and our recreation and

19 three (3) cars, right. And I park one (1) in winter,
20 but I have two (2) on the road all the time.
21 And I can -- I -- I -- except for maybe

And I can -- I -- I -- except for maybe
one (1) or two (2) people out of that hundred -- eight

18 Ninety-nine point nine percent of us have cars. I have

15 with drivers and with automobiles, we run into numerous

16 difficulties and numerous archaic difficulties.

17 Cyclists do not drive cars. No, that's not true.

- 23 hundred and fifty (850) paid-up members, we all have
- 24 cars. We all drive to our racing events, all right.
- 25 That is our archaic idea. We pay insurance rates just

2	02		204
1 as everybody else.		I appreciate you taking the time to come down to	
2 There's other fallacies here involved.		Portage and presenting in front of us. It's not always	
3 A fallacy is that that cycling is a trivial pursuit,		to for people to stand here and offer their	
4 that it is does not affect how we get about this		comments.	
5 province. And it's a circular fallacy as well. There	5		
6 are only thirteen thousand, five hundred (13,500)			
7 commuters in in Winnipeg.	7	and consider it as part of our deliberations. So thank	
8 Well, there's not thir there's only	8	-	
9 thirteen, thousand five hundred (13,500) commuters in	g		
10 Winnipeg because most people think it's unsafe to get	10	-	
11 on their bike in this town. We hear that all the time:	11		
12 I don't want to ride because I think it's unsafe, I	12	come forward please.	
13 only ride on Sunday mornings because there's less cars	13	-	
14 because it's unsafe.	14	(BRIEF PAUSE)	
15 And so when we run into problems about	15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16 how do we get a massive amount of people sixty	16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Did I pronounce your	
17 thousand (60,000) average daily cars on Portage Avenue	17	ame correctly? Houghton.	
18 in front of front of us in front of us. How do	18		
19 we get that kind of mass of people on their bikes	19		
20 coming into town to work? What kind of world will be	20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
21 have to loo look at to do that? We need to increase	21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Welcome, Mr.	
22 our safety on the roads. And that's where we think MPI		P. Houghton. Do you will not will is it your	
23 plays a very, very important role.	23		
24 MPI was set up as as a monopoly, as	24		
25 universal insurance for cars. And it seems to be well	25	i I'll be reading through the presentation.	
2	03		205
2 1 positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in	03	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.	205
		-	205
1 positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in	1	2	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> </ol>	1	PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON:	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> </ol>	1 2 3	PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5	PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON:</li> <li>MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation.</li> <li>Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8	PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON:</li> <li>MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation.</li> <li>Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10	PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm</li> <li>sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give the shotgun approach, as in other years.	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm</li> <li>sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> <li>the shotgun approach, as in other years.</li> <li>I'm currently the President of the</li> <li>Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm</li> <li>sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> <li>the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the</li> <li>Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm</li> <li>speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> <li>So I think in closing, I think what</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I express may be my own and not necessarily those of	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> <li>So I think in closing, I think what</li> <li>we're really saying to the Public Utility tilities</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm</li> <li>sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> <li>the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the</li> <li>Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm</li> <li>speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I</li> <li>express may be my own and not necessarily those of</li> <li>CMMG. Hopefully though my opinions will not contradict</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> <li>So I think in closing, I think what</li> <li>we're really saying to the Public Utility tilities</li> <li>Board is to encourage MPI to step up, to be proactive,</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm</li> <li>sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> <li>the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the</li> <li>Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm</li> <li>speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I</li> <li>express may be my own and not necessarily those of</li> <li>CMMG. Hopefully though my opinions will not contradict</li> <li>those of CMMG, which is represented here by our</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> <li>So I think in closing, I think what</li> <li>we're really saying to the Public Utility tilities</li> <li>Board is to encourage MPI to step up, to be proactive,</li> <li>to think about preventative efforts in all the modern</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> <li>the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the</li> <li>Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm</li> <li>speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I</li> <li>express may be my own and not necessarily those of</li> <li>CMMG. Hopefully though my opinions will not contradict</li> <li>those of CMMG, which is represented here by our</li> <li>solicitor, Mr. Raymond Oakes.</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> <li>So I think in closing, I think what</li> <li>we're really saying to the Public Utility tilities</li> <li>Board is to encourage MPI to step up, to be proactive,</li> <li>to think about preventative efforts in all the modern</li> <li>branding, marketing, any type of education of both</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm</li> <li>sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> <li>the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the</li> <li>Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm</li> <li>speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I</li> <li>express may be my own and not necessarily those of</li> <li>CMMG. Hopefully though my opinions will not contradict</li> <li>those of CMMG, which is represented here by our</li> <li>solicitor, Mr. Raymond Oakes. And also as on the side, just point out</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> <li>So I think in closing, I think what</li> <li>we're really saying to the Public Utility tilities</li> <li>Board is to encourage MPI to step up, to be proactive,</li> <li>to think about preventative efforts in all the modern</li> <li>branding, marketing, any type of education of both</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I express may be my own and not necessarily those of CMMG. Hopefully though my opinions will not contradict those of CMMG, which is represented here by our solicitor, Mr. Raymond Oakes. And also as on the side, just point out that one of our first directors of CMMG about twenty	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> <li>So I think in closing, I think what</li> <li>we're really saying to the Public Utility tilities</li> <li>Board is to encourage MPI to step up, to be proactive,</li> <li>to think about preventative efforts in all the modern</li> <li>branding, marketing, any type of education of both</li> <li>cyclists and drivers on how to communicate and how to</li> <li>cooperate in Manitoba. And that should be a better and</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm</li> <li>sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> <li>the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the</li> <li>Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm</li> <li>speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I</li> <li>express may be my own and not necessarily those of</li> <li>CMMG. Hopefully though my opinions will not contradict</li> <li>those of CMMG, which is represented here by our</li> <li>solicitor, Mr. Raymond Oakes. And also as on the side, just point out</li> <li>that one of our first directors of CMMG about twenty</li> <li>(20) years ago and first president, Mr. Robyn Gray,</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> <li>So I think in closing, I think what</li> <li>we're really saying to the Public Utility tilities</li> <li>Board is to encourage MPI to step up, to be proactive,</li> <li>to think about preventative efforts in all the modern</li> <li>branding, marketing, any type of education of both</li> <li>cyclists and drivers on how to communicate and how to</li> <li>cooperate in Manitoba. And that should be a better and</li> <li>safer place for all of us. Thank you.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm</li> <li>sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> <li>the shotgun approach, as in other years.</li> <li>I'm currently the President of the</li> <li>Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm</li> <li>speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I</li> <li>express may be my own and not necessarily those of</li> <li>CMMG. Hopefully though my opinions will not contradict</li> <li>those of CMMG, which is represented here by our</li> <li>solicitor, Mr. Raymond Oakes.</li> <li>And also as on the side, just point out</li> <li>that one of our first directors of CMMG about twenty</li> <li>(20) years ago and first president, Mr. Robyn Gray,</li> <li>will also be here today giving a presentation, so he'll</li> </ul>	205
<ol> <li>positioned to to say to all of Manitobans, We are in</li> <li>charge of, and we are looking on out for, our safety</li> <li>on our behalf for everybody.</li> <li>Even in the post facto analysis right</li> <li>now it's all in pieces. We can't go to a Fatal</li> <li>Inquiries Act because that list of incidents on the</li> <li>fatal inquiries is as long as your arm. We have</li> <li>disparate sets of of statistics from WRHA and from</li> <li>the health system and disparate pieces of information</li> <li>from MPI, only based on claims.</li> <li>But that's not the whole picture. We</li> <li>have no entity that says, Let's put everything</li> <li>together, let's figure it all out and how do we</li> <li>communicate with with drivers. And that's the rule</li> <li>that we think MPI can can play for us.</li> <li>So I think in closing, I think what</li> <li>we're really saying to the Public Utility tilities</li> <li>Board is to encourage MPI to step up, to be proactive,</li> <li>to think about preventative efforts in all the modern</li> <li>branding, marketing, any type of education of both</li> <li>cyclists and drivers on how to communicate and how to</li> <li>cooperate in Manitoba. And that should be a better and</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>PRESENTATION BY MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Yes, I'll be</li> <li>reading through the presentation. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board</li> <li>members, and MPI staff, MPI legal counsel, and other</li> <li>presenters. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity</li> <li>to speak at this year's General Rate Application. This</li> <li>year I'll try to keep my presentation brief. And I'm</li> <li>sticking to basically one (1) subject rather than give</li> <li>the shotgun approach, as in other years. I'm currently the President of the</li> <li>Coalition of Manitoba Groups, CMMG, but today I'm</li> <li>speaking on my own behalf. And some of the views I</li> <li>express may be my own and not necessarily those of</li> <li>CMMG. Hopefully though my opinions will not contradict</li> <li>those of CMMG, which is represented here by our</li> <li>solicitor, Mr. Raymond Oakes. And also as on the side, just point out</li> <li>that one of our first directors of CMMG about twenty</li> <li>(20) years ago and first president, Mr. Robyn Gray,</li> <li>will also be here today giving a presentation, so he'll</li> </ul>	205

	206			208
				200
1	I I'm sixty-five (65) years old, a retired municipal		rising fuel costs and other living expenses. Of	
2			course, paying the premiums on our our other	
3	most of my life. It's been nearly fifty (50) years	3		
4		4	Other than food, vehicle insurance is	
5	, , ,	5	now probably my greatest single household expense and	
6	motorcycle and started riding on a regular basis.		nearly equal to my property taxes. The premium on my	
7	I enjoy motorcycling immensely. It's		twelve (12) year old motorcycle is nearly twice as much	
8			as the premium on a my two hundred thousand dollar	
9		9	(\$200,000) home.	
10	, ,	10	Even though motorcycle numbers have	
11	grandchildren. I ride between 15 and 25,000 kilometres	11	increased over the past few years, there are many	
12			former motorcyclists who have quit riding, not because	
13	51		they've lost their love for the sport, but solely for	
14			the fact that they have modest incomes and can no	
15		15		
16	Motorcycle premiums. This year MPI has	16	(\$2,000) for five (5) months of riding. When a husband and wife both ride, the	
18		18		
19		19		
20		20	and my daughter has only been able to justify a couple	
21	the allocation of personal injury protection plan	21	of temporary five (5) day permits this season.	
22		22	Escalating premiums. The reason for	
23	In recent years there have been some	23		
24			the introduction of no-fault insurance and	
	to the allocation of losses for PIPP and collision		corresponding Personal Injury Protection Plan.	
	207			209
1			Somewhere along the line I believe there was a ruling	209
	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife	1	Somewhere along the line I believe there was a ruling	209
	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years,	1	that there should be no cross-subsidization between	209
2	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some	1 2 3	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that	209
2 3 4	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced.	1 2 3 4	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims	209
2 3 4 5	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done	1 2 3 4 5	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in	209
2 3 4 5 6	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit	1 2 3 4 5 6	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as	209
2 3 4 5 6 7	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned.	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non-	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley Davidson touring model of the same value is fifteen	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being placed in a separate rating classification not because	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley Davidson touring model of the same value is fifteen	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being placed in a separate rating classification not because motorcyclists have more accidents, but due to the	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley Davidson touring model of the same value is fifteen hundred and fifty-eight (1,558). When one considers that the motorcycle	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being placed in a separate rating classification not because motorcyclists have more accidents, but due to the	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley Davidson touring model of the same value is fifteen hundred and fifty-eight (1,558). When one considers that the motorcycle	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being placed in a separate rating classification not because motorcyclists have more accidents, but due to the severity of their injuries, which result in grace	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley Davidson touring model of the same value is fifteen hundred and fifty-eight (1,558). When one considers that the motorcycle premium is a five (5) month, seasonally adjusted rate,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being placed in a separate rating classification not because motorcyclists have more accidents, but due to the severity of their injuries, which result in grace greater personal injury, or PIPP, costs.	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley Davidson touring model of the same value is fifteen hundred and fifty-eight (1,558). When one considers that the motorcycle premium is a five (5) month, seasonally adjusted rate, the equivalent annual motorcycle premium is about three	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being placed in a separate rating classification not because motorcyclists have more accidents, but due to the severity of their injuries, which result in grace greater personal injury, or PIPP, costs. It is my understanding that PIPP costs	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley Davidson touring model of the same value is fifteen hundred and fifty-eight (1,558). When one considers that the motorcycle premium is a five (5) month, seasonally adjusted rate, the equivalent annual motorcycle premium is about three thousand, seven hundred and thirty-nine dollars (\$3,739) or about three (3) times the truck rate. The effects of high premiums for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being placed in a separate rating classification not because motorcyclists have more accidents, but due to the severity of their injuries, which result in grace greater personal injury, or PIPP, costs. It is my understanding that PIPP costs represent about 80 percent of the motorcycle premium and between 30 and 35 percent of the automobile premium. I believe in 2010 these figures were 85	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley Davidson touring model of the same value is fifteen hundred and fifty-eight (1,558). When one considers that the motorcycle premium is a five (5) month, seasonally adjusted rate, the equivalent annual motorcycle premium is about three thousand, seven hundred and thirty-nine dollars (\$3,739) or about three (3) times the truck rate. The effects of high premiums for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being placed in a separate rating classification not because motorcyclists have more accidents, but due to the severity of their injuries, which result in grace greater personal injury, or PIPP, costs. It is my understanding that PIPP costs represent about 80 percent of the motorcycle premium and between 30 and 35 percent of the automobile	209
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	claims, as well as losses related to wildlife collisions. In the past two (2) or three (3) years, most motorcycle premiums have levelled off and some have actually been reduced. This, however, has not has done little to offset the previous decade of double-digit compounded premium increases. As a result, current premiums still remain very high when compared to automobile premiums. For example, in Winnipeg the non- discounted premium and that's the minimum premium regist and coll registration fees for a 2009 GMC 4x4 extended-cab pick-up truck valued at twenty thousand (20,000) is only twelve hundred and forty-nine dollars (\$1,249), whereas the premium for a 2009 Harley Davidson touring model of the same value is fifteen hundred and fifty-eight (1,558). When one considers that the motorcycle premium is a five (5) month, seasonally adjusted rate, the equivalent annual motorcycle premium is about three thousand, seven hundred and thirty-nine dollars (\$3,739) or about three (3) times the truck rate. The effects of high premiums for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that there should be no cross-subsidization between vehicle classification, which essentially means that premiums for each classification must reflect claims costs for that classification. This has resulted in many years of double-digit compounded increases, as previously mentioned. Contrary to what some may believe, the motorcyclists are not involved in a greater percentage of accidents than automobiles. In fact, past statistics have shown that there are a great num percentage of motorcyclists with full merits than automobile own owners. In my opinion, motorcycles are being placed in a separate rating classification not because motorcyclists have more accidents, but due to the severity of their injuries, which result in grace greater personal injury, or PIPP, costs. It is my understanding that PIPP costs represent about 80 percent of the motorcycle premium and between 30 and 35 percent of the automobile premium. I believe in 2010 these figures were 85	209

24 moderate incomes, motorcycle premiums have become an 25 extreme financial burden, especially when coupled with

25 the surface the current methodology seems fair.

	2	10			212	
1	Although PIPP coverage is paid by most vehicle owners,		1 PIP	PP coverage is paid for.		
2	there are some vehicle classes that do not pay.		2	I believe it is time for Manitoba Public		l
3	There are also those persons with		3 Insi	urance to review its methodology for assigning PIPP		
4	driver's licences who do not own vehicles but who		4 cos	sts to premiums and spread them equally across all		
5	contribute to accident costs. As well, there are		5 veh	nicle groups. The fairest way might be establish a		
6	others who receive PIPP benefits who neither own a		6 flat	rate for PIPP premiums with increases based solely		
7	vehicle or have a driver's licence.		7 on	driving record and not severity of injuries.		
8	According to MPI and this repeats a		8	Although motorcyclists are more likely		
9	bit of sorry, repeats a bit Ray Oakes' presentation		9 to s	sustain more serious bodily injury in accidents,		
10	this morning twelve thousand (12,000) almost	1	0 the	y are less likely to inflict serious injury on		
11	thirteen thousand (13,000) vehicles, or 1 1/2 percent	1	1 pec	destrians, cyclists, or the occupants of automobiles		
12	of the vehicles registered in Manitoba do not pay for	1	2 and	d trucks.		
13	PIPP coverage.	1	3	If not a flat rate, perhaps PIPP		
14	This nearly equals the thirteen thousand	1	4 me	thodology could consider the larger vehi sorry,		
15	and and and one hundred and sixty-three (13,163)	1	5 the	larger vehicle's potential to inflict serious		
16	motorcycles which are subject to PIPP premiums. This	1	6 inju	ury to occupants or riders of smaller vehicles and		
17	excluded group includes interprovincial trucks and	1	7 bea	ar a larger share of the PIPP costs.		
18	exempt vehicles such as Government of Canada vehicles	1	8	Although a proper actuarial analysis is		
19	and fire department vehicles.			uired, I don't believe that establishing a flat rate		
20	Off-road vehicles, dirt bikes,	2	0 for	PIPP will create an undue hardship on other vehicle		
21	snowmobile, and bicycles do not contribute to PIPP.	2	1 owr	ners. If we if we exclude off-road vehicles and		
22	However, if the riders are involved in a collision with	2	2 trai	ilers from from the list, the total number of		
23				er vehicles outnumbers motorcycles by a ratio of		
	even if at fault. I believe the same applies to	2	4 nea	arly 60:1.		
25	pedestrians as well.	2	5	In simple terms, and average five dollar		
	2	:11			213	
1	In 2011 one-third (1/3) of all PIPP		1 (\$5	b) premium increase for other vehicles generates \$3.9		
2	claims were from non-vehicle owners. According to MPI		2 mill	lion in revenue, resulting in a two hundred and		

3 there were eleven thousand, seven hundred and eighty-4 four (11,784) PIPP claims in 2011. Drivers without a 5 registered vehicle accounted for three hundred and one 6 hundred -- three thousand, one hundred and ninety-two 7 (3,192), or 27 percent, of these claims. In addition, 8 unlicensed non-vehicle owners accounted for six hundred 9 and ninety-three (693) claims, or another 6 percent of 10 total claims. 11 Since much of PIPP involves bodily 12 injury, personal care, and rehabilitation, it is 13 analogous to and somewhat an extension to our 14 healthcare system, which is free to all Manitobans and 15 shouldered by all Manitoba taxpayers.

- 16 Since all Manitobans can receive
- 17 benefits and since one-third (1/3) of PIPP claims are
- 18 for non-contributors, why then should motorcyclists pay
- 19 substantially more for this coverage when other vehicle
- 20 owners, ATV owners, snowmobile owners, and -- and
- 21 licensed non-vehicle owners pay nothing?
- 22 We don't charge additional health
- 23 premiums for those who are chronically ill, requiring
- 24 more health care. Why then should PIPP costs not be
- 25 treated in a similar manner? We need to rethink how

- 2 million in revenue, resulting in a two hundred and
- 3 ninety-eight dollar (\$298) decrease for each
- 4 motorcycle.

5 I'm not in way -- any way suggesting 6 that these -- these figures represent a flat rate, but 7 merely to demonstrate that the effects of a flat rate 8 would be negligible on other vehicle owners. The 9 determination of an actual flat rate would have to 10 include many other factors, such as the effects of 11 merit -- demerits -- merits and demerits as well of the 12 proportion of PIPP contributions through driver's 13 licence premiums and whether or not more of PIPP costs 14 should be allocated to the driver's licence. 15 Considering that nearly -- that 27 16 percent, or nearly one-third (1/3), of PIPP claims are 17 from licensed drivers who do not own a vehicle, it 18 would be a much more equitable system if PIPP premiums 19 were transferred all or in part to the driver's licence 20 rather than placed solely on the vehicle. 21 At present, there is a small insurance

- 22 portion associated with the driver's licence. However,
- 23 this driver premium is not proportioned amongst the
- 24 various coverage. The premiums collected are used as -
- 25 as the direct offset to the cost of Basic insurance

	214			216
1	for Highway Traffic Act vehicles, so it is not known if	1	I would, however, request that this	
2	any of it is assigned to offset PIPP costs.	2	Board recommend that MPI and/or the Government of	
3	The proposed driver premium offset for	3	Manitoba study this issue and advise as to how a PIPP	
4	2013 is sixty (60) fifty-two dollars and sixty-three	4	flat rate could affect average premiums for all	
5	cents (\$52.63).	5	classes, and, as well, how premiums would be affected,	
6	Transferring PIPP costs to the driver's	6	should excluded vehicle classes, such as	
7	licence would also lessen the attractiveness of the	7	interprovincial trucking, were required to pay PIPP.	
8	current system, which encourages high-risk drivers to	8	And now that concludes my presentation.	
9	transfer ownership to other family members so as not	9	And thank you gi for giving me this opportunity to	
10	so as not to pay higher vehicle premiums and,	10	present to you today. Thank you.	
11	therefore, not contributing fairly into the public	11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.	
12	insurance system.	12	Houghton. A very clear presentation. And we'll	
13	PIPP double-dipping. Like mo most	13	certainly reread the document and be mindful of what	
14	motorcyclists, I also own another vehicle and pay PIPP	14	you've recommended. And we'll consider that as part of	
15	on both. Unlike other insurance surers, there is no	15	our deliberations. So thank you very much.	
16	discount for a separate vehicle, the assumption being	16	MR. DOUG HOUGHTON: Thank you very	
17	that both can be driven at the same time with a risk of	17	much.	
18	accidents.	18	THE CHAIRPERSON: I now call on Mr.	
19	It is my understanding that premiums for	19	Robyn Gray, please.	
20	each classification of vehicles is based on the ri	20		
21	risks and accident history of that vehisal vehicle	21		
22	classification. I suggest that MPI may want to review	22	(BRIEF PAUSE)	
23	its data to determine whether the combined claims costs	23		
24	for those who own both a motorcycle and another vehicle	24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Welcome, Mr. Gray.	
25	or vehicles are greater or less than the sum total of	25		

## 215

1 claim costs for each class when considering separately. 1 PRESENTATION BY MR. ROBYN GRAY: 2 If less, there may be some merit in providing a PIPP 2 MR. ROBYN GRAY: That's it. Good 3 discount when the second vehicle is a motorcycle. 3 afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I'm just going to 4 Let's make a case. If -- if someone 4 read from a script here. I'm Robyn Gray. And I have 5 owns two (2) cars, as an aside here, there's a good 5 been in the motorcycle business for over forty (40) 6 potential that both motor vehicles might be in use. In 6 years. I -- I have several concerns about the Manitoba 7 Public Insurance Corporation and how they do business. 7 my case, if I'm riding my motorcycle, someone may use 8 my truck. Although I'm single, one of my kids may 8 The first is the way that Manitoba 9 borrow it to move their furniture or something. 9 motorcycles pay for their insurance. At least year's 10 However, the other way around, if 10 hearings I brought to the PUB's attention the problems 11 somebody's -- if I'm driving my truck, nobody's riding 11 with MPI's motorcycle premium payment spread over the 12 that motorcycle. And that tends to be the case with 12 five (5) months of summer riding season for a year's 13 most motorcycles. It's a one (1) only type of vehicle. 13 worth of insurance. 14 You don't usually say to your neighbour, Oh, come on, 14 My far -- my fellow Harley riders pay an 15 use it any time you want, if you have to haul garbage 15 average of five hundred dollars (\$500) a month to 16 to the dump or something. It's not like your truck. 16 insure their motorcycles through MPI. Come October, 17 So it's -- you don't have the same type of relationship 17 the rate drops to about twelve dollars (\$12) a month you do if you had, say, two (2) cars insured in the 18 and continues until April; hell of a deal for those who 18 19 same household. 19 ride in the fall, winter, and early spring. The sys --20 20 the system is flawed. Who dreamed this up? Public policy. I'm not sure whether a 21 21 rate change of this nature falls within the Board's Let's look at the downside. A lot of 22 mandate and can appreciate that it may be a matter of 22 riders cannot afford the summer rates, so they wait 23 until fall or early spring to insure their motorcycles 23 public policy to be implemented by a government through 24 amendments to the Public Insurance Act or by giving 24 as cheap as eight dollars (\$8) a month and go riding, 25 policy direction to MPI. 25 or better yet -- or better yet, take their motorcycles

> 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 DIGI-TRAN INC. Serving Clients Across Canada

			218			220	
	1	south to ride in the winter for the for next to		1	it off. Now the adjuster and this is still being		
	2	nothing for the best motorcycle insurance around,			fought. Now the adjuster wants eleven thousand dollars		
	3	according to MPI. What a deal.			(\$11,000) for the wreck instead of the three thousand		
	4	I know lots of riders who take their			dollar (\$3,000) difference. This is unacceptable.		
	5	bikes with them when they vaca when vacationing in		5	Let's see now. Eleven thousand (11,000)		
	6	the Southern United States. Plus, with the inclement		6	for the bike buyback, twenty-three thousand (23,000) to		
	7	weather during the off-season, which makes the		7	fix it for a total of thirty-four thousand dollars		
	8	likelihood of an accident increase greatly. Who		8	(\$34,000) just to get his bike back to the condition it		
	9	suffers? The rest of Manitoba motorcyclists who pay		9	was in before the accident. And after a drawn-out		
	10	for the claims that this other group incurs in the off-		10	battle, MPI paid my client twenty-six thousand dollars		
	11	season with next to nothing for premium revenue.		11	(\$26,000), shorting my client for eight thousand		
	12	The amount MPI charges during the off-		12	dollars (\$8,000).		
	13	season does not even cover the administration costs to		13	Well, I can see we have some of the best		
	14	insure these motorcycles. No wonder our motorcycle		14	coverage around. I agree that we have the best		
	15	insurance is the most expensive in North America with		15	coverage, but just try and collect it. I would like to		
	16	ill-thought-out policies such as this.		16	answer I I would like an answer to the		
	17	For several years, I have voiced my		17	methodology for how write-off values are calculated.		
	18	concerns over this flawed system to no aveal to no		18	MPI states clearly in one (1) of their		
	19	avail. MPI has to return to the accepted twelve (12)		19	brochures that all permanently attached equipment to a		
	20	month payment plan like the rest of the world. Why		20	vehicle is insured for loss in Canada and the United		
	21	should we subsidize the riders who ride out of the			····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	22	season? And if MPI returns to the old twelve (12)		22	have an accident or total loss, because all they will		
	23	month system, I hope they don't try and befoozle us		23	pay you is what they determine is the fair market value		
	24	with the numbers and rip us off as they did when they		24	of the vehicle with attached with the equipment		
	25	brought in this five (5) month payment plan.		25	attached.		
L							1

221

1				
	1	My position is still that MPI contravene	1	How does a Manitoba motorcy motorist
	2	the 15 percent rate shock ceiling when they introduce	2	insure their vehicle for the proper value in the added
	3	it, because a year prior a rider could cancel his or	3	equivalent by this methodology and the fact MPI is the
	4	her road insurance in October and not put the road	4	only insurer available as MPI has a monopoly? Either
	5	insurance back on until May 1st, receiving a refund and	5	MPI has to be fair and change the system or quit
	6	not having to pay until May, which would total almost	6	misleading Manitoba motorcy motorists and change the
	7	half of the year's insurance premium.	7	brochure.
	8	Compare that amount, about 50 percent of	8	My third concern is why the motorcycle
	9	the year's premium, with what riders pay for their	9	division does not receive the profits from the fire and
	10	year's insurance in five (5) months, is about one half	10	theft premiums earned for motorcyclists. This is
	11	(1/2). If close to 100 percent increase does not	11	unacceptable. These premiums are paid for by Manitoba
	12	constitute rate shock, what does?	12	motorcyclists and should go back to the motorcycle
	13	My second concern is the metha	13	division and lower insurance rates in Manitoba. We are
	14	methodology that MPI uses to determine the buyback	14	the only division where this is this applies. Why?
	15	value of motorcycles motorcycle that's written off.	15	Very unfair.
	16	I do arbitrations for individuals who do not receive	16	In closing, I do not understand what
	17	proper compensation from MPI. After a lengthy	17	"public" has to do with the Public Utility Board. It
	18	arbitration for a client that had to be settled to my	18	seems you have to be with MPI or lucky enough to be
	19	client's satisfaction by an umpire at further cost to	19	appointed to the Board or a lawyer to be involved in
	20	my client, and all my client wanted was to keep his	20	the Intervenor process of these hearings. What has
	21	bike and have it have it repaired.	21	happened to fairness and the democratic process?
	22	The mutual accepted value of the bike	22	I would like to thank the Board for the
	23	was twenty-six thousand dollars (\$26,000) before taxes.	23	opportunity to speak and and I ask to consider my
	24	The cost to repair the bike was twenty-three thousand	24	concerns. Thank you kindly.
	25	dollars (\$23,000). So, logically, MPI decided to write	25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Gray.
1				

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

	22 22	24
<ul> <li>Just a question. I in respect of the the write-</li> <li>off issue. And you went into this in some detail about</li> <li>the write-off of a bike and so on, I'm not I'm not</li> <li>following. I guess the the adjuster I guess your</li> <li>client wanted to buy back the bike</li> <li>MR. ROBYN GRAY: Yes.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: from MPI?</li> <li>MR. ROBYN GRAY: Yes, and they want</li> <li>eleven thousand dollars (\$11,000) for it. Yet the</li> <li>difference between the the what the agreed upon</li> <li>value with with myself and MPI was twenty-four</li> <li>thousand (24,000) and the repairs were twenty no,</li> <li>twenty-six thousand (26,000) and twenty-three thousand</li> <li>(23,000), which would mean that the wreck should be</li> <li>worth three thousand (3,000). But yet they want eleven</li> <li>thousand (11,000) for it.</li> <li>All he want all he wants to get is</li> <li>his motorcycle back running like it was prior to the</li> <li>accident which is impossible for what they're asking.</li> <li>You know, it's going to cost him eleven thousand</li> <li>dollars (\$11,000) to buy the bike and twenty-three</li> <li>thousand (23,000) to to get it fixed back to where</li> <li>it was, which is a total of thirty-four thousand</li> <li>(34,000), yet he only received twenty-six thousand</li> <li>(26,000).</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>money out of his pocket because he has to pay me for</li> <li>arbitration, just to get what's a fair value.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Now at the end you</li> <li>talk about the profits from fire and theft beingthis</li> <li>is the this is the extra coverage that motorcyclists</li> <li>obtain. And I guess what you're suggesting I want</li> <li>to make sure I understand is you're saying the profits</li> <li>from that should be allocated back to the motorcycle</li> <li>division?</li> <li>MR. ROBYN GRAY: Absolutely.</li> <li>Motorcyclists pay for the premium the premium</li> <li>revenue for that doesn't go back to the motorcycle</li> <li>division. It should. It's in that other SAR system</li> <li>that we have no control over or can't even see anything</li> <li>about it.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: And finally I just</li> <li>want to address the one of one of the last</li> <li>statements you made with respect to the issue of</li> <li>appearing before the Board and acting as an Intervenor,</li> <li>you don't have to be a lawyer to act as an Intervenor.</li> <li>MR. ROBYN GRAY: No, I I applied for</li> <li>Intervenor status this this year, and boy I MPI</li> <li>had a lot of reasons I shouldn't be, you know the</li> <li>decision not to grant you Intervenor status had nothing</li> </ol>	
	23 22	25
1THE CHAIRPERSON:Now, the oth you2also talk about the fact that the attached equipment to3a vehicle is insured for loss.And then you said that4the that all they will pay for is the value of the5attached equipment.So I so6MR. ROBYN GRAY:No, I I should have7wrote that a little better.And we ran into this8problem with the same one, is that all they will pay9you is what they feel is the fair market value.10when it comes to motorcycle that's a pretty grey area11because it's it's not like a car, you just don't go12to a book and see what the value is.14equipment to it.15You know my my client had the16investment in this motorcycle.17grand to build this thing.18the extra insurance on it.19(25) which was normal and he got he got the extra20five thousand (5,000), so it brought it up to thirty21thousand (30,000).23And and through arbitration I wasn't24getting any anywhere with their arbitrator so it had25to go to an umpire, at an added cost to my client, more	<ul> <li>1 to do with MPI; it was a decision that was taken by the</li> <li>2 panel</li> <li>3 MR. ROBYN GRAY: No, absolutely I I</li> <li>4 understand that. I was here. But their lawyer</li> <li>5 certainly made it pretty clear that I didn't belong</li> <li>6 here.</li> <li>7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Gray. Thank you for taking the time to commit</li> <li>9 those those views to paper. I think it makes it</li> <li>10 easier for us to understand your your comments and</li> <li>11 so on. So I thank you very much for taking the time to</li> <li>12 do that.</li> <li>13 MR. ROBYN GRAY: Thank you kindly.</li> <li>14 THE CHAIRPERSON: I now call upon Mr.</li> <li>15 Alcid DeLaurier, please. Welcome, Mr. DeLaurier.</li> <li>16</li> <li>17 PRESENTATION BY MR. ALCID DELAURIER:</li> <li>18 MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Welcome. Okay,</li> <li>19 I've been in about nine (9) car accidents and I haven't</li> <li>20 received a dime from Autopac.</li> <li>21 I got ran over on my bicycle with my</li> <li>22 dog. My dog got ran over. I flew over the car and</li> <li>23 like another car was pushing me up and down the</li> <li>24 Disraeli bridge, and he pushed me right into the car</li> <li>25 that ran me over for hitting me the first time. And I</li> </ul>	

		226			228
		220			220
	didn't receive nothing, no no benefits or nothing			you	
2	for that because they said that I wasn't working. I		2	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: That advocate is	
3	was in between jobs.		3	paid by MPI, most likely.	
4	And then a few accidents later, I was		4	THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't think so.	
5	working, suffering at work because of the injuries, and		5	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Well, I'd like to	
6	I got into another car accident in the work van. And I		6	get the phone number so I could give them a call, if	
	had the choice to go for Autopac to pay me or worker's			that's possible.	
8			8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. What I suggest	
9			-	we do is perhaps we could	
10	So I don't know, I just and I'm		10	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Oh, and and	
	suffering headaches and chest pains to this day.				
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: So when did these				
				over, pushed me into that car. I hit the my head.	
	accidents happen? What are we talking of some time			And there's a bumper wound here.	
	ago or?		14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, we're not in	
15	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Oh, I'm talking			the position to evaluate any of your injuries.	
	within a year and a half or thirteen (13) months, I had		16	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Wow.	
17			17	THE CHAIRPERSON: I think really that	
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know that they			belongs more with MPIC than it does with this	
19				particular panel. But I think what I would suggest to	
20	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: And I tried I		20	you is that you contact MPIC directly and ask them to	
21	tried to to get documentations of my accidents, but		21	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: And they put me	
22	that's all been washed away. So it seems like I'm		22	on hold. No, I can't	
23	getting screwed here.		23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.	
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Now		24	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: handle them.	
25	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I don't know		25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'll tell you	
		-			
	2	227			229
1	where to go.	227	1	what. I would suggest you call our office. And one of	229
1		227		what. I would suggest you call our office. And one of our staff will address your concerns directly and will	229
2	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have	227	2	our staff will address your concerns directly and will	229
2 3	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place	227	2 3	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the	229
2 3 4	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those	227	2 3 4	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you	229
2 3 4	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues?	227	2 3 4 5	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to	229
2 3 4 5 6	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get	227	2 3 4 5	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on.	229
2 3 4 5 6 7	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to	227	2 3 4 5 6 7	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me	227	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally.	227	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out.	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's	227	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have	227	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and -	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have you gone before that Board to talk about your concerns?	227	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have you gone before that Board to talk about your concerns? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No, because it's	227	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out.	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have you gone before that Board to talk about your concerns? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No, because it's no.	227	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have you gone before that Board to talk about your concerns? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No, because it's no. THE CHAIRPERSON: No, you haven't gone	227	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office?	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have you gone before that Board to talk about your concerns? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No, because it's no. THE CHAIRPERSON: No, you haven't gone before the Board or	227	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here.	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have you gone before that Board to talk about your concerns? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No, because it's no. THE CHAIRPERSON: No, you haven't gone before the Board or MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No.		$     \begin{array}{r}       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\       11 \\       12 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       16 \\       17 \\     \end{array} $	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where right here?	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have you gone before that Board to talk about your concerns? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No, because it's no. THE CHAIRPERSON: No, you haven't gone before the Board or MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No. THE CHAIRPERSON: you haven't tried		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\end{array}$	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where right here? THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I suggest you	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have you gone before that Board to talk about your concerns? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No, because it's no. THE CHAIRPERSON: No, you haven't gone before the Board or MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No. THE CHAIRPERSON: you haven't tried that avenue?		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19 \end{array}$	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where right here? THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I suggest you go to the front desk and ask the	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	where to go. THE CHAIRPERSON: have you have you I know that there are appeal mechanisms in place with MPIC. Now, I don't know, have you tried those avenues? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I tried to get money from them. And all they do is they go back to the first accident. And they they want to screw me over, literally. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, I know there's an Accident Appeal Board. And I was wondering, have you gone before that Board to talk about your concerns? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No, because it's no. THE CHAIRPERSON: No, you haven't gone before the Board or MR. ALCID DELAURIER: No. THE CHAIRPERSON: you haven't tried that avenue? MR. ALCID DELAURIER: I haven't		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where right here? THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I suggest you go to the front desk and ask the MR. ALCID DELAURIER: MPI?	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>where to go.</pre>		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 21 \end{array}$	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where right here? THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I suggest you go to the front desk and ask the MR. ALCID DELAURIER: MPI? THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no, our own	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>where to go.</pre>		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 22 \end{array}$	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where right here? THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I suggest you go to the front desk and ask the MR. ALCID DELAURIER: MPI? THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no, our own employee. And	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>where to go.</pre>		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 112 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where right here? THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I suggest you go to the front desk and ask the MR. ALCID DELAURIER: MPI? THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, our own employee. And MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Well, if I get a	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>where to go.</pre>		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 24 \end{array}$	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where right here? THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I suggest you go to the front desk and ask the MR. ALCID DELAURIER: MPI? THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, our own employee. And MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Well, if I get a card or something.	229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>where to go.</pre>		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 112 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	our staff will address your concerns directly and will be in a position to inform you about how to appeal the decisions that you got initially. And we can tell you where to go exactly and what numbers you can use to call them and so on. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Because I had my worker, and that worker got a promotion because she screwed me over. How do you like that? Check it out. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you I would suggest that the best thing is to call our office and - - or to come to our office and one of the staff will be in a position to help you out. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where's your office? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's right here. MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Where right here? THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I suggest you go to the front desk and ask the MR. ALCID DELAURIER: MPI? THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, our own employee. And MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Well, if I get a	229

Г

		230			232
1	follow up.		1	poor schmucks. My yearly licence is	
2	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Okay, sounds		2	twenty-two hundred dollars (\$2,200).	
3	good.		3	Respectively, Ed Toker."	
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.		4		
5	MR. ALCID DELAURIER: Thank you.		5	(BRIEF PAUSE)	
6			6		
7	(BRIEF PAUSE)		7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms.	
8			8	Grammond. I think that's it for the present	
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't think there's		9	presenters and any documents we want may want to	
10	anybody any other parties that would like to make a	·	10	read into the record so and I'll call on Ms.	
11	presentation. There is. Is there anybody else that	·	11	Kalinowsky to resume proceedings from this morning.	
12	want to offer a presentation?	·   ·	12		
13	MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: I don't think	·   ·		CONTINUED EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY KATHY	
14	there are any other presenters, Mr. Chairman. I do			KALINOWSKY:	
	have though a letter that was submitted to the Board		14	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you very	
	office that I'll read into the record. It's not long.			much. And when I left off this morning, I had asked	
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry.			that each of the Board members place in front of them	
18	MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: So this was			the 2012 DCAT report, the amended version.	
	submitted by a gentleman named Ed Toker, T-O-K-E-R.		18		
	It's addressed to the Board. It reads as follows:		19	(BRIEF PAUSE)	
21	"To whom this may concern. This is		20		
22	my presentation to the Board with		21	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for	
23	respect to MPI rates.			that. Now, Mr. Johnston, can you spend some time	
24	"Item 1. A couple of years ago MPI,			walking through the front part of the DCAT, namely the	
25	in my view, converted a \$2 million			introduction, the capital adequacy management, to assist the Board with their understanding of this	
		231			233
1		231	1	document?	233
1	property and gifted it to a private	231		document? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure, So beginning	233
2		231	2	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning	233
	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'.	231	2 3	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the	233
2 3	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system	231	2 3 4	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial	233
2 3 4	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you	231	2 3 4 5	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the	233
2 3 4 5	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system	231	2 3 4 5 6	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of	233
2 3 4 5 6	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a	231	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these	233
2 3 4 5 6 7	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars	231	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal		2 3 5 6 7 8 9	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation.	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report.	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a clear driving record for four (4) or		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which this DCAT is based on. And at the bottom of page 12	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a clear driving record for four (4) or five (5) years.	-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which this DCAT is based on. And at the bottom of page 12 you'll see the definition of satisfactory future	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a clear driving record for four (4) or five (5) years. "Item 3. Today, September 7th, 2012,		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which this DCAT is based on. And at the bottom of page 12 you'll see the definition of satisfactory future financial condition, which is the basis of my opinion	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a clear driving record for four (4) or five (5) years. "Item 3. Today, September 7th, 2012, I read that a semi caused a million	-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which this DCAT is based on. And at the bottom of page 12 you'll see the definition of satisfactory future financial condition, which is the basis of my opinion in this report. Since this is important I'll I'll	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a clear driving record for four (4) or five (5) years. "Item 3. Today, September 7th, 2012, I read that a semi caused a million dollar accident. Question: Does he		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which this DCAT is based on. And at the bottom of page 12 you'll see the definition of satisfactory future financial condition, which is the basis of my opinion in this report. Since this is important I'll I'll read that in, quote:	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a clear driving record for four (4) or five (5) years. "Item 3. Today, September 7th, 2012, I read that a semi caused a million dollar accident. Question: Does he still earn one (1) merit each year if		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 21 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which this DCAT is based on. And at the bottom of page 12 you'll see the definition of satisfactory future financial condition, which is the basis of my opinion in this report. Since this is important I'll I'll read that in, quote: "The Corporation's financial	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a clear driving record for four (4) or five (5) years. "Item 3. Today, September 7th, 2012, I read that a semi caused a million dollar accident. Question: Does he still earn one (1) merit each year if he/she has no accidents? Surely it		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22$	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which this DCAT is based on. And at the bottom of page 12 you'll see the definition of satisfactory future financial condition, which is the basis of my opinion in this report. Since this is important I'll I'll read that in, quote: "The Corporation's financial condition is satisfactory if	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a clear driving record for four (4) or five (5) years. "Item 3. Today, September 7th, 2012, I read that a semi caused a million dollar accident. Question: Does he still earn one (1) merit each year if he/she has no accidents? Surely it is time to revisit this		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 112 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which this DCAT is based on. And at the bottom of page 12 you'll see the definition of satisfactory future financial condition, which is the basis of my opinion in this report. Since this is important I'll I'll read that in, quote: "The Corporation's financial condition is satisfactory if throughout the forecast period it is	233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	property and gifted it to a private entity. This is called 'conversion'. "Item 2. The merit/demerit system smacks of discrimination. Why, you say? In 2010 I was involved in a fender-bender, nine hundred dollars (\$900) total damage. I was deemed at fault. I went the entire appeal process, but still I was held responsible. The evidence favoured the other side. He lied at every hearing, but that's not the issue today. In order to re-establish my fifteen (15) merits I must have a clear driving record for four (4) or five (5) years. "Item 3. Today, September 7th, 2012, I read that a semi caused a million dollar accident. Question: Does he still earn one (1) merit each year if he/she has no accidents? Surely it		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22$	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sure. So beginning on page 9 at the top, you'll see the role of the appointed actuary. And this is as defined by actuarial standards of practice. You'll also see the purpose of the of the DCAT. And I'm not going to read all these in, I'm just I'll just run through them. The the scope of the report and as it explains here at we review all the key risks of the Corporation. Turning the page you'll see the on page 10 you'll see the DCAT process described. And in the method section you'll see all the areas and expertise that's utilized to produce the DCAT report. Moving to page 12, you'll see the the top section, the Board's capital requirements, which this DCAT is based on. And at the bottom of page 12 you'll see the definition of satisfactory future financial condition, which is the basis of my opinion in this report. Since this is important I'll I'll read that in, quote: "The Corporation's financial condition is satisfactory if	233

1	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: In this case, the	1	"The High Loss Ratio Scenario." And here we're
2	base scenario is actually identical to this the	2	essentially testing an extremely poor claims year. So
3	financial statements presented in the GRA. There are	3	we obviously have a very significant amount of claims
4	special cases where this would not be true, such as if	4	data, and we can build fairly sophisticated models
5	there was a significant event that occurred in between	5	based on the hundreds of thousands of claims that we
6	when we filed the GRA and the DCAT was produced. But	6	receive every year.
7	that's not the case this year.	7	The scenario here is testing what the
8	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And then moving	8	one (1) in a hundred (100) year claims experience would
9	on through the report, the bulk of the report is taken	9	look like. So imagine a say a very bad winter
10	up by the adverse scenarios.	10	combined with a large, high number of serious losses.
11	Could you explain what the adverse	11	If you there's a a lot of detail
12	scenarios are, not going through each and every one of	12	on twenty page 26, 27, 28. But if you if you
13	them but going through those that are the most	13	move to page 29, you'll in a similar-looking table
14	important?	14	as we just looked at, you'll see in '14/'15, the impact
15	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Okay. Starting on	15	of this scenario is \$83 million. So significant but
16	page 19, you'll see a scenario called, "Decline in	16	nowhere close to the \$200 million we just showed on
17	equity markets." This scenario will test the impact of	17	showed you on the equity decline.
18	a 40 percent decline recognized over the next two (2)	18	I apologize for the flipping back and
19	fiscal years, beginning in '13/'14, and '14 and '15.	19	forth, but if you can all turn to page 21. The page 21
20	The justification for this scenario is	20	shows a combined scenario, and here we're looking at a
21	that we looked at the cumulative four (4) year returns	21	combination of poor but not extreme results from a
22	on the Toronto Stock Exchange, the TSX, from 1919 to	22	combination of risk factors; so decline in equities, an
23	present. And based on this data, approximately 5	23	increase in claims, a hailstorm. We assume that all
24	percent of these four (4) year returns had a loss of	24	these things are independent. We we don't believe
25	negative 43 percent or worse. We use this as	25	that equities change based on the number of hailstorms

	2	38		240
1	there are or things like that.		MPI Basic to fall below the PUB's target capital or RSR	
2			2 requirement are the decline in equities and the	
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4		4		
5				
6				
8				
9			DCAT, and that opinion is located at page 4 of the	
	And in the table on page 22 in the year		DCAT, and that opinion is located at page 4 of the	
	'14/'15, you'll see that this causes \$195 million			
11	6	11		
	important to note here that the the magnitude and		2 Board members get to that as that part of the DCAT	
13			and how it was derived?	
14		14		
15		15	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
16		16		
17	,	17		
18	, i		3 under the summary of findings, you'll see in the first	
19	Moving on to page 30. This is the large	19		
20			accordance with accepted ac actuarial practice as of	
21	modelling the impact of of a \$300 million hailstorm		the end of last fiscal year, February 29th, 2012, and	
22			2 that I've analyzed the series of plausible scenarios	
23	5		over a what I deemed a reasonable forecast period	
	in Dauphin that caused 55 to \$60 million of damage. So		for the Corporation of five (5) years.	
25	it's not unreasonable to think if such a hailstorm hit	25	5 The third paragraph indicates that, you	
	2	39		241
		39		241
1	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could	1	know, I've I've considered internal and external	241
2	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions.	1	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant	241
2 3	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases	1	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report.	241
2 3 4	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up	1 2 3 4	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the	241
2 3 4 5	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you	1 2 3 4 5	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition	241
2 3 4 5 6	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom	1 2 3 4 5 6	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are	241
2 3 4 5 6 7	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9	<ul> <li>know, I've I've considered internal and external</li> <li>conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant</li> <li>assumptions are in this report.</li> <li>Most importantly, the opinion in the</li> <li>last paragraph is that the future financial condition</li> <li>of Basic is not satisfactory because there are</li> <li>plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions</li> <li>to retained earnings that are greater than the</li> <li>Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization</li> </ul>	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve.	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of practice, and there's other ones that I I feel are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have that would occur to RSR is greater than our current RSR	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of practice, and there's other ones that I I feel are appropriate to MPI. They're all provided there for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have that would occur to RSR is greater than our current RSR target, which is shown as approximately 162 million in	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of practice, and there's other ones that I I feel are appropriate to MPI. They're all provided there for you. And we've done or attempted to do an assessment	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have that would occur to RSR is greater than our current RSR target, which is shown as approximately 162 million in 2013/'14. And that's on that's on page 12.	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of practice, and there's other ones that I I feel are appropriate to MPI. They're all provided there for you. And we've done or attempted to do an assessment of of all key risk factors, even in some cases where	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have that would occur to RSR is greater than our current RSR target, which is shown as approximately 162 million in 2013/'14. And that's on that's on page 12. So in this report, I didn't assume that	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of practice, and there's other ones that I I feel are appropriate to MPI. They're all provided there for you. And we've done or attempted to do an assessment of of all key risk factors, even in some cases where we don't really have much data to do that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have that would occur to RSR is greater than our current RSR target, which is shown as approximately 162 million in 2013/'14. And that's on that's on page 12. So in this report, I didn't assume that even though MPI has more than \$200 million of	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of practice, and there's other ones that I I feel are appropriate to MPI. They're all provided there for you. And we've done or attempted to do an assessment of of all key risk factors, even in some cases where we don't really have much data to do that. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have that would occur to RSR is greater than our current RSR target, which is shown as approximately 162 million in 2013/'14. And that's on that's on page 12. So in this report, I didn't assume that even though MPI has more than \$200 million of retained earnings right now, I didn't feel it	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of practice, and there's other ones that I I feel are appropriate to MPI. They're all provided there for you. And we've done or attempted to do an assessment of of all key risk factors, even in some cases where we don't really have much data to do that. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for that explanation. Since it does form such a key and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have that would occur to RSR is greater than our current RSR target, which is shown as approximately 162 million in 2013/'14. And that's on that's on page 12. So in this report, I didn't assume that even though MPI has more than \$200 million of retained earnings right now, I didn't feel it appropriate to assume that MPI would continue to have	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of practice, and there's other ones that I I feel are appropriate to MPI. They're all provided there for you. And we've done or attempted to do an assessment of of all key risk factors, even in some cases where we don't really have much data to do that. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for that explanation. Since it does form such a key and crucial aspect of the decision that the Board would be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have that would occur to RSR is greater than our current RSR target, which is shown as approximately 162 million in 2013/'14. And that's on that's on page 12. So in this report, I didn't assume that even though MPI has more than \$200 million of retained earnings right now, I didn't feel it appropriate to assume that MPI would continue to have that money, given that the existing RSR maximum is	241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Winnipeg, that the the magnitude could could approach the hundreds of millions. That said, the Corporation purchases very significant levels of catastrophe reinsurance up to a maximum coverage of \$300 million. So when you turn to page 34, you'll see on the table at the bottom that net of the Corporation's reinsurance protection, the impact of a storm of that magnitude is about \$82 million; so again, significant but well within the confines of the upper RSR limit that we have today. On page 36 and after there are a bunch of other risk factors listed. And there are risk factors that I must test under actuarial standards of practice, and there's other ones that I I feel are appropriate to MPI. They're all provided there for you. And we've done or attempted to do an assessment of of all key risk factors, even in some cases where we don't really have much data to do that. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for that explanation. Since it does form such a key and crucial aspect of the decision that the Board would be making, I thought that was important for Mr. Johnston	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, I've I've considered internal and external conditions relevant to MPI and the most significant assumptions are in this report. Most importantly, the opinion in the last paragraph is that the future financial condition of Basic is not satisfactory because there are plausible adverse scenarios that result in reductions to retained earnings that are greater than the Regulator's maximum allowable rate stabilization reserve. So what I mean here is that as as we've looked at earlier there's there's at least a couple scenarios that the reductions that would have that would occur to RSR is greater than our current RSR target, which is shown as approximately 162 million in 2013/'14. And that's on that's on page 12. So in this report, I didn't assume that even though MPI has more than \$200 million of retained earnings right now, I didn't feel it appropriate to assume that MPI would continue to have	241

24 than the upper limit would be rebated.

25 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you. Now,

But at the end of the day, Mr. Johnston,

25 in the DCAT, the two (2) adverse scenarios that cause

	242			244
				277
	on page 8 of the DCAT there's a list of	1	The Corporation has made four (4)	
	recommendations. Could you draw the Board's attention		recommendations on the methodology of calculating the	
	to several of those recommendations that you considered		RSR. I'm going to ask you to explain those. And in	
	to be more important for the purposes of rate setting		explaining those, I do note for the Board's	
_	here?			
6	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, I think I	6		
	think it's important for the Board to understand the		but the preceding papers before the DCAT in AI-11;	
	two (2) key recommendations, which are the first and	8		
_	second.	9	MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Thank you. The	
10	The first recommendation is that the	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Board set the minimum RSR target at 200 million for	11		
	Basic. And this minimum level of RSR, as of February	12		
	29th, 2012, would result in satisfactory financial	13	- · · · · ·	
	condition for Basic. And I'm sorry, this is on page 8	14	The above analysis and supporting	
	of the DCAT report. My apologies.	15	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16	So again, now that's now that you're		do so, to adopt the DCAT as this as the method for	
	there, the so, yes, the recommendation is to set a	17	5	
	minimum RSR of 200 million. This will keep retained	18		
	earnings positive under all the adverse scenarios	19		
	tested in this report.		risk factors as it manages the basic compulsory	
21	Second recommendation states:	21		
22	"If the upper RSR target is not		related related to the Corporation's Basic insurance	
23	changed by the Public Utilities		risk level and directly responsive to the purpose of	
24	Board, management should advise the	24		
25	Minister of Finance that the	25	The second recommendation is that PUB	
	24:			245
				240
1	Corporation's current exposure to		continue to monitor the Basic insurance MCT, Minimum	
2	equity assets is significantly		Capital Test, score and the RSR targets established by	
3	greater than the amount of protection	-	other Crown insure auto insurers on the basis that	
4	pro provided by the Public	4	it's an industry standard approach used by other	
5	Utilities Board Board's upper RSR	5	similar entities, being other automobile public	
6	target."			
7	So what this is essentially saying is	7	We're recommending that the PUB	
	that the Corporation has a particular risk profile	8	discontinue monitoring the results obtained through the	
	based on the level of equities that that we're	9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	holding. The DCAT recommends that for satisfactory	10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	financial condition, we should have at least \$200	11	5 11	
	million in capital.	12	<b>,</b>	
13	If that's not if the if MPI can't		no one in these proceedings really has any amount of	
	get that level of capital, then the next alternative	114	confidence in the output from that methodology being	
		1	would up into woll over 200 million dellars of of	
16	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the		well up into well over 200 million dollars of of	
1	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the level of capital that it's permitted to hold, that	16	required RSR based on that approach.	
	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the level of capital that it's permitted to hold, that being the the 162 million approximately that the RSR	16 17	required RSR based on that approach. And finally, that the PUB discontinue	
18	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the level of capital that it's permitted to hold, that being the the 162 million approximately that the RSR target would be next year.	16 17 18	required RSR based on that approach. And finally, that the PUB discontinue monitoring the results obtained through the percentage	
18 19	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the level of capital that it's permitted to hold, that being the the 162 million approximately that the RSR target would be next year. There the remaining recommendations	16 17 18 19	required RSR based on that approach. And finally, that the PUB discontinue monitoring the results obtained through the percentage of premium approach. Again, we've documented the	
18 19 20	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the level of capital that it's permitted to hold, that being the the 162 million approximately that the RSR target would be next year. There the remaining recommendations are also important, but I'll I'll leave those for	16 17 18 19 20	required RSR based on that approach. And finally, that the PUB discontinue monitoring the results obtained through the percentage of premium approach. Again, we've documented the shortcomings of that approach. We believe it is simply	
18 19 20 21	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the level of capital that it's permitted to hold, that being the the 162 million approximately that the RSR target would be next year. There the remaining recommendations are also important, but I'll I'll leave those for the Board to review.	16 17 18 19 20 21	required RSR based on that approach. And finally, that the PUB discontinue monitoring the results obtained through the percentage of premium approach. Again, we've documented the shortcomings of that approach. We believe it is simply not at all related to the risks that we are attempting	
18 19 20 21 22	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the level of capital that it's permitted to hold, that being the the 162 million approximately that the RSR target would be next year. There the remaining recommendations are also important, but I'll I'll leave those for the Board to review. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	required RSR based on that approach. And finally, that the PUB discontinue monitoring the results obtained through the percentage of premium approach. Again, we've documented the shortcomings of that approach. We believe it is simply not at all related to the risks that we are attempting to manage for the Basic insurance program.	
18 1 19 20 2 21 1 22 23 1	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the level of capital that it's permitted to hold, that being the the 162 million approximately that the RSR target would be next year. There the remaining recommendations are also important, but I'll I'll leave those for the Board to review. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for that. And I'll give you a little bit of a break now,	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	required RSR based on that approach. And finally, that the PUB discontinue monitoring the results obtained through the percentage of premium approach. Again, we've documented the shortcomings of that approach. We believe it is simply not at all related to the risks that we are attempting to manage for the Basic insurance program. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you. And,	
18 1 19 20 2 21 1 22 23 1 24	would be to try to adjust its risk profile down to the level of capital that it's permitted to hold, that being the the 162 million approximately that the RSR target would be next year. There the remaining recommendations are also important, but I'll I'll leave those for the Board to review. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	required RSR based on that approach. And finally, that the PUB discontinue monitoring the results obtained through the percentage of premium approach. Again, we've documented the shortcomings of that approach. We believe it is simply not at all related to the risks that we are attempting to manage for the Basic insurance program.	

	246	2	248
1 was filed and the new DCAT number yielded	d was \$200 1	calculations of the financial model. But rather, I	
2 million.	2	rely on the accounting department to run the requested	
3 Can you explain the error and what	at 3	scenarios accurately.	
4 necessitated a new DCAT being filed?	4	In this particular case, the amounts	
5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: If you tu	-	produced were relatively close to my expectations, and	
6 3 of the amended DCAT, page 3 indicates th		I accepted the results as reasonable. It wasn't until	
7 DCAT. Sorry, are you there? Yeah?		more detailed information was requested in the IR	
		process that we discovered an error in the	
9 (BRIEF PAUSE)		calculations.	
	10	So based on the above, I see two (2) main reasons for the error. One, there is a	
11 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yeah. C		misunderstanding of how to apply the adverse scenarios	
12 Thanks. An amended DCAT was produced was		in the financial model; and two, there's a lack of	
13 a calculation error discovered in the adverse		detailed financial output, which could be used to	
14 involving equity returns.		review the cor that correct modelling assumptions	
15 After correcting the error, the decli		were utilized.	
16 in equity market scenario resulted in a DCA		Both of these issues relate to the	
17 requirement of 200 million instead of the 190		ability of the existing financial model to handle the	
18 from the previous version. Because the error		more complex modelling scenarios such as those produced	t
19 greater than the stated standard of materiali	ity in the 20	by the DCAT or stochastic modelling.	
20 report, I was required to provide an amende	ed report to 21	Despite the short the stated	
21 the Corporation's board of directors and to t		shortcomings of the the financial model, I would	
22 I should note that if a less-significa		like to make it very clear to the Board that they	
23 error was discovered, say a million-dollar er		should have no concerns with the accuracy of the	
24 Board would still be notified of the error, but	I would 25	Corporation's financial model for the base forecast in	
25 likely not produce a new report.			
	247	2	249
1 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So t	here was an 1	this GRA.	249
2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo	here was an 1 pard be 2	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific	249
<ul><li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo</li><li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li></ul>	here was an 1 pard be 2 ended DCAT, 3	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma	249
<ul><li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo</li><li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li><li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li></ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these	249
<ul><li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo</li><li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li><li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li></ul>	here was an 1 board be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first d</li> </ul>	here was an 1 board be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bot</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo 3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 11	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developm</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 11 ment. For 12	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Box</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developr</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> </ul>	here was an 1 oard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 11 ment. For 12 a 40 percent 13	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bo</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first d</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developm</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 nent. For 12 n a 40 percent 13	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews.	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bot</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developer</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 nent. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 14 d 15	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Bot</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain en</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developr</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> <li>16 adverse scenarios, the detailed assumption</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 11 ment. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 14 d 15 s of each 16	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included some more detailed reconciliation of the investment	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Box</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developr</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> <li>16 adverse scenarios, the detailed assumption</li> <li>17 scenario are provided to the accounting dep</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 11 ment. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 14 d 15 s of each 16 bartment for 17	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included some more detailed reconciliation of the investment impacts, which we believe should be included but	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Box</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first d</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developr</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> <li>16 adverse scenarios, the detailed assumptions</li> <li>17 scenario are provided to the accounting dep</li> </ul>	here was an 1 oard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 11 ment. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 14 d 15 s of each 16 oartment for 17 lel. This 18	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included some more detailed reconciliation of the investment	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Box</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developr</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> <li>16 adverse scenarios, the detailed assumptions</li> <li>17 scenario are provided to the accounting dep</li> <li>18 modelling in the Corporation's financial mode</li> </ul>	here was an 1 oard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 n 11 ment. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 14 d 15 s of each 16 oartment for 17 lel. This 18 tions from 19	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included some more detailed reconciliation of the investment impacts, which we believe should be included but improved upon in future DCAT reports.	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Box</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first d</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developr</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> <li>16 adverse scenarios, the detailed assumption</li> <li>17 scenario are provided to the accounting dep</li> <li>18 modelling in the Corporation's financial mod</li> <li>19 step is vital because it converts the assumption</li> </ul>	here was an 1 board be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 nent. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 d 15 s of each 16 partment for 17 lel. This 18 tions from 19 nents which 20	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included some more detailed reconciliation of the investment impacts, which we believe should be included but improved upon in future DCAT reports. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: To calculate the	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Box</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developm</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> <li>16 adverse scenarios, the detailed assumption</li> <li>17 scenario are provided to the accounting dep</li> <li>18 modelling in the Corporation's financial mod</li> <li>19 step is vital because it converts the assump</li> <li>20 the scenarios into pro forma financial statem</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 11 ment. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 14 d 15 s of each 16 bartment for 17 lel. This 18 tions from 19 ments which 20 e forecast. 21 provides 22	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included some more detailed reconciliation of the investment impacts, which we believe should be included but improved upon in future DCAT reports. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: To calculate the percentage of premiums written is an (sic) extremely	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Box</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developr</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> <li>16 adverse scenarios, the detailed assumptions</li> <li>17 scenario are provided to the accounting dep</li> <li>18 modelling in the Corporation's financial mod</li> <li>19 step is vital because it converts the assump</li> <li>20 the scenarios into pro forma financial statem</li> <li>21 include all aspects of the Corporation's base</li> <li>22 The accounting department then p</li> <li>23 me with the financial output, which I review for</li> </ul>	here was an 1 bard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 ment. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 to a 40 percent 13 to a 40 percent 13 to s of each 16 bartment for 17 lel. This 18 tions from 19 ments which 20 e forecast. 21 provides 22 for 23	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included some more detailed reconciliation of the investment impacts, which we believe should be included but improved upon in future DCAT reports. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: To calculate the percentage of premiums written is an (sic) extremely simple and transparent. Why shouldn't the PUB stick to this approach to calculate the RSR? And in the context of the RSR, can you	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Box</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first d</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developm</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> <li>16 adverse scenarios, the detailed assumption</li> <li>17 scenario are provided to the accounting dep</li> <li>18 modelling in the Corporation's financial mod</li> <li>19 step is vital because it converts the assump</li> <li>20 the scenarios into pro forma financial statem</li> <li>21 include all aspects of the Corporation's base</li> <li>22 The accounting department then p</li> <li>23 me with the financial output, which I review fi</li> </ul>	here was an 1 oard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 ment. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 14 d 15 s of each 16 oartment for 17 lel. This 18 tions from 19 nents which 20 e forecast. 21 provides 22 for 23 ations. I 24	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included some more detailed reconciliation of the investment impacts, which we believe should be included but improved upon in future DCAT reports. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: To calculate the percentage of premiums written is an (sic) extremely simple and transparent. Why shouldn't the PUB stick to this approach to calculate the RSR? And in the context of the RSR, can you explain whether there is a relationship between premium	249
<ul> <li>2 error in the first DCAT filed. How can the Box</li> <li>3 assured on a go-forward basis that this ame</li> <li>4 or indeed the next DCAT, will not contain error</li> <li>5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: To answ</li> <li>6 question, I'd actually like to step back and d</li> <li>7 the DCAT process in a little bit more detail.</li> <li>8 adverse scenarios from the DCAT are first of</li> <li>9 myself and and various subject matter exp</li> <li>10 Corporation.</li> <li>11 The approximate impacts of these</li> <li>12 scenarios are known at the time of developr</li> <li>13 example, we know approximately how much</li> <li>14 equity decline would would be.</li> <li>15 Once we arrive at a set of selected</li> <li>16 adverse scenarios, the detailed assumptions</li> <li>17 scenario are provided to the accounting dep</li> <li>18 modelling in the Corporation's financial mod</li> <li>19 step is vital because it converts the assump</li> <li>20 the scenarios into pro forma financial statem</li> <li>21 include all aspects of the Corporation's base</li> <li>22 The accounting department then p</li> <li>23 me with the financial output, which I review for</li> </ul>	here was an 1 oard be 2 ended DCAT, 3 rors? 4 ver this 5 escribe 6 The 7 developed by 8 perts in the 9 10 ment. For 12 n a 40 percent 13 14 d 15 s of each 16 oartment for 17 lel. This 18 tions from 19 nents which 20 e forecast. 21 provides 22 for 23 ations. I 24	this GRA. The model was designed for a specific purpose, that being to provide the basic pro-forma financial statements for this Application. The Board can be confident the financial model performs these processes exceptionally well and the Corporation's base forecast is accurate. To ensure that future DCAT reports do not contain errors, the Corporation will be making improvements to the financial model to allow the more complex calculations and detailed output required from the DCAT process. The Corporation also intends to provide the Board with more detailed documentation and reconciliation of the output in future reviews. The amended DCAT report has included some more detailed reconciliation of the investment impacts, which we believe should be included but improved upon in future DCAT reports. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: To calculate the percentage of premiums written is an (sic) extremely simple and transparent. Why shouldn't the PUB stick to this approach to calculate the RSR? And in the context of the RSR, can you	249

	25	0		252
3 4 5 6 7	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: You're correc you're correct that the the percentage of premium method is definitely simple. It will produce consistent outcomes, and it's very easy to apply. Out of all the RSR methodologies, it's definitely the most simple, consistent, and easy to apply. However, when it comes to establishing a Rate Stabilization Reserve, I don't necessarily see these these features as benefits. I believe, at a minimum, an appropriate RSR methodology would have the following features: 1) It would include a detailed	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the DCAT models are definitely not just a reflection of</li> <li>history. For example, the like the the risk</li> <li>analysis approach is simply taking the history,</li> <li>calculating the average standard deviation, assuming</li> <li>that's going to occur in the future. This is clearly</li> <li>not what we're doing in the DCAT.</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>2) It would provide the Board with an understanding of the key risks and the potential range of outcomes for these risks.</li> <li>3) It would explain to the Board and the public why the corporation needs an RSR at a particular level. So in the case of the DCAT this year, equities</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>whether that could yield a different number?</li> <li>MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, I I would</li> <li>agree that the the DCAT requires that I provide my</li> <li>professional judgment in the design of the adverse</li> <li>scenarios. And it is true that someone else, including</li> <li>other actuaries, could have different judgments and</li> <li>yield a different number.</li> </ul>	
23 24	target for changes in the Corporation's risk level. In my opinion, the percentage of premium method does not have any of these features, and these are essentially the main reasons why the Corporation	23 24	<ul> <li>because I believe, in my professional opinion, they're</li> <li>the most appropriate. That said, I believe the</li> <li>Corporation and this Board have very strong processes</li> <li>in place that ensure the potential range of results</li> </ul>	
	25	1		253
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	percentage of premium method for setting the Corporation's RSR targets. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, the DCAT is a forward-looking tool that measures risk, but it relies on historical information. Can you explain how this seeming dichotomy works? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes. The the DCAT basically creates statistical models to simulate the Corporation's key risk factors. Where possible, these models are, of course, built based on historical experience. And this would require that the historical information is available and relevant to the analysis. And when I say "relevant," as an example, consider the auto-theft data before the Corporation's Immobilizer Incentive ini initiative. Clearly, the theft data prior to that program is no longer relevant to today's forecast. Also when using historical data, it's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ol> <li>1) The DCAT report is prepared based on actuarial standards of practice. Therefore, my</li> <li>judgments are confined by accepted actuarial practice.</li> <li>2) The DCAT report is peer reviewed by</li> <li>our appointed actuary, which provides independent</li> <li>third-party actuarial review.</li> <li>3) The DCAT report is reviewed and</li> <li>critiqued by the Board, which provides independent</li> <li>third-party review from a regulatory perspective and</li> <li>independent third-party review by actuarial and non- actuarial experts.</li> <li>4) The key risk risk factors in the</li> <li>DCAT are strongly supported by historical data, as</li> <li>we've discussed previously. This should limit</li> <li>significant changes in assumptions.</li> <li>And, finally, the report is prepared</li> <li>internally, which improves transparency of the process and provides improved understanding for the Board and</li> </ol>	

	254		256
<ul> <li>1 in this report, I definitely realize that my actuarial</li> <li>2 judgments are not the only possible judgments.</li> <li>3 And if refinements are brought forward</li> <li>4 that can improve the DCAT report, I will, and have,</li> <li>5 incorporate these changes into the analysis as long as</li> <li>6 the changes follow accepted actuarial practice.</li> <li>7 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Ms. McLaren,</li> <li>8 over to you. In your pre-filed testimony you stated</li> <li>9 that the DCAT methodology lends itself to a consensual</li> <li>10 process of adverse event identification that can then</li> <li>11 be modelled and reported.</li> <li>12 What's meant by that?</li> <li>13 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: I think what I</li> <li>14 meant by that are really Mr. Johnston's last comments.</li> <li>15 You know, it is a process that has strong actuarial</li> <li>16 principles associated with it. He is obligated to have</li> <li>17 our external-appointed actuary review the document.</li> <li>18 But it also really, truly lends itself very well to</li> <li>19 input and consultations from the PUB and from</li> <li>20 Intervenors, separate from the obligation to create</li> <li>21 as the Corporation's chief actuary he has an obligation</li> <li>22 to create a DCAT according to actuarial standards.</li> <li>23 But that doesn't mean that we could not</li> <li>24 run alternate scenarios based on the Board's</li> <li>25 perspectives, based on requests from Intervenors. So I</li> </ul>		<ol> <li>scenarios. However it would likely be unreasonable to</li> <li>produce an entirely new DCAT report based on the</li> <li>discussions we're having at the hearings right right</li> <li>now.</li> <li>So any any changes requested by the</li> <li>Board at a particular year's hearings could be</li> <li>incorporated in the followating following year's</li> <li>DCAT report. And again, these would have to be within</li> <li>the accepted act accepted actuarial practice.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Could you</li> <li>characterize this DCAT as being a made-in-Manitoba</li> <li>solution?</li> <li>MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, I would</li> <li>absolutely say that the DCAT is a made-in-Manitoba</li> <li>solution. The DCAT identifies and assess the key risks</li> <li>of MPI, the specifics of the Basic compulsory program,</li> <li>and the management and regulatory environment in thi</li> <li>province.</li> <li>Of the RSR mess methods discussed at</li> <li>this hearing it is the only method that truly</li> <li>identifies the risks faced by MPI and produces a target</li> <li>that is directly related to the purpose of the RSR.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Is there a peer</li> <li>review aspect to the DCAT? And, if so, why is this</li> </ol>	5
	255		257
<ul> <li>1 think we have an approach here that really does lend</li> <li>2 itself to trying to achieve some reasonable consensus</li> <li>3 about what the risks are that we all are trying to</li> <li>4 protect Manitoba auto insurance ratepayers from with</li> <li>5 the RSR.</li> <li>6 It has such a direct link to the RSR</li> <li>7 purpose itself. And I think it holds out the most</li> <li>8 promise that that I've seen in the many years that</li> <li>9 we've been talking about this, of truly trying to seek</li> <li>10 Mr. Johnston will always have his</li> <li>12 actuarial standards that he must comply with. But</li> <li>13 but in addition and separate from that, we have an</li> <li>14 opportunity to really talk in terms of plausible</li> <li>15 adverse scenarios for the Basic Autopac program, I</li> <li>16 think that works for us.</li> <li>17 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And, Mr.</li> <li>18 Johnston, ultimately if the PUB and Intervenors request</li> <li>19 you to modify assumptions or inputs of historical data,</li> <li>20 can you run a new DCAT?</li> <li>21 If so, then what parameters can you set</li> <li>22 on the varied assumptions?</li> <li>23 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yeah, basically</li> <li>24 echoing the comments we just heard, I can definitely</li> <li>25 provide the Board with alternate versions of adverse</li> </ul>		1       MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, there is a         2       peer review of the DCAT and the results of that peer         3       review should be available during these hearings.         4       As you've heard we brought the DCAT in         5       house several years ago. And at that time we also         6       decided to have the DCAT reviewed the internal DCA         7       reviewed by our appointed actuary. And we really we         8       really think that this approach provides the best of         9       both worlds.         10       We bring the DCAT in-house and that         11       implu improves transparency and the this         12       consensual modelling process to take place. And at the         13       same time we continue to have that third-party review         14       by our appointed actuary.         15       MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: In your opinion,         16       Mr. Johnston, the future financial condition of Basic         17       is not satisfactory.         18       Why is that and how can this change?         19       MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: As previously         20       discussed, in in my opinion, Basic was given a not         21       satisfactory opinion because there are adverse         22       scena	e

2	58 260
<ul> <li>1 must obviously have that much in retained earnings. So</li> <li>2 if the if the Board says 200 million, but MPI only</li> <li>3 has 150 million, well, we we still wouldn't have a</li> <li>4 satisfactory financial condition.</li> <li>5 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Ms. McLaren, in</li> <li>6 your pre-filed testimony you state that you leave it to</li> <li>7 the PUB's discretion in the matter as to whether the</li> <li>8 range around the \$200 million ought to be established.</li> <li>9 Do you have any particular</li> <li>10 recommendations to the Board as to the range or the</li> <li>11 size of the range that could be established?</li> <li>12 MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Yes, I guess, two</li> <li>13 (2) points I would make about that at this point is is</li> <li>14 I think some of the language that I used in the pre-</li> <li>15 filed testimony and that and that we've used around</li> <li>16 this issue of the target or a range has been a little</li> <li>17 bit maybe right to the point of non-specific enough,</li> <li>18 almost a little bit sloppy.</li> <li>19 I think it's it's my job to make the</li> <li>20 point that according to actuarial standards the minimum</li> <li>21 target really does need to be \$200 million according to</li> <li>22 the DCAT that's in front of this Board right now.</li> <li>23 So for example, if the Board chose to</li> <li>24 have a range around two hundred (200), of one seventy</li> <li>25 (170) to two-thirty (230), something like that, when</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 year.</li> <li>2 The beauty of the system since 1988 is</li> <li>3 every fall we find ourselves here together and we have</li> <li>4 comparisons as to what we thought would happen versus</li> <li>5 what actually did happen. So if you see that there's a</li> <li>6 positive outlook in the two (2) to three (3) years down</li> <li>7 the road and that last year or this year we have a</li> <li>8 more positive outlook than we did a year ago, you'd</li> <li>9 make a very different decision about ordering a a</li> <li>10 rebate or ordering a surcharge on Basic premiums than</li> <li>11 if the alternative was true.</li> <li>12 So we believe it's a very situational</li> <li>13 circumstance. That there are a number of things, you</li> <li>14 know, related to how has the plan performed in relation</li> <li>15 to its forecasts, what does the outlook look like, and</li> <li>16 are our expectations improving or deteriorating that</li> <li>17 would really lead you to a decision: Is it time to</li> <li>18 really pull the trigger and order a surcharge or is</li> <li>19 there more in this fund than ratepayers truly need for</li> <li>20 stability and then order a rebate?</li> <li>21 That's that's what the target is all</li> <li>22 about. When do you order a rebate, when do you order a</li> <li>23 surcharge? And we think tying the the number to the</li> <li>24 result produced by the DCAT is an appropriate way to</li> <li>25 go, because when it comes to make that decision,</li> </ul>
2	59 261
<ul> <li>we're sitting around one-seventy (170), one-eighty</li> <li>(180), that would not meet the actuarial test of</li> <li>satisfactory financial condition because it would be</li> <li>significantly under the the 200 million.</li> <li>My my advice is really that the Board</li> <li>would stay away from a range. The target is based on a</li> <li>methodology that produces a number as opposed to a</li> <li>range, and I think it's really important that that</li> <li>we all understand that, you know, things evolve, things</li> <li>change, and and what is the target for? What would</li> <li>it range before? The target is really to give this</li> <li>Board a sense as to when it would pull the trigger on</li> <li>rebates and, alternatively, when it would pull the</li> <li>trigger to order surcharges on top of basic Autopac</li> <li>premiums to re-build an RSR.</li> <li>And that is a very, very situational</li> <li>circumstance. Some of the things that that we</li> <li>identified in our response to PUB-1-60 is that any</li> <li>decision as to when to trigger either a rebate or a</li> <li>surcharge on rates would not be based on exactly how</li> <li>much money is in the RSR at a given point in time. We</li> <li> we expect that you would also be thinking about</li> <li>whether the outlook years anticipate negative results</li> <li>or income for the Basic compulsory program; whether</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>there's there's many variables that will be in play</li> <li>for you.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you.</li> <li>I note, Mr. Chair, the hour right now.</li> <li>If the Board is intending to have an afternoon break,</li> <li>this might be an appropriate time.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms.</li> <li>Kalinowsky. Let's do that. Take ten (10) minutes, and</li> <li>we're back back in this room at five (5) after.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li> Upon Recessing at 2:57 p.m.</li> <li> Upon Resuming at 3:09 p.m.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Back to you, Ms.</li> <li>Kalinowsky.</li> <li>THE CHAIRPERSON: Back to you, Ms.</li> <li>Kalinowsky.</li> <li>O The CHAIRPERSON: Back to you, Ms.</li> <li>Kalinowsky.</li> <li>O THE CHAIRPERSON: Back to you, Ms.</li> <li>Kalinowsky.</li> <li>O THE CHAIRPERSON: Back to you, Ms.</li> <li>Kalinowsky.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Good. I saw Mr.</li> <li>Williams standing up, and I was hoping he wasn't going</li> <li>to leave, because if so, I was going to collar him</li> <li>because he might want to stay for this line of</li> <li>questioning, because Mr. Johnston's going to give some</li> <li>comments about Professor Simpson's evidence.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So Mr. Johnston,</li> </ol>

	262			264
	een veu eenment en Drefesser Simpsen's recommendations		In regards to this great respection of 2007 to 2000, as	
	can you comment on Professor Simpson's recommendations in regards to the decline in equities scenario in the		In regards to this great recession of 2007 to 2009, as I've previously stated, as of February 2009 the TSX saw	
	DCAT?		a two (2) year decline of negative 40.8 percent,	
4	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes. My		basically, what the DCAT is modelling today.	
5		5	So again, although I appreciate	
			Professor Simpson's perspective, I'm not as convinced	
7			as he is that modern economic policies have	
8	assessing the risk of equity returns.		significantly reduced equity risk.	
9	In particular, he believes that the	9	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: At one (1) point	
10	historical period prior to 1956 should be excluded from	10	in his evidence Professor Simpson mentions that the	
11	the analysis because, I quote,	11	DCAT and the MCT are inextricably linked. Are they?	
12	"It is very old and less likely to	12	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Well, inextricably	
13	occur under modern economic		is definitely a a very strong word. However, I I	
14	stabilization policies." End quote.		fail to understand how he arrived at this conclusion.	
15	So again, if I understand correctly,		Professor Simpson is correct that projected MCT results	
16	Professor Simpson believes that equity risk was	· -	are a key output in almost all DCAT reports from	
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17		
	5,		the MCT is the basis for their regulatory capital	
19	While I appreciate Professor Simpson's comments, as I've described before, I did not take his	19 20	requirements as defined by OSSFI, the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions.	
20 21	approach in designing the DCAT. Rather, I utilized all	20	Therefore, in a private insurer's DCAT	
22			-	
23	I did not make any judgements as to the effectiveness			
	of modern economic stabilization policies.		-	
25	In my opinion, the effectiveness of		based on the MCT or not required to be based on the	
	263			265
1		1	MCT.	265
	263 these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has	1	MCT. The Corporation does not require a	265
	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has	2	-	265
2	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed	2 3	The Corporation does not require a	265
2	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed	2 3 4	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy	265
2 3 4 5 6	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson	2 3 4 5	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation.	265
2 3 4 5 6	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are	265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked.	265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston,	265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson	265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR,	265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million?	265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote. So basically in the same sentence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor Simpson's evidence I I thought he provided a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote. So basically in the same sentence Professor Simpson claims that, quote:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor Simpson's evidence I I thought he provided a relatively fair assessment on most points of the RSR	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote. So basically in the same sentence Professor Simpson claims that, quote: "Governments have learned something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor Simpson's evidence I I thought he provided a relatively fair assessment on most points of the RSR methodologies and he provided some useful alternate	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote. So basically in the same sentence Professor Simpson claims that, quote:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor Simpson's evidence I I thought he provided a relatively fair assessment on most points of the RSR	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote. So basically in the same sentence Professor Simpson claims that, quote: "Governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor Simpson's evidence I I thought he provided a relatively fair assessment on most points of the RSR methodologies and he provided some useful alternate viewpoints on the adverse scenarios and some fairly	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote. So basically in the same sentence Professor Simpson claims that, quote: "Governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises." End quote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor Simpson's evidence I I thought he provided a relatively fair assessment on most points of the RSR methodologies and he provided some useful alternate viewpoints on the adverse scenarios and some fairly reasonable recommendations for improvements to the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote. So basically in the same sentence Professor Simpson claims that, quote: "Governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises." End quote. But then acknowledges that we have just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor Simpson's evidence I I thought he provided a relatively fair assessment on most points of the RSR methodologies and he provided some useful alternate viewpoints on the adverse scenarios and some fairly reasonable recommendations for improvements to the the DCAT report.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote. So basically in the same sentence Professor Simpson claims that, quote: "Governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises." End quote. But then acknowledges that we have just experiences, quote: "The great recession of 2007 to 2009." End quote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor Simpson's evidence I I thought he provided a relatively fair assessment on most points of the RSR methodologies and he provided some useful alternate viewpoints on the adverse scenarios and some fairly reasonable recommendations for improvements to the the DCAT report. However, when I arrived at Professor Simpson's final two (2) recommendations, I I definitely had some difficulty understanding how these	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these policies on equity variability is not as clear as it is with something like, say, inflation, which has remained very stable since the Bank of Canada changed their policies in the early '90s. As an example of why I don't feel confident in making the assumption Professor Simpson has made, I'II I'II go to a quote from his evidence. And I quote from Professor Simpson: "One (1) lesson from the great recession of 2007 to 2009 appears to be that governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises that limits the size of stock market crashes." End quote. So basically in the same sentence Professor Simpson claims that, quote: "Governments have learned something about dealing with financial crises." End quote. But then acknowledges that we have just experiences, quote: "The great recession of 2007 to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The Corporation does not require a comparison to the MCT in order to assess the adequacy of its RSR and the adverse scenarios I prepared reflect the sp again, the specific risks and regulatory environment of the Corporation. The MPI DCAT report and the MCT are absolutely not inextricably linked. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Mr. Johnston, what is your understanding of why Professor Simpson recommends retaining the current target for the RSR, that current target being 81 to 162 million? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: When when I when I read through Professor Simpson evid Professor Simpson's evidence I I thought he provided a relatively fair assessment on most points of the RSR methodologies and he provided some useful alternate viewpoints on the adverse scenarios and some fairly reasonable recommendations for improvements to the the DCAT report. However, when I arrived at Professor Simpson's final two (2) recommendations, I I	

	266		268
1	So let's let's take a look at his	1	DCAT?
2	his final two (2) recommendations. In in Professor		Second, by referencing the adequacy of
3	Simpson's second last recommendation he states, quote:		the DCAT he has basically assumed that the Board will
4	"While the percentage of premium		not rebate RSR amounts in excess of the current target
5	Kopstein methodology is unrelated to		of 162 million, because if they did, the DCAT would, of
6	the risks faced by MPI, it has the		course, not stay adequate under all adverse scenarios.
7	advantage of being, "the incumbent	7	3. The lower end of the RSR range
8	method" of calculating the target RSR	8	produced by his recommendation, 81 million, is nowhere
9	that is easily understood by the	9	close to the impacts produced by the worse DCAT
10	public." End quote.		scenarios, as his evidence seemed to indicate.
11	So if I understand Professor Simpson's	11	Even if the Board considered an
12	evidence, his main rationale for recommending the	12	alternate equity scenario, say, for example, the 35
13	Kopstein percentage of premium method is that it is	13	percent decline scenario that the Board requested in
14	easy to understand and it is the incumbent method.	14	during the IR process, the DCAT would still indicate a
15	Now, we should know by now that the	15	minimum RSR target of \$180 million, again, nowhere
16	we're talking about something extremely important here	16	close to the minimum recommended by Professor Simpson.
17	in the RSR methodology. And the level that we set is	17	Further to this point, Professor Simpson
18	very important to the Corporation; thus, this Board and	18	seems to indicate in his report general acceptance of
19	policyholders. So I I really expected that	19	the risk analysis method. And that method indicates a
20	Professor Simpson would have stronger support for this	20	minimum RSR of 193 million. And I don't see any
21	recommendation than simply stating it is easy to	21	that that Professor Simpson has used this evidence
22	understand than the incumbent method.	22	in any way to form the basis for his recommendation.
23	Moving to Professor Simpson's final	23	I would suggest to the Board that they
	recommendation, he states, quote:		look at the Corporation's recommendations on page 13 of
25	"There is no reason to to alter	25	AI-11, part 1, in regards to the RSR. Unlike Professor
	267		269
1		1	
1	267 the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End		269 Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly
	the RSR target range generated by the	2	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our
2	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End	2	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly
2 3	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote.	2 3	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence.
2 3 4	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this	2 3 4 5	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr.
2 3 4 5	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote:	2 3 4 5	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated,
2 3 4 5 6	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates	2 3 4 5 6	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that an RSR range of 81 million to 162 million is reasonable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this is a Crown Corporation. What this is about is, what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that an RSR range of 81 million to 162 million is reasonable because the DCAT indicates that the Corporation's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this is a Crown Corporation. What this is about is, what is an appropriate level of capital, of retained earnings,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that an RSR range of 81 million to 162 million is reasonable because the DCAT indicates that the Corporation's retained earnings stay positive in all adverse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this is a Crown Corporation. What this is about is, what is an appropriate level of capital, of retained earnings, to give Manitobans assemblance of and confidence in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that an RSR range of 81 million to 162 million is reasonable because the DCAT indicates that the Corporation's retained earnings stay positive in all adverse scenarios.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this is a Crown Corporation. What this is about is, what is an appropriate level of capital, of retained earnings, to give Manitobans assemblance of and confidence in rate stability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that an RSR range of 81 million to 162 million is reasonable because the DCAT indicates that the Corporation's retained earnings stay positive in all adverse scenarios. With all due respect to Professor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this is a Crown Corporation. What this is about is, what is an appropriate level of capital, of retained earnings, to give Manitobans assemblance of and confidence in rate stability. The purpose of the RSR has been agreed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that an RSR range of 81 million to 162 million is reasonable because the DCAT indicates that the Corporation's retained earnings stay positive in all adverse scenarios. With all due respect to Professor Simpson, I simply cannot understand the basis for these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this is a Crown Corporation. What this is about is, what is an appropriate level of capital, of retained earnings, to give Manitobans assemblance of and confidence in rate stability. The purpose of the RSR has been agreed by all parties for a very long time. And to to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that an RSR range of 81 million to 162 million is reasonable because the DCAT indicates that the Corporation's retained earnings stay positive in all adverse scenarios. With all due respect to Professor Simpson, I simply cannot understand the basis for these recommendations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this is a Crown Corporation. What this is about is, what is an appropriate level of capital, of retained earnings, to give Manitobans assemblance of and confidence in rate stability. The purpose of the RSR has been agreed by all parties for a very long time. And to to throw the word "solvency" back in the mix is I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that an RSR range of 81 million to 162 million is reasonable because the DCAT indicates that the Corporation's retained earnings stay positive in all adverse scenarios. With all due respect to Professor Simpson, I simply cannot understand the basis for these recommendations. First, Professor Simpson's recommended	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this is a Crown Corporation. What this is about is, what is an appropriate level of capital, of retained earnings, to give Manitobans assemblance of and confidence in rate stability. The purpose of the RSR has been agreed by all parties for a very long time. And to to throw the word "solvency" back in the mix is I think it's a little bit of a red herring. It it doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the RSR target range generated by the percentage of premium method." End quote. And his main reason for stating this was, quote: "The 2012 DCAT report demonstrates that MPI would have positive retained earnings in its worse case." End quote. So to expand on my previous comments, here's my understanding of what Pro Professor Simpson has asked the Board to do. 1. The Board is to approve the Kopstein method because it is the incumbent method. And, 2. The Board can be assured that an RSR range of 81 million to 162 million is reasonable because the DCAT indicates that the Corporation's retained earnings stay positive in all adverse scenarios. With all due respect to Professor Simpson, I simply cannot understand the basis for these recommendations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Simpson's final recommendations, we believe our recommendations are clear, reasonable and strongly supported by evidence. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Mr. Johnston. Ms. McLaren, Professor Simpson has stated, and I'll quote: "MPI's solvency and general financial condition is insured directly by the province of Manitoba and indirectly by the same vehicle owners as taxpayers." Close quote. Would you care to comment on that? MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: Just to say that I guess that might be an argument for an RSR of zero. Thi this isn't about solvency. And, of course, this is a Crown Corporation. What this is about is, what is an appropriate level of capital, of retained earnings, to give Manitobans assemblance of and confidence in rate stability. The purpose of the RSR has been agreed by all parties for a very long time. And to to throw the word "solvency" back in the mix is I think

	270			272
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	po we've done that for a few years now and again through our forty (40) year history. You know, they don't come and banks don't come and put padlocks on the door, the government doesn't shut it it doesn't happen. This is about providing stability, rate stability, and financial security. In terms of the public understanding of what the RSR is for, I'm very comfortable talking to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And finally, he concludes that such a process may improve the deliberations on the RSR and increase the credibility of the DCAT analysis. So I I the Corporation definitely believes that this process described by Professor Simpson is or could be reasonable and we, of course, recognize that this Board must be highly confident in the DCAT methodology if it's going to be the accepted method for setting the RSR target. And if a more transparent and collaborate process is what is needed for the Board to move forward with the DCAT RSR methodology, then the Corporation is generally supportive of the approach described by Professor Simpson. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And I now have direct examination questions for Ms. Reichert, so the - - hopefully I should be able to get through by four o'clock. THE CHAIRPERSON: I have a question, and I'm not sure if the it's the right time to ask it, because this is not a cross-examination, this is just clarification. The I'm looking at the page of the page 4 of your of the DCAT, and there's a reference	
	have a big rate shock. It works, you know. I think that's probably easier for them to understand than a		page 4 of your of the DCAT, and there's a reference there to "subject to approval by a board of	
	271			273
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	percentage of premiums. So I think solvency is really neither here nor there. This is back, as we've always talked about, in terms of stability for Manitobans. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And finally, Mr. Johnston, Professor Simpson states that there must be a mechanism for the Board and the Intervenors to review and assess the analyses underlying the DCAT in more detail, and he recommends an even more consensual approach. How can this be addressed? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, I believe we can address Professor Simpson's concerns. And my understanding of of his evidence is as as follows: First, he believes the DCAT report is filed too late in the GRA process to allow for the consensual process of adverse event identification, which Ms. Mclaren described in her testimony. Second, again, this is my understanding of Professor Simpson's evidence, to improve this process, he recommends that the Board review the DCAT adverse scenarios sorry, pardon me, that the Corporation review the DCAT adverse scenarios with the Board and Intervenors before the DCAT report is issued.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	directors" as part of the signature piece. Is that just a timing issue or just a ? MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes. The there was an amended report produced, but in order for me to sign off on the report, I have to get approval official approval from the board of directors. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: The board of directors did not meet over the summer; however, what we did is we did an electronic mailing and an electronic board meeting, and we did receive their approval of this. The board of directors will be meeting next Thursday and Friday and, at that stage, we'll be seeking the ratification of their email vote, so, we can provide that back to the Board. THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, coming back to - - to the discussion around DCAT and the fact that you - - your perception or your opinion is that it removes some of the some of the arbitrariness, I suppose, of the of of the RSR. "Arbitrariness" is probably the wrong word, but what I'm getting at is, you know, there's an element, a big part of the DCAT that you're proposing, that encompasses hail losses, you know, which is by its nature, is an estimate. You know, you so	

		<i>2</i> / <sup>4</sup>			210
1	Dauphin was 55 million ergo Winnipeg is whatever.		1	And we had a \$60 million hailstorm in	
2	l guess, can you give us greater		2	Dauphin that caught our attention because, at that	
3	confidence that the estimate you provided with the hail			time, the the most reinsurance coverage we had was	
4	loss is is sound?			\$200 million. And that's what our reinsurers helped us	
5	MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yeah, there all			do, the same kind of modelling that Mr. Johnston talked	
6				about.	
	some professional judgment. Hail is an example where,		7	We said, Well, if that same footprint	
	you're correct, we don't have experience showing \$300		-	and seve severity of a hailstorm, well, you know,	
9	million hail storms, right.			the same size and severity hit apart of Winnipeg, it	
10	So what we've done in in the DCAT is			would cost \$300 million. So the reason now in the DCAT	г
	we've looked at all our hail experience over the last,			it doesn't rank as one (1) of the top risks is because	•
	I believe it's nineteen (19) or twenty (20) years, and			we purchase up to \$300 million now of hail coverage.	
				· · · ·	
	we've created a model which, essentially, models the			So it really is a process that is tightly, tightly	
	expected range of outcomes.			integrated with how we run the company and how we try	
15	You're you're absolutely correct that			to mitigate risk on on a number of fronts.	
	that there's there's potential that the the		16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	
	actual results could be different than the what the		17		
	model's predicting.			CONTINUED BY MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY:	
19	But like one of the very significant		19	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now we get to	
	benefits of the DCAT is is we're trying to			move into the area of cost allocation. But before I do	
21	understand these risks, right. And we're we're		21	that, Ms. Reichert, can you explain to the Board some	
				of your professional background?	
	we've modelled it, what assumptions we've made. And		23	MR. HEATHER REICHERT: Sure. I	
	sometimes you find out that a risk that you thought			articled, became a CA, did my articling period with	
25	was, you know, very significant, like hail sounds		25	PriceWaterhouse. I left there after about ten (10)	
		275			277
	you know, a \$300 million hailstorm sounds terrible,			years in the audit and insurance area to go to the city	
	right, but it doesn't even rank in the top, you know,			of Winnipeg. I have also held various financial	
	two (2). You know, we find, oh, well, actually equity			positions in the health industry before becoming the	
	risk is really what we should have been looking at			deputy minister of advanced education and literacy for	
	instead of, you know, worrying so much about this		5	the province of Manitoba. And as was previously	
6	hailstorm. As long as our reinsurers come come		6	mentioned today, have just recently joined MPI this	
7	through, we're relatively protected.		7	past January.	
8	So you're right, there's there's		8	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you. Ms.	
9	other scenarios like that are described in in the		9	Reichert, with respect to cost allocation, has the	
10	back of the report. A good example is policy		10	Corporation made a specific request to this Board for	
11	liabilities. It's true, we don't necessarily know what		11	approval of the new cost allocation methodology for	
12	our claimants are going to do over the next forty (40)		12	rate-making purposes?	
13	years, right, in terms of how long they're going to		13	MR. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, we have.	
14	live and and these types of things.		14	In AI-16, we are asking that the Public Utility Board	
15	We try to provide an assessment for the		15	approve the updated expense allocation methodology for	r
16	Board to show that we've looked at this, we understand		16	rate-setting purposes.	
17	it, this is what we think the risk is. But but		17	And if I may ask the Board if they could	
18	again, you're right, there's there's always going to		18	turn to page 1 of Al-16.	
19	be judgment required.		19		
20	MS. MARILYN MCLAREN: And if if I		20	(BRIEF PAUSE)	
21	could, Mr. Chairman, just a little bit more in terms of		21		
22	the hail example that Mr. Johnston spoke to because		22	MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Just at the top	
23	it's really an an opportune example of just			of of that page 1, I'll just quickly highlight the	
24	demonstrating how integrated the DCAT process is with			recommendations that we are making in this Rate	
	the way we truly run the business, you know.			application, that we use the net claims incurred	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

27	28
1 percentage instead of gross premiums written for the	1 Within each of these categories we have three (3) lines
2 allocation of shared claims handling costs to the	2 of business. In the insurance category we have Basic,
3 insurance line of business.	3 Extension, and Special Risk Extension or SRE as it's
4 And as well, that we use a four (4) year	4 referred.
5 rolling average for both the calculation of the	5 Within the non-insurance category, we
6 weighted customer call centre contact ratio and the net	6 have driver licensing, vehicle reg pardon me,
<ul><li>7 claims incurred percentage when those allocators are</li><li>8 used.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>7 vehicle regulation and identification services.</li> <li>8 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So why is cost</li> </ul>
9 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for	9 allocation important for MPI and important for MPI's
10 that. Well, I know that Mr. Gosselin is an accountant	10 Basic ratepayers?
11 by his professional background, the other two (2) panel	11 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. It is
12 members I'm not quite sure how much accounting	12 important to MPI, because based on the way that we
13 background they have, but could you explain what "cost	13 conduct our business where single interactions with our
14 allocation" is, please?	14 customers can impact more than one (1) line of
15 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Cost allocation	15 business, as is the case at our service centres, for
16 is a fundamental consideration for every business in	16 instance, where a single interaction with the customer
17 the world that makes more than one (1) product or	17 can could relate to the renewal of their driver's
18 service. It enable businesses to understand the full	18 licence, as well as renewal of their Basic and
19 cost of producing one (1) specific product or service.	19 Extension insurance. That means, we need an ability to
20 It's the mechanism by which the multi-	20 separate these shared costs between insurance and non-
21 line businesses ensure themselves that the individual	21 insurance and further within the insurance area, in my
<ul><li>22 lines or products are self-sufficient or profitable.</li><li>23 It is a particularly important process for businesses</li></ul>	<ul><li>22 example, between Basic and Extension.</li><li>23 Basis insurance is a compulsory product</li></ul>
<ul><li>23 It is a particularly important process for businesses</li><li>24 that operate one (1) line of business where rates for</li></ul>	24 of Manitoba. That means that Basic ratepayers need to
25 service are approved by a regulator.	25 have comfort that they are not paying through their
27	28
1 It is the cost allocation policy that	1 Basic rates for services that are provided to other
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>business?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>business?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> <li>the driver's vehicle licensing, a non-insurance line of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>business?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> <li>the driver's vehicle licensing, a non-insurance line of</li> <li>business, into MPI, we all recognized that we needed to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>business?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> <li>methodologies in different businesses are the different</li> <li>allocators that might be used. For example, a</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> <li>the driver's vehicle licensing, a non-insurance line of</li> <li>pusiness, into MPI, we all recognized that we needed to</li> <li>review and likely revise the methodology.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>SRE.</li> <li>Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>service that they receive.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>business?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> <li>methodologies in different businesses are the different</li> <li>allocators that might be used. For example, a</li> <li>manufacturing company may have allocators based on the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> <li>the driver's vehicle licensing, a non-insurance line of</li> <li>business, into MPI, we all recognized that we needed to</li> <li>review and likely revise the methodology.</li> <li>With the support of the PUB, we waited</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>2 lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>3 commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>4 SRE.</li> <li>5 Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>6 principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>7 are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>8 service that they receive.</li> <li>9 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>10 Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>11 chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>12 usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>13 business?</li> <li>14 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>15 only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> <li>16 methodologies in different businesses are the different</li> <li>17 allocators that might be used. For example, a</li> <li>18 manufacturing company may have allocators based on the</li> <li>19 amount of factory space that each product requires to</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> <li>the driver's vehicle licensing, a non-insurance line of</li> <li>pusiness, into MPI, we all recognized that we needed to</li> <li>review and likely revise the methodology.</li> <li>With the support of the PUB, we waited</li> <li>until we established a plan to integrate the DVL</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>2 lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>3 commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>4 SRE.</li> <li>5 Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>6 principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>7 are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>8 service that they receive.</li> <li>9 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>10 Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>11 chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>12 usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>13 business?</li> <li>14 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>15 only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> <li>16 methodologies in different businesses are the different</li> <li>17 allocators that might be used. For example, a</li> <li>18 manufacturing company may have allocators based on the</li> <li>19 amount of factory space that each product requires to</li> <li>20 be manufactured.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> <li>the driver's vehicle licensing, a non-insurance line of</li> <li>business, into MPI, we all recognized that we needed to</li> <li>review and likely revise the methodology.</li> <li>With the support of the PUB, we waited</li> <li>until we established a plan to integrate the DVL</li> <li>functions and then we undertook a substantive project</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>2 lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>3 commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>4 SRE.</li> <li>5 Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>6 principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>7 are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>8 service that they receive.</li> <li>9 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>10 Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>11 chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>12 usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>13 business?</li> <li>14 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>15 only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> <li>16 methodologies in different businesses are the different</li> <li>17 allocators that might be used. For example, a</li> <li>18 manufacturing company may have allocators based on the</li> <li>19 amount of factory space that each product requires to</li> <li>20 be manufactured.</li> <li>21 In an insurance company, the allocator</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> <li>the driver's vehicle licensing, a non-insurance line of</li> <li>pusiness, into MPI, we all recognized that we needed to</li> <li>review and likely revise the methodology.</li> <li>With the support of the PUB, we waited</li> <li>until we established a plan to integrate the DVL</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>2 lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>3 commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>4 SRE.</li> <li>5 Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>6 principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>7 are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>8 service that they receive.</li> <li>9 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>10 Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>11 chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>12 usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>13 business?</li> <li>14 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>15 only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> <li>16 methodologies in different businesses are the different</li> <li>17 allocators that might be used. For example, a</li> <li>18 manufacturing company may have allocators based on the</li> <li>19 amount of factory space that each product requires to</li> <li>20 be manufactured.</li> <li>21 In an insurance company, the allocator</li> <li>22 is more apt to be the amount of direct costs attributed</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> <li>the driver's vehicle licensing, a non-insurance line of</li> <li>business, into MPI, we all recognized that we needed to</li> <li>review and likely revise the methodology.</li> <li>With the support of the PUB, we waited</li> <li>until we established a plan to integrate the DVL</li> <li>functions and then we undertook a substantive project</li> <li>to develop a methodology that fairly and reasonably</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>2 lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>3 commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>4 SRE.</li> <li>5 Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>6 principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>7 are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>8 service that they receive.</li> <li>9 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>10 Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>11 chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>12 usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>13 business?</li> <li>14 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>15 only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> <li>16 methodologies in different businesses are the different</li> <li>17 allocators that might be used. For example, a</li> <li>18 manufacturing company may have allocators based on the</li> <li>19 amount of factory space that each product requires to</li> <li>20 be manufactured.</li> <li>21 In an insurance company, the allocator</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>It is the cost allocation policy that</li> <li>gives confidence that there is no cross-subsidization</li> <li>between regulated and non-regulated entities. Back</li> <li>within just a few short years of having Basic Autopac</li> <li>rates approved by this Board, the Corporation and the</li> <li>Board discussed the matter of cost allocation and the</li> <li>PUB approved the methodology that has, essentially,</li> <li>been used ever since.</li> <li>The underlying approach was to directly</li> <li>assign wherever possible and then allocate shared costs</li> <li>to eitheraccording, pardon me, to either percent of</li> <li>premiums written, or percent of claims incurred. This</li> <li>is what we refer to as the old cost allocation</li> <li>methodology.</li> <li>In 2004, with the merger of the of</li> <li>the driver's vehicle licensing, a non-insurance line of</li> <li>pusiness, into MPI, we all recognized that we needed to</li> <li>review and likely revise the methodology.</li> <li>With the support of the PUB, we waited</li> <li>until we established a plan to integrate the DVL</li> <li>functions and then we undertook a substantive project</li> <li>to develop a methodology that fairly and reasonably</li> <li>allocated costs between all lines of business.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Basic rates for services that are provided to other</li> <li>2 lines of business like driver's licensing or like large</li> <li>3 commercial trucking fleets that have insurance through</li> <li>4 SRE.</li> <li>5 Cost allocation, based on sound</li> <li>6 principles, will ensure that they are paying rates that</li> <li>7 are a fair and reasonable reflection of the cost of the</li> <li>8 service that they receive.</li> <li>9 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So, Ms.</li> <li>10 Reichert, in your professional experience as a</li> <li>11 chartered accountant is allocation methodology the</li> <li>12 usual way to attribute costs between different lines of</li> <li>13 business?</li> <li>14 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it is. The</li> <li>15 only thing that differs in cost allocation</li> <li>16 methodologies in different businesses are the different</li> <li>17 allocators that might be used. For example, a</li> <li>18 manufacturing company may have allocators based on the</li> <li>19 amount of factory space that each product requires to</li> <li>20 be manufactured.</li> <li>21 In an insurance company, the allocator</li> <li>22 is more apt to be the amount of direct costs attributed</li> <li>23 to a particular line of business being used as an</li> </ul>

	282			284
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	finance, executive and HR services are just some examples. But they cannot be directly assigned to any particular line of business, so these must be allocated. Allocation is the only way to determine the cost of underwriting a line of business. Just about every insurer operates different lines of business and accordingly has to allocate costs between them. It's the industry norm to rely upon allocation methodologies based on sound guiding principles. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And the new allocation methodology, was that proposed by Deloitte? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, it was. Deloitte was hired to conduct a review in 2009 and develop, based upon their costing expertise, the established regulatory practices and the application of common costing principles the guiding principles that MPI uses to support the cost allocation methodology that we are recommending today. Deloitte also did further work for us this past year to specifically address concerns raised by the Board regarding potential volatility of certain	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believe that we have really brought this to a point where it is appropriate, it makes sense, it reflects our business, it reflects the integrated nature of many of the services that we provide that I spoke about early and believe that this is is a good methodology that's better than the old methodology and and ought to be adopted. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Ms. Reichert, can you explain the guiding principles of the cost allocation methodology and attributes that were adopted in developing this cost allocation methodology and how	
	allocators. Their work, and the review of it by our		these have been addressed?	
	external auditors, KPMG, make us confident that we have recommended a cost allocation methodology that's based	24	MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, if the if the Board would kindly turn to page 4 of Al-16.	
1	283	1		285
	on sound guiding principles that will serve us well into the future.	1	(BRIEF PAUSE)	
2 3 4 5 6 7		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. At the top half of that page you'll see four (4) bullets that represent the guiding principles and attributes adopted in developing the allocation methodology. I would like to spend a little bit of time on the first principle, because I believe that the this particular principle is key, and that that is the principle of being fair and reasonable, or just and reasonable, to use the terms used by Mr Mr. Williams. This principle is to get at the concept that costs which are assigned to individual lines of business should fairly reflect the cost of delivering those services. And when the allocation process is complete, the overall results which come at the end of the process should be reasonable. Really, this is like the common-sense test that has two (2) dimensions. The first is whether	

		286			288
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The causality must be apparent for the allocation to be fair and reasonable. So that that's some comments on the first principle of fair and reasonable. When you look at the other three (3) principles, practical and efficient, that refers to the ability to apply with relative ease the particular cost allocation methodology, and it can be readily understood by those examining it. Flexible and adaptable for the future is speaking to whether or not it has been developed at a sufficiently conceptual level so as to be flexible, and is able to adapt to changes in operations. And the final, acceptable in the regulatory context, and consistent with any industry standards, is speaking to the greater degree of rigour in both the development and design necessary when you are in a regulatory environment, knowing that the results have an effect on on the average consumer. MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Now, can you describe for the Board members the cost allocation framework? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Certainly I can. If I could ask the the Board members to turn to Al.16, Attachment F, this is the attachment that is the		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 24 \end{array}$	business, or are subject to allocation. So at Level B, which is that next level, we determine where there are amounts that can be assigned to the category of business to a category of business. And by category of business we mean either insurance or non-insurance. And if they cannot be assigned to one (1) of those categories then being subject to allocation. So of the 172 million that is assignable at Level B, 71 million is assignable to the insurance category of business, 101 million is subject to allocation, and zero is assignable to a category of business, being the non-insurance category of business. That brings us then to Level C, which you'll see in the middle middle part of that diagram, and that is the area where we allocate costs that could not be signed at Level B to either insurance or non-insurance. So this is getting it into the category of either insurance or non-insurance. So 101 million was subject to allocation at Level C. Of that, 88 million was assigned to the insurance category of business, and the remaining 13 million was assigned to the non-insurance category of business. And the major allocator used at Level C.	
25	expense allocation methodology report prepared by		25	And the major allocator used at Level C,	
		287			289
	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30.	287	2	it's not he only one but the main one used, is the weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously.	289
2	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask	287	2 3 4	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D	289
2 3 4 5 6 7	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. You'll see at the top of page 30 that there is a diagram. I	287	2 3 4 5 6 7	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D where we take the costs that have been assigned to the insurance category of business from Level B, which was the 71 million that I referred to previously, plus the	289
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. You'll see at the top of page 30 that there is a diagram. I call it the framework the framework diagram for the cost allocation. And if I may just walk you through		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D where we take the costs that have been assigned to the insurance category of business from Level B, which was the 71 million that I referred to previously, plus the portion of the 101 that was subject to allocation, being 88 million, so a total of 159 million that relate	289
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. You'll see at the top of page 30 that there is a diagram. I call it the framework the framework diagram for the cost allocation. And if I may just walk you through that, and I will use I will use dollar amounts to give you some sense of what this means for allocation at the various levels. I'm using the budget amounts		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D where we take the costs that have been assigned to the insurance category of business from Level B, which was the 71 million that I referred to previously, plus the portion of the 101 that was subject to allocation, being 88 million, so a total of 159 million that relate to the insurance category of business that we now look to allocate to the specific line of business within the insurance area, whether that's Basic, Extension, or	289
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. You'll see at the top of page 30 that there is a diagram. I call it the framework the framework diagram for the cost allocation. And if I may just walk you through that, and I will use I will use dollar amounts to give you some sense of what this means for allocation at the various levels. I'm using the budget amounts for 2009/'10 that were used by Deloitte when they actually prepared this report.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D where we take the costs that have been assigned to the insurance category of business from Level B, which was the 71 million that I referred to previously, plus the portion of the 101 that was subject to allocation, being 88 million, so a total of 159 million that relate to the insurance category of business that we now look to allocate to the specific line of business within the insurance area, whether that's Basic, Extension, or SRE. At Level D, then, 159 million of costs	289
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. You'll see at the top of page 30 that there is a diagram. I call it the framework the framework diagram for the cost allocation. And if I may just walk you through that, and I will use I will use dollar amounts to give you some sense of what this means for allocation at the various levels. I'm using the budget amounts for 2009/'10 that were used by Deloitte when they		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D where we take the costs that have been assigned to the insurance category of business from Level B, which was the 71 million that I referred to previously, plus the portion of the 101 that was subject to allocation, being 88 million, so a total of 159 million that relate to the insurance category of business that we now look to allocate to the specific line of business within the insurance area, whether that's Basic, Extension, or SRE.	289
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. You'll see at the top of page 30 that there is a diagram. I call it the framework the framework diagram for the cost allocation. And if I may just walk you through that, and I will use I will use dollar amounts to give you some sense of what this means for allocation at the various levels. I'm using the budget amounts for 2009/'10 that were used by Deloitte when they actually prepared this report. So we begin with total normal operation cost, which in this example are 976 million, so almost		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D where we take the costs that have been assigned to the insurance category of business from Level B, which was the 71 million that I referred to previously, plus the portion of the 101 that was subject to allocation, being 88 million, so a total of 159 million that relate to the insurance category of business that we now look to allocate to the specific line of business within the insurance area, whether that's Basic, Extension, or SRE. At Level D, then, 159 million of costs are allocated. A hundred and thirty-eight (138) of those are allocated to the Basic line of business.	289
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. You'll see at the top of page 30 that there is a diagram. I call it the framework the framework diagram for the cost allocation. And if I may just walk you through that, and I will use I will use dollar amounts to give you some sense of what this means for allocation at the various levels. I'm using the budget amounts for 2009/'10 that were used by Deloitte when they actually prepared this report. So we begin with total normal operation cost, which in this example are 976 million, so almost a billion dollars. At Level A that's referred to there, we assign what we assign whatever is directly related to a line of business, whether it's Basic, Extension, SRE, or non-insurance.		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D where we take the costs that have been assigned to the insurance category of business from Level B, which was the 71 million that I referred to previously, plus the portion of the 101 that was subject to allocation, being 88 million, so a total of 159 million that relate to the insurance category of business that we now look to allocate to the specific line of business within the insurance area, whether that's Basic, Extension, or SRE. At Level D, then, 159 million of costs are allocated. A hundred and thirty-eight (138) of those are allocated to the Basic line of business. Extension has allocated fourteen (14), and 7 million is allocated to the SRE.	289
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 21 22 21 22 21 22 21 21	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. You'll see at the top of page 30 that there is a diagram. I call it the framework the framework diagram for the cost allocation. And if I may just walk you through that, and I will use I will use dollar amounts to give you some sense of what this means for allocation at the various levels. I'm using the budget amounts for 2009/'10 that were used by Deloitte when they actually prepared this report. So we begin with total normal operation cost, which in this example are 976 million, so almost a billion dollars. At Level A that's referred to there, we assign what we assign whatever is directly related to a line of business, whether it's Basic, Extension, SRE, or non-insurance. So you'll so in this example, of the 976 million of total normal cost, 804 million are		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 112\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D where we take the costs that have been assigned to the insurance category of business from Level B, which was the 71 million that I referred to previously, plus the portion of the 101 that was subject to allocation, being 88 million, so a total of 159 million that relate to the insurance category of business that we now look to allocate to the specific line of business within the insurance area, whether that's Basic, Extension, or SRE. At Level D, then, 159 million of costs are allocated. A hundred and thirty-eight (138) of those are allocated to the Basic line of business. Extension has allocated fourteen (14), and 7 million is allocated to the SRE. At the end of this entire allocation process, that means that total of 801 million of the original 976 million that we had at the beginning is allocated to Basic insurance. And it is at this Level	289
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Deloitte. It's June 30th, 2009. And if I could ask you to turn within that document to page 30. (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. You'll see at the top of page 30 that there is a diagram. I call it the framework the framework diagram for the cost allocation. And if I may just walk you through that, and I will use I will use dollar amounts to give you some sense of what this means for allocation at the various levels. I'm using the budget amounts for 2009/'10 that were used by Deloitte when they actually prepared this report. So we begin with total normal operation cost, which in this example are 976 million, so almost a billion dollars. At Level A that's referred to there, we assign what we assign whatever is directly related to a line of business, whether it's Basic, Extension, SRE, or non-insurance. So you'll so in this example, of the		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 24 \end{array}$	weighted customer call centre contact ratio that I referred to previously. That now then brings us down to Level D where we take the costs that have been assigned to the insurance category of business from Level B, which was the 71 million that I referred to previously, plus the portion of the 101 that was subject to allocation, being 88 million, so a total of 159 million that relate to the insurance category of business that we now look to allocate to the specific line of business within the insurance area, whether that's Basic, Extension, or SRE. At Level D, then, 159 million of costs are allocated. A hundred and thirty-eight (138) of those are allocated to the Basic line of business. Extension has allocated fourteen (14), and 7 million is allocated to the SRE. At the end of this entire allocation process, that means that total of 801 million of the original 976 million that we had at the beginning is	289

290		292
1 suggested by the Board on on previous applications.	1 on page 7.	
2 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: But let's talk	2	
3 now about using claims incurred or premiums written as	3 (BRIEF PAUSE)	
4 an allocator of expenses. Deloitte has proposed claims	4	
5 incurred, and the PUB in the past has preferred	5 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: We have a	
6 premiums written. Which one is a better indicator, in	6 section in the in Al-16 about the four (4) year	
7 your opinion, and why?	7 averaging. But just to to talk a little bit about	
8 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: In my opinion,	8 that: MPI hired Deloitte to specifically look at the	
9 claims incurred is the better allocator. It is the	9 potential volatility of the key allocators such as the	
10 most fair and reasonable allocator. Referring back to	10 weighted costs weighted customer, call centre,	
11 my previous answer on the guiding principles, it passes	11 contact ratio. And while currently the data does not	
12 the common-sense test. Using claims incurred to	12 indicate any significant volatility in this particular	
13 allocate costs of claim centres, service centres, the	13 allocator, which you can see on the table the page	
14 physical damage centre, et cetera, makes sense, from a	14 8, at the top of that page there.	
15 cost/causality perspective in particular.	15 MPI believes it is still prudent to use	
16Take, for example, the fact that in any	16 a four (4) year rolling average for this allocator so	
17 given year we may incur claims related to PIPP, the	17 that should there be a more dramatic swing in a future	
18 Personal Injury Protection Plan Program, of 250 to 300	18 year the impact of the costs allocated and therefore	
19 million, compared to a much smaller amount in either	19 Basic rates will not be significant. The work done by	
20 Extension or SRE. It makes sense that the Basic line	20 Deloitte supports this approach for both the weighted	
21 of business where PIPP is housed should be allocated	21 customer call centre contact ratio and also for net	
22 more operating costs than the other two (2) insurance	22 claims incurred.	
23 lines. Gross premiums written does not make sense when	23 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Could I ask you	
24 the effort to sell a one thousand dollar (\$1,000) Basic	24 some questions on the role of the auditors in cost	
25 policy is not significantly different than a two	25 allocation.	
291		293
291 1 hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.	1 Does MPI have its auditors audit two (2)	293
	<ol> <li>Does MPI have its auditors audit two (2)</li> <li>financial statements every year? Why?</li> </ol>	293
1 hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.	<ol> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> </ol>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>12 additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>12 additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>13 and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>12 additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>13 and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>14 allocation methodology has in fact been adhered to and</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>12 additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>13 and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>14 allocation methodology has in fact been adhered to and</li> <li>15 that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Last year the</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>12 additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>13 and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>14 allocation methodology has in fact been adhered to and</li> <li>15 that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> <li>16 reasonable.</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Last year the</li> <li>Board expressed concerns with respect to year-over-year</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>12 additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>13 and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>14 allocation methodology has in fact been adhered to and</li> <li>15 that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Last year the</li> <li>Board expressed concerns with respect to year-over-year</li> <li>volatility with the cost allocation methodology,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>12 additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>13 and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>14 allocation methodology has in fact been adhered to and</li> <li>15 that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> <li>16 reasonable.</li> <li>17 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Has KPMG</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Last year the</li> <li>Board expressed concerns with respect to year-over-year</li> <li>volatility with the cost allocation methodology,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>12 additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>13 and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>14 allocation methodology has in fact been adhered to and</li> <li>15 that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> <li>16 reasonable.</li> <li>17 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Has KPMG</li> <li>18 reviewed the cost allocation methodologies prepared by</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Last year the</li> <li>Board expressed concerns with respect to year-over-year</li> <li>volatility with the cost allocation methodology,</li> <li>particularly because of the cust the contact centre</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>allocation methodology has in fact been adhered to and</li> <li>that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> <li>reviewed the cost allocation methodologies prepared by</li> <li>Deloitte at the request of MPI?</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Last year the</li> <li>Board expressed concerns with respect to year-over-year</li> <li>volatility with the cost allocation methodology,</li> <li>particularly because of the cust the contact centre</li> <li>data.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>4 sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>5 audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>6 as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>7 compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>8 refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>9 The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>10 financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>11 twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>12 additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>13 and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>14 allocation methodology has in fact been adhered to and</li> <li>15 that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> <li>16 reasonable.</li> <li>17 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Has KPMG</li> <li>18 reviewed the cost allocation methodologies prepared by</li> <li>19 Deloitte at the request of MPI?</li> <li>20 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, they have.</li> <li>21 Again, sorry to make the panel flip around this</li> <li>22 section. But if you could refer to AI-16, Attachment</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Last year the</li> <li>Board expressed concerns with respect to year-over-year</li> <li>volatility with the cost allocation methodology,</li> <li>particularly because of the cust the contact centre</li> <li>data.</li> <li>What has the Corporation done this year</li> <li>to address that concern?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: I'm sorry, if I</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> <li>reasonable.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Has KPMG</li> <li>reviewed the cost allocation methodologies prepared by</li> <li>Deloitte at the request of MPI?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, they have.</li> <li>Again, sorry to make the panel flip around this</li> <li>section. But if you could refer to Al-16, Attachment</li> <li>C, is the October 2010 report that was prepared by</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Last year the</li> <li>Board expressed concerns with respect to year-over-year</li> <li>volatility with the cost allocation methodology,</li> <li>particularly because of the cust the contact centre</li> <li>data.</li> <li>What has the Corporation done this year</li> <li>coaddress that concern?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: I'm sorry, if I</li> <li>could ask the Board just so for your reference, to</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>allocation methodology has in fact been adhered to and</li> <li>that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> <li>reviewed the cost allocation methodologies prepared by</li> <li>Deloitte at the request of MPI?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, they have.</li> <li>Again, sorry to make the panel flip around this</li> <li>section. But if you could refer to AI-16, Attachment</li> <li>C, is the October 2010 report that was prepared by</li> <li>KPMG, specifically page 2, under "KPMG Findings", is</li> </ul>	293
<ol> <li>hundred dollar (\$200) Extension policy.</li> <li>Some may believe that using claims</li> <li>incurred will load basic with more than its fair share</li> <li>of costs. I do not believe that to be true. MPI</li> <li>exists because of the Basic compulsory insurance</li> <li>program. If we didn't provide Extension and SRE</li> <li>insurance, we would still need the same number of</li> <li>service centres and claims centres, and our physical</li> <li>damage centre would not be any smaller. Our operating</li> <li>costs would not be significantly less.</li> <li>So, in fact, the existence of these</li> <li>other lines of business or, pardon me, lines of</li> <li>insurance, benefits the Basic program and that a</li> <li>portion of our overall operating costs are allocated to</li> <li>those lines.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Last year the</li> <li>Board expressed concerns with respect to year-over-year</li> <li>volatility with the cost allocation methodology,</li> <li>particularly because of the cust the contact centre</li> <li>data.</li> <li>What has the Corporation done this year</li> <li>to address that concern?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: I'm sorry, if I</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>financial statements every year? Why?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, KPMD</li> <li>sorry, KPMG, our external auditors, they issue an</li> <li>audited opinion on the corporate financial statements,</li> <li>as well as a separate opinion on the universal,</li> <li>compulsory, insurance financial statements which we</li> <li>refer to the Basic financial statements.</li> <li>The Corporation began having its Basic</li> <li>financial results audited, as I understand, close to</li> <li>twenty (20) years ago, and that was to provide</li> <li>additional comfort to the Corporation and to the PUB</li> <li>and our Basic ratepayers, that the PUB approved cost</li> <li>that the Basic financial statements are fair and</li> <li>reasonable.</li> <li>MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Has KPMG</li> <li>reviewed the cost allocation methodologies prepared by</li> <li>Deloitte at the request of MPI?</li> <li>MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, they have.</li> <li>Again, sorry to make the panel flip around this</li> <li>section. But if you could refer to Al-16, Attachment</li> <li>C, is the October 2010 report that was prepared by</li> </ul>	293

		294			296
1	quote:		1	MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay, I	
2	"KPMG finds that the proposed		2	understand that this was filed during the summer before	
3	allocation methodologies are			we filed the IRs, the First Round of Irs, so I'm	
4	reasonable and result in a fair			wondering if maybe it didn't get into the binders.	
5	allocation of costs and assets and		5	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: I have I have	
6	liabilities among the relevant		-	a letter here a copy of a letter here, dated July	
7	categories of business and lines of		7	11th, from myself to Ms. Grammond, and it says:	
8	business at MPI."		8	"As indicated in Al-16 cost	
9	End quote.		9	allocation methodology, MPI engaged	
10	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: In this year's		10	KPMG to do further specific audit	
11	GRA, subsequent to the filing, but located at Al-16, a		11	procedures and tests on the data used	
	second KPMG report is included dated July 10th, 2012.		12	to determine the allocation. The	
13	Why did MPI engage KPMG to do further		13	KPMG report on these procedures is	
	specific audit procedures and tests on the data?		14	attached. We ask that this report be	
15	MS. HEATHER REICHERT: That that		15	included in AI-16 cost allocation	
	work was specifically requested to be done to provide		16	methodology."	
17			17	And was cc'd both to Mr. Singh and to	
	the cost allocation methodologies accurately.			registered Intervenors.	
19	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Looking at the		19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Unfortunately, it	
20			-	didn't appear in our binder. So can we agree that we	
21	and yourself, the auditors explain that these are				
	additional to, and mutually exclusive of the audit			to the panel and the Intervenors? We can do that, I	
23	procedures already performed on the financial			guess, tomorrow or Thursday morning, I would think.	
	statements.		24	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Yes, we can.	
25	What is the purpose of this additional		25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.	
20			20	THE ONAIN ENOUN. ONly.	
		295			297
		295			297
	level of scrutiny and can you take the Board to that	295	1	MR. BYRON WILLIAMS: And, Mr. Chairman,	297
2	letter?	295		I can just indicate our we certainly have an	297
2 3	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I	295	3	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're	297
2 3 4	Ietter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I,	295	3 4	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well,	297
2 3 4 5	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the	295	3 4 5	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so.	297
2 3 4 5 6	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So	295	3 4 5 6	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the	297
2 3 4 5 6 7	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of	295	3 4 5 6 7	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July	295	3 4 5 6 7 8	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right?	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely.	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay.	295	3 4 5 7 8 9 10	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July	295	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE)	295	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say	295	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16?	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16.	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements reflect our cost allocation policies as at February	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Oh, sorry, AI-	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Oh, sorry, AI- 16, Attachment I. It may be Attachment H in your	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements reflect our cost allocation policies as at February 29th, 2012.	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Oh, sorry, AI- 16, Attachment I. It may be Attachment H in your there's a cover letter that's Attachment H in mine.	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements reflect our cost allocation policies as at February	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Oh, sorry, AI- 16, Attachment I. It may be Attachment H in your there's a cover letter that's Attachment H in mine. And then there's Attachment I that is on KPMG	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements reflect our cost allocation policies as at February 29th, 2012. (BRIEF PAUSE)	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Oh, sorry, AI- 16, Attachment I. It may be Attachment H in your there's a cover letter that's Attachment H in mine. And then there's Attachment I that is on KPMG letterhead, dated July 10th, 2012.	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements reflect our cost allocation policies as at February 29th, 2012.	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Oh, sorry, AI- 16, Attachment I. It may be Attachment H in your there's a cover letter that's Attachment H in mine. And then there's Attachment I that is on KPMG letterhead, dated July 10th, 2012. THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, we don't have	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements reflect our cost allocation policies as at February 29th, 2012. (BRIEF PAUSE) CONTINUED BY MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Sorry, I was	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Oh, sorry, AI- 16, Attachment I. It may be Attachment H in your there's a cover letter that's Attachment H in mine. And then there's Attachment I that is on KPMG letterhead, dated July 10th, 2012. THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, we don't have	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements reflect our cost allocation policies as at February 29th, 2012. (BRIEF PAUSE) CONTINUED BY MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Sorry, I was just trying to get some copies made in the backroom	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Oh, sorry, AI- 16, Attachment I. It may be Attachment H in your there's a cover letter that's Attachment H in mine. And then there's Attachment I that is on KPMG letterhead, dated July 10th, 2012. THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, we don't have	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements reflect our cost allocation policies as at February 29th, 2012. (BRIEF PAUSE) CONTINUED BY MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Sorry, I was just trying to get some copies made in the backroom right away.	297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	letter? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Yes, I yes, I can. If the Board would like to go to Attachment I, which this was filed right before the interrogatories were filed in the in the summer. So it's right at the back, or should be at the back of this section. Attachment I, the letter dated, July 10th, 2012. Okay. (BRIEF PAUSE) THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you say Attachment I in Section 16 oh, Tab 16? AI-16? MS. HEATHER REICHERT: It's in AI-16. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Oh, sorry, AI- 16, Attachment I. It may be Attachment H in your there's a cover letter that's Attachment H in mine. And then there's Attachment I that is on KPMG letterhead, dated July 10th, 2012. THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, we don't have	295	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I can just indicate our we certainly have an electronic version of the letter of July 11th. We're still searching, ourselves, for Appendix I as well, though, so. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Without the letter in front of you I can still speak to the the content of the letter, if that's all right? THE CHAIRPERSON: Please. Absolutely. MS. HEATHER REICHERT: So in in the past, the value of the basic financial statements, I understand, have been questioned. So we did engage KPMG to do this additional work to provide additional comfort to the Board that the Basic ratepayers and the Basic ratepayers, sorry, that the Basic statements reflect our cost allocation policies as at February 29th, 2012. (BRIEF PAUSE) CONTINUED BY MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Sorry, I was just trying to get some copies made in the backroom	297

298	300
1 ratepayers if the PUB does adopt the new cost 1 it's it's a high-level but but fairly detailed	
2 allocation methodology as proposed by MPI? 2 at the same time.	
3 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Okay. So if I 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: That would be located	1
4 could ask then the Board to and I know you'll have 4 in which tab?	
5 this attachment. It's Attachment B in AI-16 again. 5 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Sorry, TI-2, so	
6 6 Volume II, Part Part 1, Tab 2.	
7 (BRIEF PAUSE) 7	
8 (BRIEF PAUSE)	
9 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: This is a very 9	
10 busy very busy table. If I can just refer you to10THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.	
11 the bottom of this table, to the asterisk, where 11 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yeah. So TI-2 is -	
12 where the impact on rates using the new allocation12 - is really the the basis of our rate application	
13 methodology. And there's a calculation there. But the13 summarized in in one (1) table. You have the	
14 end result of that calculation is the impact would be14 Corporation's overall indicated rate change, and the	
15 less than .1 percent.15 indicated rate changes on an overall basis by by	
16 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: So if the impact 16 major class.	
17     to the ratepayers is so minimal, then why should the       17     I'll try to go through this fairly       18     Description between the delayer of the second	
18 Board bother to adopt the new methodology? 18 quickly, but if you have any questions let me so, as	
19 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: We believe that 20 the neuronal data methodology is the most fair and 20 Encountries Mathedal with the constraint whether and the second s	
20 the new updated methodology is the most fair and20 Forecasting Method", that essentially means it's the21 reasonable allocation methodology, and it appropriately21 Corporation's forecast. Other methods, like linear and	
<ul> <li>22 reflects cost causality by using net claims incurred.</li> <li>23 The use of a four (4) year rolling average for the way</li> <li>23 The figures on here you'll notice or</li> </ul>	
24 the customer call centre contact ratio and net claims 24 the sorry, the categories on here you'll notice are	
25 incurred will ensure that future allocations do not 25 very similar to what's on the statement of operations.	
299	301
1 swing significantly from one (1) year to another.       1 And really, in a lot of ways, this summary is	
2 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: And finally, Ms. 2 reflects the statement of operations but on a per	
3 Reichert, why do you think the Board should approve 3 vehicle basis for the rating period. So the first step	
4 this now when they haven't done so in the past? 4 in in building this table is to project how many	
5 MS. HEATHER REICHERT: Because it's 5 vehicles there is going to be in the rating year, and	
6 time. All concerns raised previously by the Board 6 that's the first line, thirteen (13), fourteen (14)	
7 have, I believe, been adequately addressed by the 7 units. Unit just means one (1) vehicle insured for one 9 (4) user	
8 reviews done by Deloitte and by the various reports, 9 regular audita and additional apositic audit	
9 regular audits, and additional specific audit9On the following line you see our10 procedures that have been performed by KPMG.10 expected claims costs per vehicle unit in that cat	
11 The proposed methodology has been 11 particular category. So private passenger vehicles,	
12 updated to address all the concerns and issues raised, 12 for example, on average we expect seven hundred and	, I
13 and it is the most fair and reasonable method that 13 fifty-four dollars and fifty-three cents (\$754.53) of	'
14 reflects the realities of the Corporation's business 14 claims cost per unit.	
15 today, and we believe will serve us well into the 15 The the next line, claims expenses,	
16 future. 16 these are based on the projections and the financial	
17 MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you, Ms. 17 statements, and we allocate those directly in	
18 Reichert. I have one (1) final question for Mr. 18 proportion to claims. As you'd expect, claims expense	s
19 Johnston, so we're going to end right at on time 19 and claims are are directly related.	
20 almost.   20   Moving down to road safety and operating	
21 Mr. Johnston, for purposes of how a rate 21 expenses, same idea. We're looking at the cost on a	
22 is determined, can you walk the Board members through 22 per vehicle basis based on our forecast, but in in	
23 the table located at TI-2?    23 this case we allocate the same num the same amou	nt
24 MR. LUKE JOHNSTON: Yes, I can. You'll 24 to each unit. So you'll see all vehicles that are	
25 probably want to find that table. It's it's fairly 25 required to register under the Highway Traffic Act,	

	302			304
1	that being everything other than a trailer and an ORV,	1	Similarly, for service fees, we do, of	
	they pay their equal share of road safety: sixteen	2	course, charge service fees for financing, late	
3	dollars and eleven cents (\$16.11).	3	payments, et cetera. But again, that money comes in	
4	They also pay their an equal share of	4	and it's used to offset the	
5		5	the rate.	
6	you're insuring a car at five hundred dollars (\$500) or	6	The next piece is investment income; a	
7	twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200), you still the	7	very significant amount, given the size of our asset	
8	operating expenses are similar.	8	portfolio. This is assigned in proportion to premium.	
9	Moving down, commission pre and	9	So you'll see private-passenger vehicles, they have an	
10	premium tax. The commission is based on our projected	10	off a favourable offset reducing their rev sorry,	
11	commission rate of 3 percent of premiums. Premium tax,	11	rate requirement by a hundred and three dollars (\$103)	
12	again, 3 percent as well. And so these are just the	12	due to expected investment income.	
13	function of the premium that we determine at the	13	When you combine all the costs the	
14	bottom. Similar for driver commissions, et cetera.	14	costs and the cost offsets, you arrive at the lines	
15	If you go to reinsurance, we of	15	indicating "required rate"; and for private-passenger	
	course, reinsurance costs us something, so we have to	16	vehicles, that's nine hundred and nine dollars (\$909).	
17	collect that back in the rate. And you'll see all the	17	We must then compare the required	
	major classes that receive PIP, which is essentially		amount, based on the the costs and inflows and	
19	what we're we're buying protection from with		outflows, to what we actually expect to have in the	
20			'13/'14 rating year if we didn't change rates at all.	
21	reinsurance.	21	And if you go down to the line "13/14 average rate	
22	Similarly for catastrophe insurance.		without rate change", you'll see nine eleven sixty-one	
	Everyone except everyone that's applicable for that		(9, 11, 61) for private-passenger vehicles again.	
	coverage pays. So motorcycles who don't have comp.,	24	So we we compare that now with the	
25	they're not allocated any costs in this regard,	25	required rate of nine-o-nine (909) from a few lines up,	
	303			305
1	because they they won't they can't have a hail		and we see that actually we require a little bit less	
	claim under Basic.		than than the rates are going to just be if we did	
3	Moving along to fleet rebates. The		nothing. So that's how we get that indication. You'll	
	corporation has a a fleet program that is		see, moving along, there's a I wont' go into that,	
5	retrospectively rated, and what that means is we	5	but the the indications for the other classes are	
6	determine the rate after the year is completed, and we	6	there, as well.	
7	give you their surcharges or discounts. In this	7	So on an overall basis, you'll see in	
8	particular case we're expecting more discounts than	8	the first column that it says "full cred. required rate	
9	surcharges, and so that's revenue out, so we have to	9	change", so this means just the indicated rate change	
10		10	based on our forecast, and that's a negative 0.3	
11	have fleets.	11	percent change. We based on that, we decided to	
12	Anti-theft discount. As you're aware,	12	apply for zero.	
13		13	And what what happens in the "applied	
14	immobilizers, and to the extent we pay those out,		for changed" line is we basically just balance our	
15	again, we have to offset for for that cost. And		our rates to get an overall zero.	
16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	16	The next line called "credibility" is a	
17	applicable or, sorry, private passenger vehicles,		methodology we've used here for a while to ensure that	
	yeah.	1	vehicles with or sorry, vehicle classes with less	
19	Driver premium. Again, all vehicles	19	experience or or less credibility, that there's a	
20	that are required to be registered under the Highway	20	weighting between their actual experience and their	
21	Traffic Act, they get their share of driver premium.	21	current rates. So you don't move them so like, a	
22	6		smaller class like motorcycles, they'll move slowly	
23			more slowly, based on your indications, because the	
24	5		experience of ten thousand (10,000) motorcycles isn't	, r
120	two dollar and sixty-three cent (\$52.63) reduction.	120	as credible as six hundred thousand (600,000) passenge	21

		306	
	vehicles.		
2	We use the that credibility weighting		
	to get a credibility weighted change and that's produces the required rates at the bottom. And again,		
	this is this is compared to what we expect to get		
	for an average rate without without making any		
	change at all. And those those final indicated		
	changes are shown on the the bottom on the last		
	line. So there you'll see our applied for change of		
	zero, and then the the changes for each major class.		
11	MS. KATHY KALINOWSKY: Thank you for		
	that, Mr. Johnston. I thought I'd finish off the		
	direct-examination with one (1) question on rates,		
	since that's what we're here for. This is the guts of		
	the rate-making process and the numbers are laid out		
	there.		
17	But at this point I've finished my		
	direct-examination and the panel members will be open		
	on Thursday for cross-examination, as per the outline		
	of proceedings.		
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms.		
	Kalinowsky. Any other business, Ms. Grammond?		
	Nothing?		
24	MS. CANDACE GRAMMOND: No, not at this		
25	point, Mr. Chairman.		
		307	
	THE CHAIRPERSON. I will adjourn the	307	
1	THE CHAIRPERSON: I will adjourn the proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday		
2	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday		
2	-		
2 3 4	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday		
2 3 4	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much.		
2 3 4 5	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much.		
2 3 4 5 6 7	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much.		
2 3 4 5 6 7	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	proceedings for today and will resume them on Thursday morning at 9:30. See you then. Thank you very much. Upon adjourning at 4:13 p.m. Certified Correct,		

PUB-MPI	GRA	FOR	2013/14	09-25-2012

L2 Page 79 of 161

	OR 2013/14 01	2012	Page /9 01 10	-
\$	220:11	<b>\$82</b> 239:8	277:18,23	289:8
\$1,000	<b>\$283</b> 178:13	<b>\$83</b> 237:15	278:17,19,	<b>103</b> 49:21
290:24	<b>\$286</b> 114:25	<b>\$900</b> 231:8	24 280:14	<b>10th</b> 294:12
<b>\$1,200</b> 302:7			283:7,19 287:23	295:9,21
\$1,249	<b>\$298</b> 213:3	<b>\$909</b> 304:16	288:7	<b>11</b> 304:23
207:14	\$3,000 220:4		298:15	
<b>\$10</b> 157:9	\$3,739	0	299:1,18	<b>1-1</b> 128:22
234:22	207:21	<b>0.3</b> 305:10	300:6,13	11 (c
<b>\$103</b> 304:11	\$3.9 213:1	06/'07	301:7,8 303:16	181:1,21
	<b>\$300</b> 115:1	114:23	306:13	<b>11,000</b> 220:5
<b>\$11,000</b> 220:3	238:21	07/'08	<b>1,558</b> 207:16	222:16
222:9,21	239:5	118:13		11,784
<b>\$12</b> 217:17	274:8		<b>1.3</b> 194:4	131:15
	275:1	1	<b>1.5</b> 131:2	211:4
<b>\$140</b> 131:11	276:10,12	<b>1</b> 1:24 35:17	197:3	<b>11:58</b> 187:15
<b>\$16.11</b> 302:3	\$34,000	101:3 105:12	<b>1.8</b> 185:14	<b>112</b> 51:21
<b>\$180</b> 268:15	220:8	106:16,19	194:4	<b>1-151</b> 61:9
<b>\$190</b> 156:8	<b>\$4</b> 132:18	116:3	<b>1/2</b> 210:11	<b>1-18</b> 38:15
245:25	<b>\$425</b> 178:17	127:3	219:11	
<b>\$195</b> 238:10	<b>\$45</b> 119:18	128:3,14,1	<b>1/3</b> 131:20	1-181
	<b>\$450</b> 152:16	5 134:8,11 146:15	211:1,17	128:15,22
\$2 154:1 230:25		148:15	213:16	<b>11th</b> 296:7
	<b>\$45M</b> 57:8	150:10	<b>1:15</b> 104:24	297:3
<b>\$2,000</b> 208:16	<b>\$5</b> 213:1	152:18	187:8,9,13	<b>12</b> 101:10
	<b>\$50</b> 134:2	156:18	1:19 187:16	132:20
<b>\$2,200</b> 232:2	<b>\$500</b> 217:15	172:17	<b>10</b> 136:11	139:5 197:4
\$2,500	302:6	175:16,17 188:19	157 <b>:</b> 8	198:23
100:20	<b>\$52.63</b> 132:1	191:25	174:4,8	208:7
<b>\$20</b> 157:12	214:5	192:12,23,	185:4	218:19,22
<b>\$200</b> 124:21	303:25	25 194:24	194:8 233:11	233:14,16
156:5,14,1	<b>\$56</b> 238:8	195:15,22	251:23	241:16
6 236:19	<b>\$60</b> 238:24	196:4,21 201:19,22	261:8	12,000
237:16	276:1	205:11	276:25	210:10
241:18 243:11	<b>\$600</b> 114:22	210:11	10,000	12,688
244:13		215:13	305:24	131:10
246:1	<b>\$65M</b> 56:9	220:18	10:30	<b>12,954</b> 131:1
258:8,21	<b>\$71</b> 119:17	230:24 231:21	136:1,14	12/'13
270:20	\$754.53	236:1	<b>10:50</b> 136:15	244:12
276:4 291:1	301:13	237:8	<b>100</b> 3:10	12:00
\$200,000	<b>\$8</b> 217:24	250 <b>:</b> 12	178:11	186:20,22
208:9	\$8,000	253:4	179:6	<b>12:15</b> 186:20
	220:12	263:9 264:9	219:11	<b>12:30</b> 186:20
<b>\$23,000</b> 219:25	<b>\$800</b> 153:25	267:13	237:8	<b>129</b> 91:17
	157:10	268:25	101	
<b>\$26,000</b> 219:23		276:11	288:11,20	12-foot
219:23				

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

			0010/11	
PUB-MPI	GRA	FOR	2013/14	09-25-2012

12 Page 80 of 161

FUB-MFI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-20-20-2	Page 80 OI 16	<sup>1</sup>
192:19	251 <b>:</b> 23	<b>19</b> 235:16	13:7,12,17	,25
<b>13</b> 10C-4	<b>160</b> 170.16	236:16	,22	52:7,13,19
<b>13</b> 186:4	<b>150</b> 178:15	274:12	14:7,12,17	,25
194:20	179:4		,22	53:7,13,19
226:16	194:21	<b>190</b> 246:17	15:8,13,18	,25
234:16	258:3	1919 235:22	,23	54:7,13,19
244:6,8	<b>1-50</b> 39:9	<b>193</b> 175:22	16:7,12,17	,25
268:24	<b>1-52</b> 39:15		,22	55:7,13,19
288:22	<b>1-32</b> 39.13	268:20	17:7,12,17	,25
301:6	<b>153</b> 174:17	<b>1-93</b> 49:3	,22	56:7,13,19
<b>13,000</b> 210:11	<b>1-58</b> 40:21	<b>1930s</b> 125:1	18:7,12,17	,25
	<b>159</b> 289:9,14	1956	,22	57:7,13,19
13,163	<b>15th</b> 101:5	262:10,17	19:7,12,17 ,22	,25 59,7 12 10
210:15		<b>1970</b> 150:5	,22 20:7,12,17	58:7,13,19 ,25
13,500	<b>16</b> 34:20		,22	, 59:7,13,19
202:6,9	295:14,18	<b>1971</b> 144:17	21:7,12,17	,25
<b>13/'14</b> 158:5	<b>160</b> 174:9	145:4,21	,22	60:7,13,19
235:19		146:5,9	22:7,12,17	,25
304:20,21	162	160:2	,22	61:7,13,19
	241:15,22	<b>1-98</b> 49:9	23:7,12,17	,25
<b>137</b> 3:17	243:17 265:12	<b>1988</b> 97:6	,22	62:7,13,19
<b>138</b> 289:15	267:16	140:20	24:7,12,17	<b>,</b> 25
<b>14</b> 5:12	268:5	260:20	36:7,13,19	63:7 <b>,</b> 13 <b>,</b> 19
35:11 84:4			,25	,25
101:23	162/'11	<b>1990</b> 34:17	37:7,13,19	64:7 <b>,</b> 13 <b>,</b> 19
128:18	163:12	105:20,25	,25	<b>,</b> 25
129:4	180:9	<b>1991</b> 98:20	38:7,13,19	65:7,13,19
235:19	16th 101:14	1002 000.00	,25	,25
289:17	104:16	<b>1993</b> 208:23	39:7,13,19	66:7,13,19
301:6	106:3,10	<b>1994</b> 152:11	,25	,25
	<b>17</b> 283:16	154:7	40:7,13,19	67:7,13,19
<b>14.3</b> 100:10		<b>1997</b> 96:10	,25	,25
<b>14/'15</b> 158:5	<b>170</b> 258:25		41:7,13,19	68:7,13,19
236:17	259:1	1998	,25	,25
237:14	283:19	160:2,3,14		84:10,16,2
238:10	172 287:25	1-metre	,25 43:7,13,19	2
14th 101:20	288:9	192:2,4		85:7,13,19
139:21	<b>17th</b> 104:16	<b>1st</b> 5:17,22	,25 44:7,13,19	,25 86:7,13,19
		6:7,12,17,	,25	,25
<b>15</b> 5:4	<b>18</b> 91:14	22	45:7,13,19	,23 87:7,13,19
35:14,17,2	184:8,9	7:7,12,17,	,25	92:9
0 132:20	<b>1-8</b> 85:21	22	46:7,13,19	99:23,24
139:21		8:7,12,17,	,25	101:13
153:11	18,000	22	47:7,13,19	131:4
160:21	206:13	9:7,12,17,	,25	152:3
187:11	<b>180</b> 259:2	22	48:7,13,19	219:5
188:10 206:11	<b>1-80</b> 46:3	10:8,13,18	,25	
206:11 219:2		,23	49:7,13,19	
231:15	<b>189</b> 3:20	, 11:7,12,17	,25	2
231:13	<b>18th</b> 101:14	,22	50:7,13,19	<b>2</b> 35:17
234.24	104:16	12:7,12,17	,25	92:21
200.10		,22	, 51:7,13,19	96:22
			; <u>;</u> ; , •	

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 81 of 16	1
100:8,19	<b>2.5</b> 185:13	209:22	99:22	206:11
101:5	<b>2:57</b> 261:12	231:6	122:12	<b>250</b> 290:18
105:19		293:23	157:23	
106:22,23	<b>20</b> 100:19	2010/'11	169:24	<b>2-52</b> 77:9
107:1	106:3	114:24	241:16	<b>25th</b> 101:14
108:14	109:10		<b>2014</b> 157:25	136:25
109:25	147:10	<b>2011</b> 28:4	<b>2015</b> 152:5	137:2
110:13	174:4	88:2,21 131:9		<b>26</b> 237:12
118:6	175:17 185:4	211:1,4	<b>205</b> 3:21	
124:20 128:4,16	205:22		<b>21</b> 196:2	26,000
133:5	236:1	<b>2011/12</b> 67:3	237:19	222:13,25
134:11	274:12	<b>2012</b> 1:23	2-1	<b>27</b> 131:16
137:19	293:11	5:4,12		169:16
144:7,18		34:17,20	128:17,25	211:7
153:1	20,000	35:4,9,11,	<b>2-11</b> 70:9	213:15
155:6	207:13	14,17,20	<b>212</b> 178:17	237:12
156:24	<b>200</b> 173:4	84:4 91:15		<b>2-78</b> 128:17
157:18,20,	194:21	101:5,20,2	<b>2-15</b> 91:3	129:1
22 158:1	200:14	4 102:23	<b>2-16</b> 70:15	27th
164:12	242:11,18	103:2	<b>217</b> 3:22	101:13,15
172:9	245:15	105:20,25		136:25
175:10,13	246:17	128:18	<b>2-17</b> 91:9	137:1
189:3	258:2,24	129:4	<b>21st</b> 199:18	
192:18	259:4	131:4	<b>22</b> 238:6,9	<b>28</b> 237:12
201:20,22	200,000	186:5		<b>2-8</b> 69:21
206:14	135:13	231:18 232:17	<b>2-2</b> 69:3	<b>28th</b> 101:13
207:2	2000	240:21	<b>2-23</b> 71:15	
215:5,18	176:6,19	242:13	<b>225</b> 3:23	<b>29</b> 35:4
231:4 234:24		267:6		237:13
234:24	<b>2003</b> 177:14	294:12	<b>2-28</b> 72:9	<b>291</b> 175:23
236:5	<b>2004</b> 144:20	295:9,21	<b>23</b> 137:2	<b>29th</b> 102:23
239:25	146:13,18	297:17		103:2
242:8	147:6	2012/'13	<b>23,000</b> 220:6	106:4,11
248:10	279:15	122:11	222:14,22	240:21
250:14	<b>2005</b> 178:25	174:16	<b>230</b> 3:24	242:13
253:7	184:14		258:25	297:17
257:23	2007	2013	<b>232</b> 4:5	
258:13	263:10,22	35:13,16,1		<b>2nd</b> 24:22
260:6	264:1	9 92:5,9	<b>23rd</b> 137:1	25:7,12,17 ,22
264:3		99:23,24 106:16,18,	<b>24</b> 137:2	26:7,12,17
265:22,24	<b>2008</b> 124:25	22,25	24,000	,22
266:2	2009	107:7	222:12	27:7,12,17
267:15	207:11,14	127:9		,22
275:3	236:4	132:18	<b>2-47</b> 76:21	28:7,12,17
278:11	263:10,23	152:1,3	<b>25</b> 1:23	,22
279:24	264:1,2	156:5	137:2	, 29:7,12,17
285:19	282:14	214:4	145:6	,22
290:22	287:1		223:19	30:7,12,17
293:1,24 300:6	2009/'10	<b>2013/14</b> 1:9	236:25	,22
	287 <b>:</b> 13	2013/'14	25,000	31:7,12,17
<b>2.244</b> 158:14	<b>2010</b> 179:21	92:18		,22

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 82 of 16	1
32:7,12,17	136:24	268:12	200:15	193:7
,22	137:2	<b>36</b> 120:2	<b>43</b> 206:4	197:5
33:7,12,17	139:10	239:11	235:25	211:9
,22	149:7,15		<b>44a</b> 29:10	<b>6.3</b> 100:9
34:7,12	164:12	<b>39</b> 35:9		60
69:7,13,19	179:21 181:21	<b>3rd</b> 101:13	<b>47d,e</b> 29:14	110:3,4,20
,25 70:7,13,19	196:19		<b>4x4</b> 207:12	152:9
,25	197:9	4		214:4
71:7,13,19	201:19	<b>4</b> 101:18	5	60,000
,25	207:2,21	106:16	<b>5</b> 3:3 100:6	202:17
72:7,13,19	231:18	108:1,9	106:21	
,25	246:6	122:11	118:4	<b>60:1</b> 212:24
73:7,13,19	250 <b>:</b> 17	129:7	172:18	<b>600</b> 200:15
,25	253:10	142:21	183:11	600,000
74:7,13,19	260:6	144:10 145:2	197:4,5,6	305:25
,25	268:7 280:1	149:6,16	198:10	
75:7,13,19 ,25	286:5	152:7	207:18	<b>61</b> 304:23
76:7,13,19	302:11,12	162:8	208:16,21	<b>6-1</b> 102:12
,25		174:21	217:12	<b>6-38</b> 102:12
77:7,13,19	<b>3,000</b> 222:15	181:20,21	218:25 219:10	<b>65</b> 206:1
,25	<b>3,192</b> 131:14	182:7	231:17	
78:7,13,19	211:7	188:20	235:23	<b>693</b> 131:18
,25	<b>3.3</b> 100:7	193:12	240:24	211:9
79:7,13,19	<b>3:09</b> 261:13	197:10	261:9	
,25		231:16	<b>5,000</b> 223:20	7
80:7,13,19	<b>30</b> 130:16	235:21,24 240:9,17		7 102:21
,25 81:7,13,19	154:4	240:9,17 244:1	<b>5.6</b> 127:9	153:25
,25	209:21	250:21	<b>50</b> 58:21	157:10
82:7,13,19	238:7,18,1 9 287:2,7	253:15	154:6	191:22
,25		272:24	179:6	197:5
83:7,13,19	30,000	278:4	206:3	289:17 292:1
,25 87:25	223:21	284:25	219:8	
88:7,13,19	<b>300</b> 200:14	285:4	223:16 234:12	<b>70</b> 152:9
,25	290:18	287:23		<b>71</b> 119:13
89:7,13,19	<b>307</b> 1:24 4:9	292:6,16 298:23	<b>5-1</b> 102:2	146:22
,25 90:7,13,19	<b>30th</b> 287:1		<b>5-12</b> 112:18	147:6
,25		<b>4:00</b> 93:6	<b>52</b> 10:11	288:10
91:7,13	<b>31</b> 37:3	<b>4:13</b> 307:5	<b>55</b> 238:24	289:7
	<b>3-1</b> 106:2	<b>40</b> 130:16	274:1	<b>75</b> 178:14
3	<b>3-2</b> 106:2	175:17		<b>77</b> 174:17
<b>3</b> 97:12	<b>3-3</b> 106:2	217:5	<b>5-77</b> 102:2	79/'12
101:1,7		235:18		103:6,8
104:11	<b>330</b> 1:21	236:2	6	<b>7th</b> 231:18
107:4,8	<b>34</b> 209:23	247:13	<b>6</b> 7:14 107:4	/ CH 231,10
111:15	239:6	270:12 275:12	118:5	
128:19	34,000		127:1	8
132:18	222:24	<b>40.8</b> 236:6	131:19 182:14	<b>8</b> 103:4
133:5 134:15	<b>35</b> 209:21	264:3	184:1	110:12 184:24
T.24.T.2		<b>400</b> 1:21		104.24

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 83 of 16	51
193:24	93:6	254:6	140:17	159:16
242:1,14	136:14,15	256:9	accountant	162:19
292:14	187 <b>:</b> 15	272:8	111:20	170:9
<b>80</b> 130:15	A1.9 7:8,13	access	278:10	195:24,25
174:8		143:8,10,1	281:11	196:11,14
209:20	ability	6 145:6	201.11	203:6
283:6,15	195:4	193:19	accountants	214:1
	248:18		173:14	215:24
800 174:7	280:19	accident	accounted	224:20
<b>801</b> 289:20	286:6	116:5,9 130:23,24	211:5,8	256:9 301:25
804 287:22	<b>able</b> 168:19	193:15	accounting	303:21
<b>81</b> 265:12	169:11	201:3	95:19	
	192:23	210:5	111:16	acting 92:24
267:16	208:20	214:21	147:21	224 <b>:</b> 19
268:8	233:24	218:8	157:1	actionable
<b>85</b> 209:22	236:9	220:9,22	247:17,22	150:15
<b>850</b> 199:15	272:17	222:19	248:2	
201:23	286:12	226:6	278:12	actions
	absence	227:8,11	accuracy	114:8
<b>88</b> 288:21	123:15	231:20	248:24	143:25
289:9	absolutely	accidents		172:21 234:18
	128:14	130:19	accurate	
9	140:20	209:10,16	110:7	active
<b>9</b> 103:10	155:13	212:9	249:7	109:11
225:19	157:25	212:5	accurately	121:11
226:17	162:24	225:19	192:6	159 <b>:</b> 17
233:3	224:10	226:4,13,1	248:3	195:7,8,17
304:23	225:3	7,21	294:18	200:5
<b>9:30</b> 92:1	256:14	231:22	achieve	actively
93:6 307:3	265:8		141:2	115:11
	270:8	accordance	255:2	162:6
<b>90</b> 153:18	274:15	92:10		238:4
<b>900</b> 199:15	297 <b>:</b> 9	173:19	acknowledge	activities
<b>909</b> 304:25	<b>ac</b> 240:20	240:20	130:1	120:7
909 304:25		according	acknowledged	144:13
90s 263:4	academic	159:15	121:10	162:10
<b>92</b> 3:5	164:15	210:8	acknowledges	
	<b>accept</b> 93:12	211:2	263:20	activity
<b>95</b> 3:6	acceptable	218:3		163:8
175:15	286:13	254:22	acknowledgin	<b>actual</b> 15:4
<b>97</b> 3:7		258:20,21 279:11	<b>g</b> 121:16	40:10 84:6
<b>97.5</b> 175:15	acceptance		acronyms	100:12
	157:6	accordingly	172:4	117:4
976	268:18	282:8	across	119:1
287:16,22	accepted	account	141:20,21	157:5
289:21	138:4	113:11	183:16	165:2
<b>98</b> 3:8	173:19	125:14	212:4	166:9
<b>99</b> 3:9	218:19	131:13,18		173:3
	219:22	Accountabili	act 92:12	174:24 181:1
	240:20		140:12	181:1 184:7,20
Α	248:6	<b>ty</b> 92:12	143:6	213:9
<b>a.m</b> 92:1	253:6	accountable	144:21	213:9

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 84 of 16	1
274:17	112:1	193:23	218:13	242:24
305:20	115:15	197:19	admonition	advisor
actually	153:8,10	230:20	126:25	95:19,22
111:22	172:16	271:11		157:1
139:21,22	233:4	284:23	adopt 156:3	
152:20	240:8	294:20	244:10,16	advisors
154:5	253:8	299:7	298:1,18	95:19
157:3	254:17,21	addressing	adopted	124:24
159:22	257:7,14	114:15	177:2	170:18
162:23	264:22	197:12	179:1	advocate
163:1,7	<b>ad</b> 108:15	adequacy	284:18,21	97:7
169:8	<b>adapt</b> 286:12	172:1,7	285:5	227:24
170:7,8	_	172:1,7	<b>ads</b> 191:8	228:2
181:7	adaptable	232:24		affairs 97:2
192:5	286:9	244:11	<b>adult</b> 206:9	99:1
207:4	<b>add</b> 199:10	265:3	advanced	
235:2	223:13	268:2	277:4	affect 120:6
247:6			admantage	121:4
260:5	added 221:2	adequate	advantage 266:7	202:4
275:3	223:25	268:6	200:7	216:4
283:4	addition	adequately	adverse	234:20
287:14	93:22	299:7	126:21	affected
304:19	104:11,20	a dh a sa d	172:12,19	216:5
305:1	131:17	adhered	175:15	affects
actuarial	211:7	293:14	234:1	111:4
45:20 77:8	255:13	<pre>adjourn 93:6</pre>	235:10,11	
82:2 95:22	additional	187:12	236:21	Affidavit
104:2	101:18	307:1	238:17	34:19
111:16	118:23	adjourning	239:25	35:3,8
115:21	127:3	307:5	241:7	affidavits
151:10	147:13,19,		242:19	106:2,8
173:12,19	20 193:20	adjust	246:13	affluent
212:18	211:22	243:15	247:8,16	
233:4	293:12	250:21	248:12	208:18
239:13	294:17,22,	adjusted	252:17	afford
240:20	25 297:13	207:18	254:10	206:13
253:1,5,6,	299:9	251:20	255:15,25	217:22
9,13,14	address	adjuster	257:21	afraid
254:1,6,15	103:20	220:1,2	265:4,18 267:18	197:23
,22 255:12	149:5,14	222:4	267:18	
256:9	156:22		271:17,22,	after-market
258:20	181:24	adjustments	24	303:13
259:2	188:12	51:22		afternoon
actuaries	189:4	100:2	advertisemen	104:24
173:7,11	224:17	administerin	<b>ts</b> 198:25	187:18
182:15	229:2	g	advice	189:11
183:4	271:13	144:15,21	119:15	205:6
252:19	282:21	145:1	124:10	217:3
	291:22		128:12	261:5
<b>actuary</b> 62:15	299:12	administrati	196:12	against
62:15 82:14		<b>on</b> 45:8	259:5	124:23
96:17	addressed	108:15		192:25
90:11	123:24	163:6	<b>advise</b> 216:3	100.20

### PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 85 of 161

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 85 of 16	
<b>ago</b> 141:10	airbags	91:10	292:13,16	32:19
147:10	141:8	94:12		181:13,16
157:3,7,8,		104:4	allocators	186:4,5
14 158:20	<b>Alcid</b> 3:23	123:5,17,1	278:7	232:17
160:22	225:15,17,	8 138:3	281:17,18	245:25
	18	148:9,13,1	282:23	245:25
179:21	226:15,20,		292:9	
195:5,6	25	5 149:19	<b>allow</b> 249:10	0 247:3
205:22	227:6,13,1	154:13 156:2	271:16	249:15 273:5
206:5	7,20			2/3:5
226:14	228:2,5,10	164:8,18	allowable	amending
230:24	,16,21,24	165:1,12,1	241:9	132:9
251:23	229:7,14,1	6	allowed	amendments
257:5	7,20,23	206:21,25	199:21	
260:8	230:2,5	276:20	199:21	215:24
293:11		277:9,11,1	allowing	America
agreed	aligning	5	135:23	218:15
222:10	150:13	278:2,14,1	allows	3
269:20	aligns	5	183:15	American
270:4	168:12	279:1,6,13	102:12	196:3
		280:9	alocation	<b>among</b> 294:6
Agreement	allocate	281:5,11,1	48:15	
55:22	148:25	5	<b>alone</b> 164:1	amongst
<b>ahead</b> 194:16	279:10	282:5,9,12	a101e 104:1	213:23
	282:8	,18,25	alongside	amount
<b>AI</b> 180:25	288:16	283:18,20,	194:3	158:19
181:20	289:11	23	already	175:6
295:17	290:13	284:21,22	145:1	182:12
AI.16 286:24	301:17,23	285:6,15		202:16
	allocated	286:1,7,20	153:6 294:23	218:12
AI-11 172:8	113:7	,25		219:8
174:21	121:23	287:9,11	<b>alter</b> 266:25	237:3
181:7,9,21	213:14	288:1,8,12	alternate	243:3
186:4	224:8	,20	254:24	245:13,25
244:6,7		289:8,19,2	255:25	281:19,22
268:25	279:23	3 291:18		290:19
AI-11(c	282:4	292:25	265:17	301:23
180:23	283:4	293:14,18	268:12	304:7,18
	285:21	294:3,5,18	alternative	504.7,10
AI-16	289:15,16,	296:9,12,1	243:14	amounts
277:14,18	17,18,22	5 297:16	260:11	241:23
284:25	290:21	298:2,12,2	alternativel	248:4
291:25	291:14	1		268:4
292:6	292:18		<b>y</b> 175:16	287:10,12
293:22	302:25	allocations	259:13	288:3
294:11	303:16	164:13	<b>am</b> 92:21	analogous
295:14,15	allocating	165:2	94:23 99:1	211:13
296:8,15	147:17	252:2	159:25	
298:5	148:7	298:25	173:12	analyses
AI-7 139:10		allocator	189:12	271:8
	allocation	281:21,24	190:1	analysing
144:7 147:8	8:14 13:19	288:25		126:7
14/:0	14:4,9,13	289:23	amalgamating	
aiming	15:10 49:8	290:4,9,10	146:12	analysis
186:22	80:9,14	290.4,9,10	amended	13:8 20:3
	89:21			

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 86 of 16	1
32:3 64:3	176:10	apologize	118:15	264:5
112:9	185:8,11,1	137:3	127:4	appreciates
124:3,4	3,14	237:18	139:11	134:23
125:22	207:19	apparent	140:5,6	
165:7	answer	286:1	158:6	appreciating 146:24
171:24,25	168:12	1	205:9	146:24
173:17	220:16	<b>appeal</b> 227:3,11	249:4	appreciation
174:19,20	247:5	229:3	277:25	115:14
175:4,20,2 2 176:3,6	290:11	231:9	282:16 300:12	<b>appri</b> 177:19
177:6	answers			
180:5	134:10	appear	applications	approach
182:23	135:5	111:19	95:16	94:19,23
183:9,25		112:1	290:1	115:18 125:18,19
184:7	<b>Anti</b> 20:13	118:12	applied 92:8	149:17,23
200:24	21:3	125:25	100:2	165:7
203:4	anticipate	296:20	103:21,25	176:14,19
212:18	259 <b>:</b> 23	APPEARANCES	138:4	177:5,15
244:14	anticipated	2:1	209:24	179:23
245:9	104:19	appeared	224:21	183:18
251:13	179:2	112:10	251:25	205:12
252:7			305:13	239:2
254:5	Anti-theft	appearing	306:9	245:4,11,1
262:11	303:12	224:19	applies	6,19,20
268:19	anybody	appears	177:19	249:22
272:3	197 <b>:</b> 16	119:19	210:24	252:7
analytically	230:10,11	120:10	221:14	255:1
112:20	anymore	122:10	<b>apply</b> 158:2	257:8
analyze	146:3	133:7	248:12	262:21
174:13	<b>OF</b> 14	263:10	250:12	271:10
	<b>anyone</b> 95:14 103:12	267:24	286:6	272:13
analyzed	143:11	Appendix	305:12	279:9
240:22	145:11	175:5		292:20
analyzes		297:4	applying	appropriate
172:9	anything	applicable	99:19,24	94:4 98:12
<b>and/or</b> 216:2	140:24	281:25	138:22 139:19	108:3
	148:17	302:23	159:19	123:16
Andrea	196:18	303:17	177:16	126:22
111:25	199:10,17 224:14		294:17	128:9
<b>Anita</b> 1:17	224:14	<b>application</b> 1:8 34:24		133:15
92:22	anyways	35:13,16,1	appointed	147:12
annual 6:8	105:10	9	153:10	165:6,9
37:2 39:8	anywhere	92:7,16,20	221:19	179:12
110:21	146:3	93:12	233:4	183:20,24
139:11,12	157:12	100:3	253:8 257:7,14	239:15 241:20
144:7,8,11	192:4	106:16,18,		241:20 250:10
,12 145:7	223:24	22,25	appreciably	252:23
147:15	<b>apart</b> 145:25	107:7	160:4	260:24
153:14,24,	276:9	112:21	appreciate	261:6
25 155:8		115:3,4	204:1	262:17
157:10	apologies	116:20	215:22	269:17
174:12	242:15	117:13	262:19	284:13

PUB-MPI GRA E	FOR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 87 of 10	51
appropriatel	219:18	98 <b>:</b> 1	252:2	182:8
<b>y</b> 250:21	223:23	276:24	304:7	associate
298:21	224:2	articulated	assets 47:9	92:24
approval	arbitrations	110:18	73:21	associated
92:8,17	219:16	140:4	164:18	34:14
99:20	arbitrator	<b>aside</b> 190:18	166:13	105:13,16
100:16	223:24	215:5	177:17	125:15
140:21		270:23	185:10,12	133:11
169:24	archaic		243:2	213:22
272:25	201:16,25	<b>aspect</b> 138:3	294:5	254:16
273:6,7,12	<b>area</b> 130:3	142:22	assign	283:10
277:11	147:22	143:19	279:10	Association
approve	170:16	239:21 256:24	287:18	36:2,8,14,
142:13	223:10	200:24	assignable	20 20
147:2	276:20	aspects	287:23	37:2,8,14,
155:24,25	277:1	114:2	288:9,10,1	20
156:1	280:21	116:1	2	38:2,8,14,
169:12	283:11	142:11,21		20
267:13	288:16	144:4	assigned	39:2,8,14,
277:15	289:12	151:5	113:6	20
299:3	<b>areas</b> 233:12	247:21	121:22	40:2,8,14,
approved	250:13	assemblance	165:24	20
148:9	argument	269:18	214:2 282:2	41:2,8,14,
278:25	123:9	assess	283:8,12,1	20
279:5,7	127:10	174:13	6 285:13	42:2,8,14,
293:13	269:14	256:15	287:25	20
approving		264:23	288:4,7,21	43:2,8,14,
143:1	arguments 93:9	265:3	,23 289:5	20
	109:12	271:8	304:8	44:2,8,14,
approximate	270:1	assesses	assigning	20
247:11		172:9	212:3	45:2,8,14,
283:7	<b>arise</b> 104:7			20
approximatel	arising	assessing	<b>assin</b> 126:18	46:2,8,14,
<b>y</b> 93:6	171:5	262:8,18	<b>assist</b> 94:20	20 47:2,8,14,
235:23	<b>arm</b> 203:7	assessment	118:24	20
236:12		164:23	119:2	48:2,8,14,
241:15	<b>arm's</b> 163:17	172:11	132:13	20
243:17	164:1	239:16	137:18	49:2,8,14,
247:13	<b>arose</b> 181:24	250:13	185:23	20
283:19	arrive	262:22	232:25	50:2,8,14,
April 152:8	247:15	265:16	assistant	20
217:18	304:14	275:15	159:19	51:2,8,14,
<b>apt</b> 281:22		<b>asset</b> 66:20	160:19	20
arbitrarines	arrived	69:20	162:3,17	52:2,8,14,
	264:14	159:4	163:4	20
<b>S</b>	265:21	162:14	165:23,24	53:2,8,14,
273:19,21	<b>arrows</b> 197:3	164:13	166:10,20	20
arbitrary	articled	165:1,2,11	167:18,22	54:2,8,14,
172:15	276:24	,12,16	170:6	20 55•2 8 14
183:23		167:12	assists	55:2,8,14, 20
arbitration	articling	177:21		20
L	1			

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 88 of 10	51
56:2,8,14,	83:2,8,14,	298:11	attributes	24 142:6
20	20 98:8	athlete	10:20	144:5,19
57:2,8,14,	99:8	199:23	284:21	153:18,23
20	189:14,16		285:5	207:9
58:2,8,14,	199:9,13,1	athletic	<b>ATV</b> 211:20	209:13,21
20	4	200:6		210:23
59:2,8,14,	assume	attached	<b>audit</b> 5:14	245:5,6
20	237:23	34:20	24:18	automobiles
60:2,8,14,	241:17,20	35:4,9	62:14 67:2	133:24
20		220:19,24,	68 <b>:</b> 16	190:16
61:2,8,14,	assumed	25 223:2,5	147:16	197:5
20	144:20	296:14	277:1	201:15
62:2,8,14,	175:14		293:1	209:10
20	251:25	attachment	294:14,22	212:11
63:2,8,14,	268:3	286:24	296:10	
20	assumes	293:22	299:9	Autopac
64:2,8,14,	174:10,25	295:4,8,14	audited	140:21
20	185:6	,18,19,20	147:8,25	143:5
65:2,8,14,		298:5	148:8,10	144:16,19
20	assuming	attempt	293:5,10	147:3,22
66:2,8,14,	252:8	174:13		151:18
20	263:25	176:7	auditors	153:19
67:2,8,14,	assumption	247:25	147:12	156:4
20	185:8	attempted	148:4	168:22
68:2,8,14,	214:16	133:20	282:24	176:15
20	263:6	239:16	292:24	225:20
69:2,8,14,	assumptions		293:1,4	226:7,8
20	12:5 27:14	attempting	294:21	255:15
70:2,8,14,	124:16	245:21	<b>audits</b> 299:9	259:14
20	182:11	attempts	August 5:12	279:4
71:2,8,14,	241:3	175:6	35:4,9,11	auto-theft
20	247:16,19	attend	101:20,23	251 <b>:</b> 15
72:2,8,14, 20	248:15	187:20	106:3,4,10	<b>avail</b> 218:19
73:2,8,14,	253:18	107.20	,11 131:4	
20	255:19,22	attended		available
74:2,8,14,	274:23	97:5	authority 147:22	92:14 93:4
20	assurance	attention	160:20	110:8
75:2,8,14,	145:22	121:8	166:21	221:4
20	147:20	136:23	167:22	227:24
76:2,8,14,	148:9	196:19	107:22	251:13
20	140.9	217:10	<b>auto</b> 139:2	257:3
77:2,8,14,	assure	242:2	140:3	283:14 296:21
20	141:13	276:2	141:3	
78:2,8,14,	148:17	attentively	245:3	<b>aveal</b> 218:18
20	169:6	204:6	255:4	avenue 1:21
79:2,8,14,	204:5		270:10,21	202:17
20	assured	attractivene	automaticall	227:19
80:2,8,14,	147:21	<b>ss</b> 214:7	<b>y</b> 143:13	
20	148:5	attribute	automobile	<b>avenues</b> 227 <b>:</b> 5
81:2,8,14,	247:3	281:12	130:17	
20	267:15	attributed	139:24	average 17:8
82:2,8,14,	asterisk	281:22	141:13,21,	58:23
20		201.22	,,	202:17

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 89 of 16	51
212:25	balancing	305:10,11,	289:12,16,	212:17
216:4	142:10,16	23	22	bearing
217:15	154:16	baseline	290:20,24	113:17
252:8	165:3	119:7	291:3,5,13	
278:5	bandwagon		292 <b>:</b> 19	<b>bears</b> 139:18
286:18	116:18	<b>basic</b> 14:15	293:8,9,13	<b>beats</b> 129:18
292:16		28:18	<b>,</b> 15	
298:23	Bank 263:3	39:14 40:2	297:11,14,	<b>beauty</b> 260:2
301:12	<b>banks</b> 270:13	52:8 54:10	15 <b>,</b> 25	became
304:21	h	56:9 61:8	303:2	276:24
306:6	banner	82:8 111:5	basically	<b>become</b> 129:7
averaging	133:20	113:7,11	126:5	150:14
292:7	<b>base</b> 32:18	121:23	158:19	199:22
	179:1	122:9,24	175:20	200:5
<b>avoid</b> 133:2	233:25	123:10,14,	179:8	207:24
aware 107:11	234:2,8,14	22	184:2,8	284:3
114:21	,25 235:2	139:12,15	200:9	204.5
121:12	236:19	140:21	205:11	becomes
303:12	247:21	142:13	234:5	108:8
	248:25	143:2,5	251:9	141:15
awareness	249:6	144:15	255:23	144:9
111:6 149:11	<b>based</b> 92:19	145:21	263:15	becoming
149:11	99:25	147:2,9,14	264:4	141:18
<b>away</b> 143:11	110:18	,22,24	268:3	277:3
226:22	130:12	148:1,11	305:14	
259:6	140:6	149:10		befoozle
297:24	175:22	153:19,24	basics	218:23
	176:18	156:4	170:15	<b>begin</b> 93:5
В	184:6	168:10,22	<b>basis</b> 110:21	99:3
background	203:10	169:24	124:21	170:12
103:21	212:6	170:20	133:23	287:15
130:14	214:20	171:9	154:25	beginning
138:24	233:16	176:15	169:8	144:20
205:25	235:23	178:17	177:25	144:20
276:22	237:5,25	179:12	178:1	
278:11,13	241:22	213:25	180:1,10	233:2
	243:9	240:1	206:6	235:19 289:21
backroom	245:16	241:6	233:18	209:21
297:23	248:10	242:12,14	236:20,24	<b>behalf</b> 97:7
<b>backs</b> 94:16	251:11,22	244:20,22	238:4	108:25
	253:4	245:1,22 249:3	245:3	159:14
<b>bad</b> 132:25	254:24,25	249:3 255:15	247:3	203:3
133:1	256:2	255:15	264:18	205:15
237:9	259:6,20	256:16	267:21	behaviour
238:5	264:25	257:16,20	268:22	150:23
<b>bag</b> 117:17	267:24	259:14,24 260:10	280 <b>:</b> 23	
<b>bake</b> 175:20	280:12	260:10 270:10	300:12,15	behind
Dake 1/3:20	281:5,18	270:10	301:3,22	186:17
balance	282:10,15,	280:2,10,1	305:7	193:5
154:18,22	25	280:2,10,1 8,22,24	battle	believe
305:14	301:16,22	8,22,24 281:1	220:10	97:5,15
balances	302:10	281:1 283:13		98:15
126:15	304:18	287:19	<b>bear</b> 150:6	134:13
120.10	*	201.19		

PUB-MPI GRA E	FOR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 90 of 10	61
140:16	benefit 12:8	203:22	218:5	104:3,22
141:1	41:14	217:25	billion	105:11
145:13	59:14	223:7	154:2	107:20
146:4,17	124:12	284:17	158:14	109:7,25
150:8,16	149:16,24	290:6,9	283:7,19	112:10,13
155:11	183:13	beyond		113:4
157:3		135:10	287:17	114:2,3,9,
165:6	Benefit/		binder	21 115:22
166:9	Other	161:18	296:20	116:16
168:11	116:5,9	186:4	binders	117:7,9,12
169:6	benefited	196:13		118:25
183:8	149:25	bicyces/ATV	296:4	119:14
186:23		91:3	Birds 200:10	121:17
187:7	benefiting		<b>b</b> : t 100 F	122:4
209:1,8,22	94:24	bicycle	<b>bit</b> 108:5	124:12
210:24	benefits	190:13	110:2	124:12
210:24	30:4 51:9	192:2,11,1	114:7	
,	53:3 90:9	3,17	123:9	127:5
237:24	91:2	193:1,7	138:22,23	128:3,7
245:12,20	130:24	198:11,14	139:13	129:25
249:17		225:21	140:8,15	130:8
250:10	140:2,3	bicycles	143:18	134:7,12
252:22,23	151:25	193:13	153:4	137:18,19
260:12	210:6,23		160:12	138:5,11
269:1	211:17	196:4	176:8	140:17
271:12	226:1	210:21	186:16	142:8,12,2
274:12	250:9	bicycling	193:9	5 147:2,20
284:2,9,12	274:20	198:20	205:25	155:22,23,
,16 285:8	291:13	h :	210:9	25
291:2,4	Bener 116:9	bicyclist	243:23	156:1,3,15
298:19		192:17	247:7	157:6 <b>,</b> 13
299:7,15	<b>beside</b> 98:9	bicyclist's	258:17,18	159:13,22
believed	189:6	193:1	269:23	161:10,15
	191:13,17		275:21	163:12
144:24	192:12	<b>bigger</b> 154:4	283:22	165:15
145:5	194:14	biggest	285:22	169:5,12,1
147:19	197:17	125:10	292:7	5
believes	<b>best</b> 116:21	270:21	305:1	170:17,18
150:20	119:2			171:22
262:9,16	124:22	bike	<b>blank</b> 121:6	172:4
271:15	125:19	189:12,16	board	173:17,23
272:5		191:18	1:3,14,15,	174:2
292:15	140:7	195:9,13	16,17,20	176:4
	143:22	198:22	2:2	177:10
<b>belong</b> 225:5	145:5	200:13	2:2 92:5,12,21	178:24
belongs	176:15	201:2,9		170:24
228:18	218:2	202:11	,24,25	1/9:8,19,2
	220:13,14	219:21,22,	93:16,22,2	
benchmarking	229:11	24 220:6,8	5 94:2	180:19,24
16:3,8,13	257:8	222:3,5,21	95:15,20,2	181:8,22
94:9 117:9	better	<b>bikes</b> 198:6	2 96:22	182:9,19
118:21,23,	123:20	199:25	97:20	183:15,22
24	155:12		98:17,20	184:19
benchmarks	191:3	200:2	99:20	185:24
104:4	194:24	202:19	102:1,12	186:3
	171.21	210:20	103:5	188:2,3,4

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 91 of 161

PUD-MPI GRA FC	51( 2013/14 0	2012	Page 91 01 10	
189 <b>:</b> 17	295:1,4	19	bother	49:4,10,16
195:3	297:14			
		30:4,9,14,	298:18	,22
203:18	298:4,18	19	Botting 1:16	50:4,10,16
205:6	299:3,6,22	31:4,9,14,	92:23	,22
216:2	Board's	19	97:19	51:4,10,16
221:17,19,		32:4,9,14,		,22
22 224:19	5:6,14,19	19	138:19	52:4,10,16
227:11,12,	6:4,9,14,1	33:4,9,14,	bottom	,22
16	9	19 34:4,9	119:11	53:4,10,16
230:15,20,	7:4,9,14,1		126:1	,22
	9	92:13,14		
22	8:4,9,14,1	101:5	191:2	54:4,10,16
232:16,25	9	102:2,12	197:9	,22
234:11	9:4,9,14,1	114:14	233:16	55:4,10,16
239:21	9	147:22	239:6	,22
240:12	10:5,10,15	156:6	287:24	56:4,10,16
242:7,11,2		180:8	298:11	,22
4 243:5,21	,20	186:19	302:14	57:4,10,16
246:21,24	11:4,9,14,	215:21	306:4,8	,22
240:21,24	19	233:15		58:4,10,16
248:23	12:4,9,14,	234:9,15	<b>bought</b> 206:5	
	19		<b>boy</b> 224:22	,22 50.4 10 10
249:4,13	13:4,9,14,	242:2		59:4,10,16
250:14,17	19	243:5	BPR 53:14	,22
251:1	14:4,9,14,	244:4	branch 98:8	60:4,10,16
252:24	19	254:24		,22
253:11,21	15:4,10,15	<b>bodily</b> 57:20	159:20	61:4,10,16
255:25	,20	77:14 78:9	163:9	,22
256:6			167:19	62:4,10,16
257:24	16:4,9,14,	81:20	Branch's	,22
258:2,10,2	19	211:11	36:4,10,16	, 63:4,10,16
2,23	17:4,9,14,	212:9	,22	,22
259:5,12	19	<b>body</b> 152:16		64:4,10,16
261:5	18:4,9,14,	161:20	37:4,10,16	
	19	162:13	,22	,22
266:18	19:4,9,14,	102:13	38:4,10,16	65:4,10,16
267:12,13,	19	<b>bond</b> 167:19	,22	,22
15	20:4,9,14,	1	39:4,10,16	66:4,10,16
268:3,11,1	19	bonds	,22	,22
3,23		159:8,9	40:4,10,16	67:4,10,16
271:7,21,2	21:4,9,14,	160:4,8	,22	,22
4	19	167:12,16	41:4,10,16	68:4,10,16
272:7,11,2	22:4,9,14,	book	,22	,22
5	19		,22 42:4,10,16	69:4,10,16
273:7,8,11	23:4,9,14,	103:11,15		,22
	19	223:12	,22	
,12,15	24:4,9,14,	booklet	43:4,10,16	70:4,10,16
274:22	19	198:21	,22	,22
275:16	25:4,9,14,		44:4,10,16	71:4,10,16
276:21	19	<b>books</b> 158:18	,22	,22
277:10,14,	26:4,9,14,	<b>Booth</b> 98:18	45:4,10,16	72:4,10,16
17 279:5,6	19	BOOM 90:10	,22	,22
282:22		boring	46:4,10,16	73:4,10,16
284:25	27:4,9,14,	112:15	,22	,22
286:20,23	19			74:4,10,16
	28:4,9,14,	<b>borne</b> 188:23	47:4,10,16	
290:1	19	<b>borrow</b> 215:9	,22	,22
0.01 15 04	-			
291:17,24 294:17	29:4,9,14,	DOLLOW 213.9	48:4,10,16 ,22	75:4,10,16 ,22

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 92 of 16	51
76:4,10,16	295:11,25	<b>build</b> 168:19	282:3,6,8	111:9
,22	297:19	223:17	283:9	114:12
77:4,10,16	298:7	237:4	284:14	128:15,16,
,22	300:8	building	285:14,21,	18,21,24
78:4,10,16	briefed	301:4	23	129:7
,22	170:17		287:19,24	CAC/Manitoba
79:4,10,16	huisfing	built 185:16	288:1,4,5,	97:22
,22	briefing 113:25	251:11,25	11,13,22,2	109:19
80:4,10,16		<b>bulk</b> 235:9	4	110:1,12,2
,22 81:4,10,16	briefly	bullet	289:6,10,1 1,16	4 127:5
,22	151:6	113:5,9	290:21	128:4,5
82:4,10,16	bring 113:18		290.21	CAC/
,22	133:9	bulletins	294:7,8	Manitoba's
83:4,10,16	138:9	89:9	299:14	111:13
,22	169:23	bullets	306:22	
	196:19	285:4		CAC/MPI-1-1
branding	257:10	bumper	businesses	36:2
203:20	brings 112:8	228:11,13	151:8	CAC/MPI-1-10
<b>break</b> 93:8	153:3		278:18,21,	38:8
136:8,11	288:14	<b>bunch</b> 239:11	23 281:16	CAC/
168:23	289:4	burden	<b>busy</b> 298:10	MPI-1-100
186:24		207:25	<b>buy</b> 94:15	49:20
187:5	British	bureaucratic	222:5,21	
243:23	190:19	ally		CAC/
261:5	brochure	143:25	buyback	MPI-1-104
break-even	221:7		219:14	50:2
157:11,14	brochures	business	220:6	CAC/
158:5,6	220:19	18:13 54:8	buybacks	MPI-1-105
Brian 95:21		66:2	24:3	50:8
	<b>broker</b> 51:2	111:5,10	buying	CAC/
bridge	75:2 149:4,12	113:8,11 119:24	302:19	MPI-1-106
225:24		121:24		50:14
brief 95:9	Brokers 99:8	122:17,25	<b>Byron</b> 2:6	
109:7,8,9	brought	123:13,22	3:7 91:16 97:18,20	CAC/
114:12	109:22	139:24	107:19	MPI-1-107
135:1	136:22	144:10	108:10	50:20
139:7	176:5	145:2,3,19	129:15,18	CAC/
156:10	217:10	147:17	297:1	MPI-1-108
158:8	218:25	148:8,16		51:2
181:4,11	223:20	149:6,16		CAC/
186:8	254:3	150:1	C	MPI-1-109
204:14,19	257:4	187:20	<b>CA</b> 276:24	51:8
205:10	284:1,12	217:5,7	<b>CAA</b> 2:10	CAC/MPI-1-11
216:22 230:7	<b>budget</b> 18:10	275:25	97:4 99:2	38:14
230:7	56:21	278:3,16,2	109:5	
232:5,19	287:12	4	134:21,23	CAC/
246:9	budgeting	279:17,23,	CAC 2:6 84:2	MPI-1-110
277:20	67:8	25	104:19	51:14
285:1	127:6,13,1	280:2,13,1 5	107:18,25	CAC/
287:4	9,20		108:9	MPI-1-111
292:3	156:21,24	281:2,13,2 3,25	110:6,18	51:20
		5,25		

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 93 of 16	51
CAC/	MPI-1-128	59:14	CAC/	MPI-1-175
MPI-1-113	55:20	CAC/	MPI-1-160	67:8
52:2	CAC/	MPI-1-144	63 <b>:</b> 14	CAC/
CAC/	MPI-1-129	59:20	CAC/	MPI-1-176
MPI-1-114	56:2	CAC/	MPI-1-161	67:14
52 <b>:</b> 8	CAC/	MPI-1-145	63:20	CAC/
CAC/	MPI-1-130	60:2	CAC/	MPI-1-177
MPI-1-115	56:8	CAC/	MPI-1-162	67:20
52:14	CAC/	MPI-1-146	64:2	CAC/
CAC/	MPI-1-131	60:8	CAC/	MPI-1-178
MPI-1-116	56:14	CAC/	MPI-1-163	68:2
52 <b>:</b> 20	CAC/	MPI-1-147	64:8	CAC/
CAC/	MPI-1-132	60:14	CAC/	MPI-1-179
MPI-1-117	56:20	CAC/	MPI-1-164	68:8
53:2	CAC/	MPI-1-148	64:14	CAC/
CAC/	MPI-1-133	60:20	CAC/	MPI-1-180
MPI-1-118	57:2		MPI-1-165	68:14
53:8	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-149	64:20	CAC/
CAC/	MPI-1-134	61:2	CAC/	MPI-1-181
MPI-1-119	57:8		MPI-1-166	68:20
53:14	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-150	65:2	CAC/MPI-1-19
CAC/	MPI-1-135	61:8	CAC/	38:20
MPI-1-120	57:14		MPI-1-167	
53:20	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-152	65:8	<b>CAC/MPI-1-2</b> 36:8
CAC/	MPI-1-136	61:14	CAC/	
MPI-1-121	57:20		MPI-1-168	CAC/MPI-1-20
54:2	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-153	65:14	39:2,8
CAC/	MPI-1-137	61:20	CAC/	CAC/MPI-1-3
MPI-1-122	58:2		MPI-1-169	36:14
54:8	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-154	65:20	CAC/MPI-1-4
CAC/	MPI-1-138	62:2	CAC/	36:20
MPI-1-123	58:8		MPI-1-170	CAC/MPI-1-5
54:14	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-155	66:2	37:2
CAC/	MPI-1-139	62:8	CAC/	CAC/MPI-1-51
MPI-1-124	58:14		MPI-1-171	39:14
54:20	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-156	66:8	CAC/MPI-1-53
CAC/	MPI-1-140	62:14	CAC/	39:20
MPI-1-125	58:20		MPI-1-172	CAC/MPI-1-54
55:2	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-157	66:14	40:2
CAC/	MPI-1-141	62:20	CAC/	CAC/MPI-1-55
MPI-1-126	59:2		MPI-1-173	40:8
55:8	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-158	66:20	CAC/MPI-1-56
CAC/	MPI-1-142	63:2	CAC/	40:14
MPI-1-127	59:8		MPI-1-174	
55:14	CAC/	CAC/ MPI-1-159	67:2	<b>CAC/MPI-1-57</b> 40:20
CAC/	MPI-1-143	63:8	CAC/	
			0.107	CAC/MPI-1-59

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 94 of 16	51
41:2	46:2	71:8	76:8	81:14
<b>CAC/MPI-1-6</b> 37:8	<b>CAC/MPI-1-8</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-20</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-45</b>	CAC/MPI-2-70
	37:20	71:14	76:14	81:20
<b>CAC/MPI-1-60</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-1-81</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-24</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-46</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-71</b>
41:8	46:8	71:20	76:20	82:2
CAC/MPI-1-61	CAC/MPI-1-82	CAC/MPI-2-25	CAC/MPI-2-48	CAC/MPI-2-72
41:14	46:14	72:2	77:2	82:8
CAC/MPI-1-62	CAC/MPI-1-83	CAC/MPI-2-26	<b>CAC/MPI-2-49</b>	CAC/MPI-2-73
41:20	46:20	72:8	77:8	82:14
CAC/MPI-1-63	CAC/MPI-1-84	CAC/MPI-2-29	CAC/MPI-2-5	CAC/MPI-2-74
42:2	47:2	72:14	69 <b>:</b> 20	82:20
<b>CAC/MPI-1-64</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-1-85</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-3</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-53</b>	CAC/MPI-2-75
42:8	47:8	69:8	77:14	83:2
<b>CAC/MPI-1-65</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-1-86</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-30</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-54</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-76</b>
42:14	47:14	72:20	77:20	83:8
<b>CAC/MPI-1-66</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-1-87</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-31</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-55</b>	CAC/MPI-2-77
42:20	47:20	73:2	78:2	83:14
<b>CAC/MPI-1-67</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-1-88</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-32</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-56</b>	CAC/MPI-2-78
43:2	48:2	73:8	78:8	83:20
<b>CAC/MPI-1-68</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-1-89</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-33</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-57</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-9</b>
43:8	48:8	73:14	78:14	70:2
<b>CAC/MPI-1-69</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-1-9</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-34</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-58</b>	CAC-1 128:21
43:14	38:2	73:20	78:20	
CAC/MPI-1-7	CAC/MPI-1-90	CAC/MPI-2-35	CAC/MPI-2-59	<b>CAC121d</b> 29:19
37:14	48:14	74:2	79:2	CAC-2 128:24
CAC/MPI-1-70	CAC/MPI-1-91	CAC/MPI-2-36	CAC/MPI-2-60	CAC-3 84:2
43:20	48:20	74:8	79:8	129:3
CAC/MPI-1-71	CAC/MPI-1-92	CAC/MPI-2-37	<b>CAC/MPI-2-61</b>	<b>CAC4</b> 32:19
44:2	49:2	74:14	79:14	<b>CAC-4</b> 91:16
<b>CAC/MPI-1-72</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-1-94</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-38</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-62</b>	129:15
44:8	49:8	74:20	79:20	
<b>CAC/MPI-1-73</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-1-99</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-39</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-63</b>	CAC's 128:14
44:14	49:14	75:2	80:2	156:19
<b>CAC/MPI-1-74</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-1</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-4</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-64</b>	calculate
44:20	69:2	69:14	80:8	138:8
CAC/MPI-1-75	69:2 CAC/MPI-2-10	CAC/MPI-2-40	оо:о САС/МРІ-2-65	170:14 175:6
45:2	70:8	75 <b>:</b> 8	80:14	179:14
<b>CAC/MPI-1-76</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-12</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-41</b>	<b>CAC/MPI-2-66</b>	180:17
45:8	70:14	75:14	80:20	183:13
<b>CAC/MPI-1-77</b> 45:14	<b>CAC/MPI-2-17</b> 70:20	<b>CAC/MPI-2-42</b> 75:20	<b>CAC/MPI-2-67</b> 81:2	184:2,25 185:3 249:19,22
CAC/MPI-1-78	CAC/MPI-2-18	CAC/MPI-2-43	CAC/MPI-2-68	calculated
45:20	71:2	76:2	81:8	174:4
CAC/MPI-1-79	CAC/MPI-2-19	CAC/MPI-2-44	CAC/MPI-2-69	220:17

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 95 of 161

	OR 2013/14 0	5 20 20±2	Fage 95 OI IC	) <u>+</u>
calculates	21	21	71:21	189:18
177:15	49:3,9,15,	76:3,9,15,	94:14	carefully
calculating	21	21	104:5	124:8
171:18	50:3,9,15,	77:3,9,15,	119:13	135:4
	21	21	120:3	
173:21	51:3,9,15,	78:3,9,15,	171:11,14,	284:4
244:2	21	21	25 172:1,7	carried
252:8	52:3,9,15,	79:3,9,15,	177:13,15	189:1
266:8	21	21	178:5,9,11	0.0.11
calculation	53:3,9,15,	80:3,9,15,	,12 183:16	<b>carry</b> 99:11
175:20	21	21	232:24	191:18
184:3,6	54:3,9,15,	81:3,9,15,	233:15	196:18
246:13	21	21	234:3,9	<b>cars</b> 153:21
278:5	55:3,9,15,	82:3,9,15,	240:1	194:5
298:13,14	21	21	243:12,14,	201:8,17,1
			16 244:11	8,19,24
calculations	56:3,9,15,	83:3,9,15,		202:13,17,
184:3	21	21 98:8	245:2	25
245:9	57:3,9,15,	131:7	264:18	215:5,18
248:1,9	21	139:25	269:17	
249:11	58:3,9,15,	178:4	capping	Carter 3:20
calendar	21	210:18	100:4	189:13
	59:3,9,15,	220:20		199:9,11,1
21:20	21	263:3	captured	2
cameras	60:3,9,15,	Canadian	119:11	<b>case</b> 122:1
22:18	21	159:9	car	215:4,7,12
	61:3,9,15,	173:7,11	191:12,17	235:1,7
campaigns	21	177:24	192:1,11,1	235:1,7
134:3	62:3,9,15,	182:15	9,21 193:7	
Canada 33:14	21	183:17	194:14	250:19
36:3,9,15,	63:3,9,15,	264:17	196:22	267:8
21	21		197:14	280:15
37:3,9,15,	64:3,9,15,	Can-Bike	198:13	301:23
21	21	198:24	201:3,12	303:8
38:3,9,15,	65:3,9,15,	<b>cancel</b> 219:3	223:11	<b>cases</b> 175:16
21	21		225:19,22,	182:24
39:3,9,15,	66:3,9,15,	Candace 2:2	23,24	200:17
21	21	3:6,10	226:6	235:4
40:3,9,15,	67:3,9,15,	95:13,15	228:11,12	239:17
21	21	99:6,16,17	302:6	
41:3,9,15,	68:3,9,15,	102:1,11,2	502:0	<b>cash</b> 159:8
21	21	1	<b>card</b> 74:9	casualty
42:3,9,15,	69:3,9,15,	103:4,10,1	108:17	111:18
21	21	7 108:2	129:19	112:2
43:3,9,15,	70:3,9,15,	128:13	229:24	151:10
21	21	136:3,7,20	<b>cards</b> 108:20	178:3
44:3,9,15,	71:3,9,15,	186:10	Carus 100.20	302:20
21	21	187:7,10,2	<b>care</b> 32:18	
45:3,9,15,	72:3,9,15,	2	142:23	<b>cat</b> 301:10
45:5,9,15, 21	21	230:13,18	160:8	catastrophe
	73:3,9,15,	306:24	211:12,24	239:4
46:3,9,15,	21		269:12	302:22
21	74:3,9,15,	capital	careful	
47:3,9,15,	74:5,9,15, 21	17:13,18		catastrophes
21		29:18 32:8	142:10	43:3
48:3,9,15,	75:3,9,15,	69:14		

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 96 of 16	51
catastrophic	290:14	97 <b>:</b> 19	226:12,18,	14 196:9
<b>ally</b> 152:7	291:9,19	107:20,22	24	199:22
categories	292:10,21	109:2	227:2,10,1	215:21
118:7	298:24	118:22	5,18,22	221:5,6
279:25	centres	121:12	228:4,8,14	237:25
280:1	280:15	261:4	,17,23,25	257:18,23
288:7	290:13	Chairman	229:10,16,	259:10
	290:15	1:15	18,21,25	300:14
294:7		95:14,25	230:4,9,17	304:20,22
300:24	<b>cents</b> 132:1	97:19	232:7	305:9,11
category	214:5	97:19 98:13,17	261:7,15	306:3,7,9
117:4	301:13	98:13,17 99:7,18	272:19	changed
119:8	302:3	99:7,18 101:6	273:16	242:23
130:18	certain	101:6	276:16	242:23
280:2,5	124:15		295:13,16,	
287:25	182:21	108:3,10	22	305:14
288:4,5,11	200:15	126:24	296:19,25	changes 12:3
,12,13,19,	282:22	129:25	297:9	54:9 62:3
22,23	202:22	130:25	300:3,10	67:16 85:2
289:6,10	certainly	138:19	306:21	94:8
301:11	108:12,18	187:23	307:1	101:10
	109:15,24	205:6		150:23
Cathcart	112:9,15	230:14	challenge	184:13,16
95:18,19	115:18	275:21	155:10	185:10
caught 276:2	117:17,19,	297:1	185:1	206:24
-	22 119:4	306:25	challenges	236:8
causal	120:3,14	Chairperson	152:10	250:22
285:20	121:15	3:5 92:3	154:14,15	253:18
causality	122:2	95:11 96:2	155:16	254:5,6
50:2 286:1	133:1	97:16		256:5
298:22	139:24	98:14,23	challenging	286:12
<b>cause</b> 122:12	179:2	99:4,13	141:11,16	300:15,19
-	216:13	105:2	153 <b>:</b> 2	306:8,10
239:25	225:5	107:15	champion	
caused	269:25	108:8	199:20	changing
231:19	270:2	128:10		141:18
238:24	286:22	129:6,20	Championship	characterize
causes	297:2	134:17	<b>s</b> 199:19	256:11
238:10		135:25	chance	
230:10	certificate	136:6,10,1	138:21	characterize
cc'd 296:17	4:9 100:24	7 137:4,11	- <b>h</b>	<b>d</b> 124:19
<b>CCMG</b> 132:14	Certified	181:13	<b>change</b> 9:4	charge 99:20
	307:8	186:21	32:13 94:4	169:11
ceiling		187:5,9,12	100:1,5,21	203:2
219:2	<b>cetera</b> 234:19	,18,25	139:20	211:22
<b>cent</b> 303:25		203:24	149:5,14	304:2
	252:3	204:10,16,	150:21,22,	
central	290:14	21 205:1	25 151:2	charged
126:11	302:14	21 203.1 216:11,18,	154:12	92:17
<b>centre</b> 54:2	304:3	24 221:25	155:24	100:12
81:2 97:21	<b>CFO</b> 147:11	222:7	190:21	303:10
151:4	162:4	222:7	191:3,5,8,	charges
278:6	<b>chair</b> 92:4	223:1 224:3,16,2	11,12,14,1	218:12
289:2		4 225:7,14	8	
	95:20	4 223:1,14	194:10,13,	Charles

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 97 of 161

	01( 2010/11 0			
189:4	105:11	94:13	167 <b>:</b> 12	223:15,25
<b>chart</b> 34:16	circumstance	104:2,5	215:1	clients 97:7
		114:20	300:16	
57:15 78:3	259:17	116:20	303:16	105:7
105:19,23	260:13	117:11,20	305:22	108:25
charter	circumstance	118:3,4,7,	306:10	109:3,15,2
31:19 57:3	<b>s</b> 193:16		500.10	1
51.15 57.5	199:21	8,11 119:5	classes	112:13,15
chartered	199:21	131:15,19,	159:4	114:13,19
281:11	cite 112:18	20	162:7,14	115:14,20,
<b>cheap</b> 217:24		132:17,19	164:5	24
	<b>citing</b> 179:9	133:11,13	210:2	116:2,7,14
<b>check</b> 109:18	city	134:1	216:5,6	,25
181:8	195:18,20	141:20	302:18	117:16,18,
194:15	277:1	151:22,24	303:10	24
229:9		152:13,15,	305:5,18	
247:25	<b>claim</b> 24:3	17,19,22,2	505:5,10	118:18,22
	40:2 51:10	4 153:16	classificati	119:20
checkmark	94:15	158:22	<b>on</b> 142:11	120:1,10,1
193:9,10	100:13	159:1	209:3,4,5,	4,18,24
<b>chest</b> 226:11	151:14,16	169:9	15	121:15
	152:6,8	185:13	214:20,22	122:5,13,1
<b>chew</b> 139:4	153:1	203:10		4,20,22
chief	158:21		<b>clear</b> 12:8	123:4,7,23
	177:21	207:1	144:9	124:7,14,1
96:8,12,17	215:1	209:4	182:11	7,20
254:21	236:11	211:2,4,7,	183:19	125:3,4,6
children	251:22	9,10,17	184:10,19	126:9,13
195:1		213:16	190:20	127:3,17
206:10	290:13	214:23	216:12	128:1,2
	303:2	218:10	225:5	120.1,2
choice	claimants	237:2,3,5,	231:16	client's
163:25	41:3	8,23 238:8	248:23	219:19
226:7	131:10	252:2		<b>clock</b> 186:17
choices	185:17	263:16	263:1	CIOCK 100:17
110:9	275:12	277:25	269:2	<b>close</b> 123:13
	273.12	278:2,7	clearly	147:10
116:11	<b>claims</b> 11:8	279:12	142:12,21,	163:19
<b>chose</b> 226:8	12:13	289:24	25	181:17
258:23	13:14	290:3,4,9,	198:9,11	219:11
	26:13 28:3	12,17	220:18	237:16
chosen	33:18	•	251:16	248:5
108:21	38:20	291:2,8	252:9	268:9,16
Christie	39:2,21	292:22	252.5	269:11
153:9	42:2,8	298:22,24	<b>client</b> 109:4	293:10
	43:8,21	301:10,14,	112:20	293:10
chronically	44:14,20	15,18,19	113:25	closely
211:23	45:14 58:8	<b>Clara</b> 199:22	114:1,10	135:9
<b>CIA</b> 173:12			115:2,17	-1 02.0
	60:10,20	clarificatio	118:2,9	<b>closing</b> 93:9
CIO 53:8	64:20	<b>n</b> 272:22	119:4	109:12
74:8	65:24	clarify	125:18,23	114:16
circular	78:8,20	—	127:10,14	128:2
	79:8 82:20	156:13	219:18,20	134:6
202:5	83:8 84:19	class		155:20
circulated	86:14	100:2,5,6,	220:10,11	203:16
103:11	90:14,20	7,8 113:16	222:5	221:16
		,		

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

# PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 98 of 161

<b>CMMG</b> 2:8	MPI-2-10	20	combination	124:19
98:19	90:2	89:2,8,14,	172:13	comments
129:23	CMMG/	20	237:21,22	91:17 97:9
130:2,4,6,	MPI-2-11	90:2,8,14,	combine	104:23,25
12	90:8	20 91:2,8	238:3	105:8
133:4,20		205:14	304:13	107:18,22
134:7,15	CMMG/	co-chair		108:4
205:14,17,	MPI-2-12	162:4	combined	118:22
18,21	90:14	189:12	175:11	129:16,23
CMMG/MPI-1-1	CMMG/	<b>coll</b> 207:11	214:23	134:21
84:5	MPI-2-13		237:10,20	136:2,12
CMMG/	90:20	collaborate	240:3	138:16
MPI-1-10	CMMG/	272:10	<b>comes</b> 168:13	139:10
86:8	MPI-2-14	collaboratin	223:10	140:9
80:8		<b>g</b> 161:3	250 <b>:</b> 7	153:6
CMMG/	91:2	_	260:25	180:9
MPI-1-11	CMMG/	collaboratio	304:3	188:1,3,4
86:14	MPI-2-16	<b>n</b> 160:15	comfort	11 189:5,8
CMMG/	91:8	164:11	179:25	204:4
MPI-1-12	CMMG/MPI-2-2	collar	280:25	225:10
86:20	88:2	261:19	293:12	254:14
		11+	294:17	255:24
CMMG/	CMMG/MPI-2-3	collect	297:14	261:22
MPI-1-13	88:8	220:15		262:20
87:2	CMMG/MPI-2-4	302:17	comfortable	267:10
CMMG/	88:14	303:10	108:22	270:2
MPI-1-14	CMMG/MPI-2-5	collected	270:18	286:3
87:8	88:20	131:24	coming	commercial
marc /	88:20	213:24	140:20	100:5
CMMG/	CMMG/MPI-2-6	collection	191:4	163:16
MPI-1-15	89:2	184:8	199:3	281:3
87:14	CMMG/MPI-2-7		202:20	commercially
CMMG/MPI-1-2	89:8	collects	273:16	163:17
84:11		303:23	commence	103:17
CMMG/MPI-1-3	CMMG/MPI-2-8	collision	95:6 97:14	commission
84:17	89:14	84:18 88:8	99:23	302:9,10,3
	CMMG/MPI-2-9	133:6		1
CMMG/MPI-1-4	89:20	206:25	commencement	commissions
85:2	<b>CMMG-3</b> 91:14	210:22	98:21	302:14
CMMG/MPI-1-5		collisions	commencing	
85:8	<b>co</b> 108:23	86:17	92:1	commit 225:8
CMMG/MPI-1-6	coaching	133:13	commend	committee
85:14	199:21	207:2	121:16	5:15 24:18
				25:9,14,19
CMMG/MPI-1-7	Coalition	colour	comment	67:2
85:20	84:5,11,17	186:11	115:17	161:11,15,
CMMG/MPI-1-9	85:2,8,14,	Columbia	117:7	21
86:2	20	190:19	252:12	162:1,5,12
	86:2,8,14,	column	262:1	164:25
CMMG/MPI-2-1	20		269:12	165:1,13,1
87:20	87:2,8,14,	114:5,7,8, 9,13 115:8	commentary	4 166:19
CMMG/	20 88:2,8,14,	305:8	114:12	195:6,7,8,

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 99 of 10	51
9,11,17	306:5	152:14	206:20	147:24
<b>common</b> 94:19	comparison	170:16	concept	220:8
282:17	23:15 29:3	175:18	285:12	233:18,22
285:24	79:14	compliment		234:6
	178:14	108:24	conceptual	241:5
common-sense	194:9		286:11	242:14
285:18	265:3	compliments	concern	243:11
290:12	300:22	115:19,23	115:3	257:16
communicate		comply	118:9,16	258:4
200:19	comparisons	255:12	119:8	259:3
203:14,21	183:16		122:8,12,2	264:23
	260:4	component	3 127:4	269:8
communicatio	compensated	174:22,23	147:16	conditions
<b>ns</b> 191:7	123:11	175:3	219:13	241:2
communities		components	221:8	
200:13	compensating	175:13	230:21	condolences
	151:19		262:5	109:5
commute	compensation	composition	291:22	conduct
200:4	16:18	7:11		137:16
commuters	31:13 60:2	126:5,7,10	concerned	280:13
202:7,9	61:14,20	compounded	93:18	282:14
<b>comp</b> 111:20	117:10	207:7	123:4	
302:24	151:23	209:6	132:14	conducted
302:24	219:17	comprehensiv	164:21	92:10
companies	226:8	e 245:10	200:6,8,18	94:10
141:24		e 245:10	concerns	110:24
177:23	competition	comprise	116:2	conducting
178:4	200:10	104:12	117:12,25	103:18
company	competitive	<b>compul</b> 99:21	118:2	conference
153:12,23	111:5,7,8	_	122:22	
173:9	complete	compulsory	124:18	5:5,8,10
182:10	172:11	92 <b>:</b> 17	156:20	34:15,18,2
276:14	285:16	99:21	217:6	3
281:18,21,		139:12,15	218:18	35:6,15,18
24	completed	140:11	221:24	,21
	303:6	142:14	227:12	101:2,4
company's	completely	143:2,9	229:2	102:22
153:13	155:2	144:15	248:24	103:1,6
Comparable	160:8	145:12,22	271:13	105:21
29:8	164:15	146:1	282:21	107:5
	196:23	147:14	284:11	confidence
Comparative		148:1	291 <b>:</b> 17	245:14
39:20	complex	153:19	299:6,12	269:18
comparativel	94:22	244:20		274:3
<b>y</b> 160:5	141:11	256:16	conclude	279:2
-	248:19	259:24	118:19 252:4	confident
compare	249:11	280:23	232:4	94:23
177:24	complexity	291:5	concludes	249:5
219:8	141:5,7,16	293:7	216:8	249:5
304:17,24		<b>con</b> 122:3,12	272:1	203:0
compared	Compliance 68:14	184:4	conclusion	282:24
207:8	00:14		264:14	284:6
290:19	complicated	concentrate		
	108:20	133:16	condition	confined

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 100 of	161
253:6	114:14	consumer	60:2,8,14,	278:6
confines	130:8	110:7,9,13	20	289:2
239:10	200:21	,19 111:11	61:2,8,14,	291:19
	201:13	286:18	20	292:11,21
confused	244:5	consumers	62:2,8,14,	298:24
164:20	278:16	36:2,8,14,	20	contain
congratulati	consideratio	20	63:2,8,14,	247:4
<b>ons</b> 129:9	<b>ns</b> 126:22	37:2,8,14,	20 64:2,8,14,	249:9
connected	considered	20	20	containment
199:24	124:10	38:2,8,14,	65:2,8,14,	119:23
cons	127:21	20	20	contains
181:20,23,	172:16,21	39:2,8,14,	66:2,8,14,	139:11
25	241:1	20	20	
182:3,20	242:3	40:2,8,14, 20	67:2,8,14,	cont'd 4:1
183:18	268:11	41:2,8,14,	20	contemplated
185:6	considering	20	68:2,8,14,	108:16
conscience	127:19	42:2,8,14,	20	content
109:16	213:15	20	69:2,8,14,	108:4
	215:1	43:2,8,14,	20	297:8
consensual	considers	20	70:2,8,14,	
254:9	207:17	44:2,8,14,	20	contention
257:12		20	71:2,8,14, 20	130:11
271:9,16	consistency	45:2,8,14,	20 72:2,8,14,	CONTENTS 3:1
consensus	109:22	20	20	4:1
255:2,10	consistent	46:2,8,14,	73:2,8,14,	context
conservatism	115:5	20	20	138:23
115:6	177:25	47:2,8,14,	74:2,8,14,	143:21
132:15	250:4,6	20	20	151:2
conservative	286:14	48:2,8,14,	75:2,8,14,	157:10
ly 132:24	consists	20	20	249:23
_	159:5	49:2,8,14, 20	76:2,8,14,	286:13
consider	constitute	50:2,8,14,	20	continue
128:1	157:11,14	20	77:2,8,14,	116:3
133:17	219:12	51:2,8,14,	20	117:24
157:18 179:3	constitutes	20	78:2,8,14,	124:18
184:1		52:2,8,14,	20	140:14
188:4,6	156:25 169:8	20	79:2,8,14, 20	150:21
189:22		53:2,8,14,	20 80:2,8,14,	154:8,9
200:22	Constraints	20	20	155:15,18
204:7	67:20	54:2,8,14,	81:2,8,14,	188:19
212:14	construction	20	20	241:20
216:14	141:7	55:2,8,14,	82:2,8,14,	245:1 257:13
221:23	consultants	20 EC-2 8 14	20	
231:24	62:8	56:2,8,14, 20	83:2,8,14,	continued
251:14	117:25	20 57:2,8,14,	20 98:7	4:4 115:5
considerable		20	110:8,16,2	181:15
117:6	consultation	58:2,8,14,	2,25	232:13
consideratio	<b>s</b> 254:19	20	111:1,6	261:24
n 67:22	consulting	59:2,8,14,	contact	276:18 297:21
68:5	161:3	20	228:20	291.21
00.5				

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 101 of 2	161
continues	111:21	117:23	249:9,12	254:21
141:17	controls	122:10	250:18,25	267:17
146:6	58:2	144:11,12	252:24	268:24
159:7		145:7	257:25	299:14
217:18	controversia	147:18	262:7	300:14,21
continuing	<b>1</b> 223:13	150:14	265:2,6	correc 250:1
155:6	controversy	293:5	266:18	correct
	113:3	corporation	269:16	<b>correct</b> 166:3,7
continuity 66:3	122:3	68:9	271:23	167:13
	conversation	92:6,11	272:4,12 277:10	170:4
continuously	157:5	113:6	279:5	171:17,21
253:24	160:6	121:22	291:21	179:16
contracted		123:10	293:9,12	186:13
162:10	conversation	127:6,8,18	303:4,23	240:5
	<b>s</b> 157:17	,20 130:9		248:15
contracts	197:22	132:23	corporation'	250:2
165:24	198:18	133:8,14	<b>s</b> 236:13	264:15
166:3,7,9, 10	conversion	134:10	250:13	274:8,15
	231:2	138:24	Corporations	307:8
contradict	converted	139:14	126:20	correcting
205:17	230:25	140:12	Corporation'	246:15
Contrary		142:4,8 145:8	<b>s</b> 93:24	
209:8	converts	145:8	<b>S</b> 93.24 121:3	correctly
	247:19	150:5	125:17	204:17
contravene 219:1	<b>convey</b> 193:3	155:1	132:16	262:15
	convinced	158:13,15	144:13	correlation
contribute	264:6	159:2,14	150:7	175:13
130:23		160:6	160:3	correspondi
146:7	cooperate	162:20,22	163:19	g 208:25
210:5,21	203:22	164:7	164:22	-
contributing	cooperative	169:15	167:16	corridor
132:7	94:19	170:9	172:23	85:9 88:10
214:11	coordinate	171:12	173:3	<b>cost</b> 49:8
contribution	200:19	173:17	174:2,11,2	53:3
122:24		174:6,14	4 175:2,7	58:2,14,20
	coordinator	175 <b>:</b> 15	176:7,10	78:8 80:14
contribution	200:1	178:13,18,	177:7,17	88:14
<b>s</b> 213:12	copies	22	182:23	94:12,18
control	134:12	179:1,21	184:11,13	104:4
117:8,14	296:21	182:10,13,	185:7,9	117:8,14
118:19	297 <b>:</b> 23	16 183:15	233:21	118:6
120:9	<b>copy</b> 104:8	184:21	234:6	119:23
161:6,9	193:8	185:16,19 190:20	239:7 243:1	120:9
164:2,7	296:6	217:7	243:1 244:19,22	123:1,5,1
166:25	<b>cor</b> 248:15	233:9	246:21	,18,20
167:9,25		236:9	247:18,21	130:10 138:2
168:4	corporate	239:3	248:25	138:2
169:19	14:18	240:24	249:6	140:10
170:7	67:14 83:2	243:8	250:22	148:13,23
224:14	96:6	244:1	251:3,10,1	24
controller	109:16	247:10	5 252:1	149:11,12,
	111:20			± · · · · · · · / ± 2 /

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 102 of	161
22,25	105:13,15	96 <b>:</b> 5	20	<b>crime</b> 135:2
151:8,14	112:23	110:18	131:4,22	crises
152:12,19,	113:6,18	156:19	142:11	263:13,18
20,25	117:5	170:18	143:3,4	
156:2	119:12,13,	205:7	210:1,13	critical
158:21	14 121:21	couple	211:19	115:18
174:25	122:24	103:19	212:1	120:15,16
208:18	125:15	109:2	213:24	168:21,25
213:25	130:15,23	118:23	220:14,15	critiqued
219:19,24	132:2,18,1	139:20	224:5	253:11
222:20	9 133:6,11	140:15	239:5	<b>cross</b> 123:9
223:16,25	141:20	151:5	276:3,12	135:4
276:10,20	147:17	186:18	302:24	100:4
277:9,11	148:7	196:20	coverages	cross-
278:13,15,	188:23	198:21	140:11	examinatio
19	208:1	208:20	covers 29:9	<b>n</b> 103:19
279:1,6,13	209:5,18,1	230:24	43:14	109:13
280:8	9 210:5	241:13	198:17	114:17
281:5,7,15	211:24	284:10		116:4,15
282:6,18,2	212:4,17	coupled	<b>crash</b> 125:2	272:21
5 283:18,23	213:13	207:25	crashes	306:19
283:18,23	214:2,6,23 215:1		263:14	cross-
284:20,22	218:13	<b>course</b> 93:1		examining
286:7,20	251:20	96:21 97:8	create	130:7
287:9,16,2	278:2	114:25	146:18	
2 291:18	279:10,23	131:25	212:20	cross-
292:24	280:20	138:15	254:20,22	subsidizat
293:13,18	281:12,22	167:11	created	<b>ion</b> 209:2
294:18	282:8	178:7	144:17	279:2
296:8,15	283:4,10,1	182:22	197:2	<b>crowd</b> 190:16
297:16	6	183:5	274:13	a maradá m a
298:1,22	285:13,20	208:2	creates	crowding 194:11
301:14,21	288:16	251:11	182:11	194:11
303:15,22	289:5,14	264:24	251:9	Crown 68:8
304:14	290:13,22	268:6 269:15		92:11
	291:4,10,1	272:6	creating	245:3
cost/	4	302:16	148:10	269:16
causality	292:10,18	304:2	177:7	crucial
290:15	294:5	304.2	190:9	239:21
costing	301:10	courteous	200:3	
122:19	302:16,25	196:8	<b>cred</b> 305:8	culminating
282:15,17	304:13,14,	<b>cov</b> 151:14	credibility	114:23
<b>costs</b> 11:15	18	151 14	272:3	cumulative
12:18 13:3	Costs/	<b>cover</b> 151:14	305:16,19	115:5
18:20	daycare	157:21	306:2,3	235:21
28:18	59:20	218:13		curb
33:18		295:19	credible	192:13,16
34:13	cost-saving	coverage	124:11	
52:15	123:12	23:14 34:4	125:20	current 89:2
53:21 60:9	Council	77:15	305:25	116:19
79:2 86:14	68 <b>:</b> 10	116:3,5,10	credit	118:15
91:9	counsel 2:2	,13	140:15	120:7
	counsel 2:2	130:10,11,		123:2

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 103 of 2	L61
127:14,22	190:8	292:11	32:13	264:4,11,1
132:4	191:4,23	294:14	36:2,8,14,	6,21
133:22	194:12,17	296:11	20	265:7,20
171:8	195:16	database	37:14,20	267:6,17,2
184:11	196:9	194:20	38:14	4
189:12	197:13	194:20	69:2,8	268:1,3,5,
207:7	cyclists	<b>date</b> 104:14	70:14 72:8	9,14
209:25	189:23	<b>dated</b> 5:4,12	73:14	271:8,15,2
214:8	191:1	35:11	124:4,10,1	1,24,25
241:14	194:25	101:4,20,2	3,17	272:3,8,11
243:1	194.25	3 103:1	156:4,14	,24
251:20	199:17	106:10	172:2,5,7,	273:17,23
252:1	201:17	129:4	8,9	274:6,10,2
265:11,12	203:21	294:12	173:4,5,15	0 275:24
268:4	212:11	295:8,21	,17,22	276:10
305:21	212.11	296:6	179:18,22	<b>DDVI</b> 53:20
currently			180:5,11	
112:1	D	dates 101:11	181:1	<b>deal</b> 133:9
158:13	daily 202:17	136:24,25	182:7,8,20	155:4,16,1
159:5	damage 65:23	137:2	183:8	7 217:18
174:1,16	141:13	dating	185:21	218:3
180:19	152:15,17	114:23	186:5	dealing
185:12	153:21		232:17,23	137:20
205:13	231:8	daughter	233:6,11,1	144:2
292:11	238:24	208:20	3,16 235:6	154:15
2.72.11	290:14	Dauphin	236:2,20	263:12,18
cursor	291:9	238:24	239:25	
192:10		274:1	240:9,10,1	<b>dealt</b> 284:10
curve 9:5	damaged	276:2	2 242:1,15	<b>death</b> 189:22
	141:10	Davidson	243:10	193:14
<b>cust</b> 291:19	damages	207:15	244:6,7,16	195:1
customer	231:25		,18	deaths
65:21		<b>day</b> 93:5,7	245:24,25	133:12
141:23	damaging	111:23	246:1,4,6,	133.12
149:8	133:10	135:15	7,12,16	debated
278:6	dangerous	146:6	247:2,3,4,	111:24
280:16	190:9	157:24	7,8 248:20	<b>debris</b> 198:5
289:2	Darlene	160:19	249:8,12,1	
292:10,21	34:19 97:1	165:5,15	5 <b>,</b> 18	debut
298:24	106:2,8	166:20	250:19	96:13,18
customers		198:2	251:4,9,25	<b>decade</b> 130:4
280:14	<b>DART</b> 74:20	208:21	252:4,5,10	133:21
	<b>data</b> 54:2	226:11	,11,16	155:6
<b>cut</b> 188:17	81:2 84:7	239:24	253:1,4,7,	207:6
cycle 195:12	184:9,11	<b>days</b> 101:15	10,16,24	dogida
_	214:23	103:20	254:4,9,22	decide
cycling	235:23	139:5	255:20	270:19
189:14,16	237:4	141:4	256:2,8,11	decided
195:13	239:18	177:7	,14,15,24	133:17
197:22	251:15,16,	day-to-day	257:2,4,6,	147:11
199:4,9,12	19 253:16	159:17	10,25	219:25
,14 202:3	255:19	127:11	258:22	257:6
cyclist	291:20	<b>DCAT</b> 20:8	260:24	305:11
			262:3,21	

02 111 2 0101 1	OR 2013/14 09	, 20 2012 I	Page 104 of 10	) <u> </u>
decision	42:14	272:2	164:11,25	design
93:13	<b>define</b> 196:1	deliver	168:16	252:17
94:21		142:22	210:19	286:16
112:19	defined		247:17,22	designate
160:11	233:4	delivering	248:2	198:11
166:16,17,	264:19	285:14	depending	190.11
22 167:4,5	definitely	delivery	100:13	designed
188:6	134:23	119:24		124:22
224:25	135:1	145:8	Depreciation	126:15
225:1	182:20	147:4	59:8	168:23
234:20	183:19	149:1,22	deputy	172:22
239:21	204:6		159:19	249:2
259:19		Deloitte		dooinning
260:9,17,2	247:25	282:12,14,	160:19	designing
5	250:3,5	20 284:1	162:3,17	262:21
-	252:5	287:1,13	163:4	<b>desk</b> 229:1
decision-	253:24	290:4	165:23,25	<b>D</b>
maker	254:1	292:8,20	166:10,21	Desorcy 98
167:7	255:24	293:19	167:19,23	Desourcy
decisions	264:13	299:8	170:6	109:19
	265:23		277:4	
110:15	272:4	<b>delve</b> 137:24	derived	Despite
112:18	definition	173:22	240:13	248:21
114:9	233:17	demerit		<b>detail</b> 140
163:5	233.17	100:18	describe	159:24
165:20	degree	156:1	247:6	169:23
166:1	109:17	100.1	286:20	181:23
170:8	117:1	demerits	described	186:15
229:4	119:17	213:11	112:14	222:2
decline 38:8	286:15	democratic		237:11
69:21	4-1	221:21	124:22	247:7
235:16,18	delaurier	221.21	175:5	
236:3,10,1	225:15,17,	demonstrate	233:11	271:9
8	18	172:17	262:20	detailed
	226:15,20,	213:7	271:18	177:20
237:17,22	25	demonstrated	272:5,13	247:16,2
238:7,11,1	227:6,13,1		275:9	248:7,14
6,18 240:2	7,20	132:15	Description	249:11,1
246:15	228:2,5,10	demonstrates	5:2 6:2	16 250:1
247:14	,16,21,24	267:6	7:2 8:2	300:1
262:2,6	229:7,14,1	demonstratin	9:2 10:3	
264:3	7,20,23		11:2 12:2	deteriorat
268:13	230:2,5	<b>g</b> 133:25	13:2 14:2	259:25
decrease	Delaurier	275:24	15:2 16:2	deteriorat
41:8	3:23	Dennehy	17:2 18:2	<b>g</b> 260:16
100:6,9	5:25	98:18		-
213:3	delegated		19:2 20:2	determinat
	159:18	<b>deny</b> 93:12	21:2 22:2	<b>n</b> 93:15
decreases	deliberate	126:18	23:2 24:2	213:9
139:21		department	25:2 26:2	determinat
<b>deemed</b> 231:8	93:10	104:13	27:2 28:2	
234:7	deliberation	131:8	29:2 30:2	<b>ns</b> 93:11
	<b>s</b> 188:5,24	160:7,16	31:2 32:2	118:25
240:23	204:7		33:2 34:2	determine
Deferred	216:15	162:2	35:2	127:18
18:18	2 - 0 • - 0	163:9		

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 105 of 1	.61
154:25	126:1	252:14,19,	directives	214:16
156:4,15	127:7	20 253:1	104:3	215:3
158:1	deviation	260:9	directly	303:12,13
173:2	252:8	262:17	—	discounted
178:4	232:0	263:25	163:4	207:10
214:23	devices	274:17	174:11 228:20	207:10
219:14	139:4	279:24	229:20	Discounting
220:23	diagram	281:12,16	244:21,23	9:14
270:21	191:24,25	282:7	256:22	discounts
282:5	192:6,9,20	290:25	269:8	100:22
288:3	193:6,10	differently	279:9	303:7,8
296:12	196:21	199:1	282:2	
302:13	197:2		283:8,12,1	discourages
303:6	287:7,8,24	differs	6	194:2
determined	288:16	281:15	287:18,23	discovered
104:14	d:	difficult	301:17,19	246:13,23
165:16	diagrams	132:23		248:8
175:9	190:7	182:21	director	discretion
299:22	191:20,22	difficulties	92:25	107:23
	193:2	201:16	96:17,25	107.25
determining	dialogue	201:16	97:21 98:7	156:7
165:2	116:25	difficulty	111:21	186:20
244:11,17	121:18	265:23	directors	258:7
develop	160:5	Digi-Tran	5:13	
279:22	dichotomy	93:4	161:11,15	discretionar
282:15	251:7		165:15	<b>y</b> 157:19
developed		dime 225:20	205:21	discriminati
172:13	<b>die</b> 193:13	226:9	246:21	<b>on</b> 231:5
180:12	difference	dimension	273:1,7,9,	
183:22	89:15	285:24	12	discriminato
247:8	152:23	dimensions	<b>dirt</b> 210:20	<b>ry</b> 231:24
286:10	220:4			discussed
	222:10	285:19	<b>dis</b> 114:6	114:6
developing	differences	direct 43:20	156 <b>:</b> 15	253:17
110:11		97 <b>:</b> 11	disability	256:19
119:6	86:9	105:8	151:23	257:20
122:17	different	136:4		279:6
284:22	108:5	137:16,17	disappear	discussing
285:6	109:23	138:13	98 <b>:</b> 5	161:3
development	124:3	164:5	disappointed	
18:19 48:3	135:17,18	167 <b>:</b> 22	133:7	discussion
70:9	144:10	170:3,12	disappointin	113:15
126:12	145:2	213:25	<b>g</b> 133:16	126:4
247:12	148:7	255:6	-	132:11
286:15	149:17	272:16	disaster	273:17
developments	151:9	281:22	56:20 66:4	discussions
115:13	162:14	direct-	discarding	160:18
117:15	171:17	examinatio	124:5	227:25
120:17	177:21	n		256:3
120:17	183:3,4,16	306:13,18	discontinue	
121:9	190:6,12,1		245:8,17	disparate
123:25	5 198:19	direction	251:1	203:8,9
125:25	238:3	215:25	discount	Disraeli
123.23				

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 106 of 2	161
225:24	103:11,15	207:5	92:18 94:8	22:9,14
distance	134:18	239:16	99 <b>:</b> 22	100:13
192:11,14	144:7	240:19	100:17	130:12
192:11,14	232:9	245:10	103:25	190:12
		270:11	111:2	198:1
197:16	dog 225:22	274:10	120:12	212:7
Distracted	<b>dollar</b> 89:14	291:21	130:13	215:11
22:8	158:25	292:19	144:22	231:16
distribute	160:3	294:16	146:1,6,12	d
134:12	208:8	299:4,8	,14 149:10	dropping
	212:25	<b>door</b> 270:14	169:1	179:11
distributed	213:3	<b>door</b> 270:14	191:8,21	<b>drops</b> 217:17
104:8	220:4	double-digit	197:8	<b>drove</b> 149:22
134:18	231:20	207:6	213:23	<b>diove</b> 149:22
171:13	287:10	209:6	214:3	<b>DSR</b> 100:17
distribution	290:24	double-	280:6	103:25
<b>s</b> 252:3	291:1		302:14	155:25
	303:25	dipping	303:19,21	<b>due</b> 115:19
disturbing	4.11	214:13		130:19
126:10	dollars	<b>doubt</b> 170:17	drivers	209:16
divergence	79:20	<b>Doug</b> 3:21	131:12,23	267:20
118:5	100:20	-	132:5	304:12
	132:1	130:2	135:14	504:12
diversifying	133:13	204:11,24	190:7,18	<b>dump</b> 215:16
160:13	154:11	205:3,4	191:1,3	Dunkirk
162:15	198:22	216:16	194:2,22,2	198:2
division	207:14,20	downside	5 196:7	
221:9,13,1	208:15	217:21	197:15	duration
4 224:9,13	214:4	<b>draft</b> 105:10	201:15	66:22
	217:15,17,		203:14,21	during
document	24	dramatic	213:17	104:15
113:25	219:23,25	292:17	214:8	218:7,12
129:7,9	220:2,7,10	<b>draw</b> 121:8	driver's	257:3
134:14	,12	175:25	120:21	268:14
186:11	222:9,21	178:23	130:11,22	296:2
195:11,21	231:7	242:2	131:24	
216:13	232:2		132:11	<b>DVL</b> 279:20
233:1	245:15	drawn 112:17	146:2	<b>Dyck</b> 111:19
254:17	287:17	126:21	190:11,14,	157:3
287:2	301:13	drawn-out	21 191:23	
291:25	302:3,6,7	220:9	210:4,7	dynamic
documentatio	304:11,16		213:12,14,	172:1,7
<b>n</b> 244:15	Donations	dreamed	19,22	244:10
249:13	64:8	217:20	214:6	
		<b>Drift</b> 27:13	279:16	E
documentatio	<b>done</b> 120:4	<b>dwi</b> 100 00	280:17	earlier
<b>ns</b> 226:21	124:2	drive 192:22	281:2	140:15
documented	141:14	194:25		144:6
245:19	146:11,13	198:13	Drivers	164:4,20
	148:2	201:17,24	144:21	241:12
documenting	176:21	driven	211:4	
245:10	182:23	214:17	drives	early
documents	188:25	driver 20:8	238:14	217:19,23
66:8	189:18	51:14		263:4
	199:5,6	JI:I4	driving	

284:16	264:7	144:24	element	encourages
<b>earn</b> 231:21	economics	286:6	120:9	132:4
	96:17	efficiently	273:23	214:8
earned 52:8		189:1	elements	encouragin
117:21	<b>Ed</b> 3:24		114:5	119:16
118:11	230:19	effort		191:3
119:6	232:3	176:20	eleven	
221:10	educate	190:3	131:14	endeavours
earning	162 <b>:</b> 14	290:24	211:3	200:6
157:22,24	educating	efforts 94:7	220:2,5	Enforcemen
earnings	162:6	190:25	222:9,15,2	21:18
56:11		203:19	0 302:3	engage
113:12	education	<b>eGlass</b> 44:14	304:22	163:14,2
171:11,14	108:15		<b>else</b> 116:23	294:13
236:19	111:2	<b>eight</b> 110:12	140:24	297:12
238:11	120:22	185:14	146:3	
241:8,19	191:21	199:15	196:18	engaged
242:19	203:20	201:22	197 <b>:</b> 16	296:9
258:1	277:4	217:24	202:1	enhance
267:8,18	effect 92:9	220:11	230:11	146:22
269:17	99:24	eighteen	252:18	•
270:9	126:13	184:8,9	<b>email</b> 273:14	enhancemen
	142:3	eighty 211:3		146:23
earth 197:11	152:3	eighty 211:5	embarking	enhancemen
ease 286:7	177:14	eighty-eight	138:13	41:15
easier	286:17	131:10	embedded	enhancing
225:10	297:25	eighty-four	143:6	119:23
270:25	effective	131:15	emphasis	
	144:24		114:19	<b>enjoy</b> 130:
<b>asily</b> 266:9	-	either	190:15	206:7
<b>asy</b> 183:13	effectively	104:16		enjoying
184:1,25	189:1	130:24	<b>employ</b> 94:19	146:25
185:2	190:12	136:8	employee	ensure 93:
250:4,6	193:3	153:11	75:21	249:8
266:14,21	effectivenes	165:22	157:2	249:0 252:25
echo 122:21	<b>s</b> 94:18	179:24 189:16	229:22	278:25
	262:23,25			281:6
echoing	effects	221:4 226:9	empower	298:25
255:24		259:19	110:8	305:17
<b>Eckler</b> 95:23	207:22	279:19	empty 95:20	
	213:7,10	288:6,17,1	<b>en</b> 119:23	ensured
econometrici	Efficacy	9 290:19		150:16
<b>ans</b> 164:16	40:14		enable	ensuring
econometrics	efficiencies	<b>elbows</b> 193:1	278:18	125:19
112:8	146:20	elected	encapsulates	188:18
		270:3	129:10	
aconomic	efficiency			<b>enter</b> 101:
71:20	75:15	electronic	encompasses	190:8
93:19	104:4	273:10,11	273:24	entered
111:16	119:25	297:3	encourage	101:1
124:24	126:25	electronics	194:6	entire
176:9	188:8,15	141:9	203:18	113:24
262:13,24	efficient			113.27

UB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 09	9-25-2012	Page 108 of 1	61
141:20	250:19	236:20	290:14	122:7
231:9	262:2	237:2	302:14	124:7
289:19	equity 38:9	238:2	304:3	128:5
entirely	69:20	243:7	evaluate	170:21
256:2	73:21	250:25	228:15	181:19
200:2		274:13	220:15	182:25
entities	125:9,11	279:7	event 235:5	183:9
245:5	154:10	300:20	236:1	231:11
279:3	235:17	302:18	254:10	261:22
entitled	237:17		271:17	263:7
145:8	243:2	establish	<b>events</b> 155:4	264:10
145:8	246:14,16	151:8		265:15,24
entity	247:14	152:12	171:5,6	266:12
203:12	262:6,8,16	154:25	175:15,17	268:10,21
231:2	,22 263:1	155:3	188:20	269:3
	264:8	176:18	200:10,11,	271:14,20
environment	268:12	180:20	13,15	
142:5	275:3	212:5	201:24	evidence-
184:12	equivalent	established	eventual	based
256:17	171:10	143:22	180:4	120:25
265:6	207:19	146:5,9,18		evidentiary
286:17	221:3	150:4,11	eventually	95:7
equal 208:6	221:5		177:1	95:7
302:2,4	equivalents	156:8	everybody	<b>evolve</b> 259
	159:8	176:17	103:12	evolved
equally	074.1	245:2	202:1	
127:25	<b>ergo</b> 274:1	258:8,11	202:1	177:4
212:4	error	279:20		exactly
equals	246:3,13,1	282:16	everyday	147:23
210:14	5,18,23,24	establishing	150:23	155:21
	247:2	180:13	everyone	183:21
equation	248:8,11	212:19	92:4	229:5
142:20		250:7	154:15	259:20
143:4,9,20	<b>errors</b> 247:4			
144:3	249:9	estate 7:3	187:13,19 283:11	examination
equipment	escalating	26:3 47:14		97:12
74:15	208:22,23	159 <b>:</b> 10	302:23	105:8
220:19,24		163:14,25	everything	133:6
	especially	166:14,22	135:10	135:5
223:2,5,14	132:17	167:21	151:15	137:17
equitable	207:25	estimate	164:24	138:13
142:24	223:13	42:9	203:12	170:3,13
213:18	essential	140:10	302:1	272:16
	109:18			Examinatior
equities	123:6	273:25	<b>evi</b> 114:16	in-Chief
125:13	126:19	274:3	<b>evid</b> 265:14	
154:12,13		estimated		3:17 4:4
159:9	essentially	158:21	evidence	137:14
165:19,20	114:5		84:2 93:14	232:13
167:21	124:5	estimates	94:1,20	examining
185:20	161:16	140:7	100:25	286:9
237:22,25	171:10	Estimating	104:23	
238:8,13,1	177:23	89:8	108:6	example
6 240:2	209:3		112:6,9	154:11
040 0	004.0	<b>et</b> 234:19	114:17	164:10
243:9	234:9	252:3	114:1/	174:6

PUB-MPI	GRA	FOR	2013/14	09-25-2012

Page 109 of 161

TOD MIT GIA P	OR 2013/14 0	9 25 2012	Page 109 01 .	101
177:20	exclusive	35:1,4,9	125:10	14:3,8
184:12	294:22	36:1 37:1	141:13	15:9 29:18
207:9	294:22	38:1 39:1	155:13	48:14,21
	<b>excuse</b> 160:2			
238:5	203:24	40:1 42:1	259:22	79:8
247:13		43:1 44:1	301:12,18	118:8,11
251:14	executive	45:1 46:1	304:19	122:9
252:6	92:25 96:8	47:1 48:1	306:5	208:5
258:23	98:7 130:4	49:1 50:1	expectations	252:3
263:5,24	282:1	51:1 52:1	141:23	277:15
268:12	<b>exempt</b> 131:7	53:1 54:1	150:22	286:25
274:7	210:18	55:1 56:1	151:2	expenses
275:10,22,		57:1 58:1	247:24	14:14,19
23 280:22	exercise	59:1 60:1	247:24	
281:17	147:18,25	61:1 62:1		15:6 17:19
283:10	175:21	63:1 64:1	260:16	28:9 44:21
287:16,21	184:3	65:1 66:1	expected	45:3 50:9
290:16	EXHBIITS	67:1 68:1	104:15	58:8
301:12		69:1 70:1	141:22	61:2,8,15
	41:1	71:1 72:1	152:12	62:20 63:3
examples	exhibit	73:1 74:1	154:6	78:21 82:8
190:19	101:3,5,7,	75:1 74:1 75:1 76:1	159:1	94 <b>:</b> 11
196:20	18,22		165:9	104:6
282:2	102:6,16,2	77:1 78:1	168:24	117:11,20,
exceed	1,25	79:1 80:1	172:25	21 118:4
141:23	103:4,8,10	81:1 82:1	176:10,17	119:6
141:23	,15	83:1 84:1		122:10
exceeds	105:10,12,	85:1 86:1	234:20	141:22
155:8	15,18,23	87:1 88:1	266:19	174:25
excellent	106:1,7,15	89:1 90:1	274:14	208:1
		91:1	301:10	283:19
129:9	,18,21,25	101:1,18	304:12	290:4
except	107:3,7,24	102:1,11	expecting	301:15,18,
201:21	,25	105:7,9	174:7	21 302:5,8
302:23	108:3,6,9	107:11,12	303:8	
	128:15,16,	128:3,11		Expenses/
exceptionall	19,21,24	134:8,15	expend	claims
<b>y</b> 249:6	129:3,7,15	<b>-</b> 144.14	115:22	60:14
excess	exhibits 3:3	<b>exist</b> 144:14	expenditure	expensive
153:13	5:1 6:1	existed	118:19	-
268:4	7:1 8:1	141:9	133:22	188:22
	9:1	144:14	134:4	218:15
excessive	10:2,14	<b>Ani A A A A</b>		experience
125:15	10.2,14	existence	expenditures	51:20
Exchange	13:1 14:1	285:22	17:14	96:20
235:22	13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1	291:11	94:14	100:13
		existing	104:5	108:14
exclude	17:1 18:1	241:21	113:2	110:20
212:21	19:1 20:1	248:18	117:11	111:17
excluded	21:1 22:1	257:22	118:20	177:8
210:17	23:1 24:1		119:1,10,2	237:8
	25:1 26:1	<b>exists</b> 291:5	2 120:3,5	251:12
216:6	27:1 28:1	expand	134:3	
262:10	29:1 30:1	267:10	283:7,17	274:8,11
exclusions	31:1 32:1			281:10
130:21	33:1	expect	expense	305:19,20,
	34:1,20	104:18	13:18	24

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 09	9-25-2012	Page 110 of 1	.61
experienced	244:18	97:11	188:22	281:7
236:5	exploration	109:8,12	208:14	285:9
experiences	123:15	122:1	209:10	286:2,4
263:21		124:2	220:21	290:10
	exploratory	134:3	221:3	291:3
expert	120:22	extent	223:2	293:15
112:10	explore	126:17	273:17	294:4
128:5	127:17	303:14	290:16	298:20
expertise	explored		291:11	299:13
112:6,8	123:10	external	293:14	fairest
233:13		62:14	<b>facto</b> 203:4	212:5
282:15	exploring	115:15	factor 25:3	<b>fairly</b> 97:11
experts	117:1	147:12 153:8,10	116:9	124:1
111:15	exponential	241:1	172:13	132:7
247:9	300:22	282:24	185:21	164:14
253:14		293:4	200:18	170:16,20
270:20	exposure		250:18	209:24
	243:1	external-		214:11
explain	251:21	appointed	factors 44:9	237:4
144:4	exposures	254:17	52:4 70:10	265:18
158:15	177:18	<b>extra</b> 85:20	104:2	279:22
159:4,12,2	express	223:18,19	171:7	285:14
2 161:22	205:16	224:5	172:14	299:25
166:15		extreme	177:17,19,	300:1,17
167:4,15 170:23	expressed	177:6	21,22	fairness
172:5	117:12	207:25	182:21,24 183:10,20,	93:20
173:23	122:23 291:17	237:21	21 213:10	113:16
177:10			237:22	132:8
192:4,7	expressing	extremely	238:3,6	221:21
234:25	119:22	133:10	239:12,13,	
235:11	expressly	237:2	17 244:20	<b>faith</b> 199:2
236:22	195:24	249:20	251:10	fall
240:11		266:16	253:15	217:19,23
244:3	<b>exten</b> 124:2			240:1
246:3	extend	F	factory	260:3
249:24	115:22	face 124:23	281:19	fallacies
250:17	extended	<b>faced</b> 125:11	<b>fail</b> 264:14	202:2
251:6	109:10	126:19	<b>fails</b> 123:6	
276:21		172:10		fallacy
278:13	extended-cab	182:12	<b>fair</b> 47:8	202:3,5
284:20	207:12	184:20	97:25	<b>falls</b> 215:21
294:21	extension	256:21	113:17	<b>false</b> 185:8
explaining	143:5	266:6	135:8	
244:4	144:19		139:13	familiar
explains	149:10	<b>faces</b> 270:22	142:14,24 183:16	110:1
233:8	211:13	facing	209:25	130:5
233:8	280:3,19,2	141:25	220:23	159:25
	2 287:20	<b>fact</b> 115:10	220:23	families
explanation	289:12,17	139:6	223:9	208:19
000 00			223.3	
239:20	290:20	145:11	224:2	famil. 02.10
239:20 explicitly	290:20 291:1,6	145:11 153:16	224:2 245:12	family 23:18 80:2 94:15

PUB-MPI GRA FO	DR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 111 of 1	L61
132:5	82:15	301:13	184:18,23	263:13,18
214:9	100:23,24	fifty-two	185:22	264:20,23
<b>fast</b> 115:11	207:11	131:25	224:16	269:7
	304:1,2	214:4	234:16	270:16
faster	<b>feet</b> 192:18		245:17	277:2
118:12	197:4,5,6	figure	253:19	293:2,5,7,
fatal		119:18	271:5	8,10,15
203:5,7	feisty	143:22	272:1	294:23
<b>fault</b> 153:16	109:13	152:24 203:13	299:2	297:11,25
200:22,23	<b>fellow</b> 135:5		finance	300:19
210:24	173:11	figures	96:12	301:16
231:9	217:14	131:4	104:13	financing
	<b>Fenced</b> 85:8	209:22	111:21	304:2
favourable		213:6	126:6	findings
114:22	fender-	300:23	137:25	115:10
304:10	bender	figuring	154 <b>:</b> 18	240:18
favoured	231:7	155:12	159:16,19	293:24
231:11	Fenske	165:8	160:7,10,1	<b>finds</b> 114:10
favourite	35:3,8	filed	6 162:2,21	
112:7	Fenski	5:4,7,9	163:2,10,2	294:2
	106:3,9	34:14,18	3 164:12	<b>fine</b> 189:5
features		35:14,17,1	165:5,17,2	192:22
143:10	<b>Fever</b> 189:4	8,20	2 166:5,22 168:17	<b>finish</b> 170:2
250:8,11,2 4	fewer	100:25	170:5	306:12
4	145:14,23	105:20	242:25	
Feb 157:24	field	107:5	282:1	finished
February	200:9,10	235:6		199:18 306:17
152:4		246:1,4	financial	
157:25	fifteen	247:2	68:2 83:20	fire 131:8
236:4	153:11	258:15	93:24	210:19
240:21	160:21 187:11	271:15	96:12 116:21	221:9
242:12	188:10	295:5,6	147:8,24,2	224:4
264:2	207:15	296:2,3	5 148:8,10	firm
297:16	231:15	filing 12:6	172:10,23,	95:17 <b>,</b> 23
federal	251:13	147:8	24 178:2	first
178:3		148:3	184:7	102:2,7
182:16	<b>fifth</b> 113:14	245:24	207:25	112:12
federally	114:13	294:11	233:18,21	114:5,18
178:7,8	<b>fifty</b> 154:6	filled	234:6,13	128:14,21
182:17	194:21	252:12	235:3	137:22
	199:15	<b>final</b> 93:10	241:5	139:18
federat	201:23		242:13	140:20
178:8	206:3	113:19 155:25	243:11	147:7
fee 89:22	223:16	265:22	244:19	176:5,7,13
<b>feel</b> 188:15	303:24	266:2,23	247:18,20,	177:14
223:9	fifty-eight	269:1	23	180:7
239:14	207:16	286:13	248:1,13,1	182:20
241:19	fifty-four	299:18	4,18,22,25	185:19
263:5	131:1	306:7	249:4,5,10 257:16	187:8,23
	301:13		257:16 258:4	189:3,15
fees 26:4		<b>finally</b> 94 <b>:</b> 15	258:4 259:3	205:21,22 206:5
47:3 62:15	fifty-three	94.LJ	207.0	200:0

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 112 of 161

I OD III I GIUI I	OR 2013/14 03	25 2012	Fage IIZ OI I	01
217:8	218:18	forecasting	121:18	<b>free</b> 211:14
225:25		11:9 40:15	133:9	
227:8	<b>fleet</b> 100:22	112:25	147:3	Frequencies
240:18	303:3,4	114:19	149:19	11:13
242:8,10	<b>fleets</b> 281:3	116:17	150:16	frequency
244:10	303:11		158:23	43:9
244:10		140:18,22	162:20	-3.5
247:2,8	<b>flew</b> 225:22	169:4,9		Friday
	flexible	300:20	176:2,6,20	101:12
271:15	286:9,11	forecasts	178:22	273:13
283:25		112:22	179:18	Friend
285:7,19	<b>flip</b> 293:21	132:16	186:3	113:15
286:4	flipping	140:7	204:12	113.13
293:25	237:18	183:5	234:24	friends
296:3		252:2	254:3	206:9
301:3,6	flowed	260:15	272:11	frightened
305:8	265:24		284:1	197:21
Firstly	flowing	form 180:1	forward-	
184:5	168:22	239:20	looking	<b>front</b> 96:19
		268:22	251 <b>:</b> 5	151:4
<b>fiscal</b> 93:21	focus 110:24	283:18,20		181:9
99:22	182:4	<b>forma</b> 172:23	fought 220:2	189:25
157:22	followating	247:20	foundation	202:18
169:18	256:7		150:17	204:2
173:1		<b>formal</b> 161:1		229:19
174:3	font 169:16	format	founding	232:16,23
235:19	<b>food</b> 208:4	108:20	143:21	258:22
240:21			148:15	297:7
<b>five</b> 118:4	footprint	former	150:3,13	
185:12	276:7	111:20	151:3	fronts
202:6,9	forecast	135:2	fourteen	276:15
	7:18 15:3	208:12	289:17	<b>FTE</b> 76:2
207:18	39:21 40:8	forms 169:9	301:6	
208:16,21	114:20		301:0	<b>fuel</b> 208:1
212:25	117:4	formula 8:15	<b>fourth</b> 113:9	<b>full</b> 123:15
217:12,15	127:23	123:17,19	114:9	147:25
218:25		formulas	143:19	160:20
219:10	132:23,24	13:20 14:5	144:3	198:17
223:20	152:19	15:11	<b>frame</b> 175:8	209:12
231:17	168:14,15,	148:9		278:18
240:24	19 169:7		188:13	305:8
261:9	174:24	forth 237:19	framed	
302:6	184:14,15	<b>forty</b> 175:17	114:13	fully 122:20
<b>fix</b> 220:7	233:23	217:5	framework	123:2,10
	234:14	270:12		151:14
<b>fixed</b> 222:22	236:19	275:12	140:1	154:21
<b>flat</b> 130:9	240:23		142:20	160:7
132:10	247:21	forty-nine	143:17	function
133:2	248:25	207:13	144:2	142:4
212:6,13,1	249:7	forty-three	286:21	185:7
9	251:18	206:4	287:8	302:13
213:6,7,9	252:1	200:4	frameworks	302:13
215:0,7,9	300:21	forward	142:17	functions
	301:22	93:25		142:4
flawed	305:10	94:20	<b>France</b> 197:2	144:22
217:20		98 <b>:</b> 20		146:12
	•			

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

#### PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 113 of 161

FOB MEI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-23-2012	Page 113 OF .	101
279:21	<b>GAAP</b> 173:13	273:22	278:10	296:7
281:24		288:18		306:22,24
	gained 119:5		gotten	
<b>fund</b> 141:13	gains 8:10	<b>gi</b> 216:9	155:11	<b>grand</b> 223:17
146:7	169:17,20	Gibson	government	grandchildre
153:21		104:13	99:1	<b>n</b> 206:11
158:17	garbage	159:20	108:19	
163:6,8	215:15	162:3	112:4	<b>grant</b> 224:25
164:14	Gartner 53:8	163:4	131:7	graphs 10:15
165:5	54:20		142:7	<b>GRAs</b> 34:14
166:14,23	55:2,8,14	<b>gifted</b> 231:1	144:23	105:13,16
260:19	74:8	<b>given</b> 118:18	145:4	103:13,10
270:10,22	119:20	126:13	146:18	<b>grates</b> 198:4
fundamental	122:15,16,	127:11,22	210:18	<b>Gray</b> 3:22
143:1,16	21	135:6	215:23	205:22
152:23	Gartner/IT	165:9	216:2	216:19,24
278:16	54:14	174:3,8	270:3,10,1	217:1,2,4
fundamentall		175:8	4	221:25
	<b>Gary</b> 104:13	177:7	governments	222:6,8
<b>y</b> 141:12	159:20,24	182:17	-	223:6
142:7	162:3	185:5	124:24 125:1	224:10,21
funded	163:4	186:23	263:11,17	225:3,8,13
154:21	general 1:8	241:21	203:11,1/	
159:1	92:6	257 <b>:</b> 20	<b>GRA</b> 12:6	<b>great</b> 125:8
funding 23:4	96:5,13	259:21	34:17	199:23
148:15	115:2	290:17	101:8	209:11
	117:13	304:7	105:20,25	263:9,22
<b>funds</b> 159:17	137:23	gives 279:2	117:8	264:1
164:18	205:9	-	179:21	greater
furniture	268:18	<b>giving</b> 112:6	235:3,6	185:13
215:9	269:7	190:9	249:1	209:9,18
		192:22	271:16	214:25
<b>future</b> 152:5	generally	205:23	294:11	241:8,14,2
155:4	147:15	215:24	grace 209:17	3 243:3
175:2	148:14	216:9		246:19
184:16	272:12	<b>Gloria</b> 98:6	Graduated	274:2
189:12,17	generated	glossy	23:8	286:15
195:10,14 198:22	148:23,24	144:11	Grammond 2:2	greatest
198:22	149:11		3:6,10	208:5
200:2	236:15	<b>GMC</b> 207:11	95:1,3,12,	
233:17,24	267 <b>:</b> 1	<b>goal</b> 94:19	13,15	greatly
241:5	generates	146:17	99:6,16,17	218:8
249:8,14,1	213:1		102:1,11,2	grey 223:10
8 252:9		goals	1	
257:16	gentleman	150:7,14	103:4,10,1	gross 52:20
283:2	230:19	go-forward	7 105:3	278:1
286:9	gentlemen	247:3	108:2	289:25
292:17	217:3	<b>gone</b> 194:16	128:12,13	290:23
298:25	<b>gets</b> 149:24	200:24	136:3,7,20	grounds
299:16	152:13	200:24	186:10	122:8
	102:10	227:12,15	187:7,10,2	<b>group</b> 100:15
	getting		2	110:24
G	223:24	Gosselin	230:13,18	111:10
	226:23	1:15 92:4	232:8	V

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 114 of 3	161
119:20	97 <b>:</b> 9	278:2	293:9	123:8
122:15,16,	<b>guide</b> 112:15	hanging	HDA 132:1	125:7
21	-	97:23		128:8
161:21,23	guided 93:14		he/she	136:24,25
162:1,5,12	110:12	<b>happen</b> 146:2	231:22	137:21
165:14	111:14	151:15,16	head 228:12	231:13
166:19	173:12	152:6	h da ah	256:20
210:17	guidelines	154:6	headaches	hearings
218:10	89:10	162:23	226:11	96:10,24
groups	guiding	226:13	heading	97:6 98:21
84:6,12,18	282:10,17	257:24	120:11	109:11
85:3,9,15,	283:1	260:4,5	191:22	110:4
21	284:20	270:15,23	<b>health</b> 203:9	112:11
86:3,9,15,	285:5	happened	211:22,24	124:16
21	290:11	145:15	277:3	130:5
87:3,9,15,		146:22		139:17
21	guts 306:14	221:21	healthcare	217:10
88:3,9,15,		happens	211:14	221:20
21	Н	146:22	hear	256:3,6
89:3,9,15,	hail 273:24	153:1,22	104:18,23	257:3
21	274:3,7,9,	164:11	110:17	<b>heart</b> 140:3
90:3,9,15,	11,25	167:21	154:23	
21 91:3,9	275:22	200:3	188:4	Heather 3:14
111:11	276:12	305:13	202:11	96:11
197:22	303:1		<b>heard</b> 104:10	137:8
205:14		<b>happy</b> 98:10	110:15	162:4
212:5	hailstorm	99:3	153:6	276:23
grow 141:17	237:23	192:3,7	156:19	277:13,22
154:8,9	238:20,21,	hard 115:23	184:12	278:15
	23,25	<b>hardly</b> 132:2	255:24	280:11
growing	275:1,6	_	257:4	281:14
122:11	276:1,8	hardship	269:25	282:13
155:7	hailstorms	212:20		283:6
grown	237:25	Harley	hearing 1:6	284:24
118:7,8	<b>half</b> 156:13	207:14	5:3,11	285:3
growth 28:13	219:7,10	208:19	34:22	286:22
44:9 52:15	226:16	217:14	35:5,10,15	287:6
117:10	285:4	<b>haul</b> 215:15	,21	290:8
118:6		nau1 215:15	92:7,10,22	291:23 292:5
	halfway	haven't	93:2,3,5	292:5 293:3,20
guaranteed	196:24	189:18	94:1,18 95:7 97:24	293:3,20 294:15
143:10	hand 97:15	225:19		294.15
guess 153:24	handbook	226:9	98:3,4 101:4,7,11	295:3,13,1 7 296:1
160:11	190:11,14	227:15,18,	,20,23	297:6,10
191:17	191:8,21,2	20 299:4	104:12	298:3,9,19
222:4	4 196:5	having	107:13	299:5
224:6	197:9	142:23	109:23	
258:12		179:25	110:14,24	<b>he'd</b> 199:10
269:14	handle	203:25	111:9,14	<b>held</b> 1:19
274:2	228:24	219:6	114:11,15	8:3 139:22
296:23	248:18	256:3	116:4,25	159:2
guidance	handling	279:4	119:9,16	231:10
			, – .	

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 115 of 161

269:23 $184:10$ $119:11$ $230:24$ $259:18$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $289:15$ $291:1$ $192:17$ $2,19$ $253:16$ $272:17$ $301:12$ $114:9$ he's $253:16$ $272:17$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ Houghtonhundredsidentifyhigh $132:4$ historically $3:21$ $237:5$ $274:13$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ identify $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ identifyhigher $132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ identifyin $214:10$ $109:9$ $216:12,16$ $IIFRS 6:13$ $19:13$ highest $117:8$ hour $I$ $I$ $I$ $173:18$ $107:8$ hour $I$ $I$ $I$		014 0			
hell 217:18Highway 195:24,25holds 255:7hundred 100:20 $206:12$ 228:5he'll 138:8195:24,25holds 255:7100:20 $228:5$ $205:23$ $214:1$ holes 176:24 $3,15,18$ $306:12$ help 227:25 $301:25$ $4014$ $135:12$ $247:6$ $229:13$ $303:20$ $200:11$ $194:20,21$ idea 201:2helpedHill 200:11Hollis 92:24 $199:15$ $301:21$ $140:18$ hired 165:24home 208:9 $202:6,9$ idea 176: $141:1$ $282:14$ homoured $207:13,16$ ,identical $105:11$ $177:8$ $206:14$ $8.213:2$ identifica $105:11$ $177:8$ $206:14$ $8.213:2$ $185:24$ help 100:21 $231:7$ $271:17$ $254:10$ $185:24$ here's 196:7 $174:23$ hopeful $231:7$ $271:17$ $267:11$ $175:1,12$ $190:2$ $232:2$ $280:7$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $29:15$ $258:18$ $269:23$ $178:21$ hopefully $258:24$ $259:18$ $192:17$ $2,19$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $114:9$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $255:15,2$ $199:13$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $174:13$ $199:13$ $2$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $174:13$ $161:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $174:13$ $162:13$ <	hell 217:18	214:8	-	<b>Human</b> 63:14	
he'll195:24,25holds255:7100:20 $206:12$ 205:23196:11,14holes176:24 $311:1,10,1$ $228:5$ help227:25301:25Holland $135:12$ $247:6$ 229:13303:20200:11194:20,21idea 201:2helpedHill200:11Hollis92:24199:15141:1222:4home 208:9201:22,23ideas 176:141:1292:897:22200:13,16,235:2105:11historichope 190:24211:3,5,6,on 182:9105:11177:8206:148 213:2185:24helps162:13historical218:3231:7271:17here's196:7174:23hopeful231:7271:17267:11175:1,12190:2232:2280:7280:7herself251:6,11,1205:17291:1identified269:23184:10119:11289:15259:18herself253:16272:17301:1214:995:18,22255:19hoping304:11,16172:996:16262:7,10,2261:18305:25256:15,2189:132130:2,3239:2identified174:13207:3,4208:17174:13identify3:21237:5174:13identify114:7,22210:15identifyhigh 132:4199:243:21237:5189:1313:1422,24208:17185:21 <tr< th=""><th></th><th>Highway</th><th>243:10</th><th>hundred</th><th></th></tr<>		Highway	243:10	hundred	
he '11 138:8196:11,14holes $176:24$ 131:1,10,1228:5205:23214:1holes $176:24$ 3,15,18306:12help 227:25301:25Holland135:12306:12229:13303:20200:11194:20,21idea 201:2helpedHill 200:11Hollis 92:24199:15301:21140:18hired 165:24home 208:9202:6,9ideas 176:141:1282:14200:208:8235:2identical276:4292:897:2220 208:8235:2helpfullyhistorichope 190:24211:3,5,6,on 182:9105:11177:8206:148 213:2185:24here's 196:7174:23hopefull231:7271:17267:11175:1,12190:2232:2280:7herself251:6,11,1205:17291:1identified269:23178:21hopefully288:24259:18herself253:16272:17302:6,7161:1495:18,22255:19hoping304:11,16172:996:16262:7,10,2261:18305:25256:15,2189:132Houghtonhundredsidentifyinhigh 132:4historically3:21237:5174:13higher 132:613:1422,24208:17145:21214:10109:9205:3,4208:171FRS 6:13highest117:8hour $14:0,2,25$ 50:14	1 111 100 0		<b>holds</b> 255:7		
205:23 $214:1$ holes $1/61:24$ $3,15,18$ $247:6$ help $227:25$ $301:25$ $301:25$ $200:11$ $194:20,21$ $246:12$ helpedHill $200:11$ Hollis $92:24$ $199:15$ $301:21$ helpedHill $200:11$ Hollis $92:24$ $199:15$ $301:21$ 140:18hired $165:24$ home $208:9$ $202:6,9$ ideat $1/6:12$ helpfullyhired $165:24$ home $208:9$ $202:6,9$ identical $105:11$ historichope $190:24$ $211:3,5,6$ , $on 182:9$ helps $162:13$ historical $218:23$ $217:15$ $254:10$ here's $196:7$ $174:23$ hopeful $231:7$ $271:17$ $269:23$ $178:21$ hopefully $235:2$ $280:7$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $255:16$ $272:17$ $301:12$ $114:9$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $95:18,22$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $174:13$ $101132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $174:13$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $164entifyin$ $133:18$ $117:8$ $100:9$ $205:3,4$ $208:17$ $174:13$ $124:10$ $109:9$ $205:3,4$ $208:17$ $174:13$ $127:18$ $1014:7,22$ $216:12,16$ $10:14$ $19:13$					
help $227:25$ $301:25$ $Holland$ $135:12$ $306:12$ $229:13$ $303:20$ $200:11$ $194:20,21$ $idea$ $201:2$ helpedHill $200:11$ Hollis $92:24$ $199:15$ $301:21$ $140:18$ hired $165:24$ home $208:9$ $202:6,9$ ideas $176:12$ $141:1$ $282:14$ $292:8$ $97:22$ $20$ $208:8$ $235:2$ $105:11$ historichope $190:24$ $211:3,5,6,$ on $182:9$ $105:11$ $177:8$ $206:14$ $8$ $213:2$ $185:24$ helps $162:13$ historical $218:23$ $217:15$ $254:10$ here's $196:7$ $174:23$ hopefull $231:7$ $271:17$ $267:11$ $175:1,12$ $190:2$ $232:2$ $280:7$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $291:11$ $259:18$ $269:23$ $178:21$ hopefully $237:8$ $259:18$ $192:17$ $2,19$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $114:9$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $95:18,22$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ Houghtonhundreds $104:174:3$ $130:2,4$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $164:1fyin$ $19:13$ $2$ $103:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $174:13$ $214:10$ $109:9$ $205:3,4$ $208:17$ $174:13$ $19:13$ $114:7,22$ $216:12,16$ <th>205:23</th> <th></th> <th>holes 176:24</th> <th></th> <th></th>	205:23		holes 176:24		
229:13 $303:20$ $200:11$ $194:20,21$ idea $201:2$ helpedHill $200:11$ Hollis $92:24$ $199:15$ $301:21$ $140:18$ hired $165:24$ home $208:9$ $201:22,23$ ideas $176:$ $141:1$ $282:14$ $202:6,9$ $202:6,9$ identical $276:4$ $292:8$ $97:22$ $20208:8$ $235:2$ helpfullyhistorichope $190:24$ $210:15$ identifical $105:11$ $177:8$ $206:14$ $211:3,5,6,$ on $182:9$ helps $162:13$ historical $218:23$ $217:15$ $254:10$ here's $196:7$ $174:23$ hopeful $231:7$ $271:17$ $267:11$ $175:1,12$ $190:2$ $232:2$ $280:7$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $291:1$ identified $269:23$ $178:21$ hopefully $258:24$ identified $192:17$ $2,19$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $114:9$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ $3:21$ $237:5$ $274:10$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $identify$ $1ighen 132:419:2413:2,3239:2identify214:10109:9205:3,4208:17174:13109:9205:3,4208:1719:13214:10109:9help 227:25Holland306:12$	help 227:25		Holland		306:12
helpedHill 200:11Hollis 92:24199:15301:21140:18hired 165:24home 208:9 $202:6,9$ ideas 176:141:1282:14homoured $207:13,16$ ,identical276:4292:8 $97:22$ $202:08:8$ $235:2$ helpfullyhistorichope 190:24 $211:3,5,6$ ,on 182:9helps 162:13historical $218:23$ $217:15$ $254:10$ here's 196:7 $174:23$ hopeful $231:7$ $271:17$ $267:11$ $175:1,12$ $190:2$ $232:2$ $280:7$ herself $15:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $291:1$ $292:8$ $269:23$ $178:21$ $119:11$ $258:24$ $259:18$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $291:1$ $114:9$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ $269:15$ $301:12$ $114:9$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ Houghtonhundredsidentifyhigh $132:4$ historically $3:21$ $237:5$ $174:13$ $207:8,22$ $25:19$ $202:3,4$ $208:17$ $174:13$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ identify $214:10$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $174:13$ highest $117:8$ hour $ie, 163:15$ $19:13$ $173:18$ $117:8$ hour $ie, 163:15$ $19:13$	-		200:11		idea 201·25
InterpretHill 200:11Hollis 92:24201:22,23ideas 176:140:18141:1282:14home 208:9202:6,9identical276:4292:897:22200208:8235:2105:11historichope 190:24210:15identifical105:11177:8206:148 213:2185:24helps 162:13historical218:23217:15254:10here's 196:7174:23hopeful231:7271:17267:11175:1,12190:2232:2280:7herself251:6,11,1205:17291:1identified269:23178:21hopefully258:24identified192:172,19205:17291:1identified95:18,22255:19hoping304:11,16172:996:16262:7,10,2261:18305:25256:15,2189:132Houghtonhundredsidentifyhigh 132:4historically3:21237:5174:13higher 132:613:1422,24208:17identify214:10109:9205:3,4208:17199:13highest117:8hour $\overline{1}$ 19:1350:14117:850:14					
141:10 141:11 276:4hired $165:24$ $282:14$ $292:8$ home $208:9$ $97:22$ $202:6,9$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $207:13,16,$ $202:08:8$ $211:3,5,6,$ $0n 182:9$ helps $162:13$ historic historical $177:8$ hope $190:24$ $218:23$ $210:15$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $211:3,5,6,$ $2201:1,7,2,2,12,7,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2$		Hill 200:11	Hollis 92:24		
1411.1 276:4282:14 292:8honoured 97:22 $207:13,16,$ 20 208:8identical 235:2helpfully 105:11historic 177:8hope 190:24 206:14 $200:15$ 211:3,5,6,identifical 211:3,5,6,helps 162:13historical 177:8 $206:14$ 208:14 $8 213:2$ 217:15identifical 254:10here's 196:7 $174:23$ 176:1hopeful 190:2 $231:7$ 232:2 280:7 $271:17$ 271:17herring176:1 176:1hopefully 205:17 $237:8$ 29:18identified 259:18herself $251:6,11,1$ 2,19 $206:15$ 253:16 $301:12$ 211:1identified 259:18he's $253:16$ 262:7,10,2 $272:17$ 261:18 $305:25$ 256:15,2identified 114:9high 132:4 207:8,22historically 199:24 $3:21$ 207:8,22history 204:11,17,hundreds 205:3,4 208:17identify 174:13highest 117:8 $117:8$ 109:9hour $116:12$ 208:17 $19:13$ 50:14		hired 165:24	home 208:9		<b>ideas</b> 176:2
$292:8$ $97:22$ $20 \ 208:8$ $235:2$ helpfullyhistorichope 190:24 $210:15$ $210:15$ $identifical$ helps 162:13historical $206:14$ $8 \ 213:2$ $211:3, 5, 6, 6$ $on \ 182:9$ here's 196:7 $174:23$ hopeful $218:23$ $217:15$ $254:10$ herring $176:1$ hopefully $231:7$ $277:17$ herself $176:1$ hopefully $258:24$ $280:7$ $269:23$ $178:21$ hopefully $258:24$ $259:18$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $291:1$ $identified$ $192:17$ $2,19$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $114:9$ he's $253:16$ $272:17$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $95:18,22$ $269:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ high $132:4$ historically $3:21$ $237:5$ $174:13$ higher $132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $174:13$ $214:10$ $109:9$ $205:3,4$ $208:17$ $1FRS \ 6:13$ highest $114:7,22$ $216:12,16$ $162:25$ $19:13$ highest $114:7,22$ $216:12,16$ $162:25$ $19:13$ $173:18$ $107:8$ hour $116:12,16$ $19:13$		282:14	honourod		identical
helpfully $105:11$ historic $177:8$ $200:12$ $206:14$ $210:15$ $211:3,5,6,6,6,7$ $8 213:2$ identifica $185:24$ $254:10$ helps $162:13$ historical $174:23$ $218:23$ $217:15$ $254:10$ $254:10$ $231:7$ $231:7$ $271:17$ $271:17$ herring $176:1$ $176:1$ hopefully $190:2$ $232:2$ $232:2$ $280:7$ $280:7$ $269:23$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $2,19$ $206:15$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $301:12$ $identified$ $259:18$ he's $253:16$ $253:16$ $272:17$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $114:9$ $172:9$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ he's $253:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $identifies$ $14:9$ $3:21$ high $132:4$ $237:1,10$ historically $114:7,22$ $3:21$ $207:8,22$ $237:1,10$ $204:11,17,$ $204:11,17,$ $204:11,17,$ $husband$ $208:17$ $185:21$ $174:13$ highest $114:7,22$ $117:8$ $173:18$ hour $I$ $I$ $I 6.162:25$	276:4	292:8			235:2
105:11       177:8       hope 190:24       211:3,5,6,       on 182:9         helps 162:13       historical       218:23       217:15       254:10         here's 196:7       174:23       hopeful       231:7       271:17         267:11       175:1,12       190:2       232:2       280:7         herring       176:1       hopefully       258:24       259:18         269:23       184:10       119:11       289:15       259:18         herself       251:6,11,1       205:17       291:1       identifies         192:17       2,19       206:15       301:12       114:9         he's       253:16       272:17       302:6,7       161:14         95:18,22       255:19       hoping       304:11,16       172:9         96:16       262:7,10,2       261:18       305:25       256:15,2         189:13       2       Houghton       hundreds       identify         high 132:4       historically       3:21       237:5       174:13         207:8,22       199:24       130:2,3       239:2       identify         14:10       109:9       205:3,4       208:17       185:21         14:17:8       114:7	helpfully	historia	97:22		:
helps162:13historical206:148 213:2185:24here's196:7174:23hopeful231:7277:15267:11175:1,12190:2232:2280:7herring176:1hopefully258:24259:18269:23184:10119:11289:15259:18herself251:6,11,1206:15301:12114:9192:172,19267:217302:6,7161:14he's253:16272:17302:6,7161:1495:18,22265:7,10,2261:18305:25256:15,2189:132Houghtonhundredsidentifyhigh 132:4199:24130:2,3239:2identifyhigher 132:613:1422,24208:17174:13173:18114:7,22216:12,16119:13173:18100:5216:12,16119:13	105:11		hope 190:24		
historical $218:23$ $217:15$ $103.24$ here's 196:7 $174:23$ hopeful $231:7$ $254:10$ $267:11$ $175:1,12$ $190:2$ $232:2$ $280:7$ herring $176:1$ hopefully $237:8$ $identified$ $269:23$ $178:21$ hopefully $258:24$ $259:18$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $291:1$ $identified$ $192:17$ $2,19$ $272:17$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ he's $253:16$ $272:17$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $95:18,22$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ Houghtonhundreds $identify$ high $132:4$ historically $3:21$ $237:5$ $174:13$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $identify$ higher $132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $185:21$ $214:10$ $109:9$ $205:3,4$ $208:17$ $1FRS 6:13$ highest $117:8$ hour $\overline{1}$ $162:25$	helps 162.13	1//:8	206:14		
here's 196:7 $174:23$ $175:1,12$ hopeful $190:2$ $231:7$ $232:2$ $232:2$ $232:2$ $237:8$ $271:17$ $280:7$ herring $176:1$ $178:21$ hopefully $192:17$ $235:24$ $258:24$ $271:17$ $280:7$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $206:15$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $301:12$ identified $259:18$ he's $253:16$ $253:16$ $272:17$ $261:18$ $302:6,7$ $302:6,7$ identifies $161:14$ he's $253:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $262:7,10,2$ $302:6,7$ $261:18$ identifies $305:25$ high $132:4$ $237:1,10$ historically $132:4$ $3:21$ $199:24$ $237:5$ $130:2,3$ $204:11,17,$ $237:5$ $291:1$ identify $174:13$ higher $132:6$ $214:10$ $13:14$ $109:9$ $22,24$ $205:3,4$ $208:17$ IfFRS 6:13 $19:13$ $50:14$ highest $173:18$ $117:8$ $173:18$ hour $I$ $I$ $I$	Merba 102.13	historical	218:23		
267:11 $175:1,12$ $190:2$ $232:2$ $271:17$ herring $176:1$ hopefully $237:8$ $280:7$ $269:23$ $178:21$ hopefully $258:24$ $250:7$ herself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $291:1$ $identified$ $192:17$ $2,19$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $114:9$ he's $253:16$ $272:17$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ Houghtonhundreds $identify$ high $132:4$ historically $3:21$ $237:5$ $identify$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $identify$ higher $132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $185:21$ $214:10$ $109:9$ $205:3,4$ $208:17$ $1FRS 6:13$ highest $114:7,22$ $216:12,16$ $1$ $19:13$ $173:18$ $117:8$ hour $1$ $162:25$	here's 196:7	174:23	hopeful		
herring176:1hopefully237:8200.7269:23178:21178:21119:11289:15identifiedherself251:6,11,1205:17291:1identifies192:172,19206:15301:12114:9he's253:16272:17302:6,7161:1495:18,22255:19hoping304:11,16172:996:16262:7,10,2261:18305:25256:15,2189:132Houghtonhundredsidentifyhigh 132:4199:24130:2,3239:2identify207:8,22199:24130:2,3239:2identify214:10109:9205:3,4208:17185:21highest114:7,22216:12,1619:13173:18117:8hour1163:25	267:11	175:1,12	-		
$269:23$ $178:21$ hopefully $258:24$ identifiedherself $251:6,11,1$ $205:17$ $291:1$ identifies $192:17$ $2,19$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $114:9$ he's $253:16$ $272:17$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ Houghtonhundredshigh $132:4$ historically $3:21$ $237:5$ $174:13$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ identifyin $237:1,10$ history $204:11,17,$ husband $185:21$ higher $132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ IFRS $6:13$ $214:10$ $109:9$ $216:12,16$ $\overline{1}$ $\overline{1}$ $19:13$ highest $117:8$ hour $\overline{1}$ $\overline{1}$ $50:14$	herring	176:1			280:7
herself $184:10$ $119:11$ $289:15$ $259:18$ $192:17$ $2,19$ $205:17$ $291:1$ $114:9$ he's $253:16$ $272:17$ $301:12$ $114:9$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ $255:19$ $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ $Houghton$ $hundreds$ $identify$ $high 132:4$ $historically$ $3:21$ $237:5$ $256:15,2$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $identify$ $237:1,10$ $history$ $204:11,17,$ $husband$ $185:21$ $higher 132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $155:21$ $214:10$ $109:9$ $216:12,16$ $114:7,22$ $19:13$ $highest$ $117:8$ $hour$ $\overline{1}$ $06:13$ $173:18$ $107:8$ $hour$ $\overline{1}$ $50:14$	-	178:21			identified
herself251:6,11,1205:17291:1identifies192:172,19206:15301:12114:9he's253:16272:17302:6,7161:1495:18,22262:7,10,2261:18305:25256:15,296:16262:7,10,2261:18305:25256:15,2189:132Houghtonhundredsidentifyhigh 132:4historically3:21237:5239:2207:8,22199:24130:2,3239:2identify207:8,2213:1422,24208:17185:21214:10109:9205:3,4208:17IFRS 6:13highest114:7,22216:12,1619:1350:14		184:10			259:18
192:17 $2,19$ $206:15$ $301:12$ $114:9$ he's $253:16$ $272:17$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ Houghtonhundredsidentifyhigh $132:4$ historically $3:21$ $237:5$ $174:13$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ identify $237:1,10$ history $204:11,17,$ husband $185:21$ higher $132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ IFRS $6:13$ $214:10$ $114:7,22$ $216:12,16$ $I$ $I$ highest $117:8$ hour $I$ $I$ $I$ $173:18$ $107:05$ $50:14$		251:6,11,1			idontifica
he's $253:16$ $272:17$ $302:6,7$ $161:14$ $95:18,22$ $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ Houghtonhundreds $identify$ high $132:4$ historically $3:21$ $237:5$ $174:13$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $identify$ $237:1,10$ history $204:11,17,$ husband $185:21$ higher $132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $185:21$ $214:10$ $109:9$ $205:3,4$ $208:17$ $1FRS 6:13$ highest $114:7,22$ $216:12,16$ $19:13$ $50:14$	192:17	2,19			
95:18,22 $255:19$ hoping $304:11,16$ $172:9$ $96:16$ $262:7,10,2$ $261:18$ $305:25$ $256:15,2$ $189:13$ $2$ <b>Houghton</b> hundreds $identify$ high $132:4$ historically $3:21$ $237:5$ $174:13$ $207:8,22$ $199:24$ $130:2,3$ $239:2$ $identify$ $237:1,10$ history $204:11,17,$ husband $185:21$ higher $132:6$ $13:14$ $22,24$ $208:17$ $185:21$ $214:10$ $109:9$ $205:3,4$ $216:12,16$ $19:13$ highest $117:8$ hour $1$ $162:25$ $50:14$	he's	253:16	272:17		
96:16       262:7,10,2       261:18       305:25       256:15,2         189:13       2       Houghton       hundreds       identify         high 132:4       199:24       130:2,3       237:5       174:13         207:8,22       199:24       130:2,3       239:2       identify         higher 132:6       13:14       22,24       208:17       185:21         14:10       109:9       205:3,4       208:17       1FRS 6:13         highest       117:8       hour       1       19:13         173:18       107:8       hour       1       50:14	95:18,22	255:19	hoping		
189:13       2       Houghton       hundreds       identify         high 132:4       historically       3:21       237:5       174:13         207:8,22       199:24       130:2,3       239:2       identify         237:1,10       history       204:11,17,       husband       185:21         higher 132:6       13:14       22,24       208:17       185:21         214:10       109:9       205:3,4       208:17       IFRS 6:13         highest       117:8       hour       I       19:13         50:14       50:14       50:14       50:14		262:7,10,2			
high 132:4       historically       3:21       237:5       174:13         207:8,22       199:24       130:2,3       239:2       174:13         237:1,10       history       204:11,17,       husband       185:21         higher 132:6       13:14       22,24       208:17       185:21         214:10       109:9       205:3,4       199:13       199:13         highest       117:8       hour       I       I       162:25	189:13	2			
199:24       199:24       130:2,3       239:2       1dentifyin         207:8,22       199:24       130:2,3       239:2       identifyin         237:1,10       history       204:11,17,       husband       185:21         higher 132:6       13:14       22,24       208:17       185:21         214:10       109:9       205:3,4       199:13       199:13         highest       117:8       hour       I       50:14	high 122.4	historically	-		=
237:1,10       history       204:11,17,       husband       185:21         higher 132:6       13:14       22,24       208:17       185:21         214:10       109:9       205:3,4       216:12,16       19:13         highest       117:8       hour       1       162:25       50:14	-	=			174:13
higher 132:6     13:14     22,24     husband     185:21       highest     109:9     205:3,4     208:17     IFRS 6:13       highest     117:8     hour     I     162:25				239:2	identifying
higher     13:14     22,24     208:17       214:10     109:9     205:3,4     114:7,22       highest     114:7,22     216:12,16     I       173:18     101:25     hour     I		-		husband	
214:10     103:3     216:12,16     19:13       highest     117:8     hour     I       173:18     101:25     50:14	-			208:17	
highest         117:8         hour         I         50:14           173:18         101:05         50:14         50:14	214:10				
$173:18$ hour $-\frac{162:25}{1000}$	highest		210:12,10	т	
	-				50:14
18/:6,11 ignore			187:6 <b>,</b> 11		ignore
high-level         124:25         261:4         IBM 56:2         182:22	-		261:4	<b>IBM</b> 56:2	182:22
300:1         214:21         house 176:21         IBM/MPI         II 35:16	300:1		house 176:21	IBM/MPI	<b>II</b> 35:16
highlight 230.7	highlight				
114:2 270:12 housed TDVD 7(:14					
	122:7				<b>III</b> 35:19
184:5 <b>ill</b> 211:23			290:21		<b>ill</b> 211:23
277:23 hit 228:12 household 13 I'll	277:23		household	13	T 1 1 1
highlights 238:25 208:5 ICBC 29:3 07.12 15	highlights		208:5	<b>ICBC</b> 29:3	
276:9 215:19 178.8	204:23	276:9	215:19	178:8	
		hitting	housekeeping	183:14	
bitting househeeping 183:14 99:13		225:25		190:19	
highly         145:3         hitting         housekeeping         183:14         105:7           225:25         105:1         190:19         126:25		238:22		194:8,9,19	
hitting         housekeeping         183:14         99:13           highly 145:3         225:25         105:1         190:19         126:25           223:13         238:22         100:1         194:8,9,19         136:21			HR 282:1	196:6,15	
highly 145:3         hitting         housekeeping         183:14         105:7           223:13         225:25         105:1         190:19         126:25           272:7         HR 282:1         196:6,15         136:21	272:7	ποτα	HRMS 19:8	<b>I'd</b> 134:6-14	
hitting       housekeeping       183:14       99:13         highly 145:3       225:25       105:1       190:19       126:25         223:13       238:22       HR 282:1       196:6,15       136:21         hold       HPMS 19:8       Tit 124 6 14       105:7		000 10 11			
highly 145:3       hitting       housekeeping       183:14       105:7         223:13       225:25       105:1       190:19       126:25         272:7       238:22       HR 282:1       196:6,15       136:21         high-quality       200:10,11,       HRMS 19:8       I'd 134:6,14       138:1,6,	high-quality		Uu gho c		
highly 145:3       hitting       housekeeping       183:14       105:7         223:13       225:25       105:1       190:19       126:25         272:7       238:22       HR 282:1       196:6,15       136:21         high-quality       200:10.11       HRMS 19:8       I'd 134:6,14       138:1,6,	<pre>high-quality 143:23</pre>	12 228:22	-	156 <b>:</b> 18	140:7

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 116 of 1	.61
175:24,25	291:23	193:3	15:14,19	252:18
180:25	296:3	implicitly	49:2 81:21	<b>income</b> 46:3
182:4	imagine 99:9	190:6	115:25	47:15
184:5	237:9		124:15	49:14 94:7
186:3		<b>implu</b> 257:11	179:22	103:22
188:17	immediate	important	improvements	103:22
191:21	96:7	110:14	14:10	127:12
196:17	immediately	118:6	123:19	168:15,20,
204:24,25	96:25	121:16	249:10	25 169:4,7
205:4,10		132:17	265:19	171:12
228:25	immensely	142:22		173:1
230:16	206:7	144:3	improves	259:24
232:10	immobilizer	145:9	182:18	304:6,12
233:7,19	251:15	146:4	253 <b>:</b> 20	
236:22	303:13	147:19	257 <b>:</b> 11	incomes
243:20,23		172:14	improving	207:24
263:7	immobilizers	173:8,10	260:16	208:14
269:6	134:2	196:25		incorporate
277:23	303:14	202:23	inadequate	254:5
293:25	<pre>impact 9:3</pre>	233:19	133:24	234.3
300:17	93:19,21	235:19	Incentive	incorporated
	110:15	236:24	251:16	256:7
ill-thought-	127:11			increase
<b>out</b> 218:16	154:16	238:12	incidents	38:20
<b>I'm</b> 92:4	158:1	239:22	203:6	100:8,10,1
95:15,16	235:17	242:4,7	inclement	
96:5	237:14	243:20	218:6	1 190:25
97:20,21	238:21	251:20		202:21
98:18 99:3	239:8	259:8	<b>inclo</b> 133:5	213:1
108:22	244:19	266:16,18	<pre>include 93:7</pre>	218:8
113:24	280:14	278:23	171:22	219:11
124:15	292:18	280:9,12	190:19	237:23
139:1	298:12,14,	importantly	213:10	238:8
164:16		182:8	247:21	272:3
170:15	16	196:22	250:12	increased
186:13	impacts	241:4	253:3	185:15
204:11	173:1		233.3	208:11
205:10,13,	247:11	impossibilit	included	increases
14 206:1	249:17	<b>y</b> 192:21	133:5	
213:5	268:9	impossible	174:21	15:5 16:19
215:5	Impaired	222:19	249:15,17	28:8 58:9
,20	22:13		294:12	60:8 79:9
217:3,4	22:15	improve	296 <b>:</b> 15	117:19
	impetus	140:14	includes	171:4
222:3	120:19	146:20	131:6	206:18
226:10,15,	implausible	190:25	145:12	207:7
22 233:6,7	124:15	254:4	174:21	209:6
242:14		271:20	210:17	212:6
244:3	Implementati	272:2		Increasing
264:6	<b>on</b> 19:14	improved	including	141:4
270:18	implemented	193:23	94:14	
272:20,23	215:23	249:18	103:25	incredibly
273:22		253:21,25	104:5	238:22
278:12	implicit		183:14	incumbent
287:12	191:19	improvement		

TOD MET GRA F(	DR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 117 of 16	1
266:7,14,2	306:7	146:11	19	50:4,10,16
2 267:14	indiaataa	264:11,12	22:4,9,14,	,22
<b>incur</b> 290:17	indicates 174:16	265:8	19	51:4,10,16
	236:17	inference	23:4,9,14,	,22
incurred	240:25	126:21	19	52:4,10,16
12:13 39:3	246:6		24:4,9,14,	,22
40:3 60:21	267:17	inflation	19	53:4,10,16
78:20	268:19	27:3	25:4,9,14,	,22
85:14		118:12	19	54:4,10,16
113:2	indicating	263:2	26:4,9,14,	,22
114:20	173:4	inflict	19	55:4,10,16
116:20	304:15	212:10,15	27:4,9,14,	,22
117:5	indication	inflows	19	56:4,10,16
118:4,7	305:3	304:18	28:4,9,14,	,22
277:25 278:7	indications		19	57:4,10,16
279:12	305:5,23	influence	29:4,9,14,	,22
283:8,17		126:21	19	58:4,10,16
285:20,23	indicator	inform	30:4,9,14, 19	,22
289:24	290:6	111:12	19 31:4,9,14,	59:4,10,16
290:3,5,9,	indicators	229:3	19	,22 60:4,10,16
12 291:3	63:21	information	32:4,9,14,	,22
292:22	64:15	5:14,19	19	,22 61:4,10,16
298:22,25	83:15	6:4,9,14,1	33:4,9,14,	,22
	indirectly	9	19	62:4,10,16
incurs	269:9	7:4,9,14,1	34:4,9,16	,22
218:10		9	36:4,10,16	, 63:4,10,16
<b>indeed</b> 134:5	individual	8:4,9,14,1	,22	,22
247:4	148:19	9	37:4,10,16	64:4,10,16
independent	151:25	9:4,9,14,1	,22	,22
119:21	165:25 166:4	9	38:4,10,16	65:4,10,16
237:24	278:21	10:5,10,15	,22	,22
238:4	285:13	,20	39:4,10,16	66:4,10,16
253:8,11,1		11:4,9,14,	,22	,22
3	individuals	19	40:4,10,16	67:4,10,16
indexation	104:24	12:4,9,14,	,22	,22
26:19	189:3	19	41:4,10,16	68:4,10,16
	193:19	13:4,9,14,	,22	,22
indexing	219:16	19	42:4,10,16	69:4,10,16
44:2	indust	14:4,9,14,	,22 43:4,10,16	,22 70,4 10 16
indicate	176:16	19 15:4,10,15	43:4,10,16 ,22	70:4,10,16 ,22
136:21	industry	,20	,22 44:4,10,16	,22 71:4,10,16
268:10,14,	58:22	,20 16:4,9,14,	,22	,22
18 292:12	165:8	19.4,9,14,	45:4,10,16	72:4,10,16
297:2	176:16	17:4,9,14,	,22	,22
indicated	177:4	19	46:4,10,16	73:4,10,16
136:24	245:4	18:4,9,14,	,22	,22
157 <b>:</b> 13	277:3	19	47:4,10,16	, 74:4,10,16
175:22	282:9	19:3,4,9,1	,22	,22
283:25	286:14	4,19	48:4,10,16	75:4,10,16
296:8	inextricably	20:4,9,14,	,22	,22
300:14,15	145:16	19	49:4,10,16	76:4,10,16
305:9			,22	,22

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 118 of 2	161
77:4,10,16	173 <b>:</b> 16	208:25	113:4,7	288:6,10,1
,22		209:18	115:6	7,19,22
78:4,10,16	infrastructu	211:12	116:22	289:6,10,1
,22	<b>re</b> 7:4 23:3	212:9,10,1	121:23	2,22
79:4,10,16	120:22	6 283:12	122:4	290:22
,22	159:10	290:18	123:3,5	291:5,7,13
80:4,10,16	163:15,25	Innovation	125:11	293 <b>:</b> 7
,22	167:3	77:20	132:8	302:22
81:4,10,16		<b>input</b> 135:3	135:6	Insurance's
,22	<b>in-house</b> 182 <b>:</b> 18	254:19	138:17	5:16,21
82:4,10,16	257:10		139:2,9,24 140:3,12	6:6,11,16,
83:4,10,16		inputs	140:3,12	21
,22	<b>ini</b> 251:16	255:19	142:6,19	7:6,11,16,
84:7,13,19	initial 40:9	inquiries	143:11,14	21
85:4,10,16	108:17	203:6,7	144:5,18,2	8:6,11,16,
,22	150:17	inserted	0	21
, 86:4,10,16	247:24	252:13	145:1,12,1	9:6,11,16, 21
,22	initially		7	21 10:7,12,17
87:4,10,16	229:4	insignifican	146:7,10,1	,22
,22	initiative	t 141:4	5,19 147:9	11:6,11,16
88:4,10,16	20:14 21:4	instance	150:5	,21
,22	57:21 75:3	280:16	151:9,10,2	12:6,11,16
89:4,10,16	81:14,22	instead	3 153:23	,21
,22	84:20	105:8	161:16	13:6,11,16
90:4,10,16	251:16	220:3	162:2	,21
,22 91:4,10		246:17	168:10 171:10	14:6,11,16
102:3,7,13	initiatives	275:5	173:9	,21
,17	15:15,20 49:3 53:2	278:1	176:1	15:7,12,17
105:19,24	85:3 86:21	Institute	178:3	,22
110:7	87:3	173:7,11	201:25	16:6,11,16
111:3	133:8,18	182:15	202:25	,21 17.6 11 16
115:21	134:5	Institutions	208:4,24	17:6,11,16 ,21
118:24	injured	178:2	212:3	,21 18:6,11,16
126:18	152:8	264:20	213:21,25	,21
128:3,4,21			214:12,15	19:6,11,16
,25 140:5	injuries	instructed	215:24	,21
149:8	130:13,20	115:19	217:7,9,13	, 20:6,11,16
166:20	132:3	insurance	218:2,15	,21
168:5	151:20	1:7,9	219:4,5,7,	21:6,11,16
169:14 170:20	209:17 212:7	23:13	10 221:13 223:18,21	,21
182:6	226:5	52:14	244:22	22:6,11,16
193:20	228:15	89:20	245:1,22	,21
196:12		92:6,18,19	255:4	23:6,11,16
203:9	<b>injury</b> 42:2	94:11 96:6,9,14,	270:10,22	,21
248:7	57:20	96:6,9,14, 18	277:1	24:6,11,16
251:6,13	77:14 78:9 81:20	99:8,19,21	278:3	,21 25:6,11,16
274:22	81:20 141:20	100:14	279:25	,21
informed	151:20	110:6	280:2,19,2	,21 26:6,11,16
110:14	152:24	111:17,18	0,21,23	,21
	206:21	112:4,24	281:3,21,2	27:6,11,16
informs			4 283:13	,, _ 0

PUB-MPI	GRA	FOR	2013/	14	09-25-2012
---------	-----	-----	-------	----	------------

Page 119 of 161

	OR 2013/14 0		Fage II9 OI	
,21	56:6,12,18	83:6,12,18	21:19	internal
28:6,11,16	,24	,24	145:3	42:20
,21	, 57:6,12,18	, 84:9,15,21		63:20
29:6,11,16	,24	85:6,12,18	148:6	122:18
,21	58:6,12,18	,24	149:1,21	164:24
30:6,11,16	,24	86:6,12,18	275:24	241:1
,21	59:6,12,18	,24	276:14	253:23
31:6,11,16	,24	,24 87:6,12,18	284:14	257:6
,21	,24 60:6,12,18	,24		257:0
32:6,11,16			integration	internally
,21	,24 61:6,12,18	88:6,12,18	123:13	253:20
33:6,11,16		,24 89:6,12,18	145:9	internationa
	,24		146:22	<b>1</b> 199:17
,21	62:6,12,18	,24	intending	200:16
34:6,11	,24	90:6,12,18	261:5	200:10
36:6,12,18	63:6,12,18	,24		internationa
,24	,24	91:6,12	intends	<b>11y</b> 110:12
37:6,12,18	64:6,12,18	insure	249:12	interpretati
,24	,24	217:16,23	intention	on 196:11
38:6,12,18	65:6,12,18	218:14	186:19	011 190.11
,24	,24 ((,(,10,10))	221:2	204:23	interpretati
39:6,12,18	66:6,12,18	245:3		<b>ons</b> 196:13
,24	,24	insured	intents	interprovinc
40:6,12,18	67:6,12,18	215:18	157:6 <b>,</b> 8	ial 131:6
,24	,24	220:20	<b>Inter</b> 50:20	210:17
41:6,12,18	68:6,12,18	223:3		216:7
,24 42:6,12,18	,24 69:6,12,18	269:8	interaction	
		301:7	110:21	interrogator
,24	,24		280:16	<b>ies</b> 134:9
43:6,12,18 ,24	70:6,12,18	insurer	interactions	295:6
44:6,12,18	,24 71.6 12 18	112:2	280:13	Interrogator
,24	71:6,12,18	153:18	interchangea	<b>y</b> 134:8
,24 45:6,12,18	,24 72:6,12,18	221:4	<b>bly</b> 171:15	
,24	,24	282:7	-	intervene
46:6,12,18	,24 73:6,12,18	insurers	interdiscipl	188 <b>:</b> 15
,24	,24	111:18	inary	Intervenor
47:6,12,18	74:6,12,18	141:3	111:15	99:9
,24	,24	176:17	interest	134:24
48:6,12,18	,24 75:6,12,18	177:24	93:15,17	221:20
,24	,24	178:5	94:25	224:19,20,
49:6,12,18	76:6,12,18	179:3,5	97:21	22,25
,24	,24	183:14,17	110:9	
50:6,12,18	77:6,12,18	245:3,6		Intervenors
,24	,24	264:17	interested	95:3,5
51:6,12,18	78:6,12,18	ingunaria	34:21	135:5
,24	,24	insurer's 264:21	107:21	254:20,25
52:6,12,18	79:6,12,18		200:3	255:18
,24	,24	insuring	253:22	271:7,24
53:6,12,18	80:6,12,18	302:6	interesting	296:18,22
,24	,24	<b>inte</b> 134:8	122:15	intervention
54:6,12,18	81:6,12,18		155:11	98 <b>:</b> 19
,24	,24	integrate	interests	111:13
, 55:6,12,18	, 82:6,12,18	279:20	188:8,14	112:16
,24	,24	integrated	100.0,11	133:4
· · ·	· ·		I I	

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

#### PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 120 of 161

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 120 of .	161
introduce	3,24,25	involving	125:6	184:1,2,10
128:3	165:13,14,	111:16	130:6	186:15,18
219:2	23,25	246:14	133:3	188:2
	166:4,5,11		135:17,18	191:12,17
introduction	,18	<b>IR</b> 131:2	137:20	192:14
208:24	168:4,14,2	248:7	142:3	193:4,9,11
232:24	0,25	268:14	181:24	194:15,25
introduction	169:4,7	irrelevant	193:22	195:1,2,7
<b>s</b> 95:2,24	170:8	155:2	205:24	197:17,18
96:1	171:24		248:17	198:9,13
	174:22	<b>irs</b> 131:12	299:12	190:9,13
introductory	175:4,7,11	181:25		200:25
3:10 95:4	223:16	296:3	IT/business	200:25
99:14,16		Irs 296:3	75:14	2,14 203:5
129:21	249:16		<b>itch</b> 110:25	
invest	304:6,12	<b>isn't</b> 136:1	<b>ICCII</b> 110.20	204:2
166:22	investments	164:3	Item 230:24	206:3,7,8,
170:8	7:14 8:5	238:17	231:4,18	10
	26:8 121:1	269 <b>:</b> 15	<b>items</b> 150:15	215:13,16,
185:20	125:24,25	305:24	198:19	17 222:20
invested	153:5	issue		223:11,12
154:11	154:9,10,1	113:3,10,1	iterations	224:13
160:4,7	8 155:7	4	284:10	227:13
166:14	158:12	4 114:18,21	it'll 104:15	229:16
167:3	159:1,4,10	115:24		230:16,20
198:21	160:3,24	116:14,16,	<b>it's</b> 96:18	238:11,25
investigated	161:1,7,9	24 122:2	104:14,22	242:7
-	162:21	123:16	107:23	243:16
115:11	163:1,7,15		108:11	244:6
132:9	,22	125:22,23	111:15	245:4,12
investigatio	164:1,6	126:4,23	116:25	250:4,5
<b>ns</b> 121:14	165:19	130:7,15	117:10	251:19,20
156:20	168:3,6,8,	132:14,17	119:10	258:19
	13,18	156:24	120:20	259:8
investing	170:3	176:8,9	124:18	260:12
159:17		180:8,10	125:5	269:23
investment	involve	189:22,23	132:16	270:5,8
6:18	163:16	195:3	135:11,13	272:8,20
7:5,9,19	involved	216:3	136:1	274:12
8:8,13,18	162:6	222:2	142:14	275:11,23
25:8,13,18	163:5	224:18	145:8	278:20
46:2,14,20	164:17	231:13	146:4,15,2	280:3
47:2,20	201:3	258:16	3 147:3,18	282:9
48:8 81:8	202:2	273:2	149:14	283:25
94:6 104:1	209:9	293:4	152:5	284:3
126:4,7,14	210:22	<b>issued</b> 103:5	153:2,17,2	287:1,19
137:24	2210:22	271:25	2 155:10	289:1
158:13,16	231:6		157:19	295:7,15
159:5,7,11		issues	161:20	298:5
,13 160:12	involvement	94:2,22	165:10	299:5,25
161:11,15,	160:5	103:20	172:14	300:1,20
20,25	involves	110:25	176:12	303:23,24
162:4,7,10	142:9	113:9	178:4	304:4
,12	211:11	114:13	182:14,20	
164:8,14,2		123:7,24	183:13,14	I've
101.0,11,2	I		100.10,14	

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 121 of 161

	01 2013/14 0.		Tage IZI OI	
97:23,25	186:2,13,1	judgmentally	106:1,15,2	258:5
98:19	4 187:1	116:8	1 , ,	261:3,8,16
108:21	232:22		107:3,10,1	,17,24,25
145:18,19	233:2	judgments	6 , ,	264:9
150:3	235:1,15	172:15	136:4,18,2	265:9
173:15	239:22,24	183:4,7,8	2	269:4
189:24	240:4,17	252:13,19,	137:12,14,	271:5
194:23	242:6	21 253:1,6	15 156:17	272:15
194:23	242:0	254:2	158:10	272:13
206:2,13	245:9,24	<b>July</b> 34:20	159:3,12,2	
225:19	245:9,24 246:5,11	106:3,10	1 160:23	277:8
240:22	247:5	294:12		277:8
		295:8,21	161:5,10,1 9	
241:1	250:1	295:6,21	-	280:8
252:21	251:8	298:8	162:19,25	281:9
255:8	252:15	297:5	163:11,24	282:11
262:20	255:11,18,	jump 126:25	164:4	283:3,21
264:2	23 256:13	<b>June</b> 5:4	165:18	284:19
269:25	257:1,16,1	35:14,17,2	166:2,12,2	286:19
306:17	9 261:25	0 101:3,5	4	290:2
	262:4	102:23	167:2,8,11	291:16
J	264:12	102:23	,15,24	292:23
January	265:9,13	287:1	168:2	293:17
96:14	269:5		169:13,22	294:10,19
277:7	271:6,12	junior	170:2,11	296:5,24
	273:4	199:19	171:16	297:21,22
<b>Jason</b> 189:13	274:5	jurisdiction	172:3	298:16
199:8,11,1	275:22	189:19	173:5,20	299:2,17
2	276:5		174:18	306:11,22
<b>Jerry</b> 97:4,5	299:19,21,	jurisdiction	175:24	<b>Karen</b> 1:16
109:3,10,1	24	<b>al</b> 50:21	177:9	92:23
6,20,21	300:5,11	jurisdiction	178:20	
	306:12	<b>s</b> 94:11	179:13,17	<b>Kate</b> 35:3,8
<b>job</b> 191:3	Johnston's	145:15	180:3,15,2	106:3,9
245:10	254:14	146:4	2	<b>Kathy</b> 2:4
258:19	261:21	196:2,20	181:6,15,1	3:17 4:5
jobs 226:3	00.01		6 186:1,12	96:4,5
Johnston	joined 92:21	Justice	187:3	105:5,18
3:15 96:16	96:14	111:23	232:11,13,	106:1,15,2
108:24	277:6	justificatio	14,21	1 107:3,10
137:9	<b>joint</b> 65:8	<b>n</b> 184:21	234:23	137:14,15
	162:1	235:20	235:8	156 <b>:</b> 17
138:6 154:23	judgements	236:1	239:19	158:10
170:13,23,	262:23		240:6	159:3,12,2
25 171:20	202.23	justify	241:25	1 160:23
172:6	judgment	208:15,20	243:22	161:5,10,1
172:0	175:21		245:23	9
173:10,23	183:5,23	K	247:1	162:19,25
177:5,10,1	234:21	Kalinowsky	249:19	163:11,24
2	252:12,17	2:4 3:17	251:4	164:4
180:12,16,	274:7	4:5 95:25	252:11	165:18
19,23	275:19	96:2,4,5	254:7	166:2,12,2
181:18	judgmental	97:17	255:17	4
182:2	234:22	105:3,5,18	256:10,23	167:2,8,11
102.2		, - , -	257:15	

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 122 of 1	161
,15,24	294:10,19	247:12	190:20	304:2
168:2	296:5,24	Kopstein	192:8	<b>later</b> 98:22
169:13,22	297:21,22	111:23	193:3	99:11
170:2,11	298 <b>:</b> 16	127:4	258:14	111:9
171:16	299:2,17	171:23	<b>large</b> 109:17	154:23
172:3	306:11	176:13	117:3	226:4
173:5,20	<b>key</b> 124:17	266:5,13	154:16	
174:18	143:9	267:13	237:10	latest
175:24	172:10,13		238:19,23	175:22
177:9	182:4,9,23	<b>KPMD</b> 293:3	281:2	<b>law</b> 95:17
178:20	184:5,24	<b>KPMG</b> 282:24	1	97:21 98:2
179:13,17	185:22,25	293:4,17,2	largely 121:6	109:9
180:3,15,2	233:9	4	121:6	<b>laws</b> 196:3
2	236:22	294:2,12,1	153:19	
181:6,15,1 6 186:1,12	239:17,20	3,20	179:25	Lawson 63:8
	242:8	295:20	236:10	<b>lawyer</b> 95:15
187:3 232:13,14,	244:19	296:10,13		98:18
232:13,14, 21 234:23	250:13,15	297:13	larger	108:21
21 234:23	251 <b>:</b> 10	299:10	185 <b>:</b> 11	221:19
235:0	253 <b>:</b> 15	<b>Kruk</b> 97:4,5	212:14,15,	224:20
240:6	256 <b>:</b> 15	109:4,6	17	225:4
240:0	264:16	-	largest	<b>lead</b> 116:9
243:22	285 <b>:</b> 9	Kurt 92:23	167:12	260:17
245:23	292 <b>:</b> 9		<b>last</b> 105.11	
247:1	<b>keys</b> 145:21	L	<b>last</b> 105:11	<b>leads</b> 180:3
249:19	_	<b>labour</b> 89:2	115:2,4	<b>Leah</b> 199:17
251:4	kids 199:16	<b>lack</b> 168:4	117:7,13 119:9	
252:11	215:8	182:25	127:1	<b>learn</b> 101:16
254:7	kilometre	248:13	133:4	139:1
255:17	200:15		136:24	141:6
256:10,23	kilometres	<b>ladies</b> 217:3	139:3	155:15
257:15	206:11,13	<b>laid</b> 306:15	152:4	learned
258:5			157:24	124:24
261:3,17,2	kindly	lane	162:16	131:1
5 264:9	221:24	190:8,13,1 8	163:11	145:19
265:9	225:13	° 191:11,12	184:7	263:11,17
269:4	284:25	191:11,12	224:17	learning
271:5	<b>kinds</b> 141:15	192:1,2,19	236:17	162:6
272:15	198:4	193:4,8	240:21	
273:8	Kirchmann	194:1,7	241:5	<b>least</b> 108:14
276:18,19	199:18	25	254:14	114:11 117:1
277:8		197:4,7,14	259:25	119:17
278:9	<b>knew</b> 179:9	198:12,14	260:7	120:21
280:8	knowledge	201:6,7	265:24	120:21
281:9	138:9		266 <b>:</b> 3	149:7,15
282:11	168 <b>:</b> 9	lanes 190:21	274:11	193:12
283:3,21	176:1	191:3,5,8,	284:5	195:12
284:19	178:21	14,18	289:23	196:4,9
286:19	193:18	194:10,14, 15 196:9	291:16	217:9
290:2	known	TO TA0:A	306:8	241:12
291:16 292:23	158:21,23	language	<b>late</b> 116:18	243:11
292:23	214:1	152:22	271:16	
293:11				leave

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 123 of 2	161
156:6,14	215:2	269 <b>:</b> 17	213:13,14,	<b>line</b> 113:11
243:20	262:12	286:11	19,22	139:22
258:6	291:10	287:17	214:7	150:1
261:19	298:15	288:2,10,1	232:1	191:2
leaves	305:1,18,1	4,17,21,25	280:18	192:10,13
287:24	9	289:4,6,14	licences	209:1
20/:24	<b>lessen</b> 214:7	,22,23	130:11	261:20
<b>led</b> 116:12		295:1	210:4	278:3,21,2
left-hand	<b>lesson</b> 263:9	levelled		4 279 <b>:</b> 16
114:4	less-	207:3	license 89:22	280:14
121:20	significan	levels		281:23
<b>legal</b> 97:1	t 246:22	17:3,9	licensed	282:3,6
110:18	<b>let's</b> 165:18	29:13	131:23	283:8
112:14	173:20	100:19	211:21	285:21,22
114:6	186:24	117:23	213:17	287:19
117:3	187:12	183:16	licensing	289:11,16
143:12,14	203:12,13	239:4	23:9	290:20
205:7	215:4	251:21	144:22	301:6,9,15
	217:21	252:3	146:12,19	304:21
legislated	220:5	287:12	279:16	305:14,16
140:1	261:8		280:6	306:9
283:13	266:1	Leverage	281:2	linear
legislation	290:2	83:21		300:21
68 <b>:</b> 15		liabilities	<b>lied</b> 231:12	<b>lines</b> 111:5
143:6	<b>letter</b> 3:24	9:10 10:6	<b>life</b> 145:18	113:7,8
146:10	230:15	40:21 41:9	189:22	121:24
legislative	294:20	94:13	195:1	122:25
142:1,4,9	295:2,8,19	153:13	199:22	123:12,22
142:1,4,9	296:6	154:1,3,8,	206:3	144:10,18
	297:3,7,8	17,19,20	Lifetime	145:2
legislature	letterhead	155:5	41:2	147:17
142:10	295:21	158:18,20		148:7
143:22	letters	165:10	Light 22:18	149:6,16
150:4,18	117:23	177 <b>:</b> 17	likelihood	278:22
lend 255:1		185:10,14	218:8	279:23
lending	level 117:25	236:11	likely	280:1
112:11	125:15	275:11	212:8,10	281:2,12,2
112.11	169:23	294:6	228:3	5 282:7
lends	171:9	liability	246:25	285:13
254:9,18	174:11	66:21	256:1	287:23
length	175:8	155:7,8	262:12	290:23
163:18	177:20	165:11	279:18	291:12,15
164:1	178:19	177:22		294:7
	179:3	licence	<b>limit</b> 239:10	304:14,25
lengthy	180:1 185:7,8		241:24	<b>link</b> 182:11
219:17	242:12	100:17 130:22	253:17	255:6
<b>less</b> 67:9	243:9,14,1	131:25	limited	linkage
141:18	6 244:23	132:11	95:23	200:4,7
152:18	250:19,22	146:2,14	196:10	-
160:5	262:18	149:10	253:2	linked
202:13	266:17	169:10	limits	145:16
212:10	267:24	210:7	263:13	146:11
214:25			200.20	

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 124 of 1	161
264:11	150:3	240:9	235:24	246:5,11
265:8	181:23	294:11	237:1	247:5
list 3:3 5:1	239:12	299:23	274:4	250:1
6:1 7:1	244:5	300:3	losses 43:2	251:8
8:1 9:1	<b>listen</b> 135:4	<b>locks</b> 165:6	85:14	252:15
10:2 11:1	284:4		88:21	255:23
12:1 13:1		logically	171:5	256:13
14:1 15:1	listening	219:25	206:22,25	257:1,19
16:1 17:1	135:9	long 97:24	207:1	262:4
18:1 19:1	literacy	114:21	237:10	264:12
20:1 21:1	277:4	120:25	273:24	265:13
22:1 23:1	literally	151:24	lost	271:12
24:1 25:1	227:9	153:17		273:4
26:1 27:1		157:1,7	150:10,17 208:13	274:5
28:1 29:1	literature	203:7		299:24
30:1 31:1	191:7	230:16	<b>lot</b> 48:2	300:5,11
32:1 33:1	little	254:5	111:17,22	<b>lunch</b> 93:7
34:1 35:1	108:5,20,2	262:7	138:9	186:19,24
36:1 37:1	2 110:2	269:21	153:2	lunchtime
38:1 39:1	138:22,23	275:6,13	172:3	227:23
40:1 41:1	140:7,15	longer	181:18	227.20
42:1 43:1	143:18	113:23	190:3	
44:1 45:1	152:14	186:22	217:21	<u>M</u>
46:1 47:1	153:4	208:15	224:23	made-in-
48:1 49:1	160:12	251 <b>:</b> 17	237:11	Manitoba
50:1 51:1	164:20	262:17	284:3	256:11,14
52:1 53:1	169:25	long-	301:1	magnitude
54:1 55:1	176 <b>:</b> 7	hallowed	<b>lots</b> 141:19	126:22
56:1 57:1	186:16	113:1	144:11	238:12
58:1 59:1	192:10		218:4	239:1,8
60:1 61:1	205:25	long-term	<b>love</b> 208:13	mailing
62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1	207:6	93:21	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	273:10
66:1 67:1	223:7	152:13	<b>low</b> 141:22	
68:1 69:1	243:23	<b>loo</b> 202:21	<b>lower</b> 179:11	<b>main</b> 135:16
70:1 71:1	247:7	<b>lose</b> 175:7	221:13	185:9,21
72:1 73:1	258:16,18		268:7	190:5
74:1 75:1	269:23 275:21	<b>loss</b> 11:15	<b>lucky</b> 221:18	248:11
76:1 77:1	285:7	27:8 42:20		250:20,25
78:1 79:1	292:7	45:3 70:8	Luke 3:15	262:5
80:1 81:1	305:1	76:8,20	96:16	266:12 267:4
82:1 83:1		84:6 87:20	137:9	267:4 289:1
84:1 85:1	live 275:14	88:2 94:8	170:13,25	289:1 291:25
86:1 87:1	lived 145:18	120:11	171:20	
88:1 89:1	lives 150:23	127:6,9,13	172:6	maintain
90:1 91:1		,15,19,20	173:10,25 174:20	178:11
105:10	<b>living</b> 208:1	134:1 156:21,24,	174:20	188:9
171:18	Liz 2:10 3:9	25 157:9	180:19	maintains
203:6	98:25 99:1	158:3	182:2	178:14
212:22	134:22	174:25	233:2	major
242:1		206:24	235:1,15	100:2,4,7
listed	<b>load</b> 291:3	220:20,22	240:4,17	112:2
105:10	located	223:3	242:6	137:20
	L	223.3	212.0	13/:20

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 125 of 161

	011 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 125 OI Id	, <u> </u>
198:16	Manitoba	,20	52:3,5,9,1	70:3,5,9,1
288:25	1:3,7,22	32:5,10,15	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21
300:16	5:15,20	,20	,23	,23
302:18	6:5,10,15,	33:5,10,15	53:3,5,9,1	71:3,5,9,1
303:16	20	,20	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21
306:10		34:5,10	,23	,23
500:10	7:5,10,15,			
<b>man</b> 148:16	20	36:3,5,9,1		72:3,5,9,1
manage	8:5,10,15,	1,15,17,21		1,15,17,21
151:24	20	,23 37:3,5,9,1	,23	,23
161:1	9:5,10,15,			
	20	1,15,17,21		1,15,17,21
245:22	10:6,11,16	,23 38:3,5,9,1	,23	,23 74-2 5 0 1
managed	,21			
137:25	11:5,10,15	1,15,17,21		1,15,17,21
146:21	,20	,23	,23	,23
160:8	12:5,10,15	39:3,5,9,1		
management	,20	1,15,17,21		1,15,17,21
6:19 45:15	13:5,10,15	,23	,23	,23
47:3 60:3	,20	40:3,5,9,1		
65:15	14:5,10,15	1,15,17,21		1,15,17,21
120:7	,20	,23	,23	,23
125:9,12	15:6,11,16	41:3,5,9,1		
142:2	,21	1,15,17,21		1,15,17,21
159:17	16:5,10,15	,23	,23	,23
172:20	,20	42:3,5,9,1		78:3,5,9,1
182:8	17:5,10,15	1,15,17,21		1,15,17,21
	,20	,23	,23	,23
185:24	18:5,10,15	43:3,5,9,1		79:3,5,9,1
232:24	,20	1,15,17,21		1,15,17,21
242:24	19:5,10,15	,23	,23	,23
256:17	,20	44:3,5,9,1		80:3,5,9,1
<pre>manager 97:2</pre>	20:5,10,15	1,15,17,21		1,15,17,21
99:2	,20	,23	,23	,23
166:5,11	21:5,10,15	45:3,5,9,1		81:3,5,9,1
167:20	,20	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21
	22:5,10,15	,23	,23	,23
managers	,20	46:3,5,9,1	64:3,5,9,1	82:3,5,9,1
162:10	23:5,10,15	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21
165:23	,20	,23	,23	,23
166:4	24:5,10,15	47:3,5,9,1	65:3,5,9,1	83:3,5,9,1
manages	,20	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21
159:13	25:5,10,15	,23	,23	,23
244:20	,20	48:3,5,9,1	66:3,5,9,1	84:5,8,11,
managing	26:5,10,15	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21	14,17,20
113:12	,20	,23	,23	85:2,5,8,1
160:24	27:5,10,15	49:3,5,9,1	67:3,5,9,1	1,14,17,20
161:2	,20	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21	,23
	28:5,10,15	,23	,23	86:2,5,8,1
mandate	,20	50:3,5,9,1	68:3,5,9,1	1,14,17,20
93:16	29:5,10,15	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21	,23
215:22	,20	,23	,23	87:2,5,8,1
Manito	30:5,10,15	51:3,5,9,1	69:3,5,9,1	1,14,17,20
108:19	,20	1,15,17,21	1,15,17,21	,23
	31:5,10,15	,23	,23	88:2,5,8,1
	-			

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 126 of 161

FUB-MFI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-20-2012	Page 126 OI	101
1,14,17,20	221:1,6,11	161:8,13,2	165 <b>:</b> 11	214:22
,23	,13 255:4	5 162:24		215:2,7,8,
89:2,5,8,1	269:9	163:3,21	material	22 219:5,6
			196:6	
1,14,17,20	277:5	164:3,9	234:18	230:21
,23	280:24	165:21		232:9
90:2,5,8,1	Manitobans	166:8,18	materiality	272:2
1,14,17,20	90:8	167:1,6,10	234:17	277:17
,23	130:22	,14,18	246:19	281:18
91:2,5,8,1		168:1,11	materially	287:9
1 92:6	140:25	169:21,25	234:20	290:17
96:6,8,14,	141:12	170:10	234:20	291:2
18 98:8	142:23	176:5	materials	295:18
99:2,8,18	143:23	178:25	190:21	
	144:5			<b>maybe</b> 156:23
108:19	145:6	179:15,20	matter	164:10,14
109:9	146:19,24	180:6	118:15	201:21
110:5,10,2	150:21	244:9	130:1	258:17
2,25 111:6	152:25	254:13	134:6	296:4
112:24	155:13,18	258:12	136:9	
113:4	193:12	269 <b>:</b> 13	143:5	MCC 172:1
115:6		275:20	156:7	178:9
116:22	203:1	283:24	200:25	mclaren 3:13
122:4	211:14,16		215:22	
123:3,5	269 <b>:</b> 18	mark		96:7 137:7
125:11	270:7,19	107:24,25	247:9	138:18
131:3	271:4	108:3	258:7	156:12,18,
	283:14	128:14	270:5	23
133:10	Manitoba's	134:7	279:6	158:11,17
134:23			matters	159:6,15,2
135:6,14	194:9	marked	93:11	3 160:25
138:16	manner	115:24	94:21	161:8,13,2
139:9	113:13	134:15		5 162:24
140:12	125:20	<b>market</b> 125:2	104:10	163:3,21
142:1,7,19	126:15	220:23	105:1	164:3,9
143:11	148:17	223:9	188:7	165:21
145:11,13,	211:25	246:16	maximum	166:8,18
17,19	211:25		100:19	
146:1	manufactured	263:14	173:3	167:1,6,10
150:4	281:20	marketing	174:3,9	,14,18
161:16		203:20		168:1,8,11
	manufacturin		175:6	169:21,25
162:2	<b>g</b> 281:18	markets 38:9	239:5	170:10
176:1	March 92:9	70:3	241:9,21	175:25
189:14,16	99:23,24	235:17	<b>may</b> 93:12	176:5
193:13,23	JJ.4J,44			178:20,25
195:19	152.3	markings	104:7	
	152:3	markings	104:7 109:25	179:15,20
196:15	152:3 margins	197:25	109:25	179:15,20 180:6
196:15 199:9,12		-	109:25 123:8	180:6
	margins	197:25	109:25 123:8 126:18,21	180:6 243:25
199:9,12	margins 175:9,19 184:18	197:25 198:4,5,8	109:25 123:8 126:18,21 132:18	180:6 243:25 244:9
199:9,12 200:12 203:22	<pre>margins     175:9,19     184:18 Marilyn 3:13</pre>	197:25 198:4,5,8 <b>mass</b> 200:25 202:19	109:25 123:8 126:18,21 132:18 151:6	180:6 243:25 244:9 254:7,13
199:9,12 200:12 203:22 205:14	<pre>margins     175:9,19     184:18 Marilyn 3:13     96:7 137:7</pre>	197:25 198:4,5,8 <b>mass</b> 200:25 202:19 <b>massive</b>	109:25 123:8 126:18,21 132:18 151:6 152:8	180:6 243:25 244:9 254:7,13 258:5,12
199:9,12 200:12 203:22 205:14 210:12	<pre>margins     175:9,19     184:18 Marilyn 3:13     96:7 137:7     138:18</pre>	197:25 198:4,5,8 <b>mass</b> 200:25 202:19	109:25 123:8 126:18,21 132:18 151:6 152:8 158:23	180:6 243:25 244:9 254:7,13 258:5,12 269:5,13
199:9,12 200:12 203:22 205:14 210:12 211:15	<pre>margins     175:9,19     184:18 Marilyn 3:13     96:7 137:7</pre>	197:25 198:4,5,8 <b>mass</b> 200:25 202:19 <b>massive</b> 202:16	109:25 123:8 126:18,21 132:18 151:6 152:8	180:6 243:25 244:9 254:7,13 258:5,12 269:5,13 275:20
199:9,12 200:12 203:22 205:14 210:12 211:15 212:2	<pre>margins     175:9,19     184:18 Marilyn 3:13     96:7 137:7     138:18</pre>	197:25 198:4,5,8 <b>mass</b> 200:25 202:19 <b>massive</b> 202:16 <b>master</b> 55:20	109:25 123:8 126:18,21 132:18 151:6 152:8 158:23	180:6 243:25 244:9 254:7,13 258:5,12 269:5,13 275:20 283:21,24
199:9,12 200:12 203:22 205:14 210:12 211:15 212:2 216:3	<pre>margins     175:9,19     184:18 Marilyn 3:13     96:7 137:7     138:18     156:12,23</pre>	197:25 198:4,5,8 mass 200:25 202:19 massive 202:16 master 55:20 matching	109:25 123:8 126:18,21 132:18 151:6 152:8 158:23 182:21	180:6 243:25 244:9 254:7,13 258:5,12 269:5,13 275:20
199:9,12 200:12 203:22 205:14 210:12 211:15 212:2	<pre>margins     175:9,19     184:18 Marilyn 3:13     96:7 137:7     138:18     156:12,23     158:17</pre>	197:25 198:4,5,8 <b>mass</b> 200:25 202:19 <b>massive</b> 202:16 <b>master</b> 55:20	109:25 123:8 126:18,21 132:18 151:6 152:8 158:23 182:21 188:15	180:6 243:25 244:9 254:7,13 258:5,12 269:5,13 275:20 283:21,24

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA FO	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 127 of 1	L61
97:24	300:20	132:6	231:4	265:17
137:22	301:7	135:13	merits	281:16
138:13,14	303:5	137:18,19	209:12	282:10
179:17	305:9	138:11,15	213:11	293:18
180:16	meant	170:17	231:15	294:3,18
271:18	254:12,14	172:4		methodology
McMahn		177:10	<b>mess</b> 256:19	12:4
189:4,9,10	measurement	180:24	message	36:3,9,15,
,11 204:9	182:9	181:9	191:19	21 39:16
	185:25	186:3,6	192:22	40:16 49:9
McMahn/Jason	measures	199:16	193:3,11	69:3,9
3:20	117:14	201:23		72:9 73:15
MCT 37:20	175:4	205:7	messages	80:10,15
177:11,13,	244:18	214:9	198:15	94:4,12
14	251:5	232:16	<b>metha</b> 219:13	103:23
178:1,9,15	mechanism	240:12	method	104:4
,22		278:12	116:19,21	138:4,8
179:2,3,6,	116:21 166:15	286:20,23	148:6	149:19
9,13 180:5		299:22	171:23	156:2,4
183:11,12,	167:4,17 271:7	306:18	173:24	170:14
18,24	278:20	memory	174:1,10,1	177:24
245:1	270:20	109:16	2,15,16	178:16
264:11,15,	mechanisms		175:4,14,1	180:2
18,22,25	124:5	<b>men's</b> 199:19	9 176:14	206:20
265:1,3,7	126:20	mention	180:13,17,	209:25
MCT/DCAT	227:3	156:19	20	212:3,14
71:14	<b>meet</b> 141:23	mentioned	182:15,18	219:14
	143:23	157:1	183:7,12	220:17
MCT/private	150:24	158:19	184:24	221:3
71:2	233:24	166:12	185:6,18,2	244:2
MCT/RSR 71:8	259:2	209:7	3 233:12	245:14
	273:9	277:6	241:22	250:11
<b>mean</b> 135:7			244:11,16	254:9
182:22	meeting	mentioning	250:3,24	259:7
191:10,14,	24:19	139:18	251:2	266:5,17
19	111:9	176:12	256:20	272:8,12
197:12,23	273:11,13	mentions	266:8,13,1	277:11,15
198:1,8,13	<b>meets</b> 234:2	264:10	4,22	279:7,14,1
222:14	Megan 98:2	Menzies	267:2,14	8,22
241:11 254:23	-	<b>Menzies</b> 98:2,5	268:19	281:11
254:23 270:7	member		272:9	282:12,18,
288:5	1:16,17	<b>merely</b> 105:6	299 <b>:</b> 13	25
	97:20	130:19	300:20	283:5,23
meaning	135:14	213:7	methodologie	284:2,16,1
163:16	members	merger	s 124:3,9	7,21,22
means	92:22	146:17	<b>s</b> 124:3,9 148:16	285:6
154:9,10	96:22	279:15	154:24	286:8,25
196:4	98:17		171:18,21	291:18
209:3	103:18	merit 213:11	173:21	293:14
280:19,24	104:21	215:2	179:23	296:9,16
283:16	108:14	231:21	181:20	298:2,13,1
287:11	109:25	merit/	181:20	8,20,21
289:20	129:25	demerit	250:5	299:11
			200.0	

# PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 128 of 161

	UR 2013/14 U	5 25 2012	Paye 120 OI 1	
305:17	178:14,17	minimalist	109:24	251:9,11,2
methods	213:2	196:10	missing 97:8	4,25 252:5
65:16	230:25	<pre>minimum 32:8</pre>	-	274:13
116:12	231:19	69:14	mistake	model's
175:10	234:12,22	171:25	136:22	274:18
256:19	236:19	173:4	misunderstan	
300:21	237:15,16	174:3,8	ding	moderate
	238:8,10,2	175:21	248:12	118:8
metre	1,24	177:13		207:24
192:12,15,	239:5,9	178:5,12,1	mitigate	moderation
16,18,24,2	241:15,18,	3 179:5	126:20	117:20
5	23	207:10	142:3	118:14
195:15,22	242:11,18	234:3,9,15	276:15	
196:4,9,21	243:12,17	236:14	mitigation	modern
<b>metres</b> 194:4	244:13	242:11,12,	65 <b>:</b> 3	124:23
197:3	245:15,25	18 245:1	120:12	160:12
	246:2,17	250:10	125:16	203:19
<b>mgmt</b> 65:10	258:2,3,8,	258:20	185:25	262:13,24
66:9	21 259:4	268:15,16,	<b>mix</b> 269:22	264:7
microeconomi	265:12	200.10,10,	<b>MIX</b> 209:22	modest
<b>cs</b> 112:7	267:16		<b>mixed</b> 117:17	118:14
midafternoon	268:5,8,15	minister	<b>mo</b> 214:13	127:12,15
	,20 270:20	126:6		208:14
93:8	274:1,9	137:25	model 122:18	modi fu
middle 115:8	275:1	154:17	145:8	<b>modify</b> 255 <b>:</b> 19
201:6,11	276:1,4,10	159:16,19	146:19	200:19
288:15	,12 283:20	160:10,19	147:4	<b>moment</b> 97:3
midmorning	287:16,22,	162:3,17,1	149:1	196:17
93:7	25	8,21	172:23	<b>money</b> 111:2
	288:9,10,1	163:2,4,22	207 <b>:</b> 15	152:25
midpoint	1,20,21,23	165:5,21,2	238:2,15	224:1
157:22,24	289:7,9,14	3,25	247:18	227:7
Mike 96:25	,17,20,21	166:11,21	248:1,13,1	241:21
	290:19	167:19,23	8,22,25	259:21
mileage	million-	170:5,6	249:2,5,10	304:3
119:5	dollar	242:25	251 <b>:</b> 21	301.3
million	246:23	277:4	274:13	monies
114:22,25		Minster	modelled	162:20
115:1	millions	165:16	254:11	166:14
119:13,17,	133:13	166:4,21	274:23	167:2,16
19 124:21	239:2			170:8
127:9	mind 126:12	minutes 5:16	modelling	monitor
131:11	193:1	67:3	236:3	245:1
132:18,20		136:11	238:21	
134:2	mindful	158:20	247:18	monitoring
152:16	94:18	186:18	248:15,19,	161:17
153:25	188:21,24	187:11	20 257:12	162:9,13
156:5,8,14	216:13	188:10	264:4	245:8,18
,16	mine	261:8	276:5	monopoly
157:9,11,1	181:7,17	misleading	<b>models</b> 10:19	123:14,22
2 173:4	295:19	221:6	153:2	125:17
174:7,8,9,	minimal	miss 135:2	237:4	142:1
17 175:23	298:17		238:7	202:24
	2.20.11	missed		

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI	GRA	FOR	2013/	14	09-25-	2012
---------	-----	-----	-------	----	--------	------

Page 129 of 161

month 207:18100:8208:12303:3,22217:15,17,130:16209:9,12,1305:424132:176 211:18MPI 2:4 3:12218:20,23,206:6,17214:1435:13,16,125207:3,17,1218:99 63:20months 195:59,24221:10,1264:14208:16208:7,10,2224:5,1192:8,16	157:2 159:17 160:17,24, 25 161:6,8 162:8 163:14,21 164:12,14 165:5,20
month 207:18100:8208:12303:3,22217:15,17,130:16209:9,12,1305:424132:176 211:18MPI 2:4 3:12218:20,23,206:6,17214:1435:13,16,125207:3,17,1218:99 63:20months 195:59,24221:10,1264:14208:16208:7,10,2224:5,1192:8,16	160:17,24, 25 161:6,8 162:8 163:14,21 164:12,14 165:5,20
217:15,17,       130:16       209:9,12,1       305:4         24       132:17       6 211:18       MPI 2:4 3:12         218:20,23,       206:6,17       214:14       35:13,16,1         25       207:3,17,1       218:9       9 63:20         months 195:5       9,24       221:10,12       64:14         208:16       208:7,10,2       224:5,11       92:8,16	25 161:6,8 162:8 163:14,21 164:12,14 165:5,20
217:15,17, 24       132:17       6 211:18       MPI 2:4 3:12         218:20,23, 25       134:1       212:8       34:13         25       206:6,17       214:14       35:13,16,1         months 195:5       9,24       221:10,12       64:14         208:16       208:7,10,2       224:5,11       92:8,16	25 161:6,8 162:8 163:14,21 164:12,14 165:5,20
24       134:1       212:8       34:13         218:20,23,       206:6,17       214:14       35:13,16,1         25       207:3,17,1       218:9       9 63:20         months       195:5       9,24       221:10,12       64:14         208:16       208:7,10,2       224:5,11       92:8,16	162:8 163:14,21 164:12,14 165:5,20
218:20,23,       206:6,17       214:14       34:13         25       207:3,17,1       218:9       35:13,16,1         months       195:5       9,24       221:10,12       64:14         208:16       208:7,10,2       224:5,11       92:8,16	163:14,21 164:12,14 165:5,20
25         207:3,17,1         218:9         35:13,16,1           months         195:5         9,24         221:10,12         64:14           208:16         208:7,10,2         224:5,11         92:8,16	164:12,14 165:5,20
months         195:5         9,24         221:10,12         9:63:20           208:16         208:7,10,2         224:5,11         64:14         92:8,16	165:5,20
208:16 208:7,10,2 224:5,11 64:14	-
92.8.16	166.6 0 12
217:12 3 209:20	166:6,8,13
	,25
133:10 94:3,9,10,	167:9,25
	168:12
morning $92:3$ $95\cdot2.4.6.1$	169:19
93:5 96:4 217:5,11 200:20 6.20 96:1	171:8,13
98:17,25 218:2,14 221:1 99:23.25	172:10
103:12 219:15 <b>motorists</b> 100.3.16.2	173:9
104:8 221:8,12 79:21 1 102:3.8	176:2
134:11,16 222:18 171:3 103:19	178:7,17
	179:18
138:19 224:8,12 221:6 105:4.12.1	180:9
152.7	183:20,24
	184:7
130:15         84:8         85:15         200:13         106:15,16,           170:25         86:3,10         18,25         18,25	190:7,11,1
170.23         80:3,10         18,23           210:10         87:16         move 139:9         107:3,7,21	2,24
	191:2,6
	192:3
	193:24
193:25	194:9,13,2
mornings 190:15 1000 112:3,11	3,24
202:13	195:19
209:14 0150112 115:14,18,	196:7,10
	197:8
	198:8,10,1
motion 215:13 272.11 5,18,23	4,19,20,21
210:23 217:9,16,2 120:19	,24 199:2
motor 130.21 3,25 000.21,22 121:10,14,	202:22,24
218:14 <b>moved</b> 10 122:10	203:10,15,
219:13 149:5,8 123:17	18 205:7
<b>motorcy</b> 302:24 176:19 124:2,23	206:17
221:1,6 305:22,24 196:22 125:13	210:8
motorcycle motorcycle- moving	210.8
127:15	211:2
18 134.4 130.10 133:22	214:22 215:25
95-2-0-15 136:19	
	216:2
205:25 138:22	217:16
206:7	218:3,12,1
	9,22
	219:1,14,1
,15,21 196.5 194:2 146:9	7,25
88:3,9,15, 200:2 233:14 147:11	220:10,18
20,21 motorcyclist 235:8 149:1,4	221:3,4,5,
<b>8</b> 9:3,9,15, <b>s</b> 131:21 238:19 153:19	18
21 133:1,23 266:23 156:21	222:7,11
90:3,9,15, 301:20	224:22

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

UB-MPI GRA FO	OR 2013/14 09	9-25-2012	Page 130 of 1	61
225:1	227:4,25	narrow	278:6	208:24
228:3	228:18,20	196:13	289:24	<b>nology</b> 111:3
229:20	MPI-required	national	292:21	
230:23,24	244:12	199:19,20	298:22,24	<b>non</b> 90:21
234:11	-		Network	207:9
238:11	MPI's 91:15	nature	74:14	253:13
239:15	93:12,19,2	165:10		280:20
240:1	1	215:21	<b>Neville</b> 1:17	non-
241:2,18,2	94:6,7,12,	273:25	92:22	contributo
0 243:13	13 102:3,9,13	284:14	97:20	<b>rs</b> 131:21
256:16,21	,18 103:22	<b>nearly</b> 206:3	138:19	211:18
258:2	104:1	208:6,7	<b>newer</b> 137:19	<b>None</b> 141:9
264:24	119:21	210:14	newspaper	169:21
265:7 266:6	126:5	212:24	193:21	
260:0	127:10	213:15,16	198:25	nonetheless
270:10	161:10	necessarily		183:1
270:10	164:2	113:2	newspapers	non-
279:17,24	166:14	117:5	35:7,12	insurance
280:9,12	167:2	149:2,23	193:17	279:16,25
280:9,12	168:4	205:16	<b>nine</b> 130:25	280:5
283:4,8,17	217:11	250:8	199:15	287:20
291:4	269:7	275:11	201:18	288:6,13,1
292:8,15	280:9		225:19	8,19,23
293:1,19		necessary 157:4	226:17	Non-paying
294:8,13,1	<b>MRI</b> 27:18	171:4	231:7	90:2
7 296:9	mult 141:8	286:16	304:16,22	90.2
298:2	<b>multi</b> 278:20		nine-o-nine	non-
		necessitated	304:25	regulated
<b>MPI/HP</b> 75:8	multi-lane	246:4		279:3
MPI-1 34:13	194:10	negative	nineteen	non-
105:15	multiple	235:25	274:12	reoccurrin
MPI-2 34:16	141:8	236:5	ninety-eight	<b>g</b> 171:6
105:23	municipal	259:23	213:3	-
	206:1	264:3	Ninety-nine	non-specific
MPI-3-1		270:9	201:18	258:17
34:19	mutual	305 <b>:</b> 10		non-vehicle
106:7	219:22	negligible	ninety-three	90:15
MPI-3-2 35:3	mutually	213:8	131:18 211:9	131:17
MPI-3-3 35:8	294:22		211:9	211:2,8,21
106:7	myself	neighbour	ninety-two	<b>nor</b> 271:3
	207:23	215:14	131:14	
MPI-4 35:13	222:11	neither	211:6	<b>norm</b> 282:9
106:18	247:9	210:6	Nobody	normal
MPI-5 35:16	296:7	271:2	193:13,15	223:19
106:25	230.1	<b>net</b> 49:14		287:15,22
MPI-6 35:19		60:20	nobody's	North 196:2
	N	103:22	215:11	218:15
			no-fault	
107:7	<b>namely</b> 94:3	127:12		
107:7 MPIC 143:6	<b>namely</b> 94:3 232:23	127:12 173:1	151:21	<b>note</b> 104:11
107:7 MPIC 143:6 159:16	-	173:1	152 <b>:</b> 11	115:9,14
107:7 MPIC 143:6	232:23			

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 131 of 1	161
120:18	Oakes 2:8	186:19	226:15	194:15
122:14	3:8	272:18	228:10	306:18
150:6	98:15,16,1	October	275:3	opening
172:14	8,23	101:13,14	295:14,17	3:5,6,7,8,
182:24	113:15	136:25	<b>okay</b> 134:18	9 91:17
183:3	129:20,24	206:16	136:6	98:21
238:12	134:17	217:16	187:12	101:7
244:4	205:19	219:4	193:4,11	105:4,6,7
246:22	210:9	293:23	196:6	107:18,22
261:4	objective	<b>offer</b> 98:12	198:13	114:15
<b>noted</b> 115:24	120:16	105:3	205:1	129:16,23
185:9	obligated	115:19	225:7,18	134:21,25
236:11	143:13	188:1	228:8,23	136:22
<b>notes</b> 125:23	254:16	204:3	230:2,4	139:10
127:14		230:12	235:15	191:14
	obligation		246:11	operate
nothing	93:16	offers	280:11	138:25
124:25	254:20,21	115:17	285:3	144:10
131:23	obligations	124:9	287 <b>:</b> 6	278:24
133:8	233:25	<b>office</b> 178:1	295:9	
200:21		229:1,11,1	296:1,25	operated
211:21	observations	2,15	298:3	144:18
218:2,11	117:9	230:16	300:10	147:5
224:25	122:7	264:19	<b>old</b> 80:8	operates
226:1	<b>obtain</b> 224:6	officer	206:1	282:7
305:3	obtained		208:7	
306:23	245:8,18	96:8,13	218:22	operating
notice	243:0,10	official	262:12	58:20 63:2 64:21 83:9
5:3,11	obvious	270:3	279:13	94:10,13
34:22	124:1	273:7	284:17	104:6
35:5,10	obviously	off-road	omission	117:20
101:3,19,2	133:25	100:10		118:11
2 106:5,13	237:3	210:20	190:7 234:18	119:5,14
197:2,3	257:23	212:21	234:10	122:17
300:23,24	258:1		one-eighty	140:2
noticing		off-season	259:1	141:22
198:2,3	occupants 212:11,16	218:7	<b>ones</b> 184:5	142:20
	212:11,10	offset	239:14	184:12
notified	occupy 193:4	131:25		290:22
246:24	194:1	154:19	one-seventy	291:9,14
November	occur 188:20	155:7	259:1	301:20
206:16	220:21	207:6	one-third	302:5,8
nowhere	241:14	213:25	211:1,17	
237:16	252:9	214:2,3	213:16	operation
268:8,15	262:13	303:15	ongoing	60:15
		304:4,10	118:15	287:15
<b>num</b> 209:11	occurred	offsets	122:8	operational
301:23	235:5	304:14		13:13
numerous	236:12		<b>onset</b> 110:5	93:21
201:15,16	occurrence	<b>OFRS</b> 74:2	<b>open</b> 152:8	171:24
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	172:18	<b>oh</b> 149:14	153:16	174:22
	o'clock	215:14	160:17	175:11
0				

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 132 of 161

	UK 2013/14 0.		raye 152 OI 1	
184:6	126:15	210:6	153:12	<b>page</b> 3:2 4:2
operations	optimization	<b>ought</b> 103:24	154:1,17	5:2 6:2
49:15	18:4,9	156:8	overall	7:2 8:2
93:19,24	31:9 54:3	258:8	92:19 94:3	9:2 10:3
286:12	57:2,14	284:17	100:1,5,6,	11:2 12:2
300:25	94:15		7,8,9,10	13:2 14:2
301:2	104:5	ourselves	117:23	15:2 16:2
301.2	119:10	195:2	117:23	17:2 18:2
opinion	120:3	260:3	137:23	19:2 20:2
168:7	120:5	297:4	157:23	21:2 22:2
169:23	optimum	outcomes		23:2 24:2
170:1	164:19	184:7	174:11,12	25:2 26:2
173:16	options	250:4,16	206:18	27:2 28:2
209:14	111:7	274:14	285:16	29:2 30:2
233:18	111• <i>′</i>	2/4.14	291:14	31:2 32:2
240:8,9,11	<b>oral</b> 93:14	outflows	300:14,15	33:2 34:2
241:4	<b>order</b> 92:7	304:19	305:7,15	35:2 104:9
250:23	94:3	outline	oversight	112:12,19
252:22	103:5,6,8	91:16	119:21	113:22
253:25	104:10	97:13	161:17	117:2
257:15,20,	118:24	104:9	162:13	119:11,19
21 262:25	150:24	107:21	overstatemen	120:11
273:18	163:12	107:21		121:6,7,9,
290:7,8	180:9	111:14	t	19 123:23
293:5,6	231:14	112:13	116:10,13	126:1
opinions	259:14	113:23	overview	135:20
	260:18,20,	114:4	98:11	145:6
205:17	22 265:3	120:11	<b>owner</b> 100:14	169:16
<b>oppor</b> 123:21	273:5	129:15	Owner 100.14	181:21
opportune		283:22	owners	182:7
186:23	ordering	306:19	90:16,22	183:11,25
275:23	260:9,10		131:18,23	184:24
	organization	outlined	209:13	186:4,5
opportunitie	54:22 78:2	164:5	210:1	191:22
s	135:12,15	outlining	211:2,8,20	193:6,7,24
123:1,12,2	150:20	181:23	,21 212:21	194:8
1 145:23			213:8	196:19
opportunity	orientate	outlook	269:10	197:9,10
125:14	114:3	259:23,25	ownership	198:10
134:24	original	260:6,8,15	132:5	233:3,10,1
138:21	245:24	outnumbers	214:9	1,14,16
170:19	289:21	212:23		234:16,24
180:7	originally	011+011+	owns 215:5	235:16
205:8		output		236:16,25
216:9	108:16	245:14 247:23	P	237:12,13,
221:23	ORV 302:1	247:23	<b>p.m</b> 93:6	19
255:14	<b>OSSFI</b> 264:19	240:14 249:11,14	187:16	238:6,7,9,
opposed	oth 223:1	264:16	261:12,13	19
259:7			307:5	239:6,11
	others	outsourcing	<b>pace</b> 118:8	240:9,17
optimal	137:18	81:3	-	241:16
125:13	138:11	outstanding	padlocks	242:1,14
optimally	164:16	118:2	270:13	244:6,8
	207:23			246:5,6

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 133 of 2	161
268:24	280:6	particularly	277:7	<b>PAYD</b> 24:8
272:23,24	291:12	112:8	282:21	noudou
277:18,23	parents	170:6	290:5	<b>payday</b> 112 <b>:</b> 11
284:25	195:2	278:23	297:11	112:11
285:4	199:16	284:2	299:4	paying
287:2,7		291:19	patience	208:2,15
292:1,13,1	<b>park</b> 200:11	parties	128:7	280:25
4 293:24	201:19	34:21 94:1		281:6
pages 1:24	parkage	107:21	pattern	payment
234:24	59:21	230:10	118:3	217:11
		253:22	<b>PAUSE</b> 95:9	218:20,25
<b>paid</b> 44:20	<b>parked</b> 194:5	269:22	156:10	
130:20	Parking 48:2		158:8	payments
152:20	participant	partner	181:4,11	51:8 304:3
199:16	109:11	135:2	186:8	payout 86:8
210:1		party	204:14,19	165:10
212:1	participants	166:6,9	216:22	<b>pays</b> 302:24
220:10	94:17		230:7	pays 502:24
221:11	138:20	<b>pass</b> 97:4	232:5,19	<b>PDF</b> 145:6
228:3	270:1	195:16	240:15	pedestrians
paid-up	participatin	196:1,8	246:9	210:25
201:23	<b>g</b> 160:17	243:24	277:20	210:23
<b>pains</b> 226:11	_	<pre>passed 196:3</pre>	285:1	
	participatio	passenger	287:4	<b>peer</b> 253:7
<b>panel</b> 1:14	n	100:4	292:3	256:23
3:12 93:9	160:15,21	133:24	295:11,25	257:2
94:20	particular	301:11	297:19	<b>Pelly</b> 95:21
103:18,19	93:25	303:17	298:7	_
104:12	114:10	305:25	300:8	Pension
108:14	116:2		pay	59:14
137:6	127:7	passes	131:3,21,2	pensioners
138:15	135:7	290:11	3 132:6	207:23
188:2	137:19	passing 97:4	133:1	people 81:9
189:5	188:16	191:23	146:1	134:13
225:2	195:21	196:3	151:15	151:19
228:19	196:19	passion	152:15,25	162:8
278:11	197:15	-	201:25	163:7,8
284:5	228:19	109:22	210:2,12	198:23
293:21	243:8	passionate	211:18,21	199:20
296:22	248:4	139:2	214:10,14	200:2,14
306:18	250:18	<b>past</b> 34:14	216:7	201:22
<b>paper</b> 225:9	252:21	105:13,16	217:9,14	202:10,16,
<b>DODODO</b> 244.7	256:6	108:12,14	218:9	19 204:3
<b>papers</b> 244:7	258:9	114:9	219:6,9	
paragraph	262:9	115:12	220:23	People's
240:19,25	281:23	118:4	223:4,8,22	150:23
241:5	282:3	124:25	224:1,11	<b>per</b> 51:9
parameters	285:8	134:2	226:7	117:21
255:21	286:7	171:22	302:2,4,20	118:11
	290 <b>:</b> 15	206:5	303:14	119:6
pardon	292:12	207:2	narhael-	132:1
271:23	301:11	208:11	payback	133:23
279:11	303:8	209:10	121:1	206:12
		207.10		

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 134 of 1	161
236:16	245:18	permit	<b>phone</b> 228:6	5,20
301:2,10,1	249:20	100:23	phones	132:2,10,1
4,22	250:2,23	permits	193:14,15	9 184:14
302:20	251:2	208:21		185:17
306:19	266:4,13		phonetic	206:22,25
percent	267:2	permitted	106:3	209:18,19,
58:21	271:1	243:16	199:12	24
92:19	278:1,7	personal	<b>photo</b> 22:19	210:1,6,13
100:6,7,9,	283:3	117:10		,16,21,23
11 120:2	percentages	163:5	phrase	211:1,4,11
122:11	183:7	193:18	176:23	,17,24
130:16,17		206:21	197:24	212:1,3,6,
131:2,16,1	perception	208:25	<b>physic</b> 201:1	13,17,20
9 152:18	110:19	209:18		213:12,13,
153:18	273:18	211:12	physical	16,18
154:13	perfectly	283:11	65:23	214:2,6,13
155:1	196:6	290:18	153:20	,14 215:2
172:17,18			192:21	216:3,7
174:4,9	performance	personally	290:14	283:11
175:15,16	46:15	109:24	291:8	290:17,21
176:13,18	83:14	159:25	physicality	Pitblado
178:11,15	performed	persons	201:1	95:17
179:4,6	182:18	210:3	physics	
185:4,5	260:14		201:1,9	<b>pithy</b> 114:8
201:18	294:23	perspective	201:1,9	placed
209:20,21,	299:10	109:15,21	<b>pic</b> 144:11	209:15
23 210:11		115:20,25	pick-up	213:20
211:7,9	<b>performs</b> 249:5	117:16,17,	207:12	
213:16	249:5	18		places
219:2,8,11	perhaps	120:1,10,2	picture	139:24
235:18,24,	99 <b>:</b> 11	4	203:11	145:20
25 236:2,6	104:6	124:14,21	pictures	<b>plan</b> 59:15
238:7,18	116:18	125:3,5 126:9	144:12	67:15 83:3
247:13	123:9	128:9	<b>minen</b> 072.1	139:13
264:3	212:13	127:17	<b>piece</b> 273:1	144:8
268:13	228:9	253:12	304:6	147:24
279:11,12	perils 34:3	264:6	pieces	150:8
283:7,15,1	-	290:15	144:25	151:23,24
7 298:15	period	290.13	203:5,9	153:24
302:11,12	118:13	perspectives	<b>pilot</b> 133:14	187:4
305:11	151:13	254:25	_	206:21
	152:6	<b>Peter</b> 111:19	<b>PIP</b> 302:18	208:25
percentage	157:18,22		<b>PIPP</b> 12:18	218:20,25
122:10	233:23	Peters 2:10	13:3 26:18	260:14
171:23 173:23,25	240:23	3:9	41:14	279:20
173:23,25	262:7,10	98:9,24,25	43:14,20	290:18
175:19	276:24 301:3	99:1,5	44:2 45:9	planned
180:20		134:19,22	79:2 85:20	120:7
183:6	periods	<b>PFAD</b> 9:15	90:2,9,14,	planner
184:23	262:22	<b>pg</b> 10:11	20 91:2,8	206:2
185:18,23	permanently	37:3	130:10,15,	
209:9,12	220:19		20	planning
241:22		<b>PHC</b> 5:4	131:3,10,1	120:20
. = =				

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 135 of 1	161
<b>plans</b> 121:4	<b>pocket</b> 224:1	152:4	219:1	108:12
133:8	-	179:1	228:15	173:6,13,1
	<b>point</b> 97:15	215:20,23,	229:3,13	9 176:16
plausibility	99:10,12	25 275:10	234:13	188:2
183:1	107:11	279:1		231:24
plausible	127:1	290:25	positioned	233:5
125:5	135:17	291:1	203:1	239:14
172:12,16,	148:13,14	policyholder	positions	240:20
20	149:20		108:25	253:5,6
180:1,11	153:4	<b>s</b> 171:13	110:17	254:6
234:1	165:4 179:24	266:19	277:3	256:9
240:22	182:14	<b>pool</b> 154:17	positive	practices
241:7	185:12,14,	185 <b>:</b> 17	115:17	160:13
255:14	22	<b>poor</b> 134:5	118:14	165:8
<b>play</b> 195:4	190:5,22	232:1	121:1	282:16
199:7	190:5,22	237:2,21	127:12	
203:15	201:18		206:24	<b>pre</b> 35:14,20
261:1	205:20	population	242:19	258:14
	258:13,17,	200:4	260:6,8	302:9
played	20 259:21	Portage 1:21	267:7,18	Pre-Ask
121:17	264:9	202:17		91:14
<b>player</b> 54:21	265:25	204:2	possibility	<b>D</b> 1 .
playing	268:17	<b>Porter</b> 34:19	132:9	Pre-asks
200:9	284:12	97:1	possible	134:11
200:9	306:17,25	97:1 106:2 <b>,</b> 9	191:9,10,1	preceding
<b>plays</b> 168:17		100:2,9	1,12,15,17	193:6
202:23	pointed	portfolio	192:20	244:7
<b>please</b> 95:12	117:9	7:10 94:6	228:7	precursors
96:3 99:5	119:15	104:1	251:10	200:1
105:4	points	120:8	254:2	
107:16	154:22	126:4,8,11	279:10	predetermine
113:23	156:24	,14 137:25	<b>post</b> 203:4	d
129:21	182:4,5	158:13,16		177:16,22
134:7,19	184:9	159:5,7,13	potential	predictable
136:19	190:4	160:13	212:15	141:18
182:1	198:18	164:23	215:6	
204:12	258:13	166:13	238:13	predicting
216:19	265:16	175:7	244:19	169:10
225:15	<b>poked</b> 176:23	304:8	250:15	274:18
278:14	poked 170.23	portion 95:7	252:25	predictive
297:9	police	- 171:11	274:16	175:1
	195 <b>:</b> 19	213:22	282:22	Predominant
pleased	policies	289:8	292:9	
98:4,6	66:10	291:14	potholes	<b>y</b> 159:6
120:2	218:16	<b>7000</b> 100.5	198:5	preferred
137:16	262:14,24	<b>pose</b> 120:5	powers	290:5
199:4	263:1,4	position	-	pre-file
pleasure	264:7	93:24	189:20	155:21
200:5	297:16	98:11	practical	
<b>plus</b> 218:6		109:1,18	286:5	pre-filed
289:7	<b>policy</b> 8:19	110:11	<pre>practice 5:7</pre>	150:2
	9:9 10:5	111:12	92:13	254:8
<b>po</b> 270:11	40:20	194:1	101:6	258:6
	42:14 48:9			

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 136 of 1	161
prehearing	251:2	265:4	188:1,3	96:17
102:22,25	266:4,13	286:25	189:2	142:24,25
103:6	267:2	287:14	205:8	primarily
105:21	302:10,11,	293:18,23	230:14	159:8
107:5	13	preparing	232:9	195:18
pre-hearing	303:19,21,	181:19	presenting	
5:5,8,10	23 304:8	195:11	114:4	primary
34:15,18,2	premiums		204:2	114:19
3 35:6,18	52:21	prescribed		143:18
101:2,4	80:21	170:9	president	201:13
	92:9,18	presence	96:8,12	principle
preliminary	94:11	130:1	130:2	146:5
117:16	99:20,22	201:1	189:13	151:12
122:22	100:12,17		205:13,22	285:7,8,9,
124:13,19,	103:25	present 99:7	Pressures	12 286:4
21 129:25	131:24	130:8	141:3	
138:16	132:6	135:23		principles
premium	169:1,2	213:21	pretty	45:21
16:15 59:3	174:5,7	216:10	109:13	143:21
72:14	185:11,13,	232:8	139:1,23	148:15,22
79:20	15 206:17	235:23	142:15	150:3,14
89:14		presentation	176:24	151:3
100:22	207:3,8,9,	189:9,25	223 <b>:</b> 10	176:9
130:16,17	22,24	190:5,10,1	225 <b>:</b> 5	254:16
	208:2,22,2	4,23 191:6	preventative	281:6
146:1	3 209:4,24	198:17	203:19	282:10,17
153:14,24	210:16	204:6,25		283:1
155:1	211:23	205:3,5,10	prevention	284:20
157:10	212:4,6	,23 206:19	88:9 94:8	285:5
171:23	213:13,18,	210:9	previous	286:5
173:23	24	216:8,12	104:2	290:11
174:1,10,1	214:10,19	217:1	179:23	<b>prior</b> 107:5
2,16	216:4,5	225:17	207:6	=
175:19	221:10,11	230:11,12,	246:18	117:8
176:13,18	249:20		240.10	124:16
180:20	259 <b>:</b> 15	22	290:1,11	219:3
183:6	260:10	presentation	290.1,11	222:18
184:24	271:1	<b>s</b> 3:19	previously	241:23
185:8,18,2	278:1	104:22	172:6	251:17
3 206:20	279:12	massated	177:12	262:10,17
207:7,10,1	289:25	presented	209:7	priorities
4,18,19	290:3,6,23	115:4,21	236:11	111:1
208:6,8	302:11	119:12	253:17	
209:20,22	premiums/DSR	124:7	257 <b>:</b> 19	priority
213:1,23	51:15	126:2	264:2	134:1
214:3	51.15	171:22	277:5	private
217:11	prepare	235:3	289:3 <b>,</b> 7	100:4
218:11	132:25	265:25	299:6	111:18
219:7,9	prepared	presenter		112:2
224:11	136:2	187:8,24	price 142:21	179:3,5,9
241:22	166:19	188:17	151:13	183:19
245:19	173:18		PriceWaterho	231:1
249:24	189:24	presenters	<b>use</b> 276:25	264:21
250:2,23		186:25		301:11
	253:4,19	187:1	pricing	

PUB-MPI	GRA	FOR	2013/14	09-25-2012

Page 137 of 161

303:17         128:8         21         products         pro-for           private-         138:12         272:2,5,10         151:9         249:3           gassenger         158:11         275:24         278:22         program           304:9,15,2         proceeding         276:13         Prof 84:2         122:2           3         97:23 99:9         278:23         Prof 84:2         133:1           pro 123:25         101:1,11         289:20         206:2         140:1           158:22         112:16         306:15         professional         143:9           172:23         117:15         processes         173:6,16,1         144:1           243:4         122:6         140:13,22         8 240:7         145:2           267:11         127:8         169:4         274:7         148:1           proactive         152:2         249:6         276:22         154:1           142:2         proceedings         252:33         281:10         176:1           probability         138:20         profession's         179:1           172:18         154:24         246:25         Professor         245:2           175:8         154:24         246:25 <th>5 4 5,16 1 6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2</th>	5 4 5,16 1 6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
pirvate-158:11275:24278:22program304:9,15,2proceeding276:13Prof 84:2122:2397:23 99:9285:15,17profession133:1pro 123:25101:1,11289:20206:2140:1158:22112:16306:15professional143:9172:23115:14243:4122:6140:13,228 240:7243:4122:6140:13,228 240:7145:2267:11124:1164:15252:17,22147:9142:2proceedings252:24278:11168:2203:1899:3253:3281:10176:1probability138:20produceprofession's179:1172:18150:3233:13173:12244:2175:8154:24246:25Professor255:15probably155:15,22250:3104:18255:1	5 4 5,16 1 6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
passenger158:11275:24278:22program304:9,15,2proceeding276:13Prof 84:2122:2397:23 99:9278:23profession133:1pro 123:25101:1,11285:15,17profession139:1158:22112:16306:15professional143:9172:23115:14306:15professional143:9243:4122:6140:13,228 240:7145:2267:11124:1164:15252:17,22147:9267:11127:8169:4274:7148:1proactive152:2249:6276:22154:1142:2proceedings253:3281:10176:1probability138:20produceprofession's179:1172:18150:3233:13173:12244:2175:8154:24246:25Professor255:1probably155:15,22250:3104:18255:1	5 4 5,16 1 6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
304:9,15,2 3proceeding 97:23 99:9276:13 278:23Prof 84:2122:2397:23 99:9278:23133:1pro 123:25101:1,11289:20206:2158:22112:16306:15professional172:23115:149rocesses173:6,16,1143:9117:15processes173:6,16,1243:4122:6140:13,228 240:7267:11124:1164:15252:17,22142:2proceedings252:24278:11142:2proceedings253:3281:10172:18150:3233:13173:12175:8154:24246:25Professorprobably155:15,22250:3104:18	5 4 5,16 1 6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
3         97:23         99:9         278:23         profession         133:1           pro         123:25         101:1,11         285:15,17         206:2         140:1           158:22         112:16         306:15         professional         143:9           243:4         117:15         processes         173:6,16,1         144:1           247:20         124:1         164:15         252:17,22         147:9           267:11         127:8         169:4         274:7         148:1           proactive         152:2         249:6         276:22         154:1           142:2         proceedings         253:3         281:10         176:1           probability         138:20         produce         profession's         179:1           172:18         150:3         233:13         173:12         244:2           probability         155:15,22         250:3         104:18         251:1	4 5,16 1 6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
pro123:25101:1,11285:15,17profession139:1158:22112:16306:15professional143:9172:23115:14306:15professional143:9243:4122:6140:13,228 240:7145:2247:20124:1164:15252:17,22147:9267:11127:8169:4274:7148:1proactive152:2249:6276:22154:1142:2proceedings253:3281:10176:1probability138:20produceprofession's179:1172:18150:3233:13173:12244:2175:8154:24246:25Professor251:1probably155:15,22250:3104:18255:15	5,16 1 6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
pro123:25112:16289:20206:2140:1158:22115:14306:15professional143:9172:23117:15processes173:6,16,1144:1243:4122:6140:13,228 240:7145:2247:20124:1164:15252:17,22147:9267:11127:8169:4274:7148:1proactive152:2249:6276:22154:1142:2proceedings253:3281:10176:1probability138:20produceprofession's179:1172:18150:3233:13173:12244:2175:8154:24246:25Professor251:1probably155:15,22250:3104:18255:11	1 6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
158:22115:14306:15professional143:9172:23117:15processes173:6,16,1144:1243:4122:6140:13,228 240:7145:2247:20124:1164:15252:17,22147:9267:11127:8169:4274:7148:1proactive152:2249:6276:22154:1142:2proceedings252:24278:11168:2203:1899:3253:3281:10176:1probability138:20produceprofession's179:1172:18150:3233:13173:12244:2175:8154:24246:25Professor251:1probably155:15,22250:3104:18255:13	6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
172:23       117:15       processes       173:6,16,1       144:1         243:4       122:6       140:13,22       8 240:7       145:2         247:20       124:1       164:15       252:17,22       147:9         267:11       127:8       169:4       274:7       148:1         proactive       152:2       249:6       276:22       154:1         142:2       proceedings       252:24       278:11       168:2         203:18       99:3       253:3       281:10       179:1         probability       138:20       produce       profession's       179:1         175:8       154:24       246:25       Professor       251:1         probably       155:15,22       250:3       104:18       255:13	6 2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
243:4       122:6       140:13,22       8 240:7       145:2         247:20       124:1       164:15       252:17,22       147:9         267:11       127:8       169:4       274:7       148:1         proactive       152:2       249:6       276:22       154:1         142:2       proceedings       252:24       278:11       168:2         203:18       99:3       253:3       281:10       176:1         probability       138:20       produce       profession's       179:1         172:18       150:3       233:13       173:12       244:2         175:8       154:24       246:25       Professor       251:1         probably       155:15,22       250:3       104:18       255:1	2 ,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
247:20       124:1       164:15       252:17,22       147:9         267:11       127:8       169:4       274:7       148:1         proactive       152:2       249:6       276:22       154:1         142:2       proceedings       253:3       281:10       176:1         probability       138:20       produce       profession's       179:1         172:18       150:3       233:13       173:12       244:2         175:8       154:24       246:25       Professor       251:1         probably       155:15,22       250:3       104:18       255:1	,14 ,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
267:11       127:8       169:4       274:7       148:1         proactive       152:2       249:6       276:22       154:1         142:2       proceedings       252:24       278:11       168:2         203:18       99:3       253:3       281:10       176:1         probability       138:20       produce       profession's       179:1         172:18       150:3       233:13       173:12       244:2         175:8       154:24       246:25       Professor       251:1         probably       155:15,22       250:3       104:18       255:1	,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
127:8       169:4       274:7       148:1         proactive       152:2       249:6       276:22       154:1         142:2       proceedings       252:24       278:11       168:2         203:18       99:3       253:3       281:10       176:1         probability       138:20       produce       profession's       179:1         172:18       150:3       233:13       173:12       244:2         175:8       154:24       246:25       Professor       251:1         probably       155:15,22       250:3       104:18       255:1	,7,20 2 5 2 1 2
142:2 203:18proceedings252:24 253:3278:11 281:10168:2 176:1probability138:20 150:3produce 233:13profession's179:1 244:2172:18150:3233:13173:12244:2 245:2175:8154:24246:25Professor251:1 251:1probably155:15,22250:3104:18255:1	2 5 2 1 2
203:18         proceedings         253:3         281:10         176:1           probability         138:20         produce         profession's         179:1           172:18         150:3         233:13         173:12         244:2           175:8         154:24         246:25         Professor         251:1           probably         155:15,22         250:3         104:18         255:1	5 2 1 2
203:18         99:3         253:3         281:10         176:1           probability         138:20         produce         profession's         179:1           172:18         150:3         233:13         173:12         244:2           175:8         154:24         246:25         Professor         251:1           probably         155:15,22         250:3         104:18         255:1	2 1 2
probability         138:20         produce         profession's         179:1           172:18         150:3         233:13         173:12         244:2           175:8         154:24         246:25         Professor         251:1           probably         155:15,22         250:3         104:18         255:1	1 2
172:18     150:3     233:13     173:12     244:2       175:8     154:24     246:25     Professor     251:1       probably     155:15,22     250:3     104:18     255:1	2
175:8154:24246:25Professor245:2probably155:15,22250:3104:18255:1	
probably 155:15,22 250:3 104:18 255:1	7
	5
159:4 100.10.22 112:5 256:1	6
147:10         188:18,22, 25,220:11         produced         124:6         259:2	4
154:4 25 252:11 131.11 128:6.17 292.1	
157:17 245:13 134:11 129:3 200.1	
176:23 270:2 169:15 261:22 291.6	
192:14,18 306:20 175:14,19 262:1,5,16 303:4	
208:5 307:2 184:19.22 ,19	
270:25 proces 75:8 185:5 263:6,8,16 program	
	1
299.25 process 235.6 5 program	s
probe 126:25 81:10 249:5 10 21 142:5	
<b>proble</b> 93:22 253.1 266.2.11.2	
123:10 94:24 260.24 0.23 <b>progres</b>	s
109:17,23 268:8 267:11.20 117:1	8
<b>Problem</b> 119:3 150:1	5
223:8 131:2 2/3:5 23 268:16,17, prohibi	tive
problems 140:17,19 produces 21,25 208:1	
202:15 141:1 172:23 269:5	
project	22:4
155:19 236:18 271.0,13,2 31:18	
procedural 160:1 244:21 0 272:5,13 279:2	1
103:5 167:6 256:21 <b>profile</b> 301:4	
104:9 221:20,21 259:7 68:20 project	ad
	eα
04:5	~
producing profile 157.9 119:1	
278:19 profitable	
249:12 <b>product</b> 148:19 204:1	
<b>procedures</b> 253:20 143:16 278:22 302:1	U
294:14,23	ing
296:11,13 278.17 10 <b>profits</b> 116.2	
299:10 220:12 221:9 221:9	
224:4,7	
proceed 95:5 271:16,17, 281:19 project	1005

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 138 of 2	161
158:6	123:17	168:24	144:16	279:7,19
301:16	124:4	184:19	195:19	283:22
projects	127:2	188:3,11	202:5	290:5
6:14 53:20	156:3	240:8	256:18	293:12,13
57:9	176:13	246:20	269:9	298:1
	179:5	249:3,13	277:5	<b>PUB/MPI</b> 97:6
promise	206:18	250:14	provincial	-
139:5,6	214:3	252:16	85:21	PUB/MPI-5-1
255:8	282:12	253:25	283:13	5:13
promotion	290:4	255:25		PUB/MPI-5-10
229:8	294:2	273:15	provision	7:18
pronounce	298:2	275:15	158:22	PUB/MPI-5-11
204:16	299:11	284:15	provisions	8:3
	proposing	291:6	92:11	
pronounced	100:21	293:11	proximity	PUB/MPI-5-12
204:11	273:23	294:16	194:25	8:8
proper	289:24	297:13		PUB/MPI-5-13
212:18	pros	provided	prudence	8:13
219:17	181:19,23,	123:12,21	119:9	
221:2	25 182:3,7	134:10	prudent	PUB/MPI-5-14
	183:11	145:22	113:2,13	8:18
properly	184:1,24	172:8	118:20	PUB/MPI-5-15
113:6 121:22		173:15	119:2	9:3
123:11	protect	183:2	120:8	PUB/MPI-5-16
154:16	93:16	239:15	121:5	9:8
	124:22	243:4	292:15	
Properties	171:3	247:17	prudently	PUB/MPI-5-17
46:8	175:14	265:15,17	117:5	9:13
property	255:4	274:3		PUB/MPI-5-18
78:10	protected	281:1	<b>PUB</b> 29:10,14	9:18
111:18	275:7	provider	67:21 72:2	PUB/MPI-5-19
112:2	protection	122:19	92:8	10:4
151:10	206:21	providers	96:13,20	
178:3	208:25	111:12	101:2,19	PUB/MPI-5-2
208:6	239:7	111.12	112:18	5:18
231:1	243:3	provides	115:11,23	PUB/MPI-5-20
proportion	283:12	119:21	121:10	10:9
213:12	290:18	143:10	126:18 147:21	DUD WDT E 01
301:18	302:19	244:15	163:1,11,1	PUB/MPI-5-21
304:8	<b></b>	247:22	2 168:5,8	10:14
	<b>proud</b> 139:8	253:8,11,2	169:23	PUB/MPI-5-22
proportioned	<b>prove</b> 123:16	1 257:8	176:22	10:19
213:23	provide 95:5	providing	177:2	PUB/MPI-5-23
proposal	107:20	97:9,11	179:2,14	11:3
122:21	121:1	140:10	190:23,24	
123:5	126:3	142:6	195:3	PUB/MPI-5-24
proposed 5:9	133:18	215:2	244:10,15,	11:8
94:9,12	144:5	270:15	25	PUB/MPI-5-25
113:20	145:5	274:22	245:7,17	11:13
116:10,13	148:8	province	249:21	PUB/MPI-5-26
118:20	161 <b>:</b> 17	104:14	254:19	11:18
119:1	162:9,11	143:13	255:18	
				PUB/MPI-5-27

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 139 of 1	161
12:3	17:3	22:3	28:18	34:3
PUB/MPI-5-28	PUB/MPI-5-48	PUB/MPI-5-68	PUB/MPI-6-18	PUB/MPI-6-38
12:8	17:8	22:8	29:3	34:8
PUB/MPI-5-29	PUB/MPI-5-49	PUB/MPI-5-69	PUB/MPI-6-19	PUB/MPI-6-4
12:13	17:13	22:13	29:8	25:13
<b>PUB/MPI-5-3</b> 6:3	<b>PUB/MPI-5-5</b> 6:13	<b>PUB/MPI-5-7</b> 7:3	<b>PUB/MPI-6-2</b> 25:3	<b>PUB/MPI-6-5</b> 25:18
<b>PUB/MPI-5-30</b> 12:18	<b>PUB/MPI-5-50</b> 17:18	<b>PUB/MPI-5-70</b> 22:18	<b>PUB/MPI-6-20</b> 29:13	<b>PUB/MPI-6-6</b> 26:3
PUB/MPI-5-31	PUB/MPI-5-51	PUB/MPI-5-71	PUB/MPI-6-21	PUB/MPI-6-7
13:3	18:3	23:3	29:18	26:8
PUB/MPI-5-32	PUB/MPI-5-52	PUB/MPI-5-72	PUB/MPI-6-22	PUB/MPI-6-8
13:8	18:8	23:8	30:3	26:13
PUB/MPI-5-33	PUB/MPI-5-53	PUB/MPI-5-73	PUB/MPI-6-23	PUB/MPI-6-9
13:13	18:13	23:13	30:8	26:18
<b>PUB/MPI-5-34</b> 13:18	<b>PUB/MPI-5-54</b> 18:18	<b>PUB/MPI-5-74</b> 23:18	<b>PUB/MPI-6-24</b> 30:13	<b>PUB-1</b> 5:3
				PUB-1-6
<b>PUB/MPI-5-35</b> 14:3	<b>PUB/MPI-5-55</b> 19:3	<b>PUB/MPI-5-75</b> 24:3	<b>PUB/MPI-6-25</b> 30:18 31:3	161:14
PUB/MPI-5-36	PUB/MPI-5-56	PUB/MPI-5-76	PUB/MPI-6-26	<b>PUB-1-60</b>
14:8	19:8	24:8	31:8	259:18
PUB/MPI-5-37	PUB/MPI-5-57	PUB/MPI-5-77	PUB/MPI-6-27	<b>PUB-2</b> 5:6
14:13	19:13	24:13	31:13	<b>PUB-3</b> 5:9
PUB/MPI-5-38	PUB/MPI-5-58	PUB/MPI-5-8	PUB/MPI-6-28	<b>PUB-4</b> 5:11
14:18	19:18	7:8	31:18	101:22
PUB/MPI-5-39	PUB/MPI-5-59	PUB/MPI-5-9	PUB/MPI-6-29	<b>PUB43c</b> 29:4
15:3	20:3	7:13	32:3	<b>PUB48b</b> 30:5
PUB/MPI-5-4	PUB/MPI-5-6	PUB/MPI-6-1	PUB/MPI-6-3	<b>PUB51</b> 30:9
6:8	6:18	24:18	25 <b>:</b> 8	PUB-5-1
PUB/MPI-5-40	PUB/MPI-5-60	PUB/MPI-6-10	PUB/MPI-6-30	102:6
15:9	20:8	27:3	32:8	<b>PUB52a</b> 30:19
PUB/MPI-5-41	PUB/MPI-5-61	PUB/MPI-6-11	PUB/MPI-6-31	31:4
15:14	20:13	27:8	32:13	PUB55b
PUB/MPI-5-42	PUB/MPI-5-62	PUB/MPI-6-12	PUB/MPI-6-32	31:10,14
15:19	20:18	27:13	32:18	PUB56d 31:20
PUB/MPI-5-43	PUB/MPI-5-63	PUB/MPI-6-13	PUB/MPI-6-33	PUB-5-77
16:3	21:3	27:18	33:3	102:6
<b>PUB/MPI-5-44</b> 16:8	<b>PUB/MPI-5-64</b> 21:8	<b>PUB/MPI-6-14</b> 28:3	<b>PUB/MPI-6-34</b> 33:8	<b>PUB59</b> 32:4
PUB/MPI-5-45	PUB/MPI-5-65	PUB/MPI-6-15	PUB/MPI-6-35	<b>PUB60</b> 32:9
16:13	21:13	28:8	33:13	PUB-6-1
PUB/MPI-5-46	PUB/MPI-5-66	PUB/MPI-6-16	PUB/MPI-6-36	102:16
16:18	21:18	28:13	33:18	PUB-6-38
PUB/MPI-5-47	PUB/MPI-5-67	PUB/MPI-6-17	PUB/MPI-6-37	102:16

PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 140 of 161

	JR 2013/14 U	2012	Page 140 01 161	
<b>PUB64</b> 33:4	1,13,16,18	38:6,12,18	65:6,12,18	93:15,17
<b>PUB65</b> 33:9	,21	,24	,24	94:24
<b>PUB65f</b> 33:15	19:3,6,8,1	39:6,12,18	66:6,12,18	96:6,9,14, 18 97:21
	1,13,16,18 ,21	,24 40:6,12,18	,24 67:6,12,18	18 97:21 99:1,19
<b>PUB-7</b> 102:25	20:3,6,8,1	,24	,24	100:7
PUB70b 33:19	1,13,16,18	41:6,12,18	68:6,12,18	101:3,19,2
PUB73 34:5	,21	,24	,24	2 104:21
<b>PUB-8</b> 103:8	21:3,6,8,1	42:6,12,18	69:6,12,18	109:6
	1,13,16,18	,24	,24	110:5,8
<b>PUB-9</b> 103:15	,21	43:6,12,18	70:6,12,18	111:17 112:24
public	22:3,6,8,1 1,13,16,18	,24 44:6,12,18	,24 71:6,12,18	112:24
1:3,6,7,20	,21	,24	,24	115:6
3:19	23:3,6,8,1	45:6,12,18	72:6,12,18	116:22
5:3,6,11,1	1,13,16,18	,24	,24	121:17
3,16,18,21	,21	46:6,12,18	, 73:6,12,18	122:3,4
6:3,6,8,11	24:3,6,8,1	,24	,24	123:3,5
,13,16,18,	1,13,16,18	47:6,12,18	74:6,12,18	125:11
21 7:3,6,8,11	,21	,24	,24	126:2
,13,16,18,	25:3,6,8,1	48:6,12,18	75:6,12,18	127:5
21	1,13,16,18	,24	,24	130:3
8:3,6,8,11	,21	49:6,12,18	76:6,12,18	132:7
,13,16,18,	26:3,6,8,1	,24	,24	133:11
21	1,13,16,18	50:6,12,18	77:6,12,18	135:6
9:3,6,8,11	,21 27:3,6,8,1	,24 51:6,12,18	,24 78:6,12,18	138:5,17 139:2,9
,13,16,18,	1,13,16,18	,24	,24	140:3,12,1
21	,21	52:6,12,18	79:6,12,18	7
10:4,7,9,1	28:3,6,8,1	,24	,24	142:8,12,1
2,14,17,19	1,13,16,18	, 53:6,12,18	, 80:6,12,18	9 143:11
,22	,21	,24	,24	147:2
11:3,6,8,1 1,13,16,18	29:3,6,8,1	54:6,12,18	81:6,12,18	150:4,18
,21	1,13,16,18	,24	,24	161:16
12:3,6,8,1	,21	55:6,12,18	82:6,12,18	162:2
1,13,16,18	30:3,6,8,1	,24	,24	169:14
,21	1,13,16,18		83:6,12,18	176:1,3
13:3,6,8,1	,21 31:3,6,8,1	,24 57:6,12,18	,24 84:8,14,20	178:24 179:19
1,13,16,18	1,13,16,18	,24	85:5,11,17	189:8,17
,21	,21	58:6,12,18	,23	191:7
14:3,6,8,1	, 32:3,6,8,1	,24	86:5,11,17	195:3,18
1,13,16,18	1,13,16,18	59:6,12,18	,23	203:17
,21 15.2 7 0 1	,21	,24	87:5,11,17	212:2
15:3,7,9,1 2,14,17,19	33:3,6,8,1	60:6,12,18	,23	214:11
,22	1,13,16,18	,24	88:5,11,17	215:20,23,
16:3,6,8,1	,21	61:6,12,18	,23	24 217:7
1,13,16,18	34:3,6,8,1	,24	89:5,11,17	221:17
,21	1,22	62:6,12,18	,23	242:23
17:3,6,8,1	35:5,10 36:6 12 18	,24 63:6,12,18	90:5,11,17	243:4 245:5
1,13,16,18	36:6,12,18 ,24	,24	,23 91:5,11	245:5 250:18
,21	,24 37:6,12,18	,24 64:6,12,18	92:5,6,11,	266:10
18:3,6,8,1	,24	,24	12	270:2,17
	,	,		<u> </u>

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 141 of 16	51
277:14	242:4	306:13	23	79:14
publication	277:12,16	questioned	266:3,10,2	87:14
106:4,12	299:21	297:12	4	92:6,19
	pursue		267:3,5,9	94:3,4,5
publicly	116:14	questioning	269:6,11	95:16
140:16		261:21	294:1,9	100:5,15
published	pursued	questions		103:21,23
35:11	123:8	91:14	R	106:16,18,
<b>PUBM</b> 121:10	pursuing	112:21	racing	22,25
PUBM 121:10	116:17	116:7	199:17	107:7
PUB's 112:19	pursuit	118:17,23	201:24	110:5
115:10	202:3	127:16		111:1
135:4	202:5	128:1,16	<b>radar</b> 22:20	115:1,2
180:17	<b>push</b> 121:13	137:23	<b>raise</b> 125:6	117:13
217:10	pushed	138:2,7	mained 115.2	123:24
240:1	225:24	158:12	<b>raised</b> 115:3	125:7,10
258:7	228:11,12	168:3	282:21 284:11	127:2
pull 157:4		180:25	284:11 299:6,12	130:9
180:24	pushing	186:2	299:0,12	132:10,16
194:17	121:18	188:12	<b>ran</b> 223:7	133:2
259:12,13	225:23	194:20,21	225:21,22,	139:20
260:18	putting	272:16	25	140:18,25
	198:25	284:5	<b>range</b> 72:20	142:22
purchase		292:24	94:5	155:14,24
143:14		300:18	103:24	171:1,3,9
167:16	Q	quickly	114:25	180:13
276:12	quality	110:1	156:7,16	205:9 206:18
purchases	141:15	139:1	157:12	207:18,21
239:3	quantify	176:24	174:16	212:6,13,1
<b>pure</b> 151:21	182:21	236:22	178:14,16,	212:0,13,1 9
152:11	quarter	277:23	18 179:6	9 213:6,7,9
153:20	135:13	300:18	184:25	215:0,7,9
	169:17		185:5	216:4
purportedly		quit 208:12	199:16	217:17
109:6	Quarterly	221:5	250:15	219:2,12
purpose	55:15	<b>quite</b> 97:24	252:25	236:15
137:17	68:11	107:12	258:8,10,1	241:9
170:24	question	114:1	1,16,24	242:4
171:2	113:1,19	118:8,9	259:6,8,11	250:7
233:5	116:19	132:14	267:1,16	269:19
244:23	120:5	138:7	268:7	270:6,15,2
249:3	121:3	151:9	274:14	4 277:24
255:7	126:2	157:3	<b>rank</b> 275:2	299:21
256:22	156:18	168:3,5	276:11	300:12,14,
269:20	161:6	278:12		15,19
294:25	175:25	quote	<b>rare</b> 153:22	302:11,17
purposes	180:4,16,2	163:13,19	<b>rate</b> 1:8	303:6
110:23	3 222:1	171:2,8	28:13	304:5,11,1
156:3	231:20	233:20	34:23	5,21,22,25
157:7,9	243:24	234:5	35:13,16,1	305:8,9
168:9	247:6	262:11,14	9 51:21	306:6
177:2	272:19	263:7,8,14	52 <b>:</b> 14	<b>rated</b> 303:5
	299 <b>:</b> 18	,16,19,21,	69 <b>:</b> 16	<b>Taled</b> 505:5

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 142 of 1	.61
ratemaking	279:5	98:16 <b>,</b> 18	144:2,8,12	120:6,8
39:15	281:1,6	129:24	,23 145:9	121:5
rate-making	292:19	205:19	146:2,5	125:10
140:22	298:12	<b>re</b> 1:6,7	147:5,18	127:2
151:6	303:24	149:9	148:17	163:17
156:2	304:20	182:17	149:13,18	168:19
277:12	305:2,15,2		150:8,16	169:7,8
306:15	1 306:4,13	<pre>reaching 93:13</pre>	151:9,10,1	172:20
ratepayer	rate-setting	94:21	2 152:12	194:3
113:17	277:16		157:11 161:4,17	240:23 248:6
	rather	readily	162:16	255:2
ratepayers	171:12	286:8	164:18	265:19
93:20	172:16	reading	165:11	267:16
120:17	179:4	204:24,25	168:12,14	269:2
121:2	194:11	205:5	169:6	272:6
188:23	205:11	<b>reads</b> 230:20	176:7,8,15	281:7
255:4	213:20	1eaus 230:20	,17,20	285:10,17
260:19	248:1	<b>ready</b> 128:8	177:1	286:2,4
280:10,24	262:21	145:5	179:10	290:10
293:13	ratification	<b>real</b> 7:3	180:7	293:16
297:14,15 298:1,17	273:14	26:3 47:14	182:25	294:4
		159:10	203:17	298:21
<b>rates</b> 27:3	<pre>rating 20:9</pre>	163:14,25	228:17	299:13
44:8	94:9	166:14,22	238:17	reasonablene
50:2,22	100:18	167:21	239:18	ss 119:9
59:9 86:2	120:12	238:23	245:13	247:24
87:20 88:2	130:18	realities	254:14,18	
89:3 92:17	152:21	299:14	255:1,14	reasonably
99:23	157:18		257:7,8	118:19
100:1	158:1 209:15	reality	258:21	279:22
111:4 113:20	301:3,5	109:18	259:5,8,11	reasons
120:6	304:20	123:6	260:17,18	140:4
120:0		125:16	266:19	150:9
135:7	<pre>ratings 12:9</pre>	realize	270:21	224:23
138:22	<b>ratio</b> 212:23	254:1	271:2	248:11
139:16,20,	237:1	<b>really</b> 110:6	275:4,23 276:13	250:25
22,23	278:6	114:18	284:12	rebalancing
140:21	289:2	117:15	285:18	47:21
142:14	292:11,21	119:12	300:12	
143:2	298:24	122:14	301:1	<b>rebate</b> 115:1
147:3,23	rationale	124:7		259:19 260:10,20,
152:2	195:23	127:17	reason	22 268:4
157:21,23,	244:15	135:15,18	135:16	22 200.4
24 158:2	266:12	138:20	168:2	rebated
168:9		139:11,15,	208:19,22	241:24
169:10,12,	<b>ratios</b> 27:8	25	266:25 267:4	rebates 59:3
24 201:25	76:8,20 185:15	140:6,9,16	276:10	100:22
217:22		,21		259:13
221:13	<b>Ray</b> 210:9	141:1,25	reasonable	303:3
230:23	Raymond 2:8	142:2,6,9,	113:13,17,	re-build
259:20	3:8	15,20	21 118:20	259:15
278:24		143:20	119:2	207.10

PUB-MPI GRA F	FOR 2013/14 09	-25-2012	Page 143 of 1	61
receive	125:8	265:11	279:13	284:13,14
130:24	126:24	271:9,21	291:25	298:22
210:6,23	138:14	reconciliati	293:8,22	299:14
211:16	142:16	on	298:10	301:2
219:16	175:12	249:14,16	reference	refresh 75:2
221:9	recommend		103:22	
226:1	156:1,3	reconciliati	127:22	refund 219:
237:6	164:17	<b>ons</b> 10:10	157:5	<b>reg</b> 280:6
273:11	216:2	record	161:14	-
281:8	267:25	100:14	272:24	regard
302:18		101:2,9,17	291:24	112:11
received	recommendati	130:12		115:10
176:3,22	<b>on</b> 122:15	134:5	referenced	117:19
178:23	163:20	139:23	118:21	118:2,17
179:19,24	236:21,24	170:20	134:25	120:21
222:24	242:10,17,	212:7	referencing	125:7,24
	21	230:16	268:2	302:25
225:20	244:10,25	231:16		regarding
226:9	257:25		referred	282:22
receiving	266:3,21,2	232:10	101:6	
219:5	4 268:8,22	recorded	161:20	regards
recent	recommendati	93:4	280:4	262:2
		recovery	287:17	264:1
112:19	<b>ons</b> 104:3	56:21 66:5	289:3,7	268:25
113:5	196:13	56:21 66:5	referring	<b>Regis</b> 1:15
206:23	242:2,3,8	recreation	111:14	92:4
recently	243:19	199:25	290:10	
112:3	244:2,5	<b>red</b> 269:23	290:10	regist
236:4	258:10	red 209.25	<b>refers</b> 286:6	207:11
238:23	262:1	<b>reduc</b> 303:22	<b>Refile</b> 5:18	register
277:6	265:19,22,	reduce	6:3	143:12
	24 266:2	303:24		301:25
recessing	267:22		refiled	
136:14	268:24	reduced	180:11	registered
187:15	269:1,2	119:18	refinements	100:14
261:12	277:24	139:22	254:3	131:3,5,1
recession	recommended	207:4	234:5	210:12
263:10,22	163:12	264:8	reflect	211:5
264:1	179:22	reducing	123:20	296:18
	216:14	304:10	209:4	303:20
reciting	267:23	304:10	251:20	registratio
136:23	267.25	reduction	252:2	143:15
recognize	282:25	28:4 120:2	265:4	144:23,25
123:6	202:23	303:25	285:14	145:12,17
186:18	recommending	reductions	297 <b>:</b> 16	146:10,15
272:7	122:17	63:4	reflected	140:10,13
· · ·	155:23,24	184:15		207:11
recognized	156:14		104:10	20/:11
110:13	180:12	236:11	reflection	regular
182:14	245:7	241:7,13	252:5	206:6
235:18	266:12	303:22	281:7	299:9
279:17	282:19	re-establish	reflective	rogulate
recognizing		231:14		<b>regulate</b> 178 <b>:</b> 6
	recommends		184:16	T/Q:P
108:13	243:10	<b>refer</b> 139:10		

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 144 of 1	161
178:8,9	290:8	119:10	263:3	141:17
182:17	291:23	120:12	remaining	report 6:8
279:3	292:5	130:9	243:19	37:2,14
regulation	293:3,20	relating	288:22	39:8 53:9
110:5	294:15	120:17		55:2,16
280:7	295:3,15,1	122:6	remarks	68.16
	7 296:1	123:25	3:5,6,7,8,	70:14 77:9
Regulations	297:6,10	139:14	9,10 95:4	82:3
143:7	298:3,9,19	relation	99:14,16	108:17,20
regulator	299:3,5,18		105:4,6	115:16
178:3	reinsurance	180:23 260:14	129:21	128:18
182:16	24:13		remember	129:3,19
278:25	66 <b>:</b> 14	relationship	109:3	139:12
regulators	239:4,7	111:4	124:15	144:7,9,11
176:17	276:3	175:12	172:4	,12 145:7
	302:15,16,	201:14	195:7	149:4
Regulator's	20,21	215:17	197:4	163:8
241:9	reinsurers	249:24	remind	172:8
regulatory	275:6	285:20	157:14	186:5
97:2 113:1	276:4	relationship	188:21	232:17
142:5		s 200:23		233:8,13,1
144:22	rejected	• • •	reminder	9 234:12
172:21	122:16	relative	5:11 35:10	255.5
234:3	179:8	236:19	101:19,22	240:19
253:12	rejecting	247:24	removes	241:3,17
256:17	124:4	264:23	273:18	242:15,20
264:18,24	relate	286:7	<b>ren</b> 118:21	246:20,25
265:5	248:17	relatively		249:15
282:16	280:17	118:7	renewal	253:4,7,10
286:13,16	289:9	177:20	146:14,15	,19
rehabilitati		183:13,23	280:17,18	254:1,4
<b>ng</b> 231:25	related 9:19	248:5	renewals	256:2,8
-	94:14	265:16	127:12	265:7,20,2
rehabilitati	111:3	275:7	repair 44:8	5 267:6
<b>on</b> 211:12	120:7	relevant	86:2	268:18 271:15,25
Reichert	123:24	104:2	141:10,13,	
3:14 96:11	125:12,13	150:24	15 152:16	275:10
108:24	144:22	151:3	219:24	286:25
137:8	156:24	184:11		280.25
138:1	165:2	241:2	repaired	293:23
162:4	174:12 206:24	251:13,14,	219:21	294:12
272:16	208:24	17 294:6	repairs	296:13,14
276:21,23	244:22	reliable	141:14	
277:9,13,2	244:22	112:23	153:21	reported
2 278:15	256:22		222:12	254:11
280:11	260:14	<b>relied</b> 142:2	repeat	reports
281:10,14	287:19	<b>relies</b> 251:6	156:13	38:14
282:13	290:17			53:14
283:6	301:19	<b>rely</b> 248:2	repeats	61:21
284:19,24		282:9	210:8,9	68 <b>:</b> 12
285:3	relates	<b>remain</b> 207:8	replacement	144:8
286:22	109:17	remained	19:9	193:21
287:6	113:9			

PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 145 of 161

	OR 2013/14 0	5 25 2012	Page 145 OL .	101
249:8,18	,20	40:5,11,17	67:5,11,17	254:25
264:16	12:5,10,15	,23	,23	require
299:8	,20	41:5,11,17		168:8
represent	13:5,10,15	,23	,23	178:13
110:9	,20	42:5,11,17	69:5,11,17	183:5
	14:5,10,15	,23	,23	
130:15	,20	43:5,11,17		251:12
209:20	15:6,11,16		,23	203.2
213:6	,21	44:5,11,17		274:6
285:5	16:5,10,15		,23	283:23
representati	,20	45:5,11,17		305:1
ve	17:5,10,15		,23	required
195:10,14	,20	46:5,11,17		35:7,12
	18:5,10,15		,23	118:24
represented	,20	47:5,11,17		
123:2	19:5,10,15		,23	178:12
205:18	,20	48:5,11,17		
representing	,20 20:5,10,15		,23	182:12
97:22	,20	,23 49:5,11,17		
98:19	,20 21:5,10,15		,23	216:7
	,20	,23 50:5,11,17		
represents				240:8
93:15	22:5,10,15		,23	
131:2	,20	51:5,11,17		246:20
199:14	23:5,10,15		,23	
request	,20	52:5,11,17		264:25
169:14	24:5,10,15		,23	
195:14	,20	53:5,11,17		300:19
216:1	25:5,10,15		,23	
255:18	,20	54:5,11,17		303:20
277:10	26:5,10,15		,23	
293:19	,20	55:5,11,17		304:15,17, 25 305:8
	27:5,10,15		,23	
requested	,20	56:5,11,17		306:4
248:2,7	28:5,10,15		,23	requirement
251:1	,20	57:5,11,17		
256:5	29:5,10,15		85:4,10,16	234:4
268:13	,20	58:5,11,17	,22	240:2
294:16	30:5,10,15	,23	86:4,10,16	246:17
requesting	,20	59:5,11,17	,22	304:11
178:18	31:5,10,15	,23	87:4,10,16	requirements
	,20	60:5,11,17	,22	
requests	32:5,10,15	,23	88:4,10,16	11:19
5:15,20	,20	61:5,11,17	,22	87:15
6:5,10,15,	33:5,10,15	,23	89:4,10,16	151:11
20	,20	62:5,11,17	,22	177:16
7:5,10,15,	34:5,10,17	,23	90:4,10,16	178:5
20	36:5,11,17	63:5,11,17	,22	233:15
8:5,10,15,	,23	,23	91:4,10	234:10
20	37:5,11,17	64:5,11,17	102:3,4,8,	264:19
9:5,10,15,	,23	,23	13,14,18	requires
20	38:5,11,17	65:5,11,17	105:20,24	162:20
10:6,11,16	,23	,23	128:4,22,2	183:22
,21	39:5,11,17	66:5,11,17	5 140:6	252:16
11:5,10,15	,23	,23	168:5	

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 146 of 161

	91(2019/11 0	9-25-2012	Page 146 01 16	
281:19	106:4,12	8:7,12,17,	,24	,24
requiring	130:6,15	22	37:6,12,18	64:6,12,18
	132:13	9:7,12,17,	,24	,24
143:25	133:19	22	38:6,12,18	65:6,12,18
211:23	137:23	10:8,13,18	,24	,25
reread	138:2,7	,23		66:6,12,18
216:13	141:20	11:7,12,17	,24	,24
<b>res</b> 126:16	143:1	,22	, 40:6,12,18	
res 120:10	156:21	, 12:7,12,17	,24	,24
research	158:12	,22	41:6,12,18	
162:11	168:7	13:7,12,17	,24	,24
166:19	170:14	,22	42:6,12,18	
189:19	181:25	14:7,12,17	,24	,24
ma samb lan sa	188:7	,22	43:6,12,18	
resemblance	196:4,15	15:8,13,18		,24
150:7	206:24	,23		71:6,12,18
reserve 42:8	222:1	16:7,12,17	,24	,24
94:5	224:18	,22	,24 45:6,12,18	
103:23	230:23	17:7,12,17	,24	,24
123:25	267:20	,22	,24 46:6,12,18	
125:8	277:9	,22 18:7,12,17	,24	,24
155:4	284:6	,22		,24 74:6,12,18
171:1,9	291:17	,22 19:7,12,17	,24	,24
180:14		,22		,24 75:6,12,18
241:10	respectful	20:7,12,17	,24	,24
250:7	124:8	,22	49:6,12,18	
reserves	196:8	21:7,12,17	,24	,24
104:2	respectfully	,22	50:6,12,18	
113:12	156:6	22:7,12,17	,24	,24
115:12		,22	51:6,12,18	
125:15	respectively	23:7,12,17	,24	,24
176:18	174:5	,22	52:6,12,18	79:6,12,18
	209:23	, 24:7,12,17	,24	,24
reserving	232:3	,22	, 53:6,12,18	80:6,12,18
94:13	responded	, 25:7,12,17	,24	,24
116:1	124:25	,22	, 54:6,12,18	81:6,12,18
resistant	responding	26:7,12,17	,24	,24
127:6	179:10	,22	55:6,12,18	82:6,12,18
resolved		27:7,12,17	,24	,24
116:24	response	,22	, 56:6,12,18	83:6,12,18
	107:13	28:7,12,17	,24	,24
resonate	126:5,10	,22	57:6,12,18	84:9,15,21
138:11	132:24	29:7,12,17	,24	85:6,12,18
resource	140:5	,22	58:6,12,18	,24
63:14	163:20	30:7,12,17	,24	86:6,12,18
147:20	164:22	,22	59:6,12,18	,24
162:9,11,1	169:14	31:7,12,17	,24	87:6,12,18
6	259:18	,22	60:6,12,18	,24
-	responses	32:7,12,17	,24	88:6,12,18
respect	5:17,22	,22	61:6,12,18	,24
94:2,7,10	6:7,12,17,	33:7,12,17	,24	89:6,12,18
95:16,20	22	,22	62:6,12,18	,24
99:21	7:7,12,17,	34:7,12	,24	90:6,12,18
100:25	22	36:6,12,18	63:6,12,18	,24
		· · ·		

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 147 of 1	.61
91:6,12,15	resulting	126:16	253:9,12,1	right-hand
102:3,9,13	213:2	164:19	3 254:17	116:6
,19	results	165:3	256:24	rights
responsibili	133:16	168:24	257:2,3,13	110:13,19
ties	173:2	186:25	271:7,21,2	
142:16	174:24	218:19	3 279:18	rigour
161:12,24	176:11	236:5	282:14,23	286:15
240:7	177:6	returns 7:20	reviewed	<b>rip</b> 218:24
	237:21	218:22	253:7,10	<b>risen</b> 118:12
responsibili	245:8,18	235:21,24	257:6,7	
<b>ty</b>	248:6	246:14	293:18	rising 208:1
142:5,13	252:25	262:8	reviews	<b>risk</b> 20:3
143:19 144:20	257:2	<b>rev</b> 304:10	249:14	32:3 54:14
151:19	259:23	100 304.10	299:8	65:2,10,14
154:18	264:15,22	revenue		66:9 68:20
155:17	267:24	11:18	revise	112:9
159:18	274:17	100:2	279:18	113:11
161:2	285 <b>:</b> 16	103:22	revised	125:9,10,1
163:6	286:17	123:1	180:11	1,12,16,22
167:22	293:10	153:25	181:8,9,17	126:5,7,15
168:18	resume	155:9	revisioning	,20 132:5
	186:24	169:1,9,10 213:2	120:19	144:19
responsible 126:6	232:11	213:2		155:5
142:10	307:2	224:12	revisit	164:19,22
142:10	resuming	303:9	180:8,10	165:3
159:16	136:15		231:23	171:24,25
168:13	187:16	Revenue/	RG 27:18	172:11,13,
170:7	261:13	company	<b>ri</b> 214:20	14
196:8		75:20		174:11,19, 20
231:11	<b>retained</b> 56:10	revenue-	<b>ride</b> 194:3	175:3,4,5,
	113:12	generating	198:6	6,9,11,20,
responsive 244:23	115:12	123:11,20	200:5,14	22 176:2,6
244:23	171:10,12,	revenues	202:12,13	177:6,16,2
rest	14 236:18	112:23	206:11,12	2 180:5
218:9,20	238:11	113:6,12	208:17 217:19	182:12,21,
restraint	241:8,19	121:21	217.19	24
58 <b>:</b> 14	242:18	126:14		183:9,20,2
result	258:1	168:21	<b>rider</b> 219:3	1,25
133:12	267:7,18	174:25	riders	184:6,18
178:16	269:17	<b>review</b> 18:14	210:22	185:7,9,21
183:22	270:9	92:11,15	212:16	194:11
207:7	retaining	112:17	217:14,22	214:17
209:17	265:11	142:13	218:4,21	237:22
241:7		144:9	219:9	238:3
242:13	rethink	147:2	<b>rides</b> 200:15	239:12,17
260:24	211:25	196:18		243:8,15
294:4	retired	212:3	<b>riding</b> 198:1 201:2	244:20,23
298:14	206:1	214:22	201:2 206:4,6	245:9 249:25
resulted	retrospectiv	233:9	208:4,6 208:12,16	249:25 250:13,20,
209:5	<b>ely</b> 303:5	243:21	215:7,11	22
246:16	_	247:23	217:12,24	251:5,10
	<b>return</b> 16:14	248:15	<u> </u>	201.0/10

PUB-MPI G	GRA FOR	2013/14	09-25-2012
-----------	---------	---------	------------

Page 148 of 161

	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 148 OI 1	
252:6	260:7	<b>rooms</b> 97:24	30:7,12,17	,25
		100ms 97.24		
253:15	301:20	roughly	,22	59:7,13,19
262:8,16,1	302:2	127:9	31:7,12,17	,25
8,22 264:8	<b>roads</b> 121:14		,22	60:7,13,19
268:19	145:24	round	32:7,12,17	,25
270:23	189:23	5:17,22	,22	61:7,13,19
274:24	193:13	6:7,12,17,	33:7,12,17	,25
275:4,17	200:3,8,12	22	,22	62:7,13,19
276:15		7:7,12,17,	34:7,12	,25
280:3	202:22	22	36:7,13,19	
	roadways	8:7,12,17,	,25	,25
risk-based	141:6	22	37:7,13,19	
174:15	robust	9:7,12,17,	,25	,25
177:4,15		22	38:7,13,19	
183:12	140:19	10:8,13,18	,25	,25
<b>risks</b> 124:23	169:3	,23	39:7,13,19	
126:11,19	284:3	11:7,12,17	,25	,25
172:10	<b>Robyn</b> 3:22	,22	40:7,13,19	
174:14	205:22	12:7,12,17	,25	,25
182:10	216:19	,22	,25 41:7,13,19	
184:20	217:1,2,4	,22 13:7,12,17		
185:25	222:6,8		,25	,25
	223:6	,22	42:7,13,19	
214:21	223:0	14:7,12,17	,25	,25
233:9	225:3,13	,22	43:7,13,19	
245:21	223.3,13	15:8,13,18	,25	,25
250:15,16	<b>Roger</b> 95:18	,23	44:7,13,19	
255:3	<b>role</b> 120:19	16:7,12,17	,25	,25
256:15,21	121:11,16	,22	45:7,13,19	72:7,13,19
265:5		17:7,12,17	<b>,</b> 25	,25
266:6	143:1	,22	46:7,13,19	73:7,13,19
270:21	147:1	18:7,12,17	<b>,</b> 25	,25
274:21	160:24	,22	47:7,13,19	74:7,13,19
276:11	161:1,2,3	19:7,12,17	,25	,25
<b>road</b> 21:8,13	168:17	,22	48:7,13,19	75:7,13,19
33:3,8	195:4	20:7,12,17	,25	,25
34:8 48:20	199:6	,22	49:7,13,19	76:7,13,19
49:20 50:8	202:23	21:7,12,17	<b>,</b> 25	,25
	233:3	,22	50:7,13,19	77:7,13,19
65:20	292:24	22:7,12,17	,25	,25
84:12 94:7	roles	,22	51:7,13,19	78:7,13,19
104:3	161:12,23	23:7,12,17	,25	,25
111:1		,22	52:7,13,19	79:7,13,19
120:13,15,	rolling	24:7,12,17	,25	,25
18,19,25	278:5	,22	,23 53:7,13,19	,23 80:7,13,19
121:4,11,1	292:16	25:7,12,17	,25	,25
5 133:19	298:23	,22	,23 54:7,13,19	,25 81:7,13,19
135:11,21	room	,22 26:7,12,17		
145:14		,22	,25 55.7 12 10	,25
194:10	95:14,24		55:7,13,19	82:7,13,19
197:11,23,	111:22	27:7,12,17	,25	,25
24 199:18	134:13	,22	56:7,13,19	83:7,13,19
200:18	136:1	28:7,12,17	,25	,25
201:8,11,2	154:15	,22	57:7,13,19	84:10,16,2
0 219:4	261:9	29:7,12,17	,25	2
		,22	58:7,13,19	85:7,13,19

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 149 of 1	.61
,25	185:2,19	201:15	,17 198:21	236:18,21
86:7,13,19	234:7,12,1	202:15	199:4	237:1,7,15
,25	5	233:7	200:18	,20
87:7,13,19	236:13,14	248:2	201:13	238:7,14,1
,25	239:10	254:24	202:22	7,20 240:3
88:7,13,19	240:1	255:20	203:2	246:16
,25	241:14,21	270:8	301:20	247:17
89:7,13,19	242:11,12,	275:25	302:2	262:2,6
,25	18,22	276:14	salary 17:8	268:12,13
90:7,13,19	243:5,17	running	117:19	scenarios
,25	244:3,12,1	222:18		172:12,15,
91:7,13	7,21,24		Salvage	17,19,22
102:2,7,12	245:2,16	<pre>runoff 9:11</pre>	41:20	180:1,11
,17	246:16	runoffs	<b>SAR</b> 224:13	234:1,8
128:3,4,14	249:22,23	114:23	Saskatchewan	235:10,12
,16,21,24	250:5,11,1	Rural 88:8	112:4	236:23
134:9	8,21 251:3		270:9	238:15
296:3	255:5,6	rural/urban		239:25
row	256:19,22	86 <b>:</b> 15	satisfaction	240:22
96:19,21,2	257:22,24		219:19	241:7,13
4 98:9	259:15,21	S	satisfactory	242:19
<b>RSR</b> 19:18	264:24	<b>safe</b> 196:7	233:17,22	246:13
36:10,16,2	265:4,11,1		234:7,14	247:8,12,1
2 37:3,8	6 266:8,17	safely	241:6	6,20
69:4	267:1,16,2 4	141:14	242:13	248:3,12,1
72:2,14,20	4 268:4,7,15	191:1	243:10	9 252 <b>:</b> 18
73:8	,20,25	195:12	257:17,21	254:24
103:23,24	269:14,20	196:1,4	258:4	255 <b>:</b> 15
111:23	270:4,18	197:17	259:3	256:1
113:10	272:2,9,11	<b>safer</b> 203:23	264:23	257:22
125:20	273:20	<pre>safety 20:9</pre>	Saturday	265:4,18
126:12,13,		21:8,13	137:1	267:19
22 135:10	RSR/DCAT	33:3,8		268:6,10
138:8	73:2	34:8 48:20	saving	271:22,24
153:5	RSR/exposure	49:20 50:8	123:21	274:6
154:25	73:20	65:20	savings	275:9
156:4,5	RSR/	84:13	30:18 31:3	schedule
170:14,24	financial	87:4,9	123:1	7:13
171:1,2,14	70:2	94:7,8	<b>saw</b> 261:17	169:16
,18,21	10:2	100:17	264:2	188:9
173:1,3,4,	RSR/MCT/DCAT	104:3		scheduled
22	70:20	111:1	<b>scale</b> 94:9	101:10
174:2,3,8,	<b>rule</b> 192:2,4	120:12,13,	100:18,19	104:21
16	203:14	15,18,20,2	103:25	
175:13,22		5	scenario	<b>scheme</b> 142:9
177:2	<b>rules</b> 5:6	121:4,11,1	124:17,22	Schioler
178:13,15,	92:13,14	5 133:19	125:4	99:5,7,10
16,18	100:4	134:4	132:21	135:25
179:1,6,14	101:5	135:11,21	233:25	schmucks
,23	<b>ruling</b> 209:1	141:15	234:2,8,25	
180:10,17,	<b>run</b> 152:4	189:23	235:2,16,1	232:1
21 182:12	172:22	193:21,22	7,20	science
184:21,25		195:7,9,13		

PUB-MPI GRA FO	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 150 of 1	.61
176:8	268:2	255 <b>:</b> 8	separately	281:8
scope	271:19	<b>sees</b> 119:4	215:1	290:13
189:19,20	285:24	• • • • • •	238:3	291:8
233:8	294:12	<b>select</b> 116:6	<b>Sept</b> 84:3	304:1,2
	second-grade	234:21	-	services
<b>score</b> 74:9	117:3	selected	September	51:3 55:21
179:7		116:8	1:23 91:14	97:1 280:7
183:24	secondly	183:7	101:13	281:1
245:2	116:11	234:21	128:18	282:1
Scorecard	183:3	236:2	129:4	284:15
55:9	seconds	247:15	231:18	285:15
0.07	109:2	252:21	sequester	
<b>screw</b> 227:8		257:24	93:10	<b>sets</b> 111:17
screwed	secretary			114:7
226:23	92:24,25	selection	series	145:25
229:9	96:6	116:12	172:12	203:8
	105:11	self-evident	177:16	setting 94:5
<b>script</b> 217:4	134:10,12	151:7	240:22	115:7
scrutiny	section	1.6	serious	133:15
295:1	145:7	self-	193:22	168:9
coorching	233:12,15	interest	195:2	242:4
searching 297:4	292:6	201:4	212:9,10,1	251:2
297:4	293:22	self-	5 237:10	272:9
season	295:8,14	sufficient		
206:15	-	278:22	seriously	settle
208:21	<b>sector</b> 71:3		93:17	151:21
217:12	179:9	<b>sell</b> 290:24	servants	settled
218:11,13,	183:19	<b>semi</b> 231:19	195:18	152:21
22	245:6			219:18
seasonally	security	sending	<b>serve</b> 283:1	
207:18	169:17	198:14	299 <b>:</b> 15	<b>seve</b> 276:8
207:10	270:16	<b>senior</b> 170:5	<b>served</b> 34:22	<b>seven</b> 131:14
seat-belt		195:8	102:3,8	153:25
134:3	<b>seek</b> 255:9	<b>sense</b> 172:20	109:16	157:10
<b>seated</b> 130:2	seeking		113:25	207:20
Scatta 190.2	92:16	259:12 264:17	155:18	211:3
second	99:25	284:17	service	301:12
102:12,17	100:16	285:24	65:22	
104:9	273:14	287:11		seventy
113:22	<b>seem</b> 198:8	290:14,20,	100:7,23	152:9
114:7	Seem 190.0	230:14,20,	111:11 120:6	258:24
128:16,24	seemed	20		several
132:14	268:10	sentence	122:19	171:17
134:9	seeming	263:15	143:20,23	217:6
153:4	251:7	separate	144:2	218:17
	201.1	130:18	145:8	238:5
156:13		- J V • T V	146:20	242:3
169:17	<b>seems</b> 120:18	145.16	1 4 7 - 4	242:3
169:17 175:3	197:18	145:16 209:15	147:4	242:3
169:17 175:3 184:10	197:18 202:25	209:15	148:18	
169:17 175:3 184:10 215:3	197:18 202:25 209:25	209:15 214:16	148:18 149:1,21	257:5 281:25
169:17 175:3 184:10 215:3 219:13	197:18 202:25 209:25 221:18	209:15 214:16 254:20	148:18 149:1,21 157:2	257:5 281:25 severities
169:17 175:3 184:10 215:3 219:13 242:9,21	197:18 202:25 209:25 221:18 226:22	209:15 214:16 254:20 255:13	148:18 149:1,21 157:2 195:19	257:5 281:25 <b>severities</b> 11:14
169:17 175:3 184:10 215:3 219:13	197:18 202:25 209:25 221:18	209:15 214:16 254:20	148:18 149:1,21 157:2	257:5 281:25 severities

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 151 of 1	.61
130:13,19	248:22	119:14	237:13	269:1
209:17	shorting	125:23	Similarly	271:13,20
212:7	220:11	145:13	197:25	simulate
276:8,9	220:11	184:13,15		251:9
<b>sewer</b> 198:4	short-term	235:5	302:22	251:9
<b>sewer</b> 198:4	93:19	236:7,10	304:1	<b>Singh</b> 92:25
SGI 29:4	shotgun	237:3,15	Simonsen	296:17
178:8,14,1	205:12	239:4,9	92 <b>:</b> 23	single 208:5
6 183:14		241:2	136:19,21	215:8
<b>share</b> 109:4	shoulder	253:18	137:12	280:13,16
113:17	194:15	274:19,25	simple	
149:25	shouldered	284:7	212:25	<b>sit</b> 134:24
162:25	211:15	292:12,19	249:21	<b>sitted</b> 130:2
194:7		304:7	250:3,6	
194:7	showed			sitting
24 212:17	237:16,17	significantl	simply	96:20 98:9
24 212:17	showing	<b>y</b> 119:18	127:14	104:16
302:2,4,20	34:16	139:6	138:12	160:17
302:2,4,20 303:21	105:19,23	146:21	145:20,23	259:1
303:21	192:20,21	153:5	171:24	situation
<b>shared</b> 142:6	197:3	176:19	172:15	133:9
278:2	274:8	177:4	184:16	
279:10		179:11	188:17	situational
280:20	<b>shown</b> 196:5	185:11	200:25	259:16
shareholder	209:11	236:14	245:20	260:12
168:25	241:15	238:16	252:7	situations
	306:8	241:23	263:25	190:9
<b>sharp</b> 118:5	<b>shows</b> 124:14	243:2	266:21	
sharply	190:11,20	259:4	267:21	<b>six</b> 118:5
118:9,12	191:7,25	264:8	Simpson 84:3	131:9,18
	192:1,11	290:25	104:19	211:8
sharrow	196:21,22	291:10	112:5	305:25
198:7	237:20	299:1	124:6	sixteen
sharrows		signing	124:6	302:2
197:25	<b>shut</b> 270:14	147:13	262:16	
198:3,5,11	<b>sic</b> 249:20		263:6,8,16	<b>sixty</b> 110:3,4,20
	<b>sight</b> 150:10	<b>signs</b> 118:14	263:0,0,10	
<b>sheet</b> 113:23	signt 150:10	197:24	265:10,14	152:9 202:16
117:3	sign	<b>silly</b> 197:18	266:20	
Sherry	197:11,18	<b>sim</b> 122:18	267:12,21	214:4
111:25	273:6	SIM 122:10	268:16,17,	sixty-five
She's 96:9	signal	similar	21 269:5	206:1
She's 96:9	194:15	122:18	271:6	sixty-one
shock	198:8	151:22	272:6,14	304:22
219:2,12	201:7	153:3		
270:24		161:5	Simpson's	sixty-three
<b>shops</b> 152:16	signature	173:13	128:18	132:1
_	273:1	178:16	129:3	210:15
<b>short</b> 152:21	signed	211:25	261:22	214:4
248:21	288:17	245:5	262:1,5,19	303:25
279:4		300:25	264:6	<b>size</b> 258:11
shortcomings	significant	302:8,14	265:15,22	263:13
245:11,20	94:22	similar-	266:3,11,2	276:9
,	114:10	looking	3 267:23	
		TOOKING		

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 152 of 1	.61
304:7	149:3	283:1	299:9	141:8
<b>skill</b> 111:16	somebody's	<b>sounds</b> 187:3	specifically	142:22
	215:11	230:2	206:20	155:14
<b>skip</b> 236:25		238:22	282:21	260:20
sloppy	215:4,7	274:25	292:8	269:19
258:18	252:18	275:1	293:24	270:6,15,1 6 271:4
<b>slow</b> 191:13		sources	294:16	
alowly	somewhat	175:10	specifics	stabilizatio
<b>slowly</b> 305:22,23	141:18		137:24	<b>n</b> 94:5
	160:13 211:13	<b>south</b> 218:1	256:16	103:23
<b>smacks</b> 231:5	211:13	Southern	<b>spend</b> 112:25	123:24
<b>small</b> 117:1	Somewhere	218:6	181:22	125:7
133:14	209:1	<b>sp</b> 265:5	206:8	171:1,9 180:14
158:3	<b>son</b> 208:19	_	232:22	241:9
159:10	sophisticate	<pre>space 190:9 195:15,22,</pre>	285:7	250:7
169:16	d 237:4	195:15,22, 25 196:21	spending	262:14,24
193:9		197:3,4,6	30:8,13	
213:21	sophisticati	201:10	56:14 77:2	stable
smaller	<b>on</b> 170:22	281:10	112:24	142:23
212:16	<b>sorry</b> 210:9		188:16	263:3
290:19	212:14	<b>spare</b> 206:8		<b>staff</b> 142:18
291:9	230:17	<b>speak</b> 187:8	<b>spent</b> 134:1	162:8,9
305:22	242:14	203:25	181:18	170:5
snowmobile	246:7	205:9	<b>spoke</b> 275:22	205:7
210:21	271:23	221:23	284:15	229:2,12
211:20	291:23	297:7	sponsorships	staffing
snowmobiles	293:4,21	speaking	64:10	17:3 29:13
91:4	295:13,17,	147:15		62:2
	22	205:15,24	<b>sport</b> 208:13	117:23
social	297:15,22	286:10,14	spouses	<b>stage</b> 273:13
120:15	300:5,24 303:17	speaks	195:1	staggered
society	304:10	113:10	spread 212:4	127:11
121:2	305:18	139:25	217:11	
<b>sold</b> 46:9		164:18	spreadsheet	stakeholders
208:19	<b>sort</b> 104:17	special	34:13	111:10
	148:20	144:19	105:12,15	<b>stamp</b> 181:7
<b>solely</b> 148:1 184:6	159:23 162:15	235:4		<b>stand</b> 204:3
208:13	164:22	280:3	<b>spring</b> 217:19,23	
212:6	168:24	specific	21/:19,23	standalone
212:0	179:25	84:12	squeeze	147:25
	200:14	110:23	191:18	standard
solicitor	270:4	165:19	SRE 280:3	33:14
205:19	sought 94:3	182:11	281:4	165:8
solution		249:2	287:20	173:18
256:12,15	sound 94:21	265:5	289:13,18	192:19
solvency	140:7	277:10	290:20	197:4
269:7,15,2	244:15	278:19	291 <b>:</b> 6	234:17,22
2 270:8	274:4	289:11	stability	245:4
271:2	281:5	294:14	109:22	246:19
somebody	282:10	296:10	140:25	252:8
somenouy				

UB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 09	9-25-2012	Page 153 of 10	51
standards	264:2	267:18	169:3	<b>y</b> 131:22
141:23	269:5	268:6	182:25	185:16
173:6,13	statement	stays	252:24	211:19
233:5	8:20 48:10	234:7,9	254:15	substantive
239:13	56:2		264:13	279:21
253:5	114:16	<b>steady</b> 154:5	270:1	2/9:21
254:22	148:1	<b>step</b> 136:5	stronger	succeed
255:12	300:25	155:25	140:23	201:2
258:20	301:2	203:18	266:20	successful
286:14	301:2	247:6,19		142:15
	statements	301:3	284:3	150:9
standing	98:21	JUI.J	strongly	100:9
148:20	114:8	steps	183:9	suffering
261:18	134:25	120:20,23	253:16	226:5,11
<b>stands</b> 171:1	147:9,14	<b>stick</b> 249:21	269:2	suffers
172:7	148:8,10	SCICK 249.21		
177:13	172:24,25	sticking	struggle	145:14
	224:18	205:11	263:24	218:9
start	235:3	stochastic	student 98:2	sufficiently
136:2,8,9,	247:20	248:20		194:16
12,17	249:4		studied	286:11
152:3	293:2,5,7,	<b>stock</b> 125:1	164:13	
160:11	8,15	235:22	studies	suggest
170:15	294:24	263:14	165:1	107:25
187:19	297:11,15	<b>storm</b> 239:8		125:12
189:3		Storm 259:0	subject	133:15,21
started	301:17	<b>storms</b> 274:9	113:14	136:10
140:20	<b>states</b> 218:6	straight	115:16	187:5
154:7	220:18,21	147:3	116:4	214:22
192:7	242:21	147:5	117:6	228:8,19
192:7	266:3,24	strategic	119:15	229:1,11,1
	271:6	67:15 83:3	139:16	8 268:23
206:4,6	stating	139:13	205:11	suggested
starting	-	144:8	210:16	176:14
104:24	34:21	150:8	247:9	290:1
108:23	266:21		272:25	
182:7	267:4	strategy	283:17,20	suggesting
235:15	statistical	65:4,11	288:1,8,11	213:5
	251:9,24	streets	,20 289:8	224:6
starts	statistics	197 <b>:</b> 10	submissions	<b>sum</b> 214:25
181:21		at man at h	94:1	
<b>state</b> 114:16	203:8	strength	94:1	summarized
154:5	209:11	140:1	submit	300:13
155:20	stats 61:3	strengths	169:12	summary
240:19	64:22 83:9	124:8	296:21	240:18
258:6		125:17	submitted	301:1
293:25	status	strides	230:15,19	501.1
	125:17		230:13,19	summer 206:5
stated 122:1	224:22,25	125:8	subsequent	217:12,22
171:2	statute	striking	294:11	273:9
172:7	112:17	150:6	subsidize	295:6
177:13	113:20	<b></b>		296:2
246:19		strong	132:2	Cup do
248:21	<b>stay</b> 259:6	140:19	218:21	<b>Sunday</b> 202 <b>:</b> 13
	261:20	154:21		

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 154 of 161

Superintende	surface		194:8	184:21
<b>nt</b> 178:2	209:25	T	223:2	185:2,19
264:20	survive	<b>T1.14</b> 6:4	224:4	245:2
support	201:4	38:2	227:12	251 <b>:</b> 3
121:10		<b>m1 16</b> 5 10	255:14	264:24
266:20	sustain	<b>T1.15</b> 5:19	290:2	tax
279:19	212:9	6:4	292:7	302:10,11
282:18	<b>swear</b> 136:19	<b>T1.15A</b> 5:20	<b>talked</b> 144:6	
202.10		6:5	153:8	<b>taxes</b> 59:2
supported	swearing	T1.17	164:21	208:6
183:9	95:6	10:9,14,19	177:5	219:23
253:16	swing 292:17	11:3	271:3	taxpayers
269:3	299:1		276:5	211:15
supporting	ouings 204.7	<b>T1.2</b> 11:20		269:11
244:14	<b>swings</b> 284:7	<b>T1.4</b> 11:3	talking	
-	sworn		180:5	<b>teach</b> 194:2
supportive	3:13,14,15	<b>T1.7B</b> 61:2	195:13	teaches
120:25	34:19	tab 295:14	226:13,15	190:7,12
272:13	35:3,8	300:4,6	227:23	teaching
supports	97:14	table 3:1	255:9	190:25
292:20	104:23		266:16	
	106:3	4:1 107:23 131:11	270:18	<b>team</b> 54:20
suppose	108:6	236:16,17	talks 144:12	111:15
273:19	137:7,8,9	•	192:3	technical
supposed	synopsis	237:13 238:9	target 19:18	65:9 138
198:6	145:9	239:6	-	
surcharge		292:13	72:2 94:6	techniques
236:15	<b>sys</b> 217:19	292:13	103:24	140:13
259:20	<b>system</b> 45:16	299:23,25	156:5,14,1	technology
260:10,18,	63:15	300:13	6 173:22 236:14	19:4
23	113:18	301:4	240:1	technolos
	132:4,8		240:1	
surcharges	142:12	<b>tackle</b> 176:9	242:11,22	111:3
100:23	148:6,21	<b>tail</b> 116:8	242:11,22	temporary
156:1	151:21	152:22	243:0,18	208:21
259:14	152:11		244:12,21 245:25	<b>tempt</b> 190:
303:7,9	153:16,20	taking	250:22	-
<b>sure</b> 135:19	168:23	113:10	256:22	<b>ten</b> 136:11
164:16	198:24	125:14	257:22,24	157:8
188:25	203:9	174:4	258:16,21	251:22
194:15	211:14	204:1	259:6,10,1	261:8
204:11	213:18	225:8,11	1 260:21	276:25
215:20	214:8,12	252:7	264:24	305:24
224:7	217:20	talk 122:1	265:11,12	tends 215:
233:2	218:18,23	135:17	266:8	
272:20	221:5	138:21	267:1	terms 93:23
276:23	224:13	139:13	268:4,15	108:13
278:12	231:4	140:7	272:9	111:6
	260:2	142:18,19		115:21,2
Surely		151:5	targets	116:11,1
231:22	systems	152:10	173:3	117:13,19
surers	141:8,21	165:18	174:2	22
214:15	149:13	189:21	175:13	118:14,2
			178:10,15	119:13,22

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 155 of 161

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 155 of .	161
23	242:20	241:25	217:2	292:18
120:15,17	242:20	241:25	217:2	292:18
120:13,17	testifying	243:22	223:10	There'll
121:11,14	96:9			121:6
	testimony	245:23	226:22	there's
123:1,7,18	150:2	261:3,7,10	227:24	114:12,21
128:11	155:21	269:4	228:7	116:22
149:14	164:5	276:16	231:13	118:5
150:15		277:8	232:8	134:8
152:18	254:8	278:9	233:13	
160:1	258:6,15	299:17	235:7	141:19
161:14	271:18	306:11,21	238:17	145:23
163:16	testing	307:3	240:4	153:2
164:23	172:8	thanks 99:3	241:16	161:19
165:8	237:2,7	135:24	242:16	177:20
171:13		203:25	243:13	182:24
185:6	tests 112:14	246:12	244:8,21	184:4
212:25	294:14		252:9	191:13,17,
255:14	296:11	<b>that's</b> 98:3	258:22	20 192:12
270:17	<b>thank</b> 95:13	103:21	260:21	193:9,14,1
271:4	97:16,18	108:2	270:25	5 194:14
274:22	98:14,16,2	113:3	276:4	195:6
275:13,21	2,23	132:15,25	282:25	196:18
285:11	99:4,17	133:19	284:17	197:7
	105:1,2	138:3	286:3	198:4
terrible	107:14,15,	139:23	287:17	202:2,8,13
275:1	19	145:5,20	289:12	215:5
terrified	128:7,10	146:20	295:19	227:10,24
113:24	129:11,24	149:18	297:8	228:13
	134:16,17,	150:8	301:6	230:9
territory	22 135:22	152:23	302:23	234:24
100:15	136:23	153:15	303:9,10,1	237:11
test 71:3		154:22	6 304:16	239:14
112:14,17	137:4,11	159:24	305:3,10	241:12
114:6	138:18	164:2	306:3,14	242:1
127:21,24	156:8,17	167:10		248:13
171:25	158:10	168:1,14,1	<b>theft</b> 20:13	260:5
172:1	169:13	6	21:3	261:1
177:13	170:11	170:10,20	221:10	272:24
178:12	180:15	171:20	224:4	273:22
179:9	186:1	172:3	251:16	274:16
183:19	187:13	179:15	<b>theirs</b> 95:5	275:8,18
194:22	189:11	180:25		295:19,20
235:17	199:11	185:5	themselves	293:19,20
239:13	203:23	185:5	112:22	305:4,19
244:11	204:7,9	190:22	165:10	
245:2	205:8	190:22	278:21	they'll
259:2	216:9,10,1	191:19	therefore	126:23
285:19,25	1,15,16		132:7	305:22
290:12	221:22,24,	196:25	148:4,24	they're
	25	198:16	169:11	112:25
test/	225:7,8,11	201:17	206:19	112:25
interest	,13	202:22	214:11	120:2
69 <b>:</b> 15	230:4,5	203:11,14	253:5	133:25
tested 234:8	232:7,14,2	206:22	253:5	133:25
	1 239:19	207:10	204:21	TODIO

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 156 of 1	.61
145:15	109:12	titled	287:7	100:9
151:3	126:2	236:25	292:14	212:22
152:21	thousand	<b>today</b> 92:23	300:19	<b>train</b> 198:22
183:1,2	110:21	96:23	topic 170:3	
191:16	130:25	98:23 97:12	_	trained
222:19	131:9,13,1	97:12 98:10	<b>topics</b> 104:7	198:23
239:15	4 135:13	99:10	Toronto	training
252:22	198:21	101:12	95:23	87:10
275:13	202:6,9,17	112:25	235:22	turnenting
302:25	206:14	138:23	tort 200:24	transacting 163:8
they've	207:13,20	146:23	LOIL 200:24	103.0
110:3	208:8,15	157:23	total	transaction
117:18	210:10,11,	180:4	131:5,11,1	100:23
134:1,2	14 211:3,6	205:14,23	5,19	145:12
148:2	219:23,24	206:19	211:10	146:16
149:5	220:2,3,5,	216:10	212:22	149:12,13
192:7	6,7,10,11	231:14,18	214:25	transactions
208:13	222:9,12,1	234:12	219:6	68:3
<b>thi</b> 122:2	3,15,16,20	239:10	220:7,22	163:18
269:15	,22,23,24	264:4	222:23	transcript
	223:20,21	277:6	231:8	4:9
thir 202:8	290:24	282 <b>:</b> 19	236:5	102:22,25
<b>third</b> 99:2	305:24,25	299:15	283:18 287:15,22	
104:15	thousands	307:2	289:9,20	Transcripts
113:5	237:5	today's		93:3
114:8	+h	251:17	totally	transfer
131:20	throughout 188:24	252:2	108:11	56:8 132:5
143:8	233:23	262:18	133:24	206:24
221:8		<b>Toker</b> 3:24	touring	214:9
240:25	<b>throw</b> 269:22	230:19	207:15	transferred
third-party	Thursday	232:3	towards	213:19
167:20	95:21		111:2	
253:9,12,1	101:12	T-O-K-E-R	116:23	Transferring
3 257:13	273:13	230:19	140:8	214:6
thirteen	296:23	<b>Tom</b> 3:20	154:13	transfers
194:19	306:19	189:3,9,10		23:19 80:3
202:6,9	307:2	,11 204:9	town	94:15
210:11,14	<b>thus</b> 266:18	tomorrow	202:11,20	transition
226:16		296:23	<b>track</b> 134:5	75:9
301:6	<b>TI-2</b> 299:23		trading 8:4	
	300:5,11	tool 173:6	-	transparency
thirty 154:4	<b>ties</b> 153:4	251:5	traffic	93:23
223:20	tightly	tools 125:16	192:1	182:18
thirty-eight	276:13	top 197:9	195:24,25	253:20
289:15		198:10	196:11,14	257:11
			200:20	transparent
thirty-four	tilities	∠.3.3 . 3 . 1 7		
thirty-four 220:7	203:17	233:3,15 238:6	214:1	124:11
		238:6	301 <b>:</b> 25	125:20
220:7 222:23	203:17 <b>till</b> 160:2		301:25 303:21	125:20 140:16
220:7 222:23 thirty-nine	203:17 till 160:2 timetable	238:6 259:14	301:25 303:21 <b>trailer</b>	125:20 140:16 146:23
220:7 222:23	203:17 <b>till</b> 160:2	238:6 259:14 275:2	301:25 303:21	125:20 140:16

UB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 157 of 1	.61
272:10	true	287:2	258 <b>:</b> 25	264:14
285:25	170:4,10	Turning	tying 260:23	266:11,14
Transport	182:20	233:10		22 267:21
33:13	201:17		type 124:23	270:25
	235:4	twelve	177:21	274:21
transportati	252:18	101:10	203:20	275:16
on	260:11	130:25	215:13,17	278:18
195:8,9,18	275:11	131:9	types 159:4	285:25
200:1	291:4	139:5	275:14	293:10
travel	<b>truly</b> 155:12	186:18		296:2
206:10	165:5	198:23	U	297:12
treasury	236:15	207:13	ultimate	understanda
159:20	254:18	208:7	140:10	<b>le</b> 125:21
163:9	255:9	210:10 217:17	140:10	138:10
167:19	256:20	217:17	ultimately	142:24
	260:19	302:7	255 <b>:</b> 18	understanda
treated	275:25	302:7	umpire	
198:9,10,1	<b>trust</b> 94:17	twenty	219:19	<b>ly</b> 133:17
2 211:25	200:20	109:10	223:25	understandi
tremendous	200:20	147:10		<b>g</b> 108:4
201:4	trustees	175 <b>:</b> 17	unacceptable	126:19
- 100	108:16	205:21	220:4	155:12
<b>Trend</b> 13:8	<b>try</b> 138:8	207:12	221:11	182:19
64:2	151:1	222:12	Unallocated	184:20
trends	188:9	236:1	45:2	185:4
118:18	205:10	237:12	uncategorica	199:3
tried 133:14	218:23	274:12	lly 170:4	209:19
149:18	220:15	293:11	<b>119</b> 1/0:4	214:19
226:20,21	243:15	twenty-five	uncertainty	232:25
227:4,6,18	275:15	100:20	141:19	250:15
284:4	276:14	223:18	underlying	253:21
	300:17	turnetur form	174:14	262:5
trigger		twenty-four 222:11	183:21	265:10,23
259:12,14,	trying 140:9	222:11	271:8	267:11
19 260:18	152:1,24	Twenty-one	279:9	270:17
Triggs 96:25	201:7	196:2		271:14,19
	255:2,3,9	twenty-seven	understand	understands
trivial	274:20 297:23	169:15	108:21	127:11
202:3	291:25		120:21	
truck	<b>TSX</b> 235:22	twenty-six	122:9,20	understaten
207:12,21	236:4	219:23	147:4,23	<b>nt</b> 234:19
215:8,11,1	264:2	220:10	148:14	understood
6	<b>turn</b> 99:13	222:13,24	151:1	266:9
truckers	137:12	twenty-three	168:18	286:8
85:22	143:11	219:24	184:25	undertaken
	162:17	220:6	185:2	256:25
trucking	169:5	222:13,21	221:16	
216:7	237:19		224:7	undertaking
281:3	239:6	twenty-two	225:4,10	97:11
trucks 131:6	246:5	232:2	227:24	undertaking
210:17	277:18	<b>twice</b> 208:7	242:7	107:13
212:12	284:25	two-thirty	259:9	
	286:23		262:15	undertook

## PUB-MPI GRA FOR 2013/14 09-25-2012 Page 158 of 161

	UR 2013/14 U	2012	Page 156 OL .	
279:21	unprecedente	<b>usual</b> 97:8	18	135:3
underwriting	<b>d</b> 139:23	281:12	29:3,8,13,	valuation
282:6	unrealized	usually	18	9:8,13,18
undue 212:20	8:9	107:13	30:3,8,13, 18	10:4 82:15
	169:17,20	215:14	31:3,8,13,	<b>value</b> 47:8
unearned	unreasonable	Utilities	18	54:15
52:20	238:25	1:3,20	32:3,8,13,	69:21
80:20	256:1	5:6,13,18	18	140:25
unexpected		6:3,8,13,1	33:3,8,13,	142:20
155:4	unrelated	8	18 34:3,8	143:3,8,19
171:5	266:5	7:3,8,13,1	92:5,12	144:3
unfair	unsafe	8	99:19	145:5
221:15	202:10,12,	8:3,8,13,1	109:7	155:14
	14	8	121:17	169:22
Unfortunatel	updated	9:3,8,13,1	122:3	174:19
<b>y</b> 296:19	277:15	8	126:3	175:5
unfunded	298:20	10:4,9,14,	127:5	207:15
154:19	299:12	19	138:5	219:15,22
158:20		11:3,8,13,	140:17	220:23
Uniform 91:8	<b>upgrade</b> 52:3 63:9	18	142:8,12	221:2
		12:3,8,13,	147:2	222:11
uninsured	<b>upon</b> 92:1	18	169:15	223:4,9,12
145:14,24	136:14,15	13:3,8,13,	176:4	224:2
<b>unit</b> 60:9	138:13	18	178:24	297:11
117:21	175:25	14:3,8,13, 18	179:19	valued
119:6	178:21	15:3,9,14,	189:17	207:12
122:19	187:15,16	19.3,9,14,	195:3 242:23	values
132:1	188:1,9	16:3,8,13,	242:23	220:17
133:23	189:2	18		
301:7,10,1	222:10 225:14	17:3,8,13,	Utility	<b>van</b> 226:6
4,24	249:18	18	203:17	<b>VAR</b> 245:9
302:20	261:12,13	18:3,8,13,	221:17	variability
<b>United</b> 218:6	282:9,15	18	277:14	132:22
220:20	307:5	19:3,8,13,	utilized	133:3
<b>units</b> 52:9		18	233:13	174:23
123:13	<b>upper</b> 239:10	20:3,8,13,	248:16	175:1,2
301:7	241:24	18	262:21	176:10
	242:22	21:3,8,13,		184:17
universal	243:5	18	V	238:13
202:25	upright	22:3,8,13,	<b>v07</b> 57:3	263:1
293:6	201:9	18		variables
unlicensed	<b>urban</b> 133:14	23:3,8,13,	<b>vaca</b> 218:5	261:1
90:21		18	vacationing	
131:17	urgently	24:3,8,13,	218:5	variance
145:24	199:6	18 25•3 8 13	vacations	114:20,24
211:8	<b>useful</b> 94:20	25:3,8,13, 18	206:9	152:18
Unlike	114:1	18 26:3,8,13,		varied
214:15	129:13	20:3,0,13, 18	vaguely	255:22
268:25	265 <b>:</b> 17	27:3,8,13,	196:11	various
Unpaid 82:20	user's	18	<b>valid</b> 97:6	144:4
	234:20	28:3,8,13,	valuable	164:5
L	-	20.0,0,10,	varuabre	

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 159 of 2	161
198:15	130:21	205:15	179:23	97 <b>:</b> 19
213:24	131:1,3,7,	225:9	223:23	138:21
247:9	8	visibility	226:2	180:7
277:2	141:6,7,9,	-	248:6	204:21
287:12	10	133:21	261:18	216:24
299:8	145:14,24	<b>visit</b> 206:10	watching	225:15,18
<b>vary</b> 93:12	208:3	visually	109:7,8	<b>we'll</b> 98:12
100:13	210:4,11,1	192 <b>:</b> 15	135:1	99:11
<b>vehi</b> 212:14	2,18,19,20 212:16,21,	<b>vital</b> 247:19	<b>WATSS</b> 20:18	101:16
vehicle 52:9	23 213:1	voiced	wave 201:12	104:17
90:22	214:1,20,2	218:17		107:12
92:17	5 215:6		<b>Wayne</b> 84:2	112:23
99:21	301:5,11,2	volatility	104:19	128:14
	4	282:22	112:5	137:20,24
100:6,12,1	303:17,19	291:18	128:6	169:11
5,22	304:9,16,2	292:9,12	<b>ways</b> 139:25	186:24
117:21	3 305:18	<b>Volume</b> 25:3	145:13	188:5
118:11	306:1	35:13,16,1	155:16	189:3
119:6		9 52:2		216:12,14
131:13,19,	vehicle's	106:16,19,	190:6,12	229:25
22 132:6	212:15	22	301:1	273:14
141:4,16	Vehicles	107:1,4,8	weaknesses	well-being
143:12	144:21	139:10	124:9	93:22
144:23		300:6	weather	
145:11,16	vehisal	500:0	218:7	well-known
146:12,14	214:21	<b>vote</b> 273:14	218:7	114:25
149:9	verifiable	<b>vs</b> 15:3 40:9	website	Wendy 307:13
152:15,17	110:7	80:8 84:5	92:14 93:5	_
169:2		00.0 04.0	108:19	we're 98:6,9
194:18	version		<b>we'd</b> 170:19	99:18
208:4	177:1	W		104:15
209:3	232:17	Wages 30:3	week 101:12	114:4
210:1,2,7	246:18	<b>wait</b> 97:13	104:15	116:18
211:5,19	297:3	191:13	105:12	127:15,23
212:5,20	versions	194:14	137:3	128:8
213:8,17,2	255:25	217:22	weekends	133:6
0	<b>versus</b> 260:4		206:9	135:9,12,1
214:10,14,	Versus 200:4	waited		6,19,20
16,21,24	<b>vets</b> 165:15	279:19	weeks 188:20	138:22
215:3,13	<b>via</b> 3:24	walk 173:20	weight	140:2,9
216:6		186:13,15	127:24	141:21
220:20,24	<b>vice</b> 96:12	287:9	200:25	149:21
221:2	97:19	299:22		152:1
223:3	victim		weighted	155:24
269:10	130:13	walking	278:6	157:23
279:16		136:21	289:2	185:21
280:6,7	<b>view</b> 94:24	232:23	292:10,20	186:20
301:3,7,10	122:22	Warnock	306:3	188:24
,22 303:24	124:8,13	307:13	weighting	192:3,7
305:18	230:25		305:20	195:20,21
vehicles	viewpoints	washed	306:2	197:23
41:21 90:3	265:18	226:22		198:6
100:10		wasn't	welcome	199:4
100.10	views 93:22		96:21,22	200:6

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 160 of 3	161
203:17	whatever	whose 153:12	130:8	110:6
228:14	274:1	wide 192:18	198:25	139:8
237:1,20	287:18	197:5	withstand	142:17
238:20	whatnot	197:5	236:9	161:21 <b>,</b> 22
243:9		wife 208:17	230.9	162:1,5,12
245:7	193:2	wildlife	witness	164:25
252:10	whereas	22:3 84:17	112:10	165:14
256:3	207:14	86:16	witnesses	166:19
259:1	Where's	133:6,12	95:6	226:2,5
261:9	229:14	207:1	97:12,14	283:11
266:16	229:14	207.1	104:11	<b></b> 110.1
274:20,21	wherever	Williams 2:6	125:18	works 112:1
275:7	279:10	3:7 91:16	136:19	145:20
297:3	whether	97:15,17,1	130:19	151:21
299:19	101:15	8,20 98:15	wonder	163:1
301:21	107:23	104:20	109:19	164:10
302:19	107:23	107:16,19	136:18	181:16
303:8	113:10,20	108:5,10	218:14	251:7
306:14	113:10,20	128:11	wondering	255:16
	114:15,16	129:8,15,1	227:11	270:24
we've 104:9		8 134:25	296:4	world 141:21
112:16	117:10	139:7	290:4	146:9
114:6	119:1	140:14	wont 305:4	199:18
116:6	123:10	156:25	worded	202:20
131:1	127:18,19	157:15	196:11	218:20
138:4	135:8	164:21	190:11	263:25
142:15	148:19	189:6		278:17
144:14,18	156:7,15	261:18	wordy 129:12	
146:11,13,	157:17	285:11	work 9:19	worlds 257:9
21 148:2	164:1	297:1	56:3	worry 192:23
149:18,19,	213:13		115:23	_
23	214:23	window 153:1	120:4	worrying
150:9,10	215:20	158:1,4	125:4	275:5
152:11	249:24	Winnipeg	147:13	worse 235:25
155:11	252:13,14	1:22	149:2,23	267:8
162:15	258:7	195:19,20		268:9
184:14	259:23,24	202:7,10	159:22,24 163:7	
193:12	285:19	207:9	198:22	worst-case
198:23	286:10	238:22	200:4	132:21
206:15	287:19	239:1	202:20	worth 176:12
239:16	289:12	274:1	226:5,6	217:13
241:12	302:5	276:9	269:24	222:15
245:19	whiteshell	277:2	282:20,23	wound 228:13
253:17	85:10		292:19	<b>WOULIG</b> 220.13
255:9	88:15	winter	292:19	Wow 228:16
258:15	<b>b</b> = <b>1</b> = 101 0	201:19	294:10	wreck 220:3
270:11	whole 121:2	217:19		222:14
271:3	139:14	218:1	worked 112:3	
274:10,11,	145:18	237:9	worker 229:8	WRHA 203:8
13,23	203:11	wish 107:24		write 219:25
275:16	whom 230:21	108:25	worker's	222:1
283:25	who's 95:21	109:1	151:23	
284:10		116:7	226:7	writedown
305:17	161:22	121:7	working	42:15

PUB-MPI GRA F	OR 2013/14 0	9-25-2012	Page 161 of	161
46:21	<b>you'll</b> 98:4			
Write-down	121:6,9			
78:14	139:1			
	145:7			
write-off	150:6			
220:17	154:23			
222:3	190:17			
written	192:23			
93:14	233:3,5,10			
174:5,7	,11,12,14,			
194:22	17 234:16			
219:15	235:16			
249:20,25	236:16			
278:1	237:13,14			
279:12	238:10			
289:25	239:6			
290:3,6,23	240:18			
	285:4			
wrong 136:25	287:6,21			
201:8 273:21	288:15			
2/3:21	298:4			
wrote 223:7	299:24			
	300:23,24			
Y	301:24			
year-beyond	302:17			
127:23	304:9,22			
	305:3,7			
<b>yearly</b> 232:1	306:9			
year-old	yourself			
152:7	294:21			
year-over-	yourselves			
year	148:5			
291:17	you've			
	129:10			
year's	141:5			
117:13 173:4	153:6			
205:9	181:18,19			
217:9,12	184:12			
219:7,9,10	192:16,18,			
256:6,7	19 197:7			
294:10	216:14			
	257:4			
yesterday 198:3				
	Z			
<b>yet</b> 217:25	<b>zero</b> 92:19			
222:9,15,2	234:7			
4 223:21	269:14			
yield 9:5	288:12			
252:14,20	305:12,15			
	306:10			
yielded 246:1				
240.1				
L				

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada