## 1 **REFERENCE:**

2 Evidence of Andrew McLaren, page 1

## 3 **PREAMBLE**:

## 4 QUESTION:

- a) Please provide a copy of InterGroup retainer letter as well as instructions and
  assumptions provided to InterGroup. If no formal retainer agreement was entered into,
  please provide a narrative of all discussions in which InterGroup received instructions
  with respect to the scope of its evidence.
- b) Please explain how InterGroup determined what issues within the Application were "of
  interest" to IGU members. Please identify any issues addressed within the Pre-Filed
  Evidence that were raised specifically by IGU members.
- c) Please advise whether InterGroup held consultations with IGU members or other
   industrial customers. File all related documentation including but not limited to:
   notice/request for consultation; presentation; notes taken by Intergroup during the
   consultation and any post meeting summary or reports. If no contemporaneous written
   material is available, please file a narrative of all consultations.
- d) Please confirm that Mr. McLaren was the sole author of the evidence. If not confirmed,
  please identify what portions of the evidence were developed by or in concert with
  others.

## 20 **ANSWER:**

21 a) Through c)

InterGroup does not have a formal retainer letter with the IGU members. Instructions and assumptions were received during a number of conference calls and telephone conversations. These took place both in large groups, and also in one on one conversations with individual IGU members.

Initial conversations took place with individual IGU members over the period November
 2018 – January 2019. These conversations occurred as a few members of the Manitoba
 Industrial Power Users Group (MIPUG) were concerned about the upcoming Centra GRA
 based on discussions they had with Centra Gas. InterGroup followed up with other MIPUG
 members who were large gas users to see if similar concerns existed and whether an

industrial group should be formed for a potential industrial focused intervention. Individual
 members reached out to other known industrials with similar concerns.

During these initial calls members raised concern about the balancing fee proposal and Centra's approach to consultation to date, the proposed allocations for the heating value deferral account customer allocations, the threshold change in Terms and Conditions from 200 GJ to 2,500 GJ per day, and more broadly the overall level of rate increases being proposed.

- Braft issues lists were developed by InterGroup based on these initial conversations with
   IGU members and reviewed with IGU members for comment during conference calls. IGU
- 40 members had the opportunity to review topics for consideration in evidence in advance of
- 41 evidence being submitted.
- 42 Mr. McLaren did not keep detailed notes from all individual conversations with IGU

43 members. Group conference calls and key activities undertaken with IGU include the

44 following dates:

Date	Purpose of Call	Attended
January	Initial discussion on possible group	Representatives from Koch
16, 2019	intervention, including general areas for issue	Fertilizer, Gerdau, Maple
	scoping (focused mainly on downstream	Leaf, Simplot, McCain's,
	issues relating to large gas users):	InterGroup and legal
	Balancing Fees	counsel
	Terms and Conditions	
	Cost of Service	
	Rate Design	
	Other	
January	Discussion with Koch representatives related	Representatives from Koch
17, 2019	to company specific issues and proceeding	Fertilizer & InterGroup
	with combined group issues	

Date	Purpose of Call	Attended
February	Update call with group after being granted	Representatives from Koch
28, 2019	intervenor status. Overview on confidential	Fertilizer, Gerdau, Simplot,
	materials impacting detailed review. Also	Maple Leaf, BP, InterGroup
	discussed reaching out to other industrial intervenors.	and Legal Counsel
	Reviewed issues to pursue in discovery including:	
	<ol> <li>Balancing charges – multiple aspects to this issue including; (i) Centra's proposal &amp; tolerance levels proposed, (ii) Ability for customers to mitigate imbalances (customer pooling, account transfers), (iii) Centra's proposed penalty (ensuring cost based)</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>Postage Stamp Rates (including allocation of capital additions) and Cost Of Service (COS) allocation issues</li> </ol>	
	3. Heating Value Deferral Account allocation	
	4. Broader Revenue Requirement topics (ROE)	
April 10, 2019	Circulated Draft Round 1 Information Requests for review and comments before finalizing. Comments received were incorporated into requests.	Representatives from Koch Fertilizer, Gerdau, Simplot, Maple Leaf, BP, Bunge Canada, InterGroup and Legal Counsel
May 1, 2019	Correspondence with members regarding IGU motion for confidential information and	Representatives from Koch Fertilizer, Gerdau, Simplot
	Centra's response. Comments received were incorporated into submission.	and Maple Leaf, InterGroup and Legal Counsel

Date	Purpose of Call	Attended
May 22,	Update call on status of review (including	Representatives from Koch
2019	confidentiality motion) and to discuss areas for	Fertilizer, Gerdau, Simplot,
	Round 2 Information Requests, mainly	BP, Bunge Canada,
	focused on more detailed follow-up in areas:	InterGroup
	Balancing Fees (forecast revenue	
	versus costs to Centra, understanding	
	consultation process, and tools or	
	options pursued for customers to	
	balance)	
	Cost of Service (demand allocation	
	and understanding of methods, link to	
	cost causation)	
	Rate Design (zone of reasonableness,	
	rate design principles and scenarios)	
	Capital additions in intervening years	
	(specifically Transmission mains, cost	
	causation of projects, allocation to	
	customer classes)	
	Heating Value Deferral Account	
	(Amortization of balance, allocation of	
	deferral account, cost causation	
	linkages, history of account)	
	Postage Stamp rates (changes in	
	historical application & customer	
	landscape/usage)	
May 24,	Circulated draft Round 2 Information Requests	
2019	for review and comment prior to submission.	Bunge and BP)
	Comments received were incorporated into	
	requests.	
June 13,	Update call regarding scope for evidence	Representatives from Koch
2019	drafting, including on balancing fee issue.	Fertilizer, Simplot, Maple
	Discussion regarding schedule and potential	Leaf, BP, InterGroup and
	for written process over oral hearing.	Legal Counsel

Date	Purpose of Call	Attended
June 17,	Circulated draft evidence questions for	All IGU members (plus
2019	balancing fee evidence to members for review	Bunge and BP)
	and comment prior to finalizing balance fee	
	evidence drafts. Comments received were	
	incorporated into requests.	
June 19,	Draft evidence was circulated to members for	All IGU members (plus
2019	review and comment prior to finalization.	Bunge and BP)
	Comments received were incorporated into	
	requests.	

45

InterGroup has been involved in consultations with industrials that are not included in the IGU group on multiple occasions as requested by the PUB in its Order 24/19. As IGU reported in its April 12, 2019 update filing, regarding the other approved separate interveners, the following activities have taken place to coordinate intervention and reduce duplication:

- BP Canada Energy Group ULC The IGU has been in communication with BP
   Canada and has worked together to date on the balancing fee issue, including in
   review of the issue and in developing Information Requests to date. BP and the
   IGU plan to continue to maintain communication on this topic with IGU taking the
   lead.
- Bunge Canada The IGU has been in communication with Bunge Canada and has discussed efficiencies in process related to intervening on the balancing fee issue. Where positions align, both parties are prepared to continue working together and communications are ongoing on this.
- McCain Foods (Canada) IGU made contact with representatives from McCain Foods early on in the intervention process and discussed related issues and intervention. Since the Order 24/19, IGU has reached out to McCain Foods representatives several times and has had discussions with legal counsel on related key issues, including Centra's proposed balancing fee and management of natural gas daily balancing. The IGU plans to continue conversations with McCain Foods to minimize duplication.
- Richardson International Limited In discussion with representatives for
   Richardson International Limited, it has been communicated that Richardson does
   not intend at this time to take an active role in the Centra Gas GRA.

Representatives on gas supply for Richardson are working with the IGU on the
balancing fee issue to date and intent to continue collaboration where positions
align moving forward.

Since the April filing, InterGroup has continued to communicate with BP and Bunge on
IGU matters, and both have participated in some of the IGU discussions (noted above).
Both BP and Bunge have commented on IRs, had access to draft evidence for review and
worked with IGU to minimize duplication throughout the hearing process, primarily on the
balancing fee issue.

- InterGroup has also had a few discussions on Centra Gas matters with Roquette, a new MIPUG member that is currently under construction in Portage La Prairie, Manitoba. At the time of discussions, Roquette was yet to confirm natural gas service with Centra and as a result was unsure which customer class it would be in and which issues may be of concern. Informal discussions occurred with Roquette representatives on January 3, 2019 and June 11, 2019; notes, presentations and/or documentation was not undertaken.
- 84 d)

Mr. McLaren is the primary author of the evidence. He was assisted by Melissa Davies ofInterGroup in preparing the evidence.